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Immune tolerance is critical to the avoidance of unwarranted immune responses against self antigens. Multiple, non-redundant checkpoints are in place to prevent such potentially deleterious autoimmune responses while preserving immunity integral to the fight against foreign pathogens. Nevertheless, a large and growing segment of the population is developing autoimmune diseases. Deciphering cellular and molecular pathways of immune tolerance is an important goal, with the expectation that understanding these pathways will lead to new clinical advances in the treatment of these devastating diseases. The vast majority of autoimmune diseases develop as a consequence of complex mechanisms that depend on genetic, epigenetic, molecular, cellular, and environmental elements and result in alterations in many different checkpoints of tolerance and ultimately in the breakdown of immune tolerance. The manifestations of this breakdown are harmful inflammatory responses in peripheral tissues driven by innate immunity and self antigen–specific pathogenic T and B cells. T cells play a central role in the regulation and initiation of these responses. In this Review we summarize our current understanding of the mechanisms involved in these fundamental checkpoints, the pathways that are defective in autoimmune diseases, and the therapeutic strategies being developed with the goal of restoring immune tolerance.

Introduction

Genetic predisposition for most autoimmune disorders is polygenic and conferred by shared as well as disease-specific alleles. Genome-wide association studies have identified dozens of genetic variants associated with autoimmunity (1). The MHC loci confer the highest genetic risk in many autoimmune diseases, pointing to a critical role for antigen T cell interactions in disease pathogenesis. Additionally, many of the shared variants have pleiotropic effects on pathways that are important for conventional T cells (Tconvs) but are also critical for the homeostasis and/or function of Tregs, such as IL-2, CD25, cytotoxic T lymphocyte–associated protein 4 (CTLA4), and protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 22 (2–4). Conversely, disease-specific associations implicate variants for genes either encoding major autoantigens or that are involved in their generation (5, 6). Although beyond the scope of this Review, rare genetic variants have also been critically informative about the role of innate immunity or other arms of the immune system in systemic autoimmune diseases such as lupus (7), which are beyond the scope of this discussion. Taken together, genetics studies point to the central role of pathways involved in thymic T cell education and peripheral immunoregulation by Tregs for the control of autoimmune diseases.

Immune tolerance stems from the control of autoreactive T cells both in the thymus and the periphery, owing to mechanisms known as central and peripheral tolerance, respectively. Central tolerance eliminates potentially autoreactive lymphocytes that develop in the thymus by subjecting thymocytes with high affinity for self antigens to either clonal deletion (negative selection) or selection into the Treg lineage. Many autoreactive T cells escape this checkpoint and can be found in the peripheral blood of healthy individuals; however, these self-reactive cells are not sufficient to induce autoimmunity due to additional controls by peripheral tolerance mechanisms (8–11). Peripheral tolerance is achieved through T cell–intrinsic mechanisms that lead to clonal deletion, anergy, or immunological ignorance as well as extrinsic control by specialized populations of suppressor cells that regulate potentially harmful responses of autoreactive T and B cells (12, 13). First among these are CD4+CD25 Foxp3+ Tregs, a T cell population that is essential for extrinsic control of peripheral tolerance (14, 15).

Tregs play a fundamental role in inhibiting self-reactivity and maintaining immune tolerance (16). Several types of Tregs have been described, including Foxp3+ IL-10–dependent Tr1 cells, LAP+ TGF-β–dependent Th3 cells, and CD8+ Tregs; however, in this Review we focus on Tregs that express the transcription factor Foxp3, a “master regulator” of this Treg lineage that is crucial for their homeostasis and function. Loss-of-function mutations in the FOXP3 gene are responsible for immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked (IPEX) syndrome, which is characterized by widespread and often fatal autoimmunity shortly after birth (17). Similarly, mice deficient in Foxp3 completely lack Tregs and rapidly develop lethal multi-organ autoimmunity (18, 19). The requirement for Foxp3 expression in Tregs is quantitative in nature and lifelong, as illustrated by the development of lymphoproliferative disease within days of acute depletion of Foxp3+ Tregs in adult mice (20, 21).

