Ion channel TRPV1-dependent activation of PTP1B suppresses EGFR-associated intestinal tumorigenesis
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The intestinal epithelium has a high rate of turnover, and dysregulation of pathways that regulate regeneration can lead to tumor development; however, the negative regulators of oncogenic events in the intestinal epithelium are not fully understood. Here we identified a feedback loop between the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a known mediator of proliferation, and the transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily V, member 1 (TRPV1), in intestinal epithelial cells (IECs). We found that TRPV1 was expressed by IECs and was intrinsically activated upon EGFR stimulation. Subsequently, TRPV1 activation inhibited EGFR-induced epithelial cell proliferation via activation of Ca 2+/calpain and resulting activation of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B). In a murine model of multiple intestinal neoplasia (Apcmin/+ mice), TRPV1 deficiency increased adenoma formation, and treatment of these animals with an EGFR kinase inhibitor reversed protumorigenic phenotypes, supporting a functional association between TRPV1 and EGFR signaling in IECs. Administration of a TRPV1 agonist suppressed intestinal tumorigenesis in Apcmin/+ mice, similar to – as well as in conjunction with – a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor, which suggests that targeting both TRPV1 and COX-2 has potential as a therapeutic approach for tumor prevention. Our findings implicate TRPV1 as a regulator of growth factor signaling in the intestinal epithelium through activation of PTP1B and subsequent suppression of intestinal tumorigenesis.

Introduction

The mammalian intestinal epithelium reveals a complex interplay among intestinal stem cell (ISC) self-renewal in the crypts of Lieberkühn, progenitor cell proliferation, differentiation, and, ultimately, apoptosis (1). The high rate of intestinal epithelial cell (IEC) turnover within a genotoxic microenvironment needs to be tightly regulated to minimize the risk of neoplasia development from ISC or dedifferentiated progenitor cells (2). The main drivers of IEC proliferation are the Wnt, Notch, and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) pathways (3). Somatic mutations that result in gain of function of these and associated pathways are commonly found in colorectal cancer (CRC), underlining their oncogenic potential (4, 5). Similarly, whereas Toll-like receptor signaling in IECs provides essential proproliferative signals (6), these microbiota-induced signals also promote epithelial tumorigenesis (7). Microenvironmental cues that signal IECs to shift gears from a progenitor to a differentiated state at the crypt-villus junction are instrumental in the regulation of crypt homeostasis (8). This includes the release of BMP and Hedgehog proteins along a spatial gradient. Interruption of this mesenchymal-epithelial crosstalk results in distortion of the crypt-villus architecture (9–11) and predisposes the epithelium to neoplasia (12, 13).

The regulatory role of physiological signals transduced by sensory ion channels in crypt homeostasis, and their implications for epithelial tumorigenesis, have not been fully studied. One exception is the reported homeostatic role of the stretch-activated receptor Piezo1. Overcrowding within the epithelial sheet is detected by the Piezo1 cation channel, which leads to live cell extrusion through Rho kinase activation, thereby keeping cell numbers in check. Interestingly, colonic polyps show increased cell densities in crypt sides compared with healthy epithelium, which suggests that aberrant cell extrusion may be an early oncogenic event in the intestines (14).

In the search for sensory receptors in epithelial tissues, the comprehensive family of TRP ion channels holds particular interest, as these sense and integrate a broad range of thermal, mechanical, and chemical environmental stimuli (15). The mammalian TRP family consists of multiple subfamilies, including canonical (TRPC), vanilloid (TRPV), melastatin (TRPM), ankyrin (TRPA), polycystin (TRPP), and mucolipin (TRPML), which form multimeric proteins that function as cation channels, display diverse gating mechanisms, and are ubiquitously expressed in various excitable and nonexcitable cell types (16). TRP channels are well represented in the complex milieu of the digestive tract (17). Moreover, various TRP channels are expressed in epithelial tissues (18, 19), and some data suggest that they promote cell proliferation.
and may play a role in cellular transformation (20). Mechanistically, this has been shown to involve potentiation of EGFR signaling in other epithelial tissues, such as TRPV3 in keratinocytes (21) and TRPC1 in lung carcinoma cell lines (22). However, the physiological relevance of TRP-EGFR interactions and their associated signaling pathways in the intestinal epithelium are not known.

Here we demonstrate an unconventional role for the TRPV1 channel in intestinal crypt homeostasis and tumorigenesis. We found that TRPV1 was intrinsically activated by EGFR. Our molecular dissection showed that TRPV1 triggering subsequently initiated a molecular cascade — involving Ca2+, calpain, and finally protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) — that served as a negative feedback loop on EGFR activity. Deficiency of Trpv1 resulted in IEC hyperproliferation in vivo and in intestinal organoid cultures in vitro, as well as increased formation of intestinal neoplasia. Collectively, our data suggest that TRPV1 is a nonredundant, intrinsic negative regulator of cell proliferation and intestinal tumorigenesis. Thus, rather than transducing physical signals, TRPV1 senses and regulates growth factor signaling in IECs in order to suppress intestinal tumorigenesis.

