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Decorin, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan, is capable of suppressing the growth of various tumor cell lines when expressed ectopically. In this report, we investigated the biochemical mechanism by which decorin inhibits cell cycle progression. In A431 squamous carcinoma cells, decorin proteoglycan or protein core induced a marked growth suppression, when either exogenously added or endogenously produced by a transgene. Decorin caused rapid phosphorylation of the EGF receptor and a concurrent activation of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signal pathway. This led to a protracted induction of endogenous p21, a potent inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases, and ultimate cell cycle arrest. Biglycan, a related proteoglycan, had no effect. Moreover, decorin activated the EGF receptor/MAP kinase/ p21 axis in cell lines of various histogenetic backgrounds. These results provide the first evidence that EGF and decorin converge functionally to regulate the cell cycle through activation of a common pathway which ultimately leads to growth suppression.
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Abstract

Decorin, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan, is capable of suppressing the growth of various tumor cell lines when expressed ectopically. In this report, we investigated the biochemical mechanism by which decorin inhibits cell cycle progression. In A431 squamous carcinoma cells, decorin proteoglycan or protein core induced a marked growth suppression, when either exogenously added or endogenously produced by a transgene. Decorin caused rapid phosphorylation of the EGF receptor and a concurrent activation of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signal pathway. This led to a protracted induction of endogenous p21, a potent inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases, and ultimate cell cycle arrest. Biglycan, a related proteoglycan, had no effect. Moreover, decorin activated the EGF receptor/MAP kinase/p21 axis in cell lines of various histogenetic backgrounds. These results provide the first evidence that EGF and decorin converge functionally to regulate the cell cycle through activation of a common pathway which ultimately leads to growth suppression. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 101:406–412.)
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Introduction

The control of cell proliferation is a central event in tumorigenesis and often depends on the interactions between growth factors and their specific receptor-activated signaling pathways. Current views indicate that the nature of the local extracellular matrix can modulate cellular responses to a given signal in several manners, for example by affecting the affinity of the ligand for its cognate receptor or by influencing proteolytic processing and internalization (1). Decorin (2–4), the prototype member of an expanding family of small leucine-rich proteoglycans (5), is an important regulator of matrix assembly primarily because of its ability to bind collagen type I and to delay fibrillogenesis in vitro (6, 7). This function has been confirmed in mice harboring a targeted disruption of both decorin alleles. In these animals, lack of decorin leads to abnormal collagen fiber formation and a phenotype typified by skin thinning and fragility (8). Decorin content is markedly increased in the tumor stroma of colon cancer (9) and ectopic expression of decorin inhibits cell growth and prevents fibrosis by blocking TGF-β activity (10, 11). These growth-suppressive properties of decorin are supported by a number of observations including: (a) decorin levels are markedly elevated during quiescence; (b) decorin is rarely expressed by actively proliferating or transformed cells; (c) decorin expression is abrogated by viral transformation; and (d) decorin gene transcription is suppressed in a variety of tumorigenic cell lines and tumor tissues by methylation of its control regions (5). When colon carcinoma cells were stably transfected with a decorin-expressing vector, the cells became quiescent, with ~ 90% of the cells arrested in the G1 phase of the cell cycle, lost their malignant phenotype (12) and exhibited a marked upregulation of endogenous p21Waf1/Cip1/Sdi1 (p21)1 (13), a potent inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity (14, 15) that is also induced at senescence (16). The augmented p21 protein was present in a multimeric complex with various cyclins and CDKs in the nuclei of the decorin-expressing clones and its levels could be abolished by abrogating decorin expression (13). Ectopic expression of decorin suppresses growth not only of colon carcinoma cells, but also that of neoplastic cells with a diverse histogenetic background, including tumor cells derived from genitourinary, skeletal, cutaneous, and bone marrow tissues (17). The growth-suppressive properties of decorin are independent of a functional p53 or retinoblastoma protein but require a functional p21 insofar as p21-deficient colon carcinoma cells (18) are totally unresponsive to the action of decorin (17).

The purpose of this study is to identify the signal transducing pathway through which decorin may mediate its growth-suppressive activity. We discovered that decorin activated the EGF receptor in A431 squamous carcinoma cells and in several transformed cell lines. This signaling was mediated by the protein core of decorin and induced MAP kinase activation and a protracted induction of endogenous p21, thereby leading to growth suppression. These results provide a biochemical mechanism for the growth-suppressive properties of decorin and indicate that the upregulation of decorin gene expression

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; Δdecorin, a mutated form of decorin lacking the glycosaminoglycan side chain; MAP, mitogen-activated protein; p21, the CDK inhibitor also known as p21Waf1/Cip1/Sdi1.
around neoplastic cells may represent a defensive mechanism of the tumor stroma designed to counterbalance the invasive tumor cells.