Central tolerance as a key checkpoint

The generation of an extremely diverse T cell repertoire in the thymus through stochastic gene rearrangement of the TCR is a powerful weapon in our immunity against pathogens. At the same time, collateral damage can occur when autoreactive T cells...
are generated through this stochastic process, which is a critical challenge in immune tolerance. A key mechanism in maintaining tolerance occurs in the thymic medulla, where self antigens are presented to developing T cells by both medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs) and resident bone marrow–derived APCs. mTECs have the unusual property of expressing a wide array of tissue-specific self antigens (TSAs), which shape the developing T cell repertoire. This ectopic antigen expression relies on the autoimmune regulator (Aire) gene (22–24); patients with defects in Aire succumb to an autoimmune syndrome termed autoimmune polyglandular syndrome type 1, which is characterized by multi-organ immune infiltrates and autoantibodies (25). Tolerance against TSAs, through clonal deletion and Treg development in the thymus, appears to be remarkably efficient (Figure 1). Animal models of Aire deficiency have shown that T cells specific for thymic TSAs are virtually undetectable in the periphery when Aire is functional (26). Additionally, the relevance of TSA display within the thymus to autoimmune diseases is supported by genetic studies that have linked variants affecting thymic expression of human insulin and the acetylcholine receptor to susceptibility for type 1 diabetes (T1D) and myasthenia gravis, respectively (5, 27, 28).

Interestingly, tolerance-inducing mTECs rapidly turn over, with a half-life of 12–14 days in adult mice (29). After genetic cell ablation, Aire+ mTECs recover within three to five days (30), suggesting a significant reserve of resident thymic epithelial stem cells, recently identified as a Scal-expressing thymic epithelial cell population (31, 32). Importantly, the RANK/RANKL signaling pathway is a major factor in this process (33–35). Treatment with anti-RANKL mAb results in deletion of Aire-expressing mTECs and preserves the positively selecting cortical epithelial compartment. Consistent with these results, negative selection of T cells is perturbed and autoreactive T cells now escape thymic deletion. The rapid turnover of mTECs likely represents an important mechanism to help ensure the continuous display of diverse self antigens to developing T cells. While the human thymus undergoes involution with age, increases in thymopoiesis can be seen following immunodepletion (e.g., with HIV or cytotoxic therapies), and new thymic emigrants can be observed in normal individuals even late in life (36, 37), suggesting a contribution for thymic function throughout life. This implies that approaches could be utilized to either enhance or block the thymic negative selection process to improve or break self-tolerance. Notably, these findings also suggest that patients treated with denosumab (an anti-RANKL mAb) should be closely examined for autoimmunity complications.

Self-antigen recognition by autoreactive T cells

A growing body of work suggests that many autoreactive T cells have unusual binding properties for their cognate MHC-peptide ligands. Autoreactive T cells with unusual TCR topologies may escape thymic deletion due to aberrant/reduced binding to the MHC that is insufficient to trigger apoptosis (38). Structural analyses of TCRs from patients with MS and T1D have revealed this property of autoreactive TCRs specific for disease-specific self-peptides, such as myelin basic protein and insulin (39, 40). Additionally, the processing and presentation of peptides plays a large role in shaping the T cell repertoire during thymic education. Recent work from Kappler and colleagues has determined that insulin-specific CD4+ T cells in the NOD mouse model have a propensity to react to a peptide of insulin that sits in the MHC groove in the suboptimal of three binding registers (41, 42). The affinity of this 15–amino acid peptide derived from the insulin B chain (Ins9–23) for the NOD mouse MHC class II allele, I-Ag7, is relatively weak. An I-Ag7/Ins9–23–optimized peptide tetramer locked into the least favored register reacts with multiple T cells enriched in the islets of prediabetic mice. This work has now been translated to human subjects with T1D that have DQ8-restricted insulin-specific T cells (43). Recent work from the Unanue group has suggested that this type of peptide-MHC complex may involve insulin peptide–loading events that uniquely occur in pancreatic islets but not in normal APCs (44, 45). In support of this hypothesis, when whole insulin protein is provided to normal APCs, many Ins9–23–reactive T cells do not respond; however, they can respond when peptide is presented to them or antigen is provided from whole pancreatic islets (44, 45). These studies suggest that T cells that recognize insulin peptides in higher-affinity binding registers are efficiently deleted in the thymus, perhaps in an Aire-dependent manner.

