mTORC2 regulates renal tubule sodium uptake by promoting ENaC activity
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Introduction

Sodium is the major cation in the extracellular fluid, and regulation of its reabsorption and excretion by the kidney plays a primary role in the homeostatic maintenance of fluid volume, body ion composition, and blood pressure (1). Although the majority of Na+ reabsorption occurs in the proximal tubule, the distal nephron, particularly the aldosterone-sensitive distal nephron (ASDN), is responsible for fine-tuning of tubule fluid and for determining the net amount of Na+ and K+ excreted in the urine in response to changes in dietary intake (2). In cells of the ASDN, the rate-limiting and principal regulated step in Na+ reabsorption is mediated by the apical membrane epithelial Na+ channel (ENaC), which is controlled by several hormonal and nonhormonal regulators. The combined effect of these regulators is to activate signaling pathways that lead to increased ENaC abundance and activity (3). Importantly, inappropriate activation of ENaC is a primary mechanism in hypertension due to single gene defects (such as Liddle’s syndrome) and has been implicated in essential (idiopathic) hypertension (4). In addition, factors influencing the production and action of aldosterone, the principal hormone involved in regulation of Na+ and K+ balance in the ASDN, have been implicated in more than 10% of hypertension (5, 6). Hypertension is the most prevalent cardiovascular disorder and is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in the United States and worldwide (7). Multiple signals are integrated in the control of Na+ balance, and a greater understanding of the
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mTOR exists in 2 evolutionarily conserved complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2, and controls a diverse array of physiological processes, including protein translation, proliferation, migration, and metabolism. The downstream effectors targeted by mTOR and their subsequent physiological effects are determined by the protein complex within which mTOR resides. mTORC1 consists of mLST8, DEPTOR, PRAS40, and RAPTOR and is the complex responsible for mediating hormone- and growth factor–induced modulation of cell growth and proliferation. mTORC2 also contains mLST8 and DEPTOR, but is defined by the presence of RICTOR, mSIN1, and PROTOR. The presence of RAPTOR in mTORC1 confers sensitivity of this complex to the macrolide rapamycin. Conversely, mTORC2 is relatively insensitive to rapamycin due to the absence of RAPTOR (17). Only recently, with the generation of specific catalytic-site inhibitors of mTOR (termed TORKinibs), has it been possible to distinguish between mTORC1 and mTORC2 (18). Using the TORKinib PP242 in addition to genetic depletion of Rictor or Raptor, we previously demonstrated in cultured cells that mTORC2 is the hydrophobic motif kinase for SGK1 and that its activity is required for ENaC-dependent Na+ reabsorption (15). PP242, unlike rapamycin, equally inhibits the kinase regardless of whether it is in mTORC2 or mTORC1. Together, the inhibitor and knockdown data strongly support the idea that in addition to cytoskeletal rearrangement and metabolism, mTORC2 also plays a key role in regulation of ion transport in cultured cells. However, a role for mTOR in regulation of Na+ balance in vivo has not been addressed, nor has the role for SGK1 in mediating potential effects of mTOR. In vivo characterization of this signaling pathway is particularly important in this context because of the potential for compensation and counterregulation of one nephron segment for another, which is not seen in cell culture experiments.

The aim of our present study was to determine whether whole-animal Na+ balance is regulated by mTORC2, and if so, whether it exerts its effect by regulating ENaC in the ASDN. Capitalizing on the development of potent and highly selective chemical inhibitors, we used a pharmacological approach to acutely inactivate total mTOR catalytic activity in vivo in both WT and Sgk1−/− mice. We also examined mTOR-dependent activation of ENaC directly in intact tubules using in vitro microperfusion and patch clamp approaches. Our results suggested that mTORC2 is required for normal Na+ reabsorption, acting primarily through regulation of ENaC.

**Results**

*mTOR regulates Na+ reabsorption in vivo.* The recent development of TORKinibs, specific catalytic site inhibitors of mTOR, has allowed for characterization of distinct physiological functions for mTORC1 and mTORC2 (18). Previously, we used the TORKinib PP242 to demonstrate in the mouse cortical collecting duct (CCD) cell line mpkCCD that mTORC2-mediated SGK1 phosphorylation is required for generating ENaC-dependent Na+ current (15). To determine whether mTOR activity is required in vivo for renal Na+ retention, we treated WT mice with 30 mg/kg PP242 i.p. and collected their urine over the ensuing 6 hours (Figure 1, A–E). mTOR inhibition led to a significant increase in net Na+ excretion and a trend toward increased urine output compared with vehicle-treated mice (Figure 1, A and B, and Table 1); the latter did not reach statistical significance, possibly due to the short half-life of PP242.
with SDS-PAGE (Figure 2A) represent mono- and hyperphos-
phorylated forms of SGK1 (21, 22). Kidney cytosolic extract was
also prepared from an Sgk1–/– mouse for use as a control for detec-
tion of phosphorylated SGK1. Phosphorylation of the mTOR sub-
strates AKT, SGK1, and RPS6 was significantly inhibited at 1 hour
after injection of PP242 compared with vehicle control (Figure 2,
A and B). Consistent with its primary effect on mTORC1 inhibi-
(19). Furthermore, Na+ concentration, but not K+ or Cl− con-
centration, was significantly increased in urine of PP242-treated mice,
which in turn led to a significant increase in the urinary Na+/K+
concentration ratio (Figure 1, B–E), suggestive of an ENaC-
dependent process. Rapamycin treatment, which inhibits only
mTORC1, had no diuretic effect, and the urine concentration as
well as the net excretion of Na+ and K+ were similar in vehicle- and
rapamycin-treated mice (Figure 1, F–J, and Supplemental Table 1).
These results suggest that mTOR-dependent Na+ reabsorption is
mediated by mTORC2 in vivo, as it is in cultured cells.

