CSF-1–dependant donor-derived macrophages mediate chronic graft-versus-host disease
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Chronic GVHD (cGVHD) is the major cause of late, nonrelapse death following stem cell transplantation and characteristically develops in organs such as skin and lung. Here, we used multiple murine models of cGVHD to investigate the contribution of macrophage populations in the development of cGVHD. Using an established IL-17–dependent sclerodermatous cGVHD model, we confirmed that macrophages infiltrating the skin are derived from donor bone marrow (F4/80−/−CSF-1R−/−CD206+/−iNOS−). Cutaneous cGVHD developed in a CSF-1/CSF-1R–dependent manner, as treatment of recipients after transplantation with CSF-1 exacerbated macrophage infiltration and cutaneous pathology. Additionally, recipients of grafts from Csfr−/− mice had substantially less macrophage infiltration and cutaneous pathology as compared with those receiving wild-type grafts. Neither CCL2/CCR2 nor GM-CSF/GM-CSFR signaling pathways were required for macrophage infiltration or development of cGVHD. In a different cGVHD model, in which bronchiolitis obliterans is a prominent manifestation, F4/80+ macrophage infiltration was similarly noted in the lungs of recipients after transplantation, and lung cGVHD was also IL-17 and CSF-1/CSF-1R–dependent. Importantly, depletion of macrophages using an anti–CSF-1R mAb markedly reduced cutaneous and pulmonary cGVHD. Taken together, these data indicate that donor macrophages mediate the development of cGVHD and suggest that targeting CSF-1 signaling after transplantation may prevent and treat cGVHD.

Introduction

Graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) remains a major complication of allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT). GVHD can present in acute and chronic forms, which differ in their time of onset and symptoms. Acute GVHD (aGVHD) occurs early after transplantation, with target organ damage characterized by apoptosis. In contrast, chronic GVHD (cGVHD) is a late complication of SCT and is characterized by fibrosis. Indeed, cGVHD presents with many features that overlap with certain autoimmune diseases. While skin is the primary organ involved in cGVHD, both lung and liver fibrosis can also occur, and these manifestations are all associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Our understanding of the pathophysiology of aGVHD is far more advanced than that of cGVHD, and it is clear that these forms are mediated by different immunological subsets and cytokine networks. Currently, the majority of clinical allogeneic transplants use granulocyte colony-stimulating factor–mobilized (G-CSF–mobilized) peripheral blood (PB) stem cells, which has led to rapid hematopoietic reconstitution, improved leukemic eradication, and similar levels of aGVHD, but increased levels of cGVHD (1). Therapeutically, there are limited options available for the treatment of cGVHD, with corticosteroids representing the mainstay of treatment. Unfortunately, this is often ineffective and is associated with significant morbidity, thus cGVHD represents an increasing burden in the clinic.

Macrophages play an essential role in both homeostasis and pathology and are characterized by high functional heterogeneity (2). The differentiation, proliferation, and survival of the majority of macrophage populations are dependent on colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF-1) (3, 4). Macrophages acquire diverse phenotypic and functional forms in response to local cytokines and microbial signals, which has resulted in the “M1” and “M2” macrophage classifications (5, 6). Classically activated macrophages (M1) mediate defense of the host from a variety of bacteria, protozoa, and viruses and have roles in antitumor immunity. Activation of proinflammatory M1 macrophages is typically induced by IFN-γ, lipopolysaccharide, and cytokines such as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (5–7). Alternatively activated macrophages (M2) have antiinflammatory functions and regulate tissue repair and remodeling. M2 macrophage activation is induced by IL-4 and IL-13 (8) as well as immune complexes, glucocorticoids, and the
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cytokine CSF-1 (6, 7). Although the M1 and M2 classification system is widely used, it is increasingly clear that these macrophage populations represent the extreme ends of a wide spectrum of phenotypes associated with macrophage activation.

Recent preclinical and clinical data from our group established a highly reproducible and informative model of cGVHD that supports a role for IL-17 as a central mediator of pathology, that supports a role for IL-17 as a central mediator of pathology, and early after transplantation, scleroderma was absent in recipients of Il17a–/–mice, and late after transplantation, scleroderma was absent in recipients of Il17a–/–mice. We noted minimal donor macrophage infiltration in mice that received TCD grafts (Figure 1C). We noted minimal macrophage infiltration in mice that received G-CSF–mobilized T cell–depleted (TCD) grafts (Figure 1D). In mice receiving nonmobilized grafts, we noted F4/80+ macrophage infiltration in the dermis by day 21 after transplantation (Figure 1E), and by day 28 after transplantation, F4/80+ macrophages were predominantly located within the s.c. fat layer (Figure 1F, G, H), with minimal macrophage infiltration noted in mice that received TCD grafts (Figure 1H). Although not examined here, our initial studies also identified neutrophils and T cells within the mononuclear infiltrate in skin during GVHD (9), however, they were far less dominant than the F4/80+ macrophage infiltration illustrated in Figure 1, and only the macrophages were IL-17 dependent.

Skin-infiltrating macrophages are donor M2-like CSF-1R-expressing macrophages. To examine the phenotype of these F4/80+ macrophages, IHC was performed using markers for both classically activated and alternatively activated macrophages (inducible nitric oxide synthase [iNOS] and mannose receptor CD206, respectively). Additionally, IHC for the congenic marker CD45.1 was used to mark donor cells. IHC in serial sections was performed 21 days after transplantation in lethally irradiated mice receiving either G-CSF–mobilized non-TCD grafts (cGVHD mice) or G-CSF–mobilized TCD grafts (no cGVHD controls). Results confirmed that the vast majority of infiltrating F4/80+ cells in mice receiving G-CSF–mobilized grafts were donor (CD45.1+) alternatively activated (CD206+) macrophages, with minimal iNOS expression (F4/80+CD45.1+CD206+iNOS) (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI75935DS1). We noted minimal donor macrophage infiltration in mice that received G-CSF–mobilized graphs.