In addition to the example of insulin, there are also documented cases of myelin epitopes and other islet antigen epitopes, in which the antigenic peptide recognized by autoreactive T cells is sitting in the MHC binding groove in an unusual fashion (46–49). Moreover, a recent study in humans suggests that epitope presentation within the target tissue may affect the response of pathogenic CD8+ T cells. Indeed, a 9-mer peptide of glutamate carboxylase 65 is not generated by the endogenous pathway of antigen processing in islets in normal conditions but is recognized by some autoreactive CD8+ T cells and forms complexes with MHC class I molecules that are more stable than those formed with the longer, naturally presented 10-mer peptide (50). Likewise, the ability of certain MHC types to accommodate posttranslationally modified peptides may be part of the basis for T cell autoreactivity in RA and celiac disease (51, 52). A potential key commonality to these findings is the strong imposition of thymic tolerance on the immune repertoire and autoreactive T cell specificities that often involve a mismatch with the self antigens displayed in the thymus.

Thymic Treg selection

In addition to its role in promoting deletion of autoreactive T cells, the thymus can also help to prevent autoimmunity by promoting the positive selection of Foxp3+ Tregs (Figure 1). During thymic selection the small subpopulation of CD4+ T cells that express Foxp3 is selected on high-affinity peptides in a selective thymic niche (53). Although multiple APC populations in the thymus likely contribute to Treg selection (54), studies have suggested a potential role for Aire in this process (55–57). Using a limited T cell repertoire system in mice, the Hsieh group sequenced a large number of TCR sequences in the presence or absence of Aire and of individual APC populations in the thymus (55). Aire expression controlled selective expression of certain Tregs sequences through the direct expression of self antigens by mTECs. However, a significant fraction of Tregs develop in an Aire-independent manner, which explains in part why Treg frequencies are relatively normal in Aire-deficient mice (58). Looking forward, it will be interesting to determine the actual antigen specificities of the Treg TCRs that...
are Aire dependent and why these cells adopt the Treg fate rather than one of deletion.

**Tregs in tolerance and autoimmunity**

Many studies have uncovered alterations in the Treg compartment in autoimmune diseases. In mice, experimental manipulation of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases. Reducing the number or function of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases. In mice, experimental manipulation of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases. In mice, experimental manipulation of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases. In mice, experimental manipulation of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases. In mice, experimental manipulation of Tregs has profound effects on the incidence, onset, and severity of autoimmune diseases.

Immunoregulation by distinct subsets of Tregs

Tregs develop both as a specialized subset in the thymus (tTregs) as described above (77, 78) and as a consequence of Foxp3 induction in Tconv upon exposure to antigens in the periphery (pTregs), either in steady state or following tolerogenic treatments (79–83). The developmental pathways of both tTregs and pTregs share requirements for TCR stimulation and IL-2 signaling. TGF-β and retinoic acid are critical for the generation of pTregs and are likely involved in the preferential induction of pTregs in mucosal surfaces, notably the intestinal mucosa (84–87). Commensal bacteria are instrumental in the generation of large numbers of colonic pTregs, as bacteria in the gut provide a TGF-β-rich environment and produce metabolites that induce epigenetic modifications that promote differentiation of Tconv into pTregs (88–91). It is unclear whether a specific tissue niche is related to the unique features and requirements of the gut or whether it results from tissue-specific mechanisms for maintaining peripheral tolerance in distinct tissues.