To confirm the efficacy of PP242 and rapamycin on in vivo
inhibition of mTOR activity, we collected kidneys 1 hour after i.p.
injection of vehicle control, PP242, or rapamycin. Kidney lysates
were then processed for immunoblot analysis. Phosphospecific
antibodies were used to detect phosphorylation of the mTOR
substrates AKT and 6 ribosomal protein (RPS6). RPS6 is phos-
phorylated by p70S6K, a direct substrate of mTORC1. Because of
the poor quality of the phosphospecific SGK1 hydrophobic motif
antibody for detection of the endogenous phosphoprotein (15, 20),
in order to measure SGK1 phosphorylation, we assessed the dis-
appearance of slower-migrating forms of SGK1 using an antibody
against total SGK1. The slower-migrating forms of SGK1 observed

Table 1. Urinary electrolytes in WT mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 6)</th>
<th>PP242 (n = 6)</th>
<th>Vehicle + amiloride (n = 6)</th>
<th>PP242 + amiloride (n = 6)</th>
<th>Vehicle + HCTZ (n = 9)</th>
<th>PP242 + HCTZ (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (g)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>23 ± 0.3</td>
<td>22.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>20.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>22.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>22.0 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine volume (μl/6 h)</td>
<td>196 ± 36.7</td>
<td>255.7 ± 39.5</td>
<td>328.7 ± 53.8</td>
<td>287.5 ± 65.0</td>
<td>223.7 ± 20.8</td>
<td>401.4 ± 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (mM)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 7.1</td>
<td>64.5 ±10.5</td>
<td>38.8 ± 29.2</td>
<td>19.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.3 ± 12.4</td>
<td>137.8 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mM)</td>
<td>294.6 ± 42.5</td>
<td>235 ± 25.1</td>
<td>180.0 ± 9.8</td>
<td>170.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>268 ± 24.5</td>
<td>193.1 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (mM)</td>
<td>1472 ± 25.3</td>
<td>157 ± 21.7</td>
<td>172.7 ± 26.1</td>
<td>180.5 ± 31.3</td>
<td>278.1 ± 11.5</td>
<td>206.9 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Na+ excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>5.26 ± 2.4</td>
<td>15.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>6.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>17.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>15.1 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net K+ excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>5.34 ± 8.6</td>
<td>57.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>8.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>17.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>191.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>177.9 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cl− excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>28.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>18.0 ± 5.9</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>16.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>8.2 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary Na+/K+ concentration ratio</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>56.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>48.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>36.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>30.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>46.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>29.0 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>50.0 ± 12.3</td>
<td>35.4 ± 36.4</td>
<td>15.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>14.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>19.3 ± 5.5</td>
<td>895.3 ± 219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Male WT mice (8–12 weeks old) were treated with vehicle or PP242, with or without amiloride or HCTZ (see Methods). After injection, mice were placed in balance cages for 6 hours under conditions of free access to food and water. Urine was collected, and urinary electrolytes, glucose, and creatinine were measured. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by unpaired Student’s t test. *P < 0.05 vs. respective vehicle control.
tion, rapamycin treatment led to significant inhibition of RPS6 phosphorylation without blocking AKT or SGK1 phosphorylation. In addition, phosphorylation of the SGK1 and AKT target N-MYC-downregulated gene 1 (NDRG1) (23) was inhibited by PP242, but not by rapamycin (Figure 2A).

To control for possible off-target effects of PP242, we treated WT mice with a newly available, structurally distinct ATP-competitive small-molecule mTOR inhibitor, AZD8055 (24). Like PP242, AZD8055 is highly selective for mTOR, only inhibiting other PI3K family members (class I and III PI3Ks) when used at >1,000-fold higher doses than those required to inhibit mTOR (19, 24). AZD8055 also has a longer half-life than PP242, which >1,000-fold higher doses than those required to inhibit mTOR and further suggests that mTOR modulates Na+ balance predominantly through regulation of ENaC. It seems likely that the more striking diuretic and natriuretic effects of AZD8055 primarily reflect its longer half-life and, to a lesser extent, its greater potency.