Results

F4/80+ macrophages infiltrate the skin of mice receiving either G-CSF–mobilized or nonmobilized grafts. We investigated the kinetics of macrophage infiltration into the skin after transplantation in lethally irradiated mice receiving either allogeneic, G-CSF–mobilized (G-CSF BALB/c graft → C57Bl/6 [B6] recipients; Figure 1, A–D), or semiallogeneic, nonmobilized grafts (B6 bone marrow [BM] plus T cell graft → B6D2F1 recipients; Figure 1, E–H) that develop scleroderma-like cGVHD. IHC analysis for F4/80 expression demonstrated that F4/80+ macrophages infiltrated the dermis of mice receiving G-CSF–mobilized grafts starting 7 days after transplantation (Figure 1, A and B), with robust F4/80+ macrophage infiltration present by day 21 after transplantation throughout the dermis and s.c. fat layers (Figure 1C) that developed to day 21 after transplantation throughout the dermis and s.c. fat layers (Figure 1C). We noted minimal macrophage infiltration in mice that received G-CSF–mobilized T cell–depleted (TCD) grafts (Figure 1D). In mice receiving nonmobilized grafts, we noted F4/80+ macrophage infiltration in the dermis by day 21 after transplantation (Figure 1E), and by day 28 after transplantation, F4/80+ macrophages were predominantly located within the s.c. fat layer (Figure 1F, G, H), with minimal macrophage infiltration noted in mice that received TCD grafts (Figure 1H). Although not examined here, our initial studies also identified neutrophils and T cells within the mononuclear infiltrate in skin during GVHD (9), however, they were far less dominant than the F4/80+ macrophage infiltration illustrated in Figure 1, and only the macrophages were IL-17 dependent.

Skin-infiltrating macrophages are donor M2-like CSF-1R-expressing macrophages. To examine the phenotype of these F4/80+ macrophages, IHC was performed using markers for both classically activated and alternatively activated macrophages (inducible nitric oxide synthase [iNOS] and mannose receptor CD206, respectively). Additionally, IHC for the congenic marker CD45.1 was used to mark donor cells. IHC in serial sections was performed 21 days after transplantation in lethally irradiated mice receiving either G-CSF–mobilized non-TCD grafts (cGVHD mice) or G-CSF–mobilized TCD grafts (no cGVHD controls). Results confirmed that the vast majority of infiltrating F4/80+ cells in mice receiving G-CSF–mobilized grafts were donor (CD45.1+) alternatively activated (CD206+) macrophages, with minimal iNOS expression (F4/80+CD45.1+CD206+iNOS) (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI75935DS1). We noted minimal donor macrophage infiltration in mice that received G-CSF–mobilized grafts.

Figure 1. F4/80+ macrophages infiltrate the skin of mice receiving either mobilized or nonmobilized grafts. (A–H) IHC to detect F4/80 expression in recipients of mobilized and nonmobilized grafts. Representative images of skin from lethally irradiated B6 mice that received G-CSF–mobilized BALB/c grafts. IHC was performed on days 7 (A, 14 (B), and 21 (C) after transplantation (n = 3/time point). F4/80+ macrophages were present in the dermis by day 7 after transplantation (A), with robust infiltration throughout the dermis at day 14 (B) and subcutaneous fat from day 21 (C). (D) Minimal F4/80+ macrophage infiltrate was noted in the skin of mice that received TCD grafts. (E–H) Representative images of F4/80 IHC in lethally irradiated B6D2F1 mice that received B6 BM plus T cell grafts. Skin was examined on days 21 (E, 28 (F), and 35 (G) after transplantation (n = 3/time point). F4/80+ macrophage infiltrate was present in the dermis from day 21 after transplantation (E) and throughout the subcutaneous fat layer by day 28 (F and G). There was minimal F4/80+ macrophage infiltrate in mice that received TCD grafts (H). Original magnification, ×5.
TCD grafts. We also noted alternatively activated macrophage infiltrate in lethally irradiated mice that received nonmobilized grafts (data not shown). B6D2F1 mice receiving BM plus T cell grafts from MacGreen mice (MacGreen mice have EGFP driven Csf1r promoter) (21) confirmed that these F4/80+ macrophages are also CSF-1R+ (Figure 2B). Using the same transplantation system, we additionally confirmed that donor (CSF-1R+) cells infiltrate the skin as early as 7 days after transplantation (Supplemental Figure 2A), and by day 21, the vast majority of F4/80+ cells are of donor origin (Supplemental Figure 2B).

Cytokine/chemokine dependency of blood monocyte populations in naive mice. Current dogma holds that circulating monocytes can give rise to a variety of tissue-resident macrophages throughout the body, particularly during inflammation. In the steady state, circulating CD11b+ F4/80+ monocytes can be divided into 2 distinct cell populations based on the expression of Ly6C (22). CD11b+ F4/80+ Ly6C\(^{hi}\) monocytes express the C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2) and are commonly referred to as classical or inflammatory monocytes. In contrast, CD11b+ F4/80+ Ly6C\(^{lo}\) monocytes are CCR2\(^{-}\), but express the fractalkine receptor CX3CR1 and are commonly referred to as patrolling monocytes, which are widely considered to be tissue-resident macrophage precursors. To elucidate the monocyte precursors and cytokine dependency of the donor infiltrating macrophages following BM transplantation (BMT), we next examined the cytokine and chemokine requirements for the development and migration of the distinct PB monocyte populations. For these studies, we examined both frequency (percentage) and enumerated PB monocyte populations in naïve B6.WT, B6.Ccr2\(^{-/-}\), and B6 common β chain\(^{-/-}\) mice to examine the requirement of chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2) and GM-CSF, respectively. To examine the requirement of CSF-1, we used fetal liver chimeras (FLCs) on a B6 background, since CSF-1R deficiency is neonatally lethal. We examined monocyte populations using a gating strategy (Figure 3A) that removed Ly6G\(^{+}\)CD3\(^{+}\) and high side-scatter cells (SSCs). As expected, compared with B6.WT mice, we observed a significant reduction in the frequency and absolute numbers of circulating CD11b+ F4/80+ Ly6C\(^{lo}\) classical monocytes in the Ccr2\(^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 3, B and C; **P = 0.004, **P = 0.0081). In contrast, compared with B6.WT FLCs, we found that the B6 Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLCs exhibited a significant reduction in Ly6C\(^{lo}\) subset frequencies and absolute numbers (Figure 3, B and C; ***P = 0.002, *P = 0.0286). The contribution of GM-CSF to circulating monocyte populations was minimal, since the frequencies and absolute numbers of both Ly6C\(^{lo}\) and Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cell populations were not significantly altered in the common β chain-deficient mice.