Results

TRPV1 is a functional Ca2+ channel in IECs and organoids. To evaluate the expression of TRPV family members in IECs, quantitative real-time RT-PCR (Q-PCR) screening for the abundance of Trpv1–Trpv6 transcripts in both primary IEC isolates and intestinal organoids was performed. The latter is an autonomous IEC culture system that consists of self-organizing “miniguts” that recapitulate intestinal crypts, free of any potentially contaminating mesenchymal, neuronal, or bone marrow–derived cells (23). We found that Trpv1, Trpv4, Trpv5, and Trpv6 were expressed in the intestinal epithelium, with similar expression patterns in freshly isolated IECs and intestinal organoid cultures (Figure 1, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI72340DS1). While the molecular effects of TRPV4 and TRPV5/TRPV6 in IECs have been reported previously (24, 25), the physiological role of TRPV1 in IEC biology is unknown. We validated expression of Trpv1 mRNA in IECs using Trpv1+/− mice (26) for both organoid cultures and freshly isolated crypts (Supplemental Figure 1C). We also confirmed TRPV1 mRNA expression in human and rodent IEC lines (Supplemental Figure 1D). To show TRPV1 protein expression, we first validated the specificity of the anti-TRPV1 Ab by using CHO cells that stably overexpress TRPV1 (Supplemental Figure 1, E and F). We confirmed TRPV1 expression in IECs using flow cytometry (Figure 1C). TRPV1 expression by primary IECs was demonstrated by immunofluorescent staining of intestinal organoids (Figure 1D). Staining with secondary antibody only (Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated anti-Rb) did not produce a positive signal (data not shown). We next evaluated TRPV1 ion channel functionality in IECs. As TRPV1 is primarly a Ca2+ channel (27), we first validated our experimental setup to measure cytosolic free Ca2+ ([Ca2+]cyt) measurements in cells loaded with Fura-2 acetoxyethyl ester (Fura-2 AM) (28, 29). We used CHOTrpv1 cells after stimulation with the TRPV1–specific agonist capsaicin (Supplemental Figure 1G). More importantly, addition of capsaicin induced [Ca2+]cyt elevations in the IEC line HCT116 that were dose-dependent and abrogated by TRPV1 knockdown (Figure 1E). Pretreatment with the TRPV1 antagonist BCTC also inhibited capsaicin-induced Ca2+ influxes in HCT116 cells (Supplemental Figure 1H). Similar results were generated by applying heat as a TRPV1 activator (Figure 1F). To confirm the functionality of TRPV1 channels in primary IECs, we used intestinal organoids from WT and Trpv1−/− mice in the same experimental setup. Organoid-derived crypts were then stimulated with the TRPV1 agonist capsaicin, which resulted in marked [Ca2+]cyt increases in WT, but not Trpv1−/−, crypts (Figure 1, G–I). Collectively, these data demonstrated that TRPV1 is a functional Ca2+ channel in IECs.

Epithelial TRPV1 signaling inhibits EGFR activity in vivo. Next we used Trpv1−/− mice to evaluate the physiological function of TRPV1 signaling in IECs. TRPV1 deficiency did not significantly affect gross intestinal crypt–villus morphology or IEC differentiation (Supplemental Figure 2A). Consistent with these in vivo findings, we observed similar numbers of goblet and Paneth cells per crypt in intestinal organoids generated from WT and Trpv1−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 2B). In contrast, we observed a substantial increase in IEC proliferation in Trpv1−/− mice by immunostaining for Ki67, a marker of transient amplifying (TA) cells (Figure 2A). These data suggest that TRPV1 signaling negatively regulates epithelial cell proliferation. Since EGFR is a physiological inducer of IEC proliferation and has previously been associated with other TRP channels (21, 22), we hypothesized that the TRPV1 and EGFR signaling pathways may functionally interact. Constitutive phosphorylation of EGFRY1068, indicative of EGFR kinase activity, was increased in colonic crypts in Trpv1−/− mice (Figure 2, B–D). Moreover, Trpv1−/− crypts displayed increased constitutive phosphorylation of ERK1/2, a MAPK that relays downstream signaling of EGFR. This effect was reversed by treatment with the EGFR kinase inhibitor gefitinib (Figure 2B). Gefitinib also reversed the increased IEC proliferation in Trpv1−/− mice (Figure 2, E and F), which suggests that enhanced constitutive EGFR activation was responsible for the epithelial hyperproliferation observed in these mice. We then tested the effects of TRPV1 triggering on EGFR signaling in IECs in vivo. Expression levels of immediate early response genes reflect the transcriptional activity of EGFR signaling (30). A single oral administration of capsaicin (3 mg/kg) decreased expression levels of c-Fos, Fosl2 (also known as Fra2), and c-Jun in WT mice, but not Trpv1−/− mice (Figure 2, G and H). Importantly, cotreatment with gefitinib (50 mg/kg) did not enhance the suppressive effect of capsaicin, which suggests that both agents optimally targeted the same pathway (i.e., EGFR). Oral administration of capsaicin also inhibited ligand–induced EGFR activation in IECs and suppressed epithelial cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 2, C–E). Notably, oral capsaicin treatment did not significantly affect goblet or Paneth cell numbers (Supplemental Figure 2, F–H). Together, these results are suggestive of negative regulation of IEC proliferation and epithelial EGFR signaling by TRPV1.

In order to unambiguously address whether epithelial TRPV1 directly modulates EGFR kinase activity, we generated mice that overexpress TRPV1 (31) in IECs on the C57BL/6J background (referred to herein as TRPV1IEC mice). Excision of a stop sequence by Cre under the control of the Villin promoter resulted in conditional TRPV1 overexpression in IECs (Figure 2). TRPV1IEC mice showed increased TRPV1 protein and mRNA expression in IECs,
epithelial cell proliferation in vivo, and suppressed cell proliferation and expression of EGFR target genes in intestinal organoid cultures in vitro as well as the intensity of p-EGFR Y1068 staining (Figure 2K and Supplemental Figure 3, C–G). Collectively, these findings suggest that epithelial TRPV1 inhibits IEC proliferation by antagonizing EGFR signaling.

but not in spinal cord homogenates (Supplemental Figure 3, A and B). Consistent with our results from Trpv1-/- mice, TRPV1IEC animals showed reduced constitutive p-EGFRY1068 levels in colon crypts, whereas total EGFR levels were unaffected (Figure 2J). Furthermore, IEC-specific TRPV1 overexpression suppressed EGF-induced EGFR activity in freshly isolated IECs, inhibited epithelial cell proliferation in vivo, and suppressed cell proliferation and expression of EGFR target genes in intestinal organoid cultures in vitro as well as the intensity of p-EGFRY1068 staining (Figure 2K and Supplemental Figure 3, C–G). Collectively, these findings suggest that epithelial TRPV1 inhibits IEC proliferation by antagonizing EGFR signaling.
resulted in enhanced ligand-induced EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation (Figure 3B). This effect coincided with an increased rate of cell proliferation, which was reversed by gefitinib treatment (Figure 3C). These data further support the functional and intrinsic association between the TRPV1 and EGFR signaling pathways in IECs.