**Inhibition of ENaC with amiloride blocks the natriuretic effect of PP242.** The “potassium-sparing” natriuresis induced by PP242 treatment suggests a specific effect of mTORC2 on ENaC. However, since mTOR is known to have pleiotropic effects, it is possible that mTOR controls Na+ reabsorption by modulating the activity or membrane abundance of additional ion transporters or exchangers. Accordingly, SGK1, a major target of mTORC2, has been shown in vitro to have effects on various transporters and exchangers, most notably the NaCl cotransporter (NCC) in the distal collecting tubule (DCT) (25). To begin to address whether mTORC2 acts predominantly through regulation of ENaC, we examined the effects of mTOR inhibition on Na+ reabsorption in mice treated with either amiloride to inhibit ENaC or with hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) to block NCC activity. In the presence of amiloride, the effect of mTOR inhibition was lost: urine output, urine Na+ concentration, and net Na+ excretion were not significantly different from vehicle-treated controls (Figure 3, C and D, and Supplemental Table 2). mTOR inhibition with AZD8055 also led to a significant increase in the urine Na+/K+ concentration ratio (Figure 3E). The finding that AZD8055 treatment caused urine electrolyte excretion similar to that of PP242 supports the specificity of these compounds to inhibit mTOR and further suggests that mTOR modulates Na+ balance predominantly through regulation of ENaC. It seems likely that the more striking diuretic and natriuretic effects of AZD8055 primarily reflect its longer half-life and, to a lesser extent, its greater potency.

*Figure 3. mTOR inhibition with the structurally distinct TORKinib AZD8055 blocks Na+ reabsorption.* WT mice were treated with AZD8055 (15 mg/kg i.p.), and urine was collected for 6 hours using balance cages as in Figure 1 (n = 6 mice per treatment group). Values for (A) urine volume, (B) net Na+ excretion, (C) net K+ excretion, (D) net Cl− excretion, and (E) urinary Na+/K+ concentration ratio represent fold change relative to the vehicle-treated group. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by unpaired Student’s t test. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle. (F) Western blot of cytosolic fraction lysates prepared from kidneys treated for 1 hour with vehicle or AZD8055 (15 mg/kg i.p.) (n = 3 per treatment). Cytosolic fraction (50−100 μg) was used to detect phosphorylation of SGK1, AKT, NDRG1, and RPS6. SGK1 phosphorylation was assessed as in Figure 1. Sgk1−/− kidney cytosolic fraction was used a control for identification of SGK1 phosphorylation. Each lane represents a separate mouse.
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to the bathing solution, which resulted in a significant decrease in the lumen negative PD to ~4.9 ± 1.3 mV. Removal of PP242 (wash-out) resulted in an increase in the lumen negative PD to ~7.0 ± 1.7 mV, which was not significantly different from baseline. Addition of amiloride to the lumen caused the potential to reach a value not different from 0 (Figure 5A), which confirmed that the PD generated was due to ENaC. Importantly, consistent with the in vivo results, inhibition of mTORC1 alone with rapamycin had no effect on the transepithelial PD (Figure 5B). These results provide direct support for the concept that mTOR inhibition disrupts ENaC-dependent transepithelial Na+ transport and suggest that this effect is specifically caused by inhibition of mTORC2.

mTORC2 is required for apical membrane ENaC-mediated Na+ current: direct measurement by patch clamp. To assess directly the effect of mTOR inhibition on apical membrane ENaC-mediated Na+ current, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp experiments on microdissected CCDs. After Sprague-Dawley rats were pretreated with low-Na+ diet to stimulate ENaC, tubules were isolated, split open, and preincubated for 30 minutes in solutions containing either 1 μM PP242 or diluent (see Methods). Consistent with our in vivo and microperfusion findings, PP242 inhibition of mTOR dramatically inhibited ENaC function, measured as amiloride-sensitive whole-cell Na+ current (Figure 6A). In contrast to its suppression of ENaC, PP242 had no effect on patch clamp recordings of K+ flux via K+ inwardly rectifying channels (ROMK channels), measured as tertiapin-Q-sensitive (TPNQ-sensitive) whole-cell current (Figure 6B).