**CSF-1 treatment after SCT exacerbates cutaneous cGVHD.** We had previously confirmed in Figure 3 that CSF-1 was required for the development of the Ly6C\(^{lo}\) PB monocyte/macrophage population, which is suggested to be a tissue macrophage precursor. Therefore, we further examined the contribution of CSF-1 to macrophage infiltration and cutaneous cGVHD after transplantation. Lethally irradiated B6 mice received G-CSF-mobilized BALB/c whole spleen or TCD spleen and were treated with either saline or CSF-1 for 5 days from day 14 after transplantation. Compared with saline-treated recipients, we found that CSF-1 treatment significantly increased F4/80+ macrophages in the skin 19 days after transplantation (Figure 4A), quantified as positive pixels/mm\(^2\) (Figure 4B; **P = 0.0017). CSF-1 treatment preferentially expanded donor alternatively activated macrophages, as confirmed via IHC (Figure 4C). Semi-quantitative histopathology confirmed that recipients treated with CSF-1 had significantly higher cutaneous pathology scores than those treated with saline (Figure 4D; **P = 0.0087).

Further analysis of PB monocyte populations 19 days after transplantation illustrated a trend toward an increase in the frequency of Ly6C\(^{lo}\) cells after 5 days of CSF-1 treatment (Figure 5A). Therefore, we carried out further monocyte/macrophage analysis of cells isolated 19 days after transplantation from the skin (ears) of mice treated with either saline or CSF-1 (gating...
strategy for monocyte/macrophage analysis; Figure 5B). We performed analysis using a gating strategy similar to that shown in Figure 3A, with monocytes (Figure 5B, Mono) being classified as Ly6C\(^{-}/\)SSC\(^{hi}\)F4/80\(^{+}\)CD11b\(^{+}\) and macrophages (Figure 5B, M\(_{\phi}\)) as Ly6C\(^{hi}\)SSC\(^{hi}\)F4/80\(^{+}\). Data are expressed as the absolute number of CD45\(^{-}\) cells for both monocytes and macrophages (Figure 5C). We observed a significant increase in absolute numbers in both the CD45\(^{-}\) monocyte population (Ly6C\(^{hi}\)CD11b\(^{-}\)F4/80\(^{+}\)) (Figure 5C; \(* * * P = 0.0087\)) and CD45\(^{-}\) macrophage population (Ly6C\(^{hi}\)F4/80\(^{+}\)) (Figure 5C; \(* P = 0.026\)) in the CSF-1-treated mice compared with those detected in saline-treated mice. Overall, this confirmed that CSF-1 treatment after transplantation significantly increased cutaneous Ly6C\(^{hi}\) monocytes and macrophages compared with those detected in saline-treated recipients.

F4/80\(^{+}\) macrophage infiltration and cutaneous fibrosis after transplantation is CSF-1R dependent. We subsequently investigated the requirement for donor CSF-1R signaling in the development of cutaneous cGVHD using WT and Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLCs. Lethally irradiated B6D2F1 recipients were given BM plus T cell grafts from either B6 WT FLC, Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLC, or WT FLC TCD grafts. Mice that received Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLC grafts had a significantly lower clinical GVHD score throughout the time course examined compared with that of WT graft recipients (Figure 6A). IHC performed 21 days after transplantation illustrated that mice receiving WT grafts (Figure 6B) had significantly higher F4/80\(^{+}\) macrophage infiltration compared with that seen in mice receiving Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLC grafts (Figure 6B), quantified as positive pixels/mm\(^2\) (Figure 6C; \(* * * P = 0.003\)). Masson’s trichrome staining (collagen fibers are stained blue) and semiquantitative histopathology scores assessed 48 days after transplantation confirmed that mice receiving Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLC grafts had significantly lower cutaneous pathology and fibrosis than did those receiving WT FLC grafts (Figure 6D; \(* P = 0.045\), \(* * P = 0.008\)).

Figure 3. Cytokine/chemokine dependency of PB monocyte populations in naive mice. (A) Representative dot plots illustrate the gating strategy for PB monocytes and macrophages. Numbers in each dot plot indicate the percentage of positive cells in each gate. (B) Analysis of PB monocytes and macrophages from B6, Ccr2\(^{-/-}\), and common \(\beta\) chain\(^{-/-}\) mice as well as from WT and Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) FLCs. Numbers in each dot plot indicate the percentage of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells (top 2 quadrants) and Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells (bottom 2 quadrants) and their expression of CCR2. (C) Frequency (percentage) and absolute numbers (\(\times 10^6/\)ml) of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) versus Ly6C\(^{hi}\) monocyte and macrophage populations in naive mice (frequency of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells: \(* * * P = 0.004\), \(* * P = 0.002\); frequency of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells: \(* * P = 0.004\), \(* * * P = 0.0002\); absolute numbers of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells: \(* * * P = 0.0081\); absolute numbers of Ly6C\(^{hi}\) cells: \(* P = 0.0286\)). \(n = 8\) for all groups. Statistically significant differences were calculated using 2-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. Data represent the mean ± SEM.
Serum GM-CSF was only detected 7 days after transplantation (Figure 7A; \( P = 0.01 \)), with no difference in serum GM-CSF levels between mice receiving either allogeneic or TCD grafts from day 14 after transplantation. F4/80+ macrophages were still noted 21 days after transplantation in the skin of lethally irradiated mice that received WT or common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) BM plus T cell grafts (Figure 7B). The common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) mouse has defective signaling for IL-3 and IL-5 as well as for GM-CSFR signaling (24), therefore, we specifically blocked GM-CSFR signaling with an anti–GM-CSF Ab (M250 mAb; Amgen). Treatment with M250 starting 7 days after transplantation had no effect on F4/80+ macrophage infiltration (Figure 7C), quantified as positive pixels/mm² (Figure 7D). PB monocyte analysis 21 days after transplantation showed no change in the frequencies of Ly6Chi and Ly6C' cell populations in mice receiving either B6 WT or B6 common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) grafts (Figure 7E). Thus, GM-CSF is not required for the infiltration of F4/80+ macrophages and the subsequent development of cutaneous pathology after transplantation.