To compare the effects of the TRPV1 channel with those of other TRPV family members that we found to be expressed by IECs, we repeated our in vitro assays for the thermosensors TRPV3 and TRPV4, as well as the Ca²⁺ transporter TRPV5. Overexpression of TRPV3 potentiated ligand-induced EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation (consistent with previous findings in keratinocytes; ref. 21), whereas TRPV4 overexpression had no significant effects (Supplemental Figure 4C). Interestingly, like TRPV1, TRPV5 significantly suppressed ligand-induced EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 4, D and E). These data suggest a more gen-

**Figure 2.** TRPV1 signaling inhibits cell proliferation and EGFR activity in vivo. (A) Increased IEC proliferation in colons from naïve Trpv1−/− versus WT mice, as shown by Ki67 immunostaining. (B) Increased EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation in Trpv1−/− IECs was reversed by gefitinib treatment. Mice were treated with 2 doses of gefitinib (50 mg/kg, gavage) with a 6-hour interval, followed by IEC harvesting and Western blotting. Shown are representative results from 3 experiments. (C) Immunostaining for p-EGFR Y1068 of distal colon tissues. (D) Quantification with ImageJ. 3 representative areas were used for analysis, with 3 mice per group. (E) Hyperproliferation of Trpv1−/− IECs was reversed by EGFR inhibition with gefitinib (50 mg/kg) for 5 consecutive days before tissue harvesting. (F) Quantification of Ki67 cells by ImageJ (ImmunoRatio plugin). (G) Capsaicin administration suppressed transcription of EGFR target genes in a TRPV1-dependent manner, comparable to gefitinib. Mice received DMSO, capsaicin (3 mg/kg), gefitinib (50 mg/kg), or capsaicin plus gefitinib by gavage, and IECs were prepared 6 hours later. Shown is Q-PCR analysis for c-Fos or Fosl2, relative to the respective DMSO control. (H) Q-PCR analysis for c-Jun. (I) Generation of transgenic TRPV1IEC mice. (J) TRPV1IEC and TRPV1Fl/Fl mice were analyzed for p-EGFR Y1068 by Western blotting. IEC-specific TRPV1 overexpression inhibited constitutive EGFR signaling in IECs. (K) IEC-specific TRPV1 overexpression suppressed EGF-induced EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation in IECs. Data are mean ± SEM (D and F; n = 3 per group) or mean ± SD (G and H; n = 2 per group). *P < 0.05, t test (D) or ANOVA (F–H). Scale bars: 100 μm.
The crucial role of this PTP in the TRPV1-mediated effects on EGFR signaling is important early regulatory loop that controls receptor tyrosine kinase signaling (33). Since there is no evidence in the literature for direct posttranslational modulation of the EGFR by calpain, we hypothesized that calpain might control the activity of a PTP, which in turn regulates the phosphorylation status of EGFR. Indeed, pretreatment with the nonspecific PTP inhibitor sodium orthovanadate (Na$_3$VO$_4$) reversed the negative regulatory effect of TRPV1 on EGFR phosphorylation (Figure 5B). PTPs that are known to regulate EGFR kinase activity include LAR (encoded by PTPRg), PTP-$\sigma$ (Ptprs), PTP1B (Ptpn1), TCPTP (Ptprn2), and SHP-1 (Ptprn6) (36). We found all of these PTPs to be expressed by IECs (Figure 5C), although their expression levels were similar in WT and Trpv1–/– organoids (Supplemental Figure 6A). Of these PTP candidates, only PTP1B is known to be functionally associated with Ca$^{2+}$/calpain (37). Posttranslational cleavage of PTP1B by calpain increases its phosphatase activity which we indeed found to be enhanced in TRPV1-overexpressing cells (Supplemental Figure 4B). However, even though the CaM inhibitor W-7 efficaciously blocked ionomycin-induced CaMKII activation, pretreatment with W-7 did not reverse the inhibitory effects of TRPV1 overexpression on EGFR phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 6A, A and B). Similarly, and despite the reported inhibitory role of Ca$^{2+}$/calcineurin upon EGFR phosphorylation (34), calcineurin inhibition by FK506 failed to reverse the TRPV1-mediated effects on EGFR phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 6, C and D).

We then focused on the Ca$^{2+}$/calpain pathway. Regulation of EGFR phosphorylation by TRPV1 was prevented by pretreatment with the cell-permeable calpain inhibitor ALLM (Figure 5A), suggestive of direct or indirect inhibitory effects of calpain on EGFR kinase activity. Dephosphorylation of EGFR by protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) is an important early regulatory loop that controls receptor tyrosine kinase signaling (35). Since there is no evidence in the literature for direct posttranslational modulation of the EGFR by calpain, we hypothesized that calpain might control the activity of a PTP, which in turn regulates the phosphorylation status of EGFR.Indeed, pretreatment with the nonspecific PTP inhibitor sodium orthovanadate (Na$_3$VO$_4$) reversed the negative regulatory effect of TRPV1 on EGFR phosphorylation (Figure 5B). PTPs that are known to regulate EGFR kinase activity include LAR (encoded by PTPRg), PTP-$\sigma$ (Ptprs), PTP1B (Ptpn1), TCPTP (Ptprn2), and SHP-1 (Ptprn6) (36). We found all of these PTPs to be expressed by IECs (Figure 5C), although their expression levels were similar in WT and Trpv1–/– organoids (Supplemental Figure 6A). Of these PTP candidates, only PTP1B is known to be functionally associated with Ca$^{2+}$/calpain (37). Posttranslational cleavage of PTP1B by calpain increases its phosphatase activity (38). Accordingly, pretreatment with the cell-permeable PTP1B inhibitor Compound 3 recapitulated the effect of Na$_3$VO$_4$ in reversing TRPV1-mediated dephosphorylation of EGFR (Figure 5B).