While both tTregs and pTregs can efficiently suppress Tconv responses in vitro, their respective roles in peripheral tolerance remain controversial (92). Accumulating evidence suggests that both tTregs and pTregs are required to prevent autoimmunity under certain inflammatory conditions (93–95). A lack of pTregs has been associated with inflammation at mucosal sites, even with a normal tTreg compartment (94, 95). Conversely, pTregs are capable of controlling islet-specific but not CNS-specific autoreactive T cells, while tTregs can control both (96). This raises the possibility that pTregs and tTregs may play specialized and complementary roles in peripheral tolerance (81). The TCR repertoire of Tregs and Tconv is largely distinct and overlaps primarily between Tregs and autoreactive Tconv (77, 97–99). We further hypothesize that tTregs primarily maintain immune homeostasis by continuously controlling T cell responses against shared and ubiquitous self antigens, whereas pTregs are generated locally after recognition of TSAs and, due to their limited stability, transiently regulate autoreactive responses in tissues (Figure 2).
function of pTregs in the inflammatory setting may contribute to autoimmunity (Figure 2).

Stability of Tregs

Based on these studies, the overall emerging model postulates that tTregs and pTregs synergize to prevent autoimmunity in peripheral tissues of healthy individuals thanks to their complementary repertoire and functional capabilities. In individuals prone to autoimmunity, as described above, the presence of unique self-peptide/MHC complexes in tissues that are not present in the thymus implies that tTregs may recognize a set of self antigens in the thymus that is distinct from self antigens presented in the periphery, thus affecting their ability to control autoimmune responses. While pTregs may in turn be able to recognize this unique set of peripheral self antigens, the greater instability of pTregs compared with tTregs may prevent the effective control of autoreactive T cells in inflammatory settings. Thus, peripheral TCR “reshaping” of Treg repertoires may play a role in the ability of tTregs to recognize self antigens in a given target tissue to protect that tissue from autoimmunity (100, 101). However, an inadequate repertoire of tTregs combined with impaired stability and function of pTregs in the inflammatory setting may contribute to autoimmunity (Figure 2).

Stability of Tregs

Whereas the majority of Tregs remain Foxp3+, a subset may become unstable and lose Foxp3 expression in inflammatory or lymphopenic conditions (102, 103). For example, CD4+Foxp3+ Tregs can be reprogrammed to produce IL-17 and IFN-γ in inflammatory environments in mice and humans (76, 104, 105). These “ex-Foxp3” cells can mediate autoimmunity in mouse models of autoimmune diabetes and arthritis (102, 106). Of note, the stability of Tregs has been a controversial topic, as other studies concluded that fully differentiated Tregs were stable while ex-Foxp3 cells were derived from loosely committed Tregs; however, these studies were not performed in the setting of autoimmunity (107, 108). Conversely, in the EAE model of MS, loss of Foxp3 and Treg instability were observed in bona fide Tregs and occurred predominantly in autoreactive Tregs in the context of self antigen-driven activation and inflammation (109). Moreover, similar phenomena of Treg plasticity and instability have been described in humans with T1D, RA, and MS and correspond to distinct molecular stages with discrete epigenetic and gene expression signatures (106, 110, 111).
Many therapeutic approaches are aimed at recalibrating pathogenic/regulatory immune pathways to restore tolerance without compromising anti-pathogen defenses (Figure 3). To date, few immunotherapies have achieved immune tolerance, i.e., non-responsiveness to self antigens, without continuous immunosuppression. Coupled with the difficulty in designing effective clinical trials or testing of unlabeled combination therapies in patients, many barriers remain in realizing clinical tolerance induction and merit more critical discussion in the future. Below, we discuss the strides in the last decade that are leading to development of novel strategies aimed at restoring tolerance (125).