αENaC abundance and cleavage are unchanged after PP242 inhibition of mTOR. A common mechanism for regulation of ion transport involves posttranslational modifications, including phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, and enzymatic cleavage, that together determine both the abundance and the activity of transporters at the plasma membrane. The E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4-2 has been shown in vitro to target both NCC and ENaC for protein degradation. Degradation of NCC or ENaC can be blocked by phosphorylation of NEDD4-2 at S322 and S328 by SGK1, which creates binding sites for 14-3-3 proteins and disrupts interaction with ENaC or NCC (25, 27). To begin to establish a mechanism for PP242-induced natriuresis, we first examined phosphorylation of NEDD4-2 in kidney cytosolic extracts 1 hour after i.p. injection of vehicle, PP242, or AZD8055. mTOR inhibition with PP242 significantly decreased NEDD4-2 phosphorylation at S328 (Figure 7A), which suggests that mTOR might be involved in regulating NEDD4-2 phosphorylation. AZD8055 treatment also tended to reduce NEDD4-2 phosphorylation; however, this decrease did not reach statistical significance (Figure 7B), likely
mTOR inhibition unveils complex compensatory mechanisms for ion homeostasis in Sgk1–/– mice. Previous in vitro work from our lab demonstrated that phosphorylation of SGK1 by mTORC2 is required for optimal Na+ flux through ENaC. Sgk1–/– mice have pseudohypoaldosteronism with high aldosterone levels, Na+ wasting on a low-Na+ diet (10, 11, 28), and hyperkalemia on a high-K+ diet (29). Compared with mice with functional mutations in ENaC subunits or mice with disruption of mineralocorticoid receptor expression, which exhibit lethality due to extreme Na+ wasting (30), the Sgk1–/– phenotype is very mild. This has led to speculation that kinases other than SGK1 can mediate ENaC activation in response to aldosterone, or that renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system–mediated (RAAS-mediated) hyperactivation of other ion transporters (such as NHE3 or NCC) provides sufficient compensatory Na+ reabsorption. In addition to SGK1, mTORC2 is the hydrophobic motif kinase for several other AGC family serine/threonine protein kinases, including AKT. In vitro data have suggested a role for AKT in regulation of ENaC-mediated Na+ reuptake (31, 32), although this concept is controversial. In order to determine whether SGK1 is the primary mTORC2 target involved in mediating the effect of mTORC2 on ENaC, we treated Sgk1–/– mice with vehicle or PP242 and measured urine volume and electrolytes. Similar to WT mice, PP242 treatment led to a significant increase in net Na+ excretion in Sgk1–/– mice; however, in marked contrast to the WT mice, PP242 induced a significant increase in net excretion of K+ and Cl in Sgk1–/– mice (Figure 9, A–D, and Table 2).

**Figure 5.** mTORC2 is the mTOR complex responsible for stimulation of Na+ uptake in the CCD and is required for ENaC-dependent transepithelial Na+ transport: in vitro microperfusion. Microdissected mouse kidney CCDs were perfused in vitro. After a baseline PD was established (control), PP242 (A; n = 7 individual experiments) or rapamycin (B; n = 5 individual experiments) was added to the bath solution, and PD was measured once a steady state was achieved. PD recovery was measured after washout of PP242 or rapamycin. Amiloride was added at the end of the experiment to measure the contribution of ENaC to the generation of the PD. Solid black line denotes average of individual experiments (dashed gray lines). Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by repeated-measures 1-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05 vs. control.

**Figure 6.** mTORC2 is required for ENaC-mediated Na+ current: direct measurement by patch clamp. The effect of mTOR on ENaC and ROMK activity was assessed by whole-cell patch clamp of microdissected rat kidney CCD. After isolation, tubules were preincubated for 30 minutes with or without 1 μM PP242. (A) Currents through ENaC (IENaC) were measured as the difference in current at Vm = −100 mV with and without 10−5 M amiloride. n = 17 (PP242) and 24 (control) cells from 4 animals. (B) Currents through ROMK (IROMK) were measured as the difference in current at Vm = −100 mV with and without 10−7 M TPNQ. n = 20 (PP242) and 23 (control) cells from 4 animals. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by repeated-measures 1-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.

due to variability of staining with the anti–phospho–NEDD4-2 antibody. Interestingly, both PP242 and AZD8055 induced a decrease in NEDD4-2 protein, which suggests that mTOR might also participate in stabilization of NEDD4-2.

Next, we examined whether mTOR inhibition decreases the abundance of ENaC or NCC protein. To allow time for mTOR inhibition to influence membrane accumulation of ENaC or NCC or cleavage of ENaC, we prepared total membrane fractions from kidneys collected 3 hours after injection of vehicle, PP242, or AZD8055. Surprisingly, there was no significant difference in membrane levels of full-length or cleaved αENaC among treatment groups (Figure 8, A and B). Similarly, there was no significant difference in membrane levels of NCC phosphorylation or total protein in mice treated with AZD8055; however, PP242 treatment led to a significant increase in NCC phosphorylation and abundance (Figure 8, A and C). The basis of this finding is unclear; however, it is notable that PP242 has a short half-life, which might contribute to variability of staining with the anti–phospho–NEDD4-2 antibody. Interestingly, both PP242 and AZD8055 induced a decrease in NEDD4-2 protein, which suggests that mTOR might also participate in stabilization of NEDD4-2.