The Journal of Clinical Investigation

**Research Article**

**Figure 3. TRPV1 inhibits epithelial cell proliferation and EGFR signaling in vitro.** (A) Overexpression of TRPV1 inhibited EGF-induced EGFR activity in the IEC line HCT116. Cells were transfected with control or TRPV1 plasmid and stimulated with 1 ng/ml EGF for the indicated times, followed by Western blot analysis of total cell lysates. (B) TRPV1 knockdown enhanced EGFR signaling in IECs in vitro. HCT116 cells were transfected with control or TRPV1 shRNA. After obtaining stable cell lines, control and knockdown cells were stimulated with low-dose EGF (1 ng/ml) for the indicated times, and cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. (C) HCT116 cells treated with control or TRPV1 shRNA were cultured for 3 days in the presence or absence of gefitinib (1 μM). Cells were then analyzed for cell proliferation with the MTT assay. Results are presented as a percentage of day 1 of cell culture. Mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 vs. control, ANOVA.
that calpain-mediated cleavage of PTP1B between amino acid residues 360–380 enhances its intrinsic phosphatase activity (38), we transfected HCT116 cells with PTP1B WT (PTP435) or C-terminal truncated mutants (PTP370 and PTP377). Expression of PTP1B truncation mutants (Supplemental Figure 6G) resulted in reduced EGF-induced phospho-EGFR Y1068 levels (Figure 5E). Together, these data support a functional role between TRPV1 and PTP1B and suggest that both are essential components of a negative regulatory loop of EGFR in IECs.

Functional PTP1B activity was previously reported to mediate differential responses to ligand-induced activation versus transactivation of the EGFR in IEC lines (41). However, the expression pattern of PTP1B in primary IECs is unknown. We found expression of PTP1B in budding crypts in small intestinal organoids generated from WT and Trpv1–/– mice at day 7 after passing. Increased Mki67 expression in Trpv1–/– versus WT organoids showed that calpain-mediated cleavage of PTP1B between amino acid residues 360–380 enhances its intrinsic phosphatase activity (38), we transfected HCT116 cells with PTP1B WT (PTP435) or C-terminal truncated mutants (PTP370 and PTP377). Expression of PTP1B truncation mutants (Supplemental Figure 6G) resulted in reduced EGF-induced phospho-EGFR Y1068 levels (Figure 5E). Together, these data support a functional role between TRPV1 and PTP1B and suggest that both are essential components of a negative regulatory loop of EGFR in IECs.

EGFR and TRPV1 are part of a homeostatic molecular circuit. The activity of certain TRP channels can be potentiated by phospholipase C–coupled (PLC-coupled) receptor tyrosine kinases (15). One of the proposed models of TRP activation is the release from its tonic inhibition by membrane lipids, such as phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2). Hydrolysis of PIP2 in the plasma membrane (44), we hypothesized that EGFR activation might therefore facilitate TRPV1 gating. To test this hypothesis, we transfected cells with EGFR and/or TRPV1 and measured intracellular [Ca2+] after EGF stimulation. We found that expression of EGFR or TRPV1 alone did not result in EGF-induced [Ca2+] increase in CHO or HEK293 cells (Figure 6, A and C). However, coexpression of EGFR and TRPV1 resulted in EGF-induced Ca2+ responses in both cell types (Figure 6, B and D). This functional coupling between EGFR and TRPV1 was abrogated by addition of exogenous PIP2 or by PLCγ1 knockdown (Figure 6, B and D). Knockdown of PLCγ1 was validated by Western blotting (Supplemental Figure 7A). Together, these data support a model in which EGFR activation results in PLC-mediated TRPV1 triggering, followed by intracellular Ca2+ mobilization and activation of calpain and PTP1B. PTP1B subsequently dephosphorylates EGFR, thereby completing the negative feedback loop (Supplemental Figure 7B).
TRPV1 signaling inhibits the development of intestinal neoplasia. EGFR signaling accelerates the development of neoplasia in mice genetically susceptible to intestinal adenoma formation (i.e., ApcMin/+ mice; ref. 45). Given these tumor-promoting properties of EGFR signaling and the data presented above, we hypothesized that genetic deletion of Trpv1 would promote the development of intestinal neoplasia. As predicted, ApcMin/+ Trpv1–/– mice developed significantly more tumors in the intestinal tract, in accordance with recent data (46), an effect that was prevented by gefitinib treatment (Figure 7, A and B). This was associated with increased morbidity in Apc Min/+ Trpv1–/– versus Apc Min/+ mice, as shown by decreased levels of blood hemoglobin (HgB) as well as reduced lifespan (Figure 7, C and D). We observed increased constitutive EGFR Y1068 phosphorylation and elevated expression of the proliferation marker PCNA in IECs from ApcMin/+ Trpv1–/– mice, which was reversed by gefitinib treatment (Figure 7E). The epithelial hyperproliferation in ApcMin/+ Trpv1–/– versus ApcMin/+ mice was confirmed by Ki67 staining (Figure 7F). Furthermore, deletion of Trpv1 resulted in significantly increased expression levels of the EGFR-regulated oncogenes c-Fos and c-Myc on the ApcMin/+ background, without affecting the Wnt target Axin2 (Figure 7G and Supplemental Figure 8, A and B). These findings support the concept that increased constitutive EGFR signaling in ApcMin/+ Trpv1–/– mice predisposed this strain to develop more intestinal neoplasia.

Given the potential role of TRPV1-expressing sensory neurons in the regulation of intestinal tumorigenesis, we also evaluated the phenotype of ApcMin/+ mice that underwent systemic ablation of TRPV1+ neurons. Apc Min/+ mice were treated with the ultrapotent TRPV1 agonist resiniferatoxin (RTX) during the neonatal period (47). Ocular challenge with capsaicin (eye wipe test) confirmed systemic TRPV1+ sensory neuron ablation. In contrast to mice with global deletion of Trpv1, the RTX-treated mice showed a slight survival benefit, whereas intestinal tumor counts and HgB levels were comparable to those of ApcMin/+ mice (Supplemental Figure 8, C and D). Thus, these results indirectly substantiate the tumor-suppressive role of epithelial TRPV1 in the intestines.