**Development of cutaneous pathology is CCR2 independent.** Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1/CCL2), a multifunctional chemokine belonging to the C–C chemokine superfamily, has been shown to be upregulated in a variety of fibrotic conditions (25–27). Furthermore, patients with scleroderma exhibit elevated levels of CCL2 in both serum and skin (28, 29). Similarly, we found elevated levels of CCL2 in the serum from 7 to 28 days after transplantation in lethally irradiated mice receiving BM plus T cell grafts (Allo) compared with levels detected in TCD non-GVHD controls (Figure 8A). To investigate the contribution of donor CCL2/CCR2 signaling to the development of cutaneous GVHD, lethally irradiated mice received BM and T cell grafts from langerin-DTR–transgenic (langerin-DTR–transgenic) mice in which DTR and EGFP are expressed under the control of the \( Cd207 \) (encoding langerin) promoter. Administration of DT between 7 and 40 days after transplantation effectively depleted donor Langerhans cells (Supplemental Figure 3A), but neither F4/80+ macrophage infiltration nor the development of cutaneous pathology was altered (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C), thus establishing that Langerhans cells do not contribute to cutaneous GVHD.

However, Langerhans cells are instructed by the alternative CSF-1R ligand IL-34 (23). To investigate the contribution of Langerhans cells to the development of CSF-1R-dependent sclerodermatous cGVHD, mice received BM and T cell grafts from langerin-diphertheria toxin receptor–transgenic (langerin-DTR–transgenic) mice in which DTR and EGFP are expressed under the control of the \( Cd207 \) (encoding langerin) promoter. Administration of DT between 7 and 40 days after transplantation effectively depleted donor Langerhans cells (Supplemental Figure 3A), but neither F4/80+ macrophage infiltration nor the development of cutaneous pathology was altered (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C), thus establishing that Langerhans cells do not contribute to cutaneous GVHD.

F4/80+ macrophage infiltration and cutaneous fibrosis after transplantation is GM–CSF independent. Both CSF-1/CSF-1R and GM-CSF/GM-CSFR signaling pathways are involved in regulating the number and function of macrophage lineage populations, and both signaling pathways have been shown to contribute to macrophage heterogeneity (7). Therefore, we examined the contribution of GM-CSF signaling to macrophage infiltration and cutaneous GVHD. Serum GM-CSF was only detected 7 days after transplantation (Figure 7A; \( P = 0.01 \)), with no difference in serum GM-CSF levels between mice receiving either allogeneic or TCD grafts from day 14 after transplantation. F4/80+ macrophages were still present 21 days after transplantation in the skin of lethally irradiated mice that received WT or common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) BM plus T cell grafts (Figure 7B). The common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) mouse has defective signaling for IL-3 and IL-5 as well as for GM-CSFR signaling (24), therefore, we specifically blocked GM-CSFR signaling with an anti–GM-CSF Ab (M250 mAb; Amgen). Treatment with M250 starting 7 days after transplantation had no effect on F4/80+ macrophage infiltration (Figure 7C), quantified as positive pixels/mm² (Figure 7D). PB monocyte analysis 21 days after transplantation showed no change in the frequencies of Ly6C' and Ly6C' cell populations in mice receiving either B6 WT or B6 common \( \beta \) chain \(-/-\) grafts (Figure 7E). Thus, GM-CSF is not required for the infiltration of F4/80+ macrophages and the subsequent development of cutaneous pathology after transplantation.
tation in mice receiving either B6 WT or B6 Ccr2<sup>−/−</sup> grafts (Figure 8B), quantified as positive pixels/mm<sup>2</sup> (Figure 8C). We also observed no difference in cutaneous pathology in the recipients of WT or Ccr2<sup>−/−</sup> grafts (Figure 8, D and E). Similarly, Ab-mediated blockade using an anti-CCL2 Ab had no significant effect on F4/80<sup>+</sup> macrophage infiltration (Figure 8, F and G) or subsequent cutaneous pathology (Figure 8H). PB monocyte analysis 21 days after transplantation illustrated no change in the frequencies of Ly6C<sup>hi</sup> or Ly6C<sup>lo</sup> cell populations in mice receiving either B6 WT or B6 Ccr2<sup>−/−</sup> grafts (Figure 8I). Thus, CCL2/CCR2 signaling is not required for the infiltration of F4/80<sup>+</sup> macrophages and the subsequent development of cutaneous pathology after transplantation. This suggests that the chemokine CCL2 is redundant for monocyte recruitment in the context of the GVHD inflammatory milieu.

Anti-CSF-1R Ab treatment after transplantation attenuates cutaneous GVHD. To investigate whether depletion of the CSF-1/CSF-1R-dependent macrophage population after transplantation was an effective therapeutic strategy to prevent and treat cGVHD, we used an anti–CSF-1R Ab (M279; Amgen). We have previously demonstrated that M279 specifically depletes tissue-resident macrophages (30). Lethally irradiated B6 recipients of G-CSF–mobilized BALB/c grafts were treated with either rat IgG control or M279 mAb beginning 7 days after transplantation. IHC staining demonstrated that M279 mAb treatment significantly reduced cutaneous GVHD (Figure 9, C and D; *P = 0.012), and similar to mice receiving Csf1r<sup>−/−</sup> grafts (Figure 6D), M279-treated mice showed no evidence of cutaneous fibrosis.
PB analysis on day 48 after transplantation also confirmed that M279 mAb treatment resulted in a significant increase in the frequency of Ly6Chi monocytes and a significant decrease in Ly6Clo monocytes (Figure 9E; **P = 0.0012, *P = 0.0262). Thus, CSF1R Ab–mediated depletion of donor macrophages after transplantation may be an effective therapeutic strategy to prevent and/or treat cutaneous cGVHD.