Figure 6. mTORC2 is required for ENaC-mediated Na+ current: direct measurement by patch clamp. The effect of mTOR on ENaC and ROMK activity was assessed by whole-cell patch clamp of microdissected rat kidney CCD. After isolation, tubules were preincubated for 30 minutes with or without 1 μM PP242. (A) Currents through ENaC (IENaC) were measured as the difference in current at Vm = −100 mV with and without 10−5 M amiloride. n = 17 (PP242) and 24 (control) cells from 4 animals. (B) Currents through ROMK (IROMK) were measured as the difference in current at Vm = −100 mV with and without 10−7 M TPNQ. n = 20 (PP242) and 23 (control) cells from 4 animals. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by repeated-measures 1-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.
Furthermore, the PP242-mediated increase in the urinary Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\) concentration ratio (Figure 9E) was significantly lower in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) than WT mice (1.6-fold vs. 2.7-fold; \(P < 0.01\), unpaired Student’s t test). Thus, in contrast to WT mice, the urine electrolyte excretion pattern observed in PP242-treated Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice did not reflect selective inhibition of ENaC. Rather, it appeared that chronic deletion of Sgk1 activates compensatory Na\(^+\) reabsorption pathways that are independent of SGK1, but sensitive to mTOR inhibition.

In order to assess the relative contributions of ENaC and NCC to mTOR-dependent Na\(^+\) transport in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice, we treated Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice with a combination of PP242 and either HCTZ or amiloride (Figure 10 and Supplemental Table 3), as performed earlier with WT mice (Figure 4). Similar to WT mice, amiloride treatment prevented the PP242-induced natriuresis and completely blocked the PP242-induced kaliuresis and chloruresis in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 10, A–D). In marked contrast to WT mice, when ENaC activity was blocked by amiloride, Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice became lethargic as well as markedly hyperkalemic, with K\(^+\) values exceeding 10 mM (data not shown). In fact, the combination of PP242 and amiloride in some cases was lethal. Inhibition of NCC by HCTZ in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice was also similar to that in WT mice, as PP242 elicited a small but significant natriuresis above that induced by HCTZ treatment alone. Similar to ENaC inhibition, HCTZ also prevented PP242-induced K\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) secretion. Importantly, the combination of HCTZ and PP242 did not stimulate significant urine output or significant excretion of Cl\(^-\) (Figure 10, E–H), as was observed in WT mice. Thus, in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice, as in WT mice, mTOR inhibition stimulated natriuresis partly through regulation of ENaC activity. However, in Sgk1\(^{-/-}\) mice, we also observed mTOR-dependent compensatory upregulation of NCC activity, identifying mTOR as a novel regulator of NCC.

**Discussion**

The maintenance of ion homeostasis by the renal tubules requires an array of hormonal and non-hormonal signals to be integrated by intracellular signaling networks that control activity of ion transporters in multiple nephron segments. In the distal nephron, where fine tuning of Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) balance occurs, a large body of in vivo and in vitro evidence supports a central role for SGK1 as a signal integrator. SGK1 regulates the net activity of multiple transporters, most notably that of ENaC (33). Recent data from cell culture models supports the idea that mTOR, and specifically mTORC2, is a key upstream component of this signaling network, which controls SGK1 activity through phosphorylation of a serine near its C terminus within a short domain termed the hydrophobic motif (15, 20, 34). However, in vivo evidence for mTORC2-dependent control of Na\(^+\) transport is lacking. In vivo characterization of these signaling networks is particularly important because of the potential for compensation and interaction between nephron segments.

Notably, a recent study reported kidney-specific inactivation of mTORC1 and mTORC2, alone and in combination. Genetic inactivation of mTORC1, or mTORC1 and mTORC2 together, caused gross developmental tubule defects (35). Here, we used 2 chemically distinct mTOR catalytic site inhibitors, PP242 and AZD8055, to determine whether mTOR functions in vivo to acutely regulate tubule Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) handling, and if so, whether it involves regulation of SGK1 phosphorylation and subsequent ENaC activation in the distal nephron. The use of chemically unrelated inhibitors minimizes the chance that off-target effects are responsible for our findings and allows for assessment of acute effects on ion transport without the potential for confounding effects due to altered tubule development or adaptation to prolonged mTOR inactivation.

By treating mice with either PP242 or AZD8055, or with the mTORC1-specific inhibitor rapamycin, we demonstrated that mTORC2, but not mTORC1, participates in the regulation of Na\(^+\) reabsorption. Importantly, we found that mTOR inhibition with either TORKinib enhanced Na\(^+\) excretion, but not K\(^+\) excretion, consistent with a primary effect on ENaC (I). Moreover, the effect of mTOR inhibition was lost when ENaC activity was blocked by amiloride, but not when NCC activity was blocked by HCTZ. These data provide further evidence that in WT mice on a normal-salt diet, mTOR influences Na\(^+\) transport predominantly through ENaC, as opposed to NCC. Finally, when ENaC activity was directly assessed by microperfusion and patch clamp, we found that its activity was mTOR dependent. Together, these data strongly support the concept that mTORC2 — but not mTORC1 — acts in vivo as a key upstream regulator of ENaC. In light of the importance of rapamycin as a therapeutic agent, these observations have important clinical implications in addition to shedding new light on the mechanistic basis of ion transport regulation.