Finally, administration of the dietary TRPV1 agonist capsaicin, mixed at 0.01% (w/w) with chow, significantly increased the survival benefit of TRPV1–/– mice.
activation (42, 43). This proposed PLCγ-PIP2-TRPV1 signaling axis was initially inferred from the observation that activation of TrkA sensitizes TRPV1 to physical or chemical stimuli (53). More recently, others showed that activation of another receptor tyrosine kinase, c-Met, evoked TRPV1 channel activity in hepatocytes (54). Our present findings are consistent with a model in which receptor tyrosine kinases functionally interact with TRPV1. Moreover, our data suggest that the physiological role of TRPV1 in the intestinal epithelium is to restrain EGFR signaling. This negative feedback downstream of TRPV1 is dependent on the intracellular actions of Ca2+/calpain and a phosphatase (PTP1B), regulates the proliferation rate of IECs, and suppresses intestinal tumorigenesis. The proposed molecular pathway and its in vivo relevance are summarized in Figure 8.

Incidentally, we also found the TRPV5 Ca2+ channel to be capable of suppressing ligand-induced EGFR activation (Supplemental Figure 4E). TRPV5 is potentiated by PIP2, in contrast to TRPV1 (55), suggestive of a distinct mechanistic link between TRPV5 and EGFR that remains to be elucidated (Supplemental Figure 9). The highly selective TRPV5 Ca2+ channel has been described to be involved in transepithelial absorption of Ca2+ in the intestines, osteoclastic Ca2+ resorption of bone tissue, as well as active Ca2+ reabsorption in the kidneys (56). Thus, TRPV5 also exerts many extraintestinal effects in the regulation of Ca2+ homeostasis. A functional relationship with the EGFR pathway, such as that previously described for the Mg2+ channel TRPM6 in distal convoluted tubule cells of the kidney (56, 57), has not been reported for

vival of ApcMin/+ mice, an effect that was TRPV1 dependent (median survival, ApcMin/+ fed regular diet, 23.2 weeks; ApcMin/+ fed capsaicin diet, 31.5 weeks; ApcMin/+ Trpv1−/− fed regular diet, 20 weeks; ApcMin/+ Trpv1−/− fed capsaicin diet, 19.5 weeks; Figure 7D). This survival benefit was comparable to that achieved with the COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib (Figure 7H), an effective drug for the chemoprevention of CRC (48). In this setting, one of the proposed mechanisms of COX-2 inhibitors is suppression of EGFR transactivation via the COX product prostaglandin E2 (49). Importantly, dietary treatment of ApcMin/+ mice with both TRPV1 agonist and COX-2 inhibitor further prolonged survival, lowered intestinal tumor burden, and reduced tumor-related morbidity (Figure 7, H–J). Median survival of ApcMin/+ mice treated with regular diet, celecoxib diet, and celecoxib plus capsaicin diet was 22, 29, and 45.2 weeks, respectively. Taken together, these data suggest that TRPV1 activation restrains EGFR signaling in the intestinal crypt and thereby minimizes the risk of neoplasia development.

Discussion

Here we propose that TRPV1 plays an indispensable role in the intestinal epithelium as an intrinsic regulator of growth factor receptor signaling, cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis. In this context, epithelial TRPV1 may be activated independently from its classical agonists: heat, capsaicin, lipid mediators, oxidative agents, and low pH (50–52). Our results suggest a different mode of activation of TRPV1, by PLCγ-mediated depletion of PIP2, a tonic negative regulator of TRPV1, after receptor tyrosine kinase activation (42, 43). This proposed PLCγ-PIP2-TRPV1 signaling axis was initially inferred from the observation that activation of TrkA sensitizes TRPV1 to physical or chemical stimuli (53). More recently, others showed that activation of another receptor tyrosine kinase, c-Met, evoked TRPV1 channel activity in hepatocytes (54). Our present findings are consistent with a model in which receptor tyrosine kinases functionally interact with TRPV1. Moreover, our data suggest that the physiological role of TRPV1 in the intestinal epithelium is to restrain EGFR signaling. This negative feedback downstream of TRPV1 is dependent on the intracellular actions of Ca2+/calpain and a phosphatase (PTP1B), regulates the proliferation rate of IECs, and suppresses intestinal tumorigenesis. The proposed molecular pathway and its in vivo relevance are summarized in Figure 8.
TRPV5. Needless to say, the association between TRPV5 and the EGFR needs to be further addressed. Furthermore, given the high expression levels of TRPV5 in IECs, the potential physiological role of TRPV5 with regard to EGFR signaling should be directly compared with that of TRPV1 to evaluate whether mechanistic differences exist between these TRP channels.

The dynamic events within the intestinal crypt include proliferative signals from the crypt base (i.e., Wnt and Notch) that are counteracted by negative regulatory signals at the crypt-villus junction (8). EGFR signaling represents a third pathway that promotes cellular growth and the development of colorectal neoplasia (58). To prevent sporadic tumorigenesis, EGFR activation is coupled to the prompt initiation of cell-intrinsic inhibitory mechanisms, including dephosphorylation by PTP, receptor endocytosis, and the transcriptional upregulation of a variety of inhibitors (59). An example of the latter is the pan-ErbB inhibitor Lrig1, which promotes EGFR degradation (60). Importantly, Lrig1 was recently shown to be a physiological regulator of EGFR signaling in the intestinal crypt (32). Genetic deletion of Lrig1 not only leads to crypt expansion, but also unleashes the oncogenic potential of TRPV1. Needless to say, the association between TRPV5 and the EGFR needs to be further addressed. Furthermore, given the high expression levels of TRPV5 in IECs, the potential physiological role of TRPV5 with regard to EGFR signaling should be directly compared with that of TRPV1 to evaluate whether mechanistic differences exist between these TRP channels.