**Methods**

**Cell lines, stable transfection, Northern and Western immunoblotting.** The A431 squamous carcinoma and NIH3T3 fibroblasts were purchased from ATCC (Rockville, MD). The HNCC carcinoma cells were obtained from K. Somers (Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA). The other cell lines, including Saos-2 osteosarcoma, HT-1080 fibrosarcoma, and HeLa cervical squamous carcinoma cells were described before (17). Stable transfection of A431 cells with either decorin or Δdecorin, a mutated cDNA lacking the glycosaminoglycan attachment site, cell proliferation assays, Northern and Western blottings were performed as previously described (12). Stable transfection with a full-length EGF receptor cDNA of the NIH3T3 fibroblasts and immunoprecipitation studies were done as described before (19). The antiphosphotyrosine monoclonal antibody PY20 was from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY), the anti-EGF receptor monoclonal antibody was from Promega Corp. (Madison, WI), and the mouse monoclonal 6B6 antibody directed toward human p21 was from PharMingen (San Diego, CA). Quantification of immunoblots was performed with a PhosphorImager 445SI (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

**Recombinant decorin and its biological effects.** Decorin was purified from the secretions of Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells transfected with a full length decorin-expressing vector (20) as described before (17). In addition, we utilized recombinant decorin proteoglycan, decorin protein core, or biglycan proteoglycan purified from HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cells infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus (21, 22). All the recombinant preparations tested for biological activity contained undenatured protein cores, and the final products were assessed for purity by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Routinely, cells were serum starved overnight and lysed without stimulation, or after treatment with either 50–100 μg/ml decorin, decorin protein core, or biglycan, or with 100 ng/ml EGF. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with either opTyr or αEGFR antibodies, followed by separation by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with the antisera described above. The CellTiter 96™ Aqueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega Corp.) was used to determine the number of viable cells in a proliferative phase. Decorin was labeled with [125]I NaI (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL) to a specific activity of ~8 × 10⁷ cpm/μg using the Iodo-Gen method (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A431 cells were plated in 16-mm wells, grown for 2 d to near confluence, washed twice with D-PBS, and the wells were blocked with 1 ml RPMI-1640 containing 0.2% BSA at 4°C for 1 h. The medium was removed, and the cells were incubated in 0.5-ml 0.2% BSA/RPMI-1640 containing ~200 ng (1.5 × 10⁷ cpm) [125]I-labeled decorin at various concentrations of EGF. After 2 h on ice, the wells were washed three times with cold PBS, the cells were dissolved in 1 ml/well 1 M NaOH and the radioactivity measured in a gamma counter. The P values were determined using the paired Student’s t test. Additional experimental details are provided in the text and legends to figures.

**Results**

Ectopic expression of decorin proteoglycan or protein core causes growth suppression in A431 cells via activation of endogenous p21. Because the most pronounced decorin-induced growth suppression occurred in epithelial-derived tumors, we hypothesized that the EGF receptor might be involved in this process. EGF can be stimulatory in several normal and malignant cells, but the proliferation of certain cells is inhibited by
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*Figure 1. Ectopic expression of decorin proteoglycan or core induces marked growth suppression in A431 squamous carcinoma cells via activation of endogenous p21. (A) Representative Northern blotting analyses of transfected clones of A431 stably expressing either decorin proteoglycan or a mutated form of decorin (Δdecorin) lacking any glycosaminoglycan side chain (lanes 1–13). The blots were normalized to GAPDH. Note that clones used for detailed studies were selected solely on decorin expression. (B) Growth curves of decorin-transfected clones as determined by a nonradioactive cell proliferation assay (12). Similar inhibitory effects were observed with various clones expressing decorin or Δdecorin. (C) Northern blotting analysis using human p21 cDNA as labeled probe of permanent transfected clones including cells transfected with vector alone (lane 1), decorin-expressing cells (lane 2), or cells expressing Δdecorin (lane 3). The right panel derives from parental A431 cells exposed for 24 h to medium alone (lane 4) or to 100 μg/ml of either decorin proteoglycan (lane 5) or Δdecorin (lane 6). (D) Immunoblot detection of p21 protein levels in A431 cells treated for various periods of time with recombinant human decorin (100 μg/ml).*
this growth factor (23). Thus, we tested A431 human squamous carcinoma cells, because these cells express a high number of EGF receptors (~2 × 10^5/cell) and because their growth is suppressed by exogenous EGF (24). Stable transfection with either full length decorin or a mutated form of decorin (Δdecorin) lacking any glycosaminoglycan side chain (Fig. 1A) induced a marked and protracted growth suppression (Fig. 1B) and a concurrent induction of p21 mRNA (Fig. 1C). A significant induction of endogenous p21 mRNA levels was also obtained when wild-type A431 cells were cultured in the presence of highly purified human recombinant decorin isolated from either HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cells (22) (Fig. 1C) or CHO cells (not shown). The kinetics of growth inhibition were consistent with the time course induction of p21 protein by exogenous decorin (Fig. 1D).