Despite prior in vitro evidence that SGK1 regulates various tubule transporters in addition to ENaC, notably NHE3, NCC, SGLT1, and ROMK (28, 33), our present data do not support a major role for these transporters in mediating the physiological effects of mTORC2 on Na\(^+\) reabsorption in WT mice. Combined natriuresis and kaliuresis would be expected if activation of NHE3, SGLT1, NKCC2, or NCC were impaired by mTOR inhibition, and this was...
not observed. Furthermore, blocking NCC activity with HCTZ did not prevent significant diuresis and natriuresis from occurring upon mTOR inhibition. Although the urine excretion data could be attributed in part to ROMK inhibition, our patch clamp data did not support this: PP242 inhibited ENaC-dependent Na+ reabsorption in part through inhibition of the E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4-2 (27, 39, 41), and possibly through effects on the phosphorylation state (9) and proteolytic processing (11, 28) of the channel itself. It is notable in this regard that NEDD4-2, although primarily associated with effects on Nc, has also been associated with effects on P, (42). In the present study, we found that mTOR inhibition blunted NEDD4-2 phosphorylation and protein abundance. Although PP242 and AZD8055 decreased NEDD4-2 phosphorylation and blocked ENaC-dependent Na+ reabsorption, neither TORKi- nib altered the expression of full-length or processed ENaC in plasma membrane fractions. There was, however, a trend for AZD8055 to inhibit NCC phosphorylation and overall expression. This effect did not reach statistical significance, and further studies are needed to determine whether mTOR has a direct role in regulating NEDD4-2 and NCC phosphorylation or protein stability. It is interesting to note that in Sgk1−/− mice, phosphorylation of NEDD4-2 and phosphorylation and total protein levels of NCC are markedly reduced (11, 28, 43). In contrast, little effect has been observed on ENaC overall abundance or apical membrane expression, despite marked reduction in amiloride-sensitive transepithelial PD (10). Our present data support the idea that in vivo, mTOR regulates ENaC activity primarily by influencing P, rather than by trafficking, subunit cleavage, or protein stability. However, this issue remains unresolved, with data supporting both possibilities (11, 39). Since the abundance of ENaC at the plasma membrane is likely to vary between tubule segments, we may have missed a difference in ENaC membrane abundance or cleavage in our whole-kidney membrane preparations.

Figure 8. mTOR inhibition does not diminish αENaC abundance or cleavage, nor NCC phosphorylation or abundance. WT mice were injected with vehicle, PP242, or AZD8055 (n = 3 mice per treatment). At 3 hours after i.p. injection, kidneys were excised and snap frozen until preparation of total membrane fractions (see Methods). (A) Total membrane fractions (100 μg) were used to assess full-length and cleaved αENaC by Western blot using an antibody that recognizes the N terminus of αENaC. Phosphorylation of NCC and total NCC were assessed using antibodies against phospho-NCC(T53), phospho-NCC(T58), and total NCC. Each lane represents a separate mouse. (B) Quantification of full-length and cleaved αENaC Western blots, either corrected by cadherin level in each lane or expressed as cleaved/full-length αENaC ratio; values represent fold change relative to the vehicle-treated group. (C) Quantification of phosphorylated and total NCC Western blots (performed using NIH image), corrected for the level of cadherin in each lane; values represent fold change relative to the vehicle-treated group. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by unpaired Student’s t test. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.
— even if inducible (with gene deletion taking days to weeks to achieve) — suffer from the confounding effects of adaptation (28). In contrast, mineralocorticoid receptor–KO mice die within 2 weeks of birth from Na⁺ wasting and hyperkalemia, and thus have a more severe phenotype (30). It has been postulated that marked elevation of aldosterone allows Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice to compensate for diminished ENaC activity by upregulation of other ion transporters or non-SGK1-dependent activation of ENaC. Thus, whether ENaC is selectively regulated by SGK1, or whether other signaling molecules can also regulate ENaC in a physiologically relevant manner, becomes a question of considerable importance. There have been conflicting reports based on cell culture and oocyte studies regarding the roles of several SGK1 relatives (31, 32, 44–46). Furthermore, there have been conflicting reports regarding the effects of SGK1 on transporters other than ENaC. In this regard, it is interesting that in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice, we uncovered an mTOR-dependent compensatory upregulation of NCC. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that the elevated RAAS activity in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice stimulates compensatory ENaC activity through SGK1-independent, mTOR-dependent mechanisms.