The dynamic events within the intestinal crypt include proliferative signals from the crypt base (i.e., Wnt and Notch) that are counteracted by negative regulatory signals at the crypt-villus junction (8). EGFR signaling represents a third pathway that promotes cellular growth and the development of colorectal neoplasia (58). To prevent sporadic tumorigenesis, EGFR activation is coupled to the prompt initiation of cell-intrinsic inhibitory mechanisms, including dephosphorylation by PTP, receptor endocytosis, and the transcriptional upregulation of a variety of inhibitors (59). An example of the latter is the pan-ErbB inhibitor Lrig1, which promotes EGFR degradation (60). Importantly, Lrig1 was recently shown to be a physiological regulator of EGFR signaling in the intestinal crypt (32). Genetic deletion of Lrig1 not only leads to crypt expansion, but also unleashes the oncogenic potential of
IECs (61), analogous to the increased rate of adenoma formation we observed in Trpv1−/− mice on the ApcMm/+ background (Figure 7, A and B). We propose that both TRPV1 and Lrig1 are part of intrinsic feedback loops that restrain the proliferative effects of EGFR in the intestinal epithelium, albeit with different operational modes. Whereas TRPV1 uses a PTP to downregulate EGFR activity (Figure 5, B–E), Lrig1 regulates EGFR degradation (60). Furthermore, Lrig1 expression is restricted to the intestinal stem cell population (32), whereas our data suggested a more diffuse expression pattern of TRPV1 in intestinal crypts (Figure 1, D and I). Thus, these 2 regulatory systems appear to act in a nonredundant fashion in the intestinal epithelium to suppress neoplasia development.

Our dissection of the intracellular components that negatively regulate EGFR activation downstream of TRPV1 outlined a requirement for the Ca2+/calpain signaling axis and identified PTP1B as a key target of this pathway. PTP1B is a prototypical, nonreceptor PTP that directly interacts with the EGFR and dephosphorylates Tyr residues upon receptor activation (62, 63). PTP1B is a prototypical PTP that directly interacts with the EGFR and dephosphorylates Tyr residues upon receptor activation (62, 63). TRPV1 signaling regulates the EGFR pathway and its associated transcriptional regulation of EGFR signaling in the context of skin carcinogenesis (74). Our data differ from these findings in that we did not find any evidence for TRPV1-mediated EGFR degradation in IECs. We also identified an inverse correlation between EGFR Tyr1068 phosphorylation and TRPV1 expression. Thus, TRPV1 appears to mediate disparate effects, even in analogous epithelial tissues. This is also demonstrated by the recent finding that triggering of one of its close family members, TRPV3, actually promotes EGFR signaling in epithelial cells of the skin. This effect involves enhanced Ca2+-dependent production and release of EGFR ligands (21). Although its expression is very low in IECs, TRPV3 also promotes EGFR signaling in our experimental system (Supplemental Figure 4C). However, the physiological mechanism of TRPV1 or TRPV3 channel gating in keratinocytes remains unclear. Finally, a protective role for TRPV1-mediated neurogenic inflammation was recently proposed in the etiology of colorectal tumorigenesis (46). Our data suggest that systemic (neonatal) ablation of TRPV1 sensory neurons did not phenocopy the increased tumorigenesis observed in ApcMm/+ Trpv1−/− mice (Figure 7, A–D, and Supplemental Figure 8, C and D). These data led us to propose a model whereby epithelial TRPV1 signaling regulates the EGFR pathway and its associated transcriptional regulation of EGFR signaling in the context of skin carcinogenesis (74). Our data differ from these findings in that we did not find any evidence for TRPV1-mediated EGFR degradation in IECs. We also identified an inverse correlation between EGFR Tyr1068 phosphorylation and TRPV1 expression. Thus, TRPV1 appears to mediate disparate effects, even in analogous epithelial tissues. This is also demonstrated by the recent finding that triggering of one of its close family members, TRPV3, actually promotes EGFR signaling in epithelial cells of the skin. This effect involves enhanced Ca2+-dependent production and release of EGFR ligands (21). Although its expression is very low in IECs, TRPV3 also promotes EGFR signaling in our experimental system (Supplemental Figure 4C).

The role of TRPV1 as a tumor suppressor in the intestines is remarkable, as most TRP channels have been assigned oncogenic properties (including TRPC, TRPV, and TRPM family members; ref. 72), although the associated mechanistic aspects are largely unknown. A recent report by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Network identified abundant somatic mutations in genes encoding calpains (CAPN1–CAPN13), PTP1B (PTPN1), TRPV1, and other TRP channels, demonstrating their importance in the pathogenesis of CRC (73). TRPV1 has been previously associated with hematopoietic or intestinal neoplasia (58), further studies on the role of PTP1B in intestinal tumorigenesis are warranted. Clinical use of PTP1B inhibitors was proposed for the prevention and/or treatment of insulin and leptin resistance (70), as well as breast cancer (71). However, this strategy must be approached with caution, as it could potentially promote the development of hematopoietic or intestinal neoplasia.

Figure 8. Proposed model for EGFR-TRPV1 crosstalk in IECs. TRPV1 and PTP1B are part of a homeostatic signaling circuit that restrains EGFR-induced epithelial cell proliferation. EGFR kinase activity mediates proproliferative and thus protumorigenic effects in IECs (dashed red arrows). TRPV1 and PTP1B are predominantly expressed in the crypt compartment that contains TA cells (dark blue cells) with active EGFR signaling. Ligand-induced autophosphorylation of the EGFR results in PLC activation, which cleaves PIP2, a tonic inhibitor of TRPV1, into diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol triphosphate (IP3; green arrows). This results in TRPV1 triggering and Ca2+ influx, which activates calpain and subsequently PTP1B. PTP1B then dephosphorylates EGFR (blue lines). This coupling between EGFR and TRPV1 exerts negative feedback on growth factor receptor signaling, inhibits crypt progenitor cell (dark blue cells) turnover, and hence reduces the risk of intestinal neoplasia development (red cells). +4 ISC, ISC at +4 position; CBCC, crypt-based columnar stem cell.
In conclusion, our data suggest that TRPV1 senses and regulates cell proliferation in the intestinal epithelium. Mechanistically, we identified a novel pathway downstream of TRPV1 through Ca++/calpain and PTP1B that regulates cell growth signaling and affects IEC homeostasis and tumorigenesis. Our data also suggest that TRPV1 triggering by dietary administration of capsaicin suppressed intestinal tumorigenesis. Based on these results, we propose that the administration of TRPV1 agonists in combination with a COX-2 inhibitor may prevent the adenoma-to-carcinoma sequence in humans.