**Exogenous decorin or decorin protein core, but not biglycan, causes growth suppression and p21 induction.** The specificity of the growth suppression was further tested in experiments in which A431 cells were incubated in the presence or absence of decorin, its core protein or biglycan, a related proteoglycan (5). The results showed that the core protein of decorin was capable of inducing a protracted growth suppression (Fig. 2A) and a concurrent induction of endogenous p21 (Fig. 2B), whereas equimolar amounts of recombinant biglycan were essentially ineffective. Similar results were obtained with a different preparation of human recombinant decorin synthesized by CHO cells (not shown) and required at least 24 h to be noticeable. Of note, a fusion protein containing decorin and the maltose binding protein produced in *Escherichia coli* was totally ineffective in inducing growth suppression (not shown). Collectively, these findings indicate that the growth suppression in A431 cells is specifically mediated by the protein core of decorin. Moreover, our data indicate that this effect requires proper protein folding for full biological activity since the inactive prokaryotic form of decorin presumably lacks disulfide bonds (22) at both the amino and carboxyl ends of the molecule.

**Decorin induces phosphorylation of the EGF receptor and concomitant MAP kinase activation in A431 cells.** We next wanted to test whether EGF receptor itself mediated the decorin-induced growth suppression. The EGF receptor is a transmembrane glycoprotein with an extracellular ligand-binding domain and an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain whose ac-

---

**Figure 2.** Exogenous decorin or decorin protein core, but not biglycan, causes growth suppression and induction of endogenous p21. (A) Growth effects of exogenous human recombinant decorin, decorin protein core, or biglycan on the growth of wild-type A431 cells. The recombinant proteins (100 μg/ml ~ 1 μM) were added daily for 3 d and growth was assessed by a nonradioactive cell proliferation assay. (B) Immunoblot detection of p21 protein levels in A431 cells treated for 2 or 16 h (as indicated) with recombinant human decorin protein core (Δdecorin), decorin or biglycan proteoglycan (100 μg/ml each) synthesized by HT-1080 fibrosarcoma cells. The decorin in lanes 4 and 9 was derived from CHO cells.

**Figure 3.** Decorin induces phosphorylation of EGF receptor and concomitant MAP kinase activation in wild-type A431 cells. (A) EGF receptor tyrosine phosphorylation induced by exposure to the indicated amounts of decorin for 5 min before lysis (large arrow). EGF (100 ng/ml) was used as positive control. The immunoblots were probed with an antiphosphotyrosine antiserum (αTyr) (19). The lower panel shows immunoblotting analysis of the same cell lysates using an antiserum directed toward ERK1/ERK2 MAP kinases (αMAPK). Notice the activation of p42 ERK2 as manifested by the appearance of a slower-moving isoform (upper small arrow). (B) Quantification of the decorin-induced ERK2 activation as related to that induced by EGF. The MAP kinase bands in each lane of the lower panel in A were quantified with the PhosphorImager, and the percentage of total MAP kinase in the activated form ([pp42/(pp42 + p42)] × 100%) was plotted against the concentration of exogenous decorin. Open symbol in right panel shows the percent activated MAP kinase induced by a 5-min exposure to 100 ng/ml EGF.
tivity is induced by EGF (25). The tyrosine-phosphorylated EGF receptor binds to modular signal proteins and eventually leads to activation of MAP kinases ERK1 and ERK2, two members of a network of highly conserved enzymes involved in responses to extracellular signals (26). Phosphorylation of both threonine and tyrosine residues in a T × Y sequence common to most MAP kinases is required for their full activation (26) and this phosphorylation can be detected as a shift toward higher- Mr in SDS-PAGE. Highly purified recombinant decorin induced tyrosine phosphorylation of a 170-kD protein in a dose-dependent manner within 5 min (Fig. 3 A) suggesting direct activation of the receptor. Decorin-induced activation of the 170-kD protein comigrating with the EGF receptor was associated with a slower migrating form of p42 MAP kinase (ERK2), thereby signifying MAP kinase activation (Fig. 3, A and B).