It is also interesting that the role of NCC in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice appeared to be different than that in WT mice: NCC blockade with thiazide in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice prevented the PP242-induced natriuresis and chloruresis, which suggests that Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice also exhibit mTOR-dependent compensatory upregulation of NCC. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice, NCC is upregulated above baseline, while ENaC activity is brought back toward baseline by the marked elevation in RAAS activity. Thus, mTOR appears to be implicated in the control of both NCC and ENaC, as its inhibition caused a mixed electrolyte excretion profile. Further studies will be needed to determine which signaling molecules, and which transporters, mediate mTOR-dependent effects under conditions of compensation to Sgk1 gene deletion and chronic ion transport perturbation by diuretics. However, taken together, our results support the concept that in WT animals, mTORC2-dependent Na⁺ reabsorption occurs predominantly through SGK1-dependent regulation of ENaC.

Our present study as well as other work found that Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice were particularly sensitive to ENaC inhibition: amiloride treatment induced severe hyperkalemia and death (C.E. Gleason, unpublished observations, and ref. 47). The sensitivity of Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice to ENaC inhibition suggests that an important component of the compensatory mechanism involves an SGK1-independent increase in ENaC activity. Interestingly, we found that amiloride also prevented the natriuresis induced by mTOR inhibition, supporting the idea that mTOR regulates ENaC activity even in the absence of SGK1. As mentioned above, Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice exhibited greatly elevated aldosterone levels, and it is well known that aldosterone influences ENaC function not only through stimulation of SGK1, but also through induction of αENaC transcription, and possibly other mediators (11). We hypothesize that the elevated RAAS activity in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice stimulates compensatory ENaC activity through SGK1-independent, mTOR-dependent mechanisms.

### Table 2. Urinary electrolytes in Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 9)</th>
<th>PP242 (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na (mM)</td>
<td>36.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>85.8 ± 18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mM)</td>
<td>174.7 ± 5.8</td>
<td>236.4 ± 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (mM)</td>
<td>100.7 ± 13.8</td>
<td>170.9 ± 24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine volume (μl/6 h)</td>
<td>292.0 ± 48.8</td>
<td>402.8 ± 84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (g)</td>
<td>27.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>29.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>61.9 ± 5.7</td>
<td>94.9 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>47.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>44.4 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Na⁺ excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>33.1 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net K⁺ excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>48.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>88.1 ± 14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cl⁻ excretion (mmol/6 h)</td>
<td>26.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>67.1 ± 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary Na⁺/K⁺ concentration ratio</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Male Sgk1⁻/⁻ mice (8–12 weeks old) were treated with vehicle or PP242 (see Methods). After injection, mice were placed in balance cages for 6 hours under conditions of free access to food and water. Urine was collected, and urinary electrolytes, glucose, and creatinine were measured. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by unpaired Student’s t test.

*P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.
Methods

Animals and genotype analysis. WT C57BL/6 mice used for PP242, AZD8055, and rapamycin in vivo studies were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. The derivation of the Sgk1+/− line has been reported previously (10). Sgk1−/− mice were bred inter se to obtain Sgk1−/− mice. Genotyping was performed by PCR analysis of genomic DNA isolated from tail snips of newborn mice (10).

Na+ and K+ balance studies. WT C57BL/6 or Sgk1−/− mice (8–12 weeks old) were kept in balance cages for an acclimatization period of 3 days with free access to food and water. In the afternoon of day 3 of acclimatization, for studies with amiloride, mice were given 20 mg/kg amiloride (Sigma-Aldrich) in their drinking water. The following morning, mice were injected i.p. with either 5 mg/kg amiloride in vehicle or 5 mg/kg amiloride plus 30 mg/kg PP242; the vehicle used was the same as described above. For HCTZ experiments, in the afternoon of day 3 of acclimatization, mice were injected i.p. with 25 mg/kg HCTZ (Sigma-Aldrich) in the same vehicle used for all animal studies. The next morning, mice were injected i.p. with either 25 mg/kg HCTZ in vehicle or 25 mg/kg HCTZ plus 30 mg/kg PP242. In both diuretic studies, urine was collected for 6 hours after i.p. injection, and samples were sent to Stanford University Department of Comparative Medicine Diagnostic Laboratory for analysis of urine parameters.

Preparation of kidney cytosolic and total membrane extracts. C57BL/6 mice were placed on a low-Na+ diet (0.0–0.02% Na; TD 90228, Harlan Laboratories) for 5 days. On day 5 of the low-Na+ diet, mice were injected i.p. with vehicle, 30 mg/kg PP242, 15 mg/kg AZD8055, or 1.5 mg/kg rapamycin. Kidneys were excised 1 or 3 hours after injection and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen kidneys were homogenized by crushing with a mortar and pestle on dry ice. The powdered kidney was collected into eppendorf tubes and lysed using buffers contained in the Plasma Membrane Fractionation kit (Biovision), and cytosolic and total membrane protein fractions were prepared according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 50–100 μg cytosolic fraction or total membrane fraction protein was run on SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose for immunoblotting. Antibodies against phospho- and total AKT (catalog nos. 4060S and 9272S, respectively), phospho- and total NDRG1 (catalog no. 5482S) were from Cell Signaling Technology. SGK1 phosphorylation was assessed by examination of the phosphorylation-induced delay in mobility shift of the total protein (21, 22). Other antibodies were as follows: anti-SGK1 (catalog no. S5188, Sigma-Aldrich); anti-β-tubulin (catalog no. C060, Calbiochem); anti-N-terminal eNAC (gift from J. Loffing, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland); anti-phospho-NCC(T53), anti-phospho-NCC(T58), and anti-NCC (gifts from R. Fenton, Aarhus University, Denmark, Sweden); anti-phospho-NEDD4-2 (catalog no. ab95399, Abcam); anti-NEDD4-2 (catalog no. ab46521, Abcam).