**Development of cutaneous GVHD is TGF-β dependent.** We have previously reported that in the B10.BR → BALB/c model of sclerodema, skin-infiltrating CD11b+ mononuclear cells produce high levels of TGF-β, and neutralization of this cytokine attenuated cGVHD (31). We therefore speculated that TGF-β is a mediator of fibrotic skin pathology invoked by macrophages in the current study. Thus, we examined the expression of TGF-β in the CD11b+F4/80+Ly6C+ population (Figure 9D; ***P = 0.0006). PB analysis on day 48 after transplantation also confirmed that M279 mAb treatment resulted in a significant increase in the frequency of Ly6C+ monocytes and a significant decrease in Ly6C+ monocytes (Figure 9E; **P = 0.0012, *P = 0.0262). Thus, CSF1R Ab–mediated depletion of donor macrophages after transplantation may be an effective therapeutic strategy to prevent and/or treat cutaneous cGVHD.
PB monocyte precursor population after transplantation. Our results confirmed that the PB monocyte precursors to the pathogenic tissue macrophages rapidly produced TGF-β in response to TLR4 ligation with LPS (Supplemental Figure 4A). Furthermore, Ab blockade of TGF-β from days 14 to 46 after transplantation significantly reduced GVHD clinical scores and, importantly, significantly decreased cutaneous pathology compared with the control mAb–treated group (Supplemental Figure 4, B and C). Taken together, the data suggest that donor CSF-1R–dependent macrophages contribute to cGVHD via the expression of TGF-β.

**Development of lung GVHD is IL-17 and CSF-1R dependent.** Both transplantation models used above (G-CSF–mobilized BALB/c → B6 and B6 BM plus T cell → B6D2F1) resulted in the development of scleroderma after transplantation, but neither model elicited fibrotic manifestations in other cGVHD target organs. Therefore, we next investigated the contribution of CSF-1/CSF-1R–dependent macrophages to cGVHD using the recently described multiorgan system cGVHD model (B6 → B10.BR) (32), in which lung injury is associated with obstructive lung disease and fibrosis, with increased collagen deposition surrounding bronchioles (bronchiolitis obliterans...
plus splenocyte (Sp) grafts that developed cGVHD also had elevated airway resistance, which correlated with lung constriction (Resistance), elevated elastance, which signifies increased stiffness or rigidity of the lungs (Elastance), and decreased total lung capacity (BO) but without scleroderma manifestations. BO is characterized by airway blockage, peribronchiolar fibroproliferation, and obliteration of bronchioles and is a late-stage complication of GVHD. Pulmonary function tests (PFTs) demonstrated that recipients of BM plus TCD grafts had elevated airway resistance, which correlated with lung constriction (Resistance), elevated elastance, which signifies increased stiffness or rigidity of the lungs (Elastance), and decreased total lung capacity. However, the recipients of BM plus TCD grafts did not show any signs of BO.
and compliance (Compliance) (32). As with cutaneous GVHD (9), lung GVHD developed in an IL-17–dependent manner, since mice receiving grafts deficient in the retinoid-related orphan receptor \( \gamma \) (Rorc\(^{-/-}\)), which is required for lineage commitment in IL-17–producing cells, exhibited significantly better pulmonary function relative to that of mice receiving WT grafts (Figure 10A). We acknowledge that we cannot discriminate the cellular sources of IL-17 by this approach and that effects may be mediated by T cells, innate lymphoid cells, or another as-yet uncharacterized cytokine-producing cell population. Development of lung pathology was also confirmed to be CSF-1R dependent, as recipients of Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) grafts had significantly better pulmonary function compared with that of mice receiving WT grafts or those receiving WT BM with Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) splenocytes (Figure 10B). Recipients of Csf1r\(^{-/-}\) BM plus splenocyte grafts also displayed a significant

**Figure 9. Anti–CSF-1R Ab treatment after transplantation attenuates cutaneous GVHD.** Lethally irradiated B6 mice received G-CSF–mobilized WT BALB/c grafts and were treated with rat IgG control or anti–CSF-1R mAb (M279; 400 μg/3 times week) from days 7 to 33 after transplantation. (A) IHC to detect F4/80 expression 34 days after transplantation (\( n = 8-10 \) group for all groups; \( n = 3 \) group for TCD), confirming that M279 treatment resulted in a significant depletion of F4/80\(^{+}\) cells, quantified in B as positive/pixels/mm\(^2\) (\( ***P = 0.002 \)). (C and D) Lethally irradiated B6D2F1 mice received B6 BM and T cell grafts and were treated with either rat IgG or M279 from days 7 to 48 after transplantation (\( n = 7-8 \) group; \( n = 3 \) group for TCD). (C and D) H&E-stained images and semiquantitative histopathology confirmed that M279 treatment resulted in a significant reduction in cutaneous pathology and cutaneous fibrosis (\( *P = 0.01; ***P = 0.0006 \)). (E) Representative dot plots of PB monocyte and macrophage analysis of recipients 48 days after transplantation. Numbers in each dot plot indicate the percentage of Ly6C\(^{+}\) cells (top 2 quadrants) and Ly6C\(^{+}\) cells (bottom 2 quadrants) and their expression of CCR2. Results show a significant increase in the frequency of Ly6C\(^{+}\) cells and a significant decrease in Ly6C\(^{+}\) cell frequencies in M279–treated recipients (\( **P = 0.0012; *P = 0.026 \)). \( n = 7 \) group. Statistically significant differences were calculated using 2-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. Data represent the mean ± SEM. Original magnification, ×5.
Figure 10. Lung GVHD develops in an IL-17– and CSF-1R–dependent manner. (A) B10.BR recipients treated with 120 mg/kg/day cyclophosphamide (days –3 and –2) and lethally irradiated (day –1; 850 cGy) were transplanted with B6 BM with either B6 or Rorc–/– Sp (n = 4/all groups). On day 60 after transplantation, pulmonary function measures were performed. R, resistance; E, elastance; C, compliance. Recipients of Rorc–/– grafts exhibited significantly improved pulmonary function compared with that of WT graft recipients (R: *P = 0.02, *P = 0.03; E: *P = 0.01, *P = 0.03; C: **P = 0.005, *P = 0.01). (B) Similarly, recipients of Csf1r–/– BM plus Csf1r–/– Sp grafts had significantly improved pulmonary function compared with that of mice receiving WT BM plus Csf1r–/– Sp. (R: *P = 0.024, *P = 0.042, *P = 0.0431; E: *P = 0.013, *P = 0.031, *P = 0.01; C: **P = 0.001, **P = 0.0031, *P = 0.033), n = 4. (C) Trichrome staining illustrates reduced collagen deposition in mice that received Csf1r–/– grafts compared with those that received WT grafts, quantified in D as trichrome area/total area (**P = 0.0037; ***P = 0.0005; **P = 0.0023). (E) IHC for F4/80 expression in recipients of WT B6 plus Sp or BM-only grafts that were given control IgG or M279 mAb after transplantation from days 0 to 28 after transplantation. Minimal F4/80+ cells were noted in recipients treated with M279 mAb compared with those detected in control IgG–treated recipients. (F) Lung function parameters confirmed an improvement in lung function after M279 mAb treatment (R: **P = 0.001, **P = 0.008; E: **P = 0.003, *P = 0.034; C: **P = 0.004, *P = 0.02), n = 4. (G) Trichrome staining confirmed that M279 mAb treatment significantly reduced collagen deposition, quantified in H (**P = 0.017; ***P = 0.0003). Statistically significant differences were calculated using unpaired t tests. Data represent the mean ± SEM. Original magnification, ×20.
reduction in collagen deposition around the bronchioles compared with recipients of WT grafts (Figure 10C), quantified as trichrome area/total area (Figure 10D). These data suggest that donor BM is the source of CSF-1R+ tissue–resident macrophages that are essential for cGVHD-induced BO.