Systemic, nonspecific immunotherapies primarily targeting pathogenic autoreactive Teffs. Immunomodulatory therapies that target autoreactive Teffs are designed to work in part by deleting pathogenic cells, with the goal of “resetting” the immune system toward a more balanced homeostasis (126). Given that Tregs work in a dominant manner through bystander suppression and induce “infectious tolerance” (127), many immunotherapies currently under development target Treg defects identified in preclinical studies, with the goal of restoring Treg function (15, 128). Anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) has shown promising results in NOD mice and a small clinical trial in patients with T1D (129, 130) where tolerogenic potential suggested by its favorable effect on the Treg compartment in mice and humans (130–132). Recent phase II trials in patients with new-onset T1D showed no clear benefit for ATG monotherapy (133); however, a combination of low-dose ATG and G-CSF tended to preserve β cell function at 12 months following initiation of therapy (134). The disappointing outcome of ATG monotherapy may be due to ineffectual depletion of effector memory T cells, which are particularly resistant to deletion or suppression (135, 136), and suggests that eliminating these cells will be required for successful approaches. Similarly, targeting of CD52 by alemtuzumab, recently approved for relapsing-remitting MS, causes depletion of T and B cells, with subsequent repopulation through preferential homeostatic
proliferation of pTregs and effector memory T cells (137-139). This selective expansion of T cell subsets may account for both the drug’s efficacy and the high rate of other autoimmune conditions seen in up to one-third of treated patients (140). Likewise, CD2 is expressed on almost all human T cells but is most highly expressed in memory and pathogenic Tcells in autoimmunity (141, 142). Treatment of psoriasis patients with a CD2 ligand-specific Fc fusion protein (alefacept) resulted in sustained remissions even after drug discontinuation in some patients (143, 144). Mechanistically, alefacept preferentially depletes effector memory T cells without eliminating Tregs (141, 145). Recently, treatment of T1D with alefacept has shown promise, with some evidence of efficacy in a phase II clinical trial (146). Treatment with Fc receptor non-binding anti-CD3 mAbs teplizumab or otelixizumab in patients with new-onset T1D preserved β cell function for up to two years; however, neither mAb ultimately prevented the destruction of the remaining β cells (147-150). The mechanisms of action of anti-CD3 mAbs remain unclear but include the selective depletion of activated T cells and induction or preferential retention of cells with regulatory properties (151-155).

Antigen-specific tolerogenic therapies are expected to be safer than nonspecific strategies due to a lower risk of global immunosuppression (125). Antigen therapy has successfully prevented or reversed autoimmune diseases in the NOD and EAE mouse models (156-159), indicating that targeting responses against one or a few self antigens can thwart polyclonal autoimmune responses. Enrichment in Tregs has been observed after antigen immunotherapy in patients with RA and T1D (160-163). Administration of antigen-coupled ethylene carbodiimide–fixed cells (as well as, more recently, antigen-coated beads) has been extremely effective at restoring tolerance in NOD mice and EAE (164-167). Additionally, a recent phase I clinical trial in MS showed that this approach reduced myelin-specific autoreactive T cell responses in humans (168). Finally, recent studies in T1D have focused on oral antigen delivery to promote tolerance due to postulated Treg induction and clonal anergy/deletional mechanisms (169). Based on a reduction of diabetes incidence in a small set of higher-risk individuals (170), a large-scale study of oral insulin for TID prevention is currently ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00419562).

**Immunotherapies aimed at restoring the control of autoimmune responses by Tregs.** The central role of IL-2 in Treg homeostasis and function has led to therapeutic strategies that aim to improve IL-2 signaling in Tregs (171). In NOD and EAE mouse models, treatment with low-dose IL-2 restored high levels of Foxp3 and CD25 expression, improved the stability of Tregs, and prevented or restored the development of autoimmunity (76, 109, 172). Administration of low-dose IL-2 in new-onset T1D patients did not alter glucose metabolism but did induce a dose-dependent increase in the frequency of Tregs (173). However, dosage may significantly affect the outcome, as low-dose versus high-dose IL-2 therapy differentially promotes Tregs and Tconvs, respectively (174). In fact, in contrast to low-dose IL-2, treatment of NOD mice with high-dose IL-2 accelerated progression of diabetes (76, 175). Thus, approaches aimed at boosting Tregs may need to combine IL-2 treatment with therapies targeting pathogenic Tcells. The mTOR inhibitor rapamycin selectively inhibits the proliferation of Th1 and Th17 cells while enhancing Treg survival (176-178). Treatment of patients with new-onset T1D with rapamycin plus low-dose IL-2 resulted in a transient increase in the frequency of Tregs and stable restoration of IL-2 signaling that persisted long after treatment was discontinued (179). However, the combination therapy also transiently impaired β cell function and dramatically increased numbers of natural killer cells and eosinophils, which might have adversely impacted pancreatic islet cells. Thus, IL-2 therapy alters a complex cellular network and additional studies will be necessary to design treatments specifically targeting Tregs. Improved knowledge of the structural properties of IL-2 binding to its receptors on different cell types and advances in protein bioengineering may help solve this conundrum via generation of mutated forms of IL-2 that selectively signal in Tregs (180, 181).