In vitro microperfusion of CCDs. WT 129/sv mice (8–10 weeks old) were raised in a 12-hour day/12-hour night cycle and fed a normal salt diet (Harlan Teklad) and tap water ad libitum for 3–6 days before experiments. Because the transepithelial PD in the mouse

Figure 10. In Sgk1−/− mice, mTOR regulates both ENaC and NCC. Sgk1−/− mice were (A–D) pretreated overnight with amiloride to block ENaC, then injected i.p. the next morning with amiloride plus vehicle (n = 7 mice) or PP242 (n = 8 mice), or (E–H) pretreated overnight with HCTZ to block NCC, then injected i.p. the next morning with HCTZ plus vehicle or PP242 (n = 7 mice per treatment group); subsequently, urine was collected for 6 hours using balance cages (see Methods). Values for (A and E) urine volume, (B and F) net Na+ excretion, (C and G) net K+ excretion, (D and H) and net Cl− excretion represent fold change relative to the vehicle-treated group. Data represent mean ± SEM; differences were determined by unpaired Student’s t test. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.
CCD is near 0, mice were administered deoxycorticosterone acetate (100 mg/kg body weight) for 5–7 days. Mice were then killed by CO₂ asphyxiation, and their kidneys were removed quickly, sliced in thin coronal sections, and placed in Hanks’ solution containing 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 0.33 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.44 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM tris (hydroxymethyl) amino methane hydrochloride, 0.25 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM glutamine, and 2 mM L-lactate at 4°C. CCD segments were then dissected freehand and transferred to a 1 ml temperature-controlled bathing chamber. Tubules were perfused in vitro as previously described (26). Briefly, isolated CCDs were perfused with an ultrafiltrate-like solution containing 115 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 2.3 mM Na₂HPO₄, 10 mM Na acetate, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 5 mM KCl, 8.3 mM glucose, and 5 mM alanine. The bathing solution had the same composition except that it contained 6 g/dl albumin. Transepithelial PD was measured with a Keithley 6517A programmable electrometer using the perfusion pipette as the bridge into the tubular lumen. The reference electrode was in the bathing solution. After a steady-state PD was measured, either 0.1 μM rapamycin or 1 μM PP242 was added to the bathing solution. Once a steady state was achieved, the drug was removed, and the PD was measured again. Amiloride (10⁻⁴ M; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the bathing solution at the end of the experiment to inhibit ENaC.

Patch clamp. Sprague-Dawley rats of either gender (150–200 g; Charles River Laboratories) raised free of viral infections were used for all experiments. Animals were fed a synthetic low-Na⁺ diet containing <0.005% NaCl (Harlan Teklad) for 1 week. Measurement of whole-cell Na⁺ currents in principal cells of the CCD followed previously described procedures (48, 49). Split-open tubules were superfused with solutions prewarmed to 37°C containing 135 mM Na methanesulfonate, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM Ca methanesulfonate, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM glucose, 5 mM Ba methanesulfonate, and 10 mM HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH. Patch-clamp pipettes were filled with solutions containing 7 mM KCl, 123 mM aspartic acid, 20 mM CsOH, 20 mM TEAOH, 5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 3 mM MgATP, and 0.3 mM NaGDPβS, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. The total concentration of K⁺ was ~120 mM. Net Na⁺ fluxes through Na⁺ channels were measured as the current with and without 10⁻⁵ M amiloride (Sigma-Aldrich) in the bath. For measurements of whole-cell K⁺ currents, Ba²⁺ was omitted from the superfusate. The pipette solutions contained 7 mM KCl, 123 mM aspartic acid, 5 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES, with pH adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. The total concentration of K⁺ was approximately 140 mM. Net K⁺ fluxes through ROMK channels were measured as the difference in current with and without 10⁻⁷ M TPMQ (Sigma-Aldrich) in the bath (49). Pipettes were pulled from hematomeric tubing, coated with Sylgard, and fire polished with a microforge. Pipette resistances ranged 3–6 MΩ. Currents were measured with a List EPC-7 amplifier (Heka Elektronik). Voltages were controlled and currents recorded using a Digidata 1440A interface and PC clamp 9 software (Axon Instruments).
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