Last, we investigated whether M279 mAb treatment resulted in a reduction in lung cGVHD. Recipients were administered control IgG or M279 mAb after transplantation from days 0 to 28. IHC to detect F4/80 expression confirmed that macrophages infiltrated the lungs of mice that received BM plus Sp grafts and rat IgG (Figure 10E), with reduced F4/80+ cell infiltration in recipients treated with M279 mAb. We noted minimal macrophage infiltration in mice that received BM-only grafts (Figure 10E). Furthermore, we found that M279 mAb treatment significantly improved all lung PFT parameters (Figure 10F) and resulted in a significant reduction in collagen deposition (Figure 10G), quantified as trichrome area/total area (Figure 10H). Overall, these data confirm that cutaneous and pulmonary GVHD develop in a CSF-1/CSF-1R–dependent manner, and depletion of this population after transplantation represents a novel therapeutic strategy to prevent and treat cGVHD.

Discussion

Macrophages have been shown to play crucial roles in tissue repair as well in the resolution and/or progression of tissue fibrosis (10–13). This study provides new insight into the pathogenic role of donor macrophages in the progression of fibrosis, the cardinal feature of cGVHD. We specifically demonstrate that CSF-1/CSF-1R–dependent donor BM–derived macrophages infiltrate GVHD target organs as early as 7 days after transplantation, which precedes the development of cutaneous and pulmonary GVHD. The infiltration of these macrophages was demonstrated to be both CCR2 and GM-CSF independent. Most importantly, blocking the action of CSF-1/CSF-1R using either Csf1r−/− mice or an anti–CSF-1R Ab depleted these donor macrophages from the skin and lung, resulting in a dramatic reduction in cGVHD.

The origin of tissue-resident macrophages remains controversial. During development, yolk sac and liver embryonic macrophage precursors seed the resident macrophage populations of most tissues including the skin, liver, and lung. During the neonatal period, these tissue-resident macrophage populations are expanded by local proliferation and maintained during adulthood by self-renewal (33). In the gut, however, the resident macrophage population is derived from BM (34, 35). During injury or infection, PB monocytes can be recruited to tissues and sites of inflammation and give rise to a variety of tissue-resident macrophages. In mice, circulating monocyte populations can be divided into 2 distinct groups (22). The classical/inflammatory monocytes, which are classified as Ly6C+, CCR2+, and Cx3CR1−, constitute the monocyte subset most likely to be recruited to sites of inflammation. The nonclassical/patrolling monocytes are Ly6C−/+, CCR2−/−, and Cx3CR1+ and are thought to contribute to tissue-resident macrophage populations (22, 36). Whether the patrolling monocytes give rise to tissue macrophages under steady state has recently come into question (37, 38). Importantly, during fibrosis, at least in the liver, macrophages are suggested to be derived from either tissue-resident cell populations, such as Kupffer cells, or from BM immigrants (39, 40). Although SCT induces a highly inflammatory environment including an early systemic release of MCP-1 and GM-CSF, our data surprisingly illustrate that neither CCR2 nor GM-CSF signaling is required for the development of cutaneous GVHD and that, instead, fibrosis in both skin and lung models of GVHD is CSF-1 dependent. Blocking the action of CSF-1/CSF-1R using either Csf1r−/− mice or an anti–CSF-1R Ab resulted in a significant decrease in Ly6C+ cell populations in both the blood and skin. As previously observed (30), the reduction in the Ly6C+ cell population was balanced by an increase in the Ly6C− cell population, supporting the proposed model that suggests a precursor relationship between the immature inflammatory monocytes and mature resident monocytes in vivo (36, 41). Therefore, we propose that the precursor to the pathogenic tissue macrophages that sequester in the GVHD target organs is a nonclassical/patrolling F4/80+CD11b+Ly6C+ donor BM–derived monocyte subset.