Decorin binds to the EGF receptor and its activation is prevented by AG1478, a specific inhibitor of EGF receptor tyrosine kinase. To assess more directly whether decorin would bind to the EGF receptor, we incubated A431 cells with a constant amount (~20 ng) of 125I-labeled recombinant decorin and then with increasing amounts of unlabeled EGF. As shown in Fig. 4 A, there was a significant displacement (P > 0.008) of the bound decorin using relatively high dosages of EGF (300 ng). The partial displacement is not surprising since decorin is known to bind to a variety of bioactive molecules. The specificity of decorin/EGF receptor interaction was further tested by additional experiments. Exogenous decorin protein core was as effective as exogenous proteoglycan in inducing phosphorylation of the 170-kD protein (Fig. 4 B), in agreement with the data obtained with the stably transfected clones discussed above. Equimolar amounts of recombinant human biglycan were essentially ineffective (Fig. 4 B), indicating that the effects were specific to decorin. Fundamentally, decorin caused low levels of EGF receptor activation but prolonged activation of MAP kinase.

To assess the causal role of cell surface receptors in MAP kinase activation by decorin or EGF, we used tyrphostin AG1478, a quinazoline-specific inhibitor of the EGF receptor tyrosine kinase (27). In these experiments a concentration of AG1478 that is 1/50 of that required to inhibit c-src kinase (27) effectively blocked activation of the EGF receptor kinase and MAP kinase caused by either EGF or exogenous decorin (Fig. 4 C). As a further control, we found that MAP kinase activation by platelet-derived growth factor was unaffected by the same concentrations of AG1478 (data not shown). Sequential...
immunoprecipitation with antibodies against phosphotyrosine followed by immunoblotting with antibodies against EGF receptor, or the reciprocal experiment, demonstrated that the 170-kD protein phosphorylated in response to decorin is the EGF receptor (Fig. 4D). This finding, thus, corroborates the data presented above (Fig. 4A).

To determine whether the decorin-induced growth suppression was mediated, at least in part, through the EGF receptor pathway, we grew A431 cells in the presence or absence of exogenous decorin or decorin with or without 2 μM AG1478. The results clearly showed that the growth inhibitory activity of decorin and Δdecorin could be significantly blocked by the tyrphostin (Fig. 5).

Decorin causes phosphorylation of EGF receptor, MAP kinase activation, and p21 induction in cells of diverse histogenetic background. To confirm that decorin was indeed interacting specifically with the EGF receptor, we tested additional cell lines that either lacked the EGF receptor (AGS gastric carcinoma cells) or that expressed unusually high levels (~4 × 10^6 receptors/cell) of the receptors (HNSSC head and neck carcinoma cells). We also tested murine NIH3T3 fibroblasts (CO12 cells) which had been transfected with the human EGF receptor cDNA (~4 × 10^6 receptors/cell) and compared those with their wild-type counterparts which express very low levels of EGF receptor (19). As expected for a decorin-EGF receptor interaction, decorin induced a MAP kinase shift in all the cell lines in which EGF receptor phosphorylation was observed (LTRb2, CO12, and HNSSC), but not in the AGS cells, which exhibited no response to EGF (Fig. 6A). The induced levels of p21 correlated well with the EGF receptor phosphorylation and MAP kinase activation in the responsive cells (Fig. 6B). Additional cell lines, previously shown to be responsive to ectopic expression of decorin (17), were tested for p21 induction and AG1478 block and compared to the A431 parental cells.
In all the cells, including Saos-2 osteosarcoma, HT-1080 fibrosarcoma and HeLa cervical carcinoma cells, decorin-dependent induction of endogenous p21 was partially or totally blocked by AG1478 (Fig. 6 C). Notably, the signal transducers and activators of transcription, STAT1 and STAT3, have been implicated in the induction of p21 by EGF in some cell lines (28). However, we observed STAT1 activation in only some of the cell lines in which decorin induced p21 (unpublished observations), in contrast to the ubiquitous activation of MAP kinase, suggesting a role for STAT proteins in p21 induction in only certain cell types. We conclude that EGF receptor is a site at which decorin initiates a signaling cascade that leads to induction of endogenous p21 and ultimately to growth arrest.