Methods

Reagents. Recombinant mEFG for in vitro and in vivo stimulations was purchased from PeproTech. DMSO, DTT, ATP, capsicin (≥95% purity, from Capsicum sp.), and Na3VO4 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Gefitinib, resiniferatoxin, and celecoxib were obtained from LC Labs. EDTA and G418 (Geneticin) were obtained from Invitrogen. Puromycin was obtained from InvivoGen. PIP, was purchased from Echelon (catalog no. P-4508). BCTC, W-7, and FK506 were obtained from Tocris. ALLM and the selective PTP1B inhibitor Compound 3 (3-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxy-benzoyl)-2-ethyl-benzofuran-6-sulfonic-acid-(4-(thiazol-2-ylsulfamyl)-phenyl)-amide; ref. 75) were obtained from Tocris. ALLM and the selective PTP1B inhibitor Compound 3 (3-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxy-benzoyl)-2-ethyl-benzofuran-6-sulfonic-acid-(4-(thiazol-2-ylsulfamyl)-phenyl)-amide; ref. 75) were obtained from Tocris. ALLM and the selective PTP1B inhibitor Compound 3 (3-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxy-benzoyl)-2-ethyl-benzofuran-6-sulfonic-acid-(4-(thiazol-2-ylsulfamyl)-phenyl)-amide; ref. 75) were obtained from Tocris. ALLM and the selective PTP1B inhibitor Compound 3 (3-(3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxy-benzoyl)-2-ethyl-benzofuran-6-sulfonic-acid-(4-(thiazol-2-ylsulfamyl)-phenyl)-amide; ref. 75) were obtained from Tocris.

Cell culture, in vitro assays, and transfections. HCT116, HEK293, IEC-6, and CMT-93 cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Mediatech); HCA-7 cells were cultured in low-glucose DMEM. Culturing schedules. For dietary studies, C57BL/6J mice were fed standard chow or chow plus 0.01% (w/w) capsaicin with or without 0.01% (w/w) capsaicin ad libitum. Chow was prepared with or without 0.01% (w/w) capsaicin (1–3 μg DNA per 104 cells) with Nucleofer (Amaza), as previously described (76). Cells were serum-starved 2–4 hours before EGF stimulation (1–10 ng/ml, as indicated). In some conditions, cells were pretreated for 1 hour with W-7 (10 μM), FK506 (1–10 μM), Na3VO4 (10 μM), Compound 3 (10 μM), ALLM (10 μM), or DMSO. MTT assay (Sigma-Aldrich) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Knockdown in HCT116 and HEK293 cells was performed with Nucleofector. TRPV1 shRNA consisted of a pool of 3 independent, target-specific shRNAs: sc-36826-SHA (GATCCGAAGCCTGCTT-GCTGAAATTCAGAGGTATTACAGACAGTTCTTTTTT) and corresponding siRNA sequences (sc-36826A) (sense, GAACAGGC-UGUGCAUGAAAT; antisense, UUUCAGCAGACGCUUUCU). SC-36826-SHB (GATCCGCATCTTACTCAGACTTTTCAAGGAGTTGAG-TagAAGTAGGCTTTTTT) and corresponding siRNA sequences (sc-36826C) (sense, CGGCAGCAUCUUCCACUU; antisense, AUUGCAGAAGAGAGCUG). TRPV1 siRNA consisted of a pool of 3 different siRNA duplexes: sc-36826A, sc-36826B, and sc-36826C. PTP1B siRNA consisted of a pool of 3 different siRNA duplexes: sc-36828A (sense, UCCCGUGUCCUCAAGAAT; antisense, UUCUGUUAUCAUGGCAAT), sc-36828B (sense, GCAUGCUGAUAUCAATT; antisense, UGUAUGAUGUGCCAUGCTT), and sc-36828C (sense, CUUCGCAGAUCCAAAGAC; antisense, UAGUUGAUGUGAGGAGGTT). TRPV1 siRNA consisted of a pool of 4 different siRNA duplexes: sc-29452A (sense, CAAACCAUGGCGGACAUUIU; antisense, AAAGUUGGGAUAGGUUGU), sc-29452B (sense, CAGAAAGAUGCCCUAGAAT; antisense, UGUAUGAGAGUCCUGCTT), and sc-29452C (sense, CAGAGCGAGGCACAGCAGG; antisense, AUUGUGGCAUGAGGUGU). siRNA sequences were purchased from Santa Cruz. Nontargeting control shRNA lentiviral particles (copGFP Control Lentiviral Particles; Santa Cruz) or control siRNA (nontargeting siRNA #2; Dharmacon) were used as controls. Knockdown with shRNA was followed by puromycin selection and functional validation.