**Cellular therapy to restore tolerance.** The favorable therapeutic profile of Tregs has led to strong interest in Treg-based cellular therapy in transplantation and autoimmune diseases (182). Adoptive transfer of Tregs suppressed inflammation and disease in EAE, NOD mice, and mouse models of IBD and SLE (59, 60, 183-185). Of note, Tregs expanded in vitro were more efficient at controlling autoimmune responses than their freshly isolated counterparts (184, 186). We have developed a clinically relevant procedure for generating large numbers of CD4+CD127low/CD25+ Tregs without the need for additional selective agents (71, 72, 187) and used a current good manufacturing practices–compliant method in a phase I clinical trial of Treg administration in T1D patients. We found that autologous ex vivo–expanded Tregs were well tolerated and long lived, and that average C-peptide levels remained stable for up to two years after treatment (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01210664), consistent with the one-year follow-up data of a small phase I study in children with TID (188). Future clinical applications may involve the use of genetically modified Tregs that express genes that promote their survival, stability, trafficking, or suppressive function. In models of diabetes, tissue antigen–specific Tregs are more efficient than polyclonal cells at suppressing autoimmunity (184, 189). However, selective expansion of autoantigen-specific Tregs is challenging because of their low precursor frequency and the uncertainty of which antigens to target in most diseases. Redirecting polyclonal Tregs by engineering expression of antigen-specific receptors may help bridge this gap and may also circumvent Treg inefficiencies related to expression of inadequate TCR repertoires, as we and others have recently shown (190-193). These findings support the notion that polyclonal human Tregs could be engineered to express TCRs specific for self antigens in the target tissue in order to improve the efficacy of Treg therapy at protecting this tissue (15). Moreover, this approach could be combined with in vitro or in vivo treatments aimed at correcting other Treg defects, such as the long-term restoration of IL-2 signaling defects by low-dose IL-2 therapy in vivo (179).

Other appealing approaches in cellular therapy involve the use of tissues generated from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs). Given that thymic transplantation offers the potential to establish donor-specific tolerance, hPSCs could be differentiated into both one organ for transplantation (e.g., pancreatic β cells for TID) and a second organ (e.g., the thymus) to ensure graft-specific tolerance without the need for sustained immuno-
suppression (194, 195). Coupled with such regenerative stratagies, advances in genetic modification of stem cells and iPSCs may soon allow us to engineer thymus or correct defects in order to modulate and enforce tolerance. Thus not ready for prime time, these therapeutic strategies have tremendous potential, considering that human embryonic stem cells have recently been used to generate both thymic epithelial progenitors (196, 197) and islet-like structures (198, 199) that recapitulate the function of their adult differentiated counterparts upon transplantation in mice or humanized models.

Conclusion

Despite the wide swath of redundant mechanisms that control central and peripheral tolerance, the high incidence of autoimmune diseases and difficulty restoring tolerance in humans reflect the equally powerful mechanisms that ensure effective immune responses against pathogens. Thus, multiple pathways will likely need to be targeted to restore tolerance to self antigens without compromising overall immunity (Figure 3). Tremendous progress has been made in our understanding of the pathways that control autoimmunity and defects associated with distinct autoimmune diseases, leading to many novel therapeutic approaches targeting individual pathways. Combination therapies have been introduced in clinical trials with mixed results, which emphasizes that they could be more efficacious; however, combination treatments might raise new safety challenges as well (200). In the future, customized therapies and combination therapies should be informed not only by pathways found to be important in each autoimmune disease but also by the genetic and environmental influences in each patient, as certain treatments may be predicted to have greater efficacy depending on individual genetic susceptibility and immunological history. This increased level of granularity will undoubtedly reveal additional complexity in the molecular and cellular interactions underlying autoimmunity, but it is also bound to result in improved control of autoimmune diseases in individual patients in the new era of precision medicine.
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