Discussion

The finding that EGF receptor is phosphorylated in A431 cells in response to exogenous decorin or its protein core is the first observation linking a secreted proteoglycan to a growth factor receptor and a protracted activation of the MAP kinase cascade. It is well established that not only growth factors, but also divalent cations and cationic polypeptides can increase tyrosine kinase activity of the EGF receptor and cause aggregation of its intracytoplasmic domain (29). A three-dimensional model of decorin (30) predicts an arch-shaped molecule with ample surface suitable for specific interactions with proteins such as the collagen triple helix and perhaps cell surface receptors. Because the activation of EGF receptor–MAP kinase pathway occurred within 5 min, it is unlikely that decorin interacted with any other molecules, i.e., growth factor(s) synthesized by the cells that could indirectly mediate these effects. Indeed, decorin binds TGF-β (31), but this growth-inhibitory cytokine binds to its own receptors, and it is not known to induce tyrosine phosphorylation of the EGF receptor.

While modulation of the EGF receptor kinase activity and substrate specificity by antibodies to extracellular domains of the receptors (32) or by activation of other receptor pathways (33) have been shown, this is the first demonstration of a direct signaling effect of an extracellular matrix proteoglycan. Moreover, the ability of micromolar concentrations of tyrphostin AG1478, which is highly specific for the EGF receptor (27) to block the tyrosine phosphorylation and downstream MAP kinase activation induced by decorin implicates direct activation of the EGF receptor tyrosine kinase itself. Indeed, we observed partial, albeit significant, inhibition of 125I-labeled decorin binding to the A431 cells by exogenous EGF, consistent with a direct interaction. The partial displacement suggests that decorin and EGF interact with distinct subdomains of the EGF receptor, but may be due to the interaction of decorin with other cell surface molecules. Moreover, our results clearly showed that the growth-inhibitory activity of decorin and Δdecorin are significantly blocked by the tyrphostin AG1478. While we cannot exclude the possibility that decorin may bind to other receptors that transactivate the EGF receptor, it is clear that the activity of the EGF receptor is required for the growth-suppressive effects of decorin.

Initially identified as a downstream mediator of wild-type p53 function and a potent inhibitor of CDK activities (14, 15) as well as a gene highly expressed during senescence (16), p21 has since been shown to be regulated by p53-independent mechanisms (34, 35). The induction of p21 by a variety of growth factors including EGF (24, 36) appears to be somewhat contradictory. However, it has been recognized that p21 contributes universally to the inhibition of the cell cycle progression by acting as a buffer whose levels dictate the threshold kinase activity necessary for progression through the cell cycle, and can also act as an adaptor protein to assemble and program kinase complexes for specific functions (37–39). Thus, transient or cyclical activation may lead to cell cycle progression whereas protracted p21 induction, as in the case of decorin, would lead to growth suppression. The fact that decorin is preferentially bound to collagen type I and that polymerized collagen inhibits smooth muscle cell proliferation by upregulating CDK inhibitors such as p27 and, to a lesser extent, p21 (40), further strengthens the concept that the extracellular matrix can significantly modulate the cell cycle. Adhesion-dependent cell cycle progression is yet another regulatory mechanism through which the matrix exerts its action as suspended cells fail to activate CDK activity and are associated with induction of the CDK inhibitors p27 and p21 (41).

As decorin is highly expressed by the host connective tissue stroma surrounding growing neoplasms it is possible that decorin may represent an important defense mechanism designed to counterbalance the invasiveness of cancer cells. We propose that decorin has a dual function in vivo, that is, decorin may act as a key regulator of matrix assembly and cellular growth. By interacting with fibrillar collagens and other molecules involved in inflammation and angiogenesis, such as C1q or thrombospondin, decorin would modulate matrix assembly thereby influencing the microenvironment of the tumor stroma. On the other hand, by directly interacting with tumor cell receptors, such as the EGF receptor, decorin would influence the outcome of abnormal cell proliferation. Conceivably, decorin could contribute to either suppress the local growth of tumor cells, and thus be an active molecule in inhibiting tumor progression, or participate in tumor dormancy, i.e., by keeping tumor cells in a quiescent state. Our studies offer a plausible molecular explanation for these biological effects of decorin and further predict that the ability of epithelial cells to respond to mitogenic stimuli via surface receptor(s) may be regulated, at least in part, by a member of the small leucine-rich proteoglycan gene family.
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