Mice and in vivo intervention studies. To conditionally overexpress TRPV1 in IECs in mice, the ROSA-stop-flox-TRPV1-IRES-ECFP strain (31) was crossed to Villin-Cre mice. ApoE−/− TRPV1−/− mice were generated by crossing ApoE−/− mice with Trpv1−/− mice (26). Follow-up and health monitoring of ApoE−/− mice was performed as previously published (7). All mouse strains were on the C57BL/6J background and obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Gefitinib, reconstituted in DMSO, was delivered by gavage in 0.05% (hydroxypropyl)methylcellulose and 0.02% Tween 80 vehicle at 50 mg/kg according to the indicated dosing schedules. For dietary studies, C57BL/6J mice were fed standard laboratory chow or chow mixed with 0.01% (w/w) capsicin ad libitum (77) for the indicated time periods. ApoE−/− and ApoE−/− TRPV1−/− mice received normal chow (control) or chow plus 0.01% (w/w) capsicin ad libitum starting at 8 weeks of age and were followed for survival studies or until they reached 20 weeks of age. Other cohorts of ApoE−/− mice received low-dose celecoxib (300 ppm) mixed with chow (78), with or without 0.01% (w/w) capsicin ad libitum. Chow was prepared...
fresly every week. For neonatal TRPV1– sensory neuron ablation, Apchn1– mice were treated with resiniferatoxin (50 μg/kg s.c.) on days 1, 2, and 7 after birth. Validation of systemic TRPV1– neuronal ablation was performed with the eye wipe test by ocular challenge with 0.01% (w/v) capsaicin in saline (47).

**Intestinal organoid culture and immunofluorescent staining.** Mouse intestinal organoids were generated from small intestines, following published protocols (23). Organoids were maintained in ENR (EGF, Noggin, RspoI, mEGF (Invitrogen), 100 ng/ml mNoggin (PeproTech), and 10% (v/v) Rspol conditioned medium. Rspol conditioned medium was generated using the 293T-HA-Rspol-Fc cell line (provided by C. Kuo, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA). Matrigel (GFR) was obtained from BD Biosciences. WT and TRPV1– organoids at similar developmental stages in parallel cultures were compared in the analyses. For EGF starvation experiments, EGF was removed from the culture medium 2 days after passing, for a period of 48 hours before analysis. For immunofluorescent stainings, organoids were grown in Matrigel and stained in 8-well chamber slides (Nunc). Immunofluorescent stainings were performed using anti-TRPV1 (Alomone Labs), anti-MMIP7 (Cell Signaling), anti-Ki67 (Abcam), or anti-PTP1B (Epicentaur) primary Abs. Briefly, fixed organoids in Matrigel were stained with primary Abs (1:100 dilution) and detected with Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated anti-Rb secondary antibody (1:500 dilution). After counterstaining with Alexa Fluor 546–conjugated phallolidin and DAPI, organoids were analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Staining with Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated wheat germ agglutinin and Alexa Fluor 546–conjugated phallolidin were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For Q-PCR analysis, organoids were extracted from Matrigel using BD Cell Recovery Solution following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Ca2+ imaging.** Ca2+ imaging was performed as previously described (28, 29). Briefly, for imaging with cell lines (CHO, HEK293, or HCT116), cells were grown on coverslips and loaded with 5 μM Fura-2 AM (Invitrogen) dissolved in 0.01% Pluronic F-127 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 2 mM GlutaMAX, 10 mM HEPES and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and B27 and N2 supplements (Invitrogen) with 50 ng/ml mEGF (Invitrogen), 100 ng/ml mNoggin (PeproTech), and 10% (v/v) Rspol conditioned medium. Fura-2 AM (5 μM, Invitrogen) and calcimycin (1 μM, Invitrogen) were loaded into organoids before imaging. On the day of analysis, organoids were recovered from Matrigel, stained with 5 μM Fura-2 AM (1 hour), washed, attached on glass coverslips, and stimulated with or without EGF (50 ng/ml) for 15 minutes (37°C). Immediately after EGF stimulation, IEC isolation was performed (all steps on ice), and crypts were lysed in total cell lysis buffer for Western blot analysis.

**Flow cytometry.** TRPV1 antibodies were preincubated with 10-fold excess of blocking peptide or PBS. Cells were then stained with anti-TRPV1 (diluted 1:100) or goat IgG for 1 hour at 4°C. Primary goat anti-TRPV1 Abs were detected with rabbit anti-goat secondary Abs (Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate). Cells were run on a BD FACS Calibur flow cytometer or Accuri C6 (BD Biosciences).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemistry was performed as described previously (7), with minor modifications. Briefly, 4- to 6-μm paraffin sections from murine ileums or colons were incubated with anti-Ki67, anti-MMIP7, anti-phospho-EGFR, or anti-PTP1B antibodies overnight. Abs were detected with biotinylated secondary Abs, HRP-streptavidin conjugates (Jackson), and visualized with DAB (Vector Laboratories). Counterstaining was performed with Hematoxylin 560 (Sigma). The Alcian Blue–P.A.S. Stain kit was obtained from American Diagnostica and its protocol was followed as described. Immunofluorescent stainings were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The NanoZoomer 2.0-HT (Hamamatsu) slide scanner and NanoZoomer Digital Pathology software was used for histological analyses. Image analysis was performed with ImageJ (version 1.47) with the colour Deconvolution or ImmunRatio plugin (version 1.0c).

**Q-PCR and gel electrophoresis.** RNA isolation was performed with the RNeasy Mini Kit or Micro Kit (Qiagen), and cDNA synthesis was performed with the qScript cDNA superMix kit (Quanta Biosciences) or the RT– First Strand Kit (Qiagen). Q-PCR was performed on the AB7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using PerfeCta SYBR Green FastMix (Quanta Biosciences) or a Roche Lightcycler 480 using SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (SaBiosciences/Qiagen). The custom-designed oligonucleotide sequences (IDT Technologies) used for Q-PCR are summarized in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2. PCR products were run on 2% agarose gels and visualized with SYBR Safe DNA (Invitrogen).

**Statistics.** Data are presented as mean ± SD or mean ± SEM, as indicated. Unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test was used for statistical analyses to compare 2 data sets with normal distribution, with the exception of Figure 4, C and F (1-tailed Student’s t test); Mann-Whitney U test was used for nonparametric data; ANOVA was used to compare multiple data sets; and log-rank analysis was applied for survival curves (Graph-Pad Prism 5.0). A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Study approval.** All experimental procedures involving mice were reviewed and approved by the UCSD Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC; animal protocol no. S02240).
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