Macrophages and CSF-1/CSF-1R signaling have already been shown to contribute to engraftment and the development of aGVHD following transplantation (42). CSF-1 levels are substantially elevated in the circulation in mice with aGVHD, which could function to extend the survival of host macrophages after transplantation (43). We previously demonstrated that pretreatment of recipients with the anti–CSF-1R mAb M279 prior to allogeneic BMT significantly ablated macrophages in GVHD target organs, resulting in exaggerated donor T cell activation and accelerated GVHD pathology after transplantation (30). Furthermore, host intestinal macrophages were also shown to engulf and clear donor T cells, thus contributing to the attenuation of alloreactive T cell responses (44). Therefore, donor and host macrophages appear to exert opposing effects on GVHD outcomes, with host macrophages being protective for aGVHD, while donor macrophages are pathogenic for cGVHD. Thus, in contrast to the attenuation of cGVHD that CSF-1 blockade provided, interrupting this pathway in the early peritransplantation period could exacerbate aGVHD. These observations are reminiscent of our earlier findings, in which TGF-β neutralization early after transplantation resulted in a significant exacerbation of aGVHD, whereas delayed neutralization attenuated sclerodermatous cGVHD (31). In that study, a skin-infiltrating donor CD11b+ mononuclear cell population expressed the highest levels of TGF-β. Here, we confirm and extend these findings, demonstrating that blockade of TGF-β after transplantation in the B6 → B6D2F1 model significantly reduced cutaneous pathology and further demonstrating TGF-β expression by the F4/80+CD11b+Ly6C+ blood monocyte precursor to the pathogenic skin macrophages. Taken together, this data support the notion that donor CSF-1–dependent skin-infiltrating macrophages contribute to cutaneous GVHD in a TGF-β–dependent manner.

These studies highlight Ly6C+ monocyte precursors, macrophages, and their effector molecules (e.g., TGF-β) as potential targets for the prevention and treatment of cGVHD. In the current study, we have used a mAb against mouse CSF-1R that blocks the actions of CSF-1 without inducing apoptosis or overt inflammation (30). While it is clear that blockage of CSF-1R signaling can have functional consequences on tissue-resident macrophages (45), treatment with the anti–CSF-1R mAb M279 following transplantation phenocopied the effects produced using a Csf1r−/− allograft (i.e., a dramatic reduction in skin-infiltrating macrophages and attendant pathology). While we can-
not completely exclude additional effects on function, the M279 mAb appears to be acting on monocytes and macrophages in more of a quantitative rather than a qualitative fashion when used after BMT. There are multiple agents that target the CSF-1R/CSF-1 pathway in clinical trials, predominantly to ablate tumor-associated macrophages as a means to limit tumor progression. These include a humORIZED version of the rodent CSF-1R mAb used here. In addition, many small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as imatinib, sunitinib, and sorafenib are used in clinical practice to treat various malignancies. Interest-
ingly, imatinib, at least, has significant off-target effects that interrupt the CSF-1R pathway (46), and this agent has demonstrated efficacy, albeit limited, in the treatment of cGVHD (47–49). Together, it appears that targeting the CSF-1 pathway may provide an effective means for treating chronic GVHD, and clinical trials to test this hypothesis could now be rapidly initiated.

Methods

**Mice.** Female C57BL/6 (B6) (H-2b, CD45.2), B6.Ptprc<sup>+</sup> (H-2<sup>b</sup>, CD45.1<sup>-</sup>), BALB/c (H-2<sup>d</sup>, CD45.2), and B6D2F1 (H-2<sup>d</sup>/d, CD45.2) mice were purchased from the Animal Resources Center. Mice were housed in sterilized microisolator cages and received acidified autoclaved water (pH 2.5) after transplantation. The mice ranged in age from 8 to 14 weeks. BALB/c CD45.1<sup>+</sup> (H-2<sup>b</sup>) mice were supplied by Mark Smyth (QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Queensland, Australia). Csf1r<sup>−/−</sup> mice were provided by Richard E. Stanley (Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York, USA). C-C chemokine receptor 2 (Ccr2<sup>−/−</sup>) were provided by Bernard Malissen (Centre d’Immunologie de Marseille, Luminy, France) (51). B10.BR (H-2k) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory, and B6 (H2<sup>b</sup>) mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute.

**Cytokine mobilization.** Recombinant human G-CSF was administered s.c. at 10 μg/animal daily for 6 days.

**Generation of CsfIr<sup>−/−</sup> FLCs.** To generate FLCs, mice heterozygous for CsfIr deficiency were time-mated, and at E18/19, pregnant mice were culled, pups removed, and the fetal liver harvested into a single-cell suspension. A portion of each pup’s tail was harvested into QuickExtract DNA extraction solution (Epicentre Biotechnologies) for genomic DNA extraction, and PCR was performed on each to determine both the Csf1r genotype (WT, heterozygote, or homozygote) and the sex of each pup. Primer sequences for Csf1r genotyping were as follows: Csf1r<sup>-1R</sup> in exon 2, forward: 5’-AGACTCATTCCAGAACCAGACG-3’; Csf1r<sup>-1R</sup> in exon 3, reverse: 5’-GAAATTTGGAGTCTCCTACCTTTG-3’; and Csf1r<sup>-1R</sup> neo, forward: 5’-CGCGTGAATTCCCTGAGCTA-3’. Primer sequences for sex differentiation genotyping were as follows: X chromosome, forward: 5’-GTCTCTTGTCAAGTCCCTCCCTCTC-3’; X chromosome, reverse: 5’-GGGATTATCTAAAATCCGCTAG-3’; Y chromosome (a): 5’-GACTAGACATGTCTTAAACATCCTGC-3’; and Y chromosome (b): 5’-CTATTGCAGACGACAGTATG-3’. Female Csf1r<sup>-1R</sup>-KO and WT pups were identified, and 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> FLCs from each were injected separately into lethally irradiated (1,000 cGy) PTPRCA mice. These mice were left for 3 months to allow reconstituation of the immune system, and mice were confirmed to have donor engraftment greater than 98% before being used as transplant donors or recipients.

**SCT and BMT.** On day -1, mice received 1,000 cGy (B6) or 1,100 cGy (B6D2F1) total-body irradiation (137 Cs source at approximately 85 cGy/minute), split into 2 doses separated by 3 hours to minimize gastrointestinal toxicity. On day 0, B6 mice each received 25 × 10<sup>6</sup> donor Sp from G-GSF–mobilized BALB/c donors. Sp were depleted of T cells as previously described (52) for non-GVHD control groups. In BMT experiments, B6D2F1 recipients underwent transplantation on day 0 with 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> BM with or without 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> purified T cells. Mice received BM from either B6, common β chain<sup>−/−</sup>, Ccr2<sup>−/−</sup>, WT FLC, Csf1r<sup>−/−</sup> FLC, or langerin DTR-EGFP mice. Non-GVHD control groups were injected with TCD BM grafts. Transplanted mice were monitored daily, and those with GVHD clinical scores greater than or equal to 6 (53, 54) were killed and the date of death registered as the next day in accordance with institutional animal ethics committee guidelines. Recipients of langerin DTR-EGFP grafts were treated with saline or DT (0.1 μg/mouse; Sigma-Aldrich) twice weekly from days 7 to 40. For Ab-mediated depletion, from 7 days after SCT, mice received either 500 μg every 2 weeks of mouse IgG or anti–GM-CSFR (M250; Amgen) or 400 μg 3 times per week of anti–CSF-1R Ab (M279; Amgen) or rat IgG control Ab — all via i.p. injection. For TGF-β blockade, from 7 days after transplantation, mice were treated with either control or anti–TGF-β Ab (in-house, ATCC) (20 μg/3 times per week) via i.p. injection. B10.BR recipients were conditioned with cyclophosphamide on days −3 and −2 (120 mg/kg/day i.p.). On day −1, mice received lethal irradiation (850 cGy). On day 0, mice received 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> BM cells from either WT B6, WT FLC, or Csf1r<sup>−/−</sup> FLC mice with 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> Sp from either WT B6 or Csf1r<sup>−/−</sup> B6 mice or from Rorc<sup>−/−</sup> B6 mice.

**Assessment of GVHD.** The degree of systemic GVHD was assessed using a cumulative scoring system that measures changes based on 5 clinical parameters: weight loss, posture (hunching), activity, fur texture, and skin integrity (maximum index 10). Mice were monitored daily, and those with GVHD clinical scores (53) greater than or equal to 6 were culled and the date of death recorded as the next day in accordance with institutional animal ethics guidelines.

**Fluorescence-activated cell-sorting analysis.** The following antibodies were purchased from BioLegend: phycoerythrin-conjugated (PE-conjugated) anti-F4/80, allopophycocyanin-conjugated anti-CCR2, PE-Cy7-conjugated anti-IA/IE, APC-Cy7-conjugated anti-Ly6G, Pacific Blue–conjugated anti-Ly6, and PerCP-Cy5.5–conjugated CD11b. PE-CF594-conjugated CD3 was purchased from BD Biosciences. Biotinylated anti–TGF-β was purchased from R&D Systems.

**Tissue digests.** For ear skin digests, the ears were removed and each ear split into dorsal and ventral surfaces with forceps. Skin was floated on 2 ml of dispase solution (Gibco) and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. Dermal and epidermal sheets were detached with forceps and transferred to 2 ml of a 0.1-mg/ml collagenase solution (Sigma-Aldrich) in HBSS (Mediatech) with Ca<sup>2+</sup> supplemented with 10% FBS, and 250 μl of 20,000 U/ml DNase I (Roche) per 50-ml working solution. Skin was cut into small pieces and incubated for 1.5 hours at 37°C. Epidermal and dermal cells were disaggregated by pipetting 10 times with a syringe and needle (19-gauge), filtered through nylon mesh to remove clumps, and the mesh was washed with flow buffer to reduce cell loss (1 × PBS/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 2 mM BSA 0.5%), then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 400 g.
**PB in vitro stimulation.** Unfractionated red cell–lysed PB was cultured with 100 ng LPS for 2 hours in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Thermo Scientific), 1 mM Pen Strep (Gibco), 1 mM glutamine (HyClone), 1 mM nonessential amino acids (Gibco), and 23 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Histopathology and immunostaining of GVHD target organs.** At various times after transplantation, GVHD target tissues were harvested, fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours, embedded in paraffin, and processed to generate 5-μm-thick sections. All lung tissue harvested was embedded in optimal cutting-temperature compound, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Lungs were inflated by infusion of 1 ml of optimal cutting-temperature compound/PBS (3:1) intratracheally before harvesting. H&E sections of skin were examined in a blinded fashion (by A.D. Clouston) using a semiquantitative scoring system for GVHD as previously published (54). Samples were scored from 0 to 4 for epidermal and dermal inflammation, dermal fibrosis and subcutaneous fibrosis (summed to give a total cutaneous fibrosis score of 8), epidermal apoptosis (total score of 4), and loss of s.c. fat (total score of 4). IHC was performed on deparaffinized and rehydrated sections. Briefly, slides were incubated with antigen retrieval solution (0.37% Carezyme Trypsin; Biocare Medical) for 10 minutes. Slides were then incubated in serum block for 60 minutes (10% FBS, 10% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were subsequently incubated with a goat anti-rat biotinylated F(ab′)2 fragment (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) or mouse IgG (BioLegend) or matching isotype controls, and normal Rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) or mouse IgG (BioLegend). Endogenous per-oxidase activity was blocked using 3% H2O2. Cells were subsequently incubated with either goat anti-rat or goat anti-rabbit biotinylated F(ab′)2 fragment followed by HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Slides were developed as described above. Immunofluorescence (IF) of frozen tissues was performed as described above, but using streptavidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 555 tertiary Ab. Slides were then stained with DAPI. All IHC slides were viewed using an Aperio Scanscope XT microscope with Scanscope software (version 10.2.2.2352). IF slides were viewed on an LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss) with ImageJ 1.44p software (NIH).

**Cytokine analysis.** Serum cytokine concentrations were determined using the BD Cytometric Bead Array system (BD Biosciences—Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Statistics.** Survival curves were plotted using Kaplan–Meier estimates and compared by log-rank analysis using PRISM 5 (GraphPad Software). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A 2-tailed Mann–Whitney U test or an unpaired t test was used to evaluate significant differences between groups, and all data represent the mean ± SEM.

**Study approval.** All mouse experiments were performed in accordance with and under the approval of the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute’s Animal Ethics Committee and the IACUC of the University of Minnesota.
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