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The investigation reported in this paper is concerned with the effects of desoxycorticosterone acetate (DCA), hydrocortisone and dietary potassium depletion on the elaboration of an osmotically concentrated urine. Loeb and his associates (1, 2) demonstrated that dogs maintained on large amounts of DCA develop a diabetes insipidus-like picture. Since then, renal function studies in man (3–5), dogs (6) and rats (7, 8) have shown that the ability of the kidney to concentrate the urine is markedly reduced in states of adrenal hyperfunction and potassium deficiency. The mechanism by which polyuria is produced under these conditions has not been established. In particular, the role of potassium deficiency is still a matter of controversy. Thus, contrary to Loeb and co-workers (1, 2), who were unable to prevent the development of polyuria in their DCA-treated animals by feeding potassium chloride, some authors maintain (9) that the increased loss of potassium following the administration of adrenal steroids is responsible for the impairment of water conservation. Also, there is no agreement on the sensitivity of the kidney to vasopressin (Pitressin®) during various states of potassium depletion (7, 9).

The present study is an attempt to clarify some of these points. We have, in particular, studied the formation of a concentrated urine during osmotic diuresis in the hydrogenic state. According to current concepts, an osmotically concentrated urine can be visualized as being made up of an isosmotic portion less the amount of water abstracted from it to produce hypertonicity (10, 11).

This latter moiety has been designated $T_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (10, 11) or water economy (12) and direct evidence indicates that the collecting duct system is the site where the process of final water abstraction occurs (13, 14). Contrary to findings obtained on control animals in which variations of $T_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ are fairly small over a wide range of urine flows, our results show that DCA administration produces a significant and progressive reduction of that amount of water as urine flow increases. Also, at higher flow rates the occurrence of marked hypotonicity was a frequent finding, thus indicating that the fluid reaching the site of final water abstraction was not rendered isosmotic during its passage along the distal tubule and collecting duct (13, 14).

**METHODS**

Thirty-eight experiments were performed on nine female mongrel dogs ranging in weight from 17 to 25 Kg. Anesthesia was induced and maintained by appropriate amounts of sodium pentobarbital given intravenously. In all animals data on osmolality of urine and plasma at low urine flow rates and during osmotic diuresis were obtained before and after treatment with various hormones and diets. Thus, each animal served as its own control. Since, in particular, variations in the protein intake have been shown to influence the concentrating ability of the kidney (15), a diet of constant composition was supplied to all animals during each sequence of experiments. Usually this diet was a mixture of horse meat and meal having a similar composition to that used in a previous investigation (16). Additional sodium chloride in amounts varying between 86 and 172 mEq. per day was given during treatment with DCA and hydrocortisone (16–18). Dietary potassium depletion was induced by feeding a synthetic diet having the same composition as that used in a previous investigation (16).

Desoxycorticosterone acetate (DCA) in sesame oil (Cortate®, Schering) was given intramuscularly in a dose of 1.0 mg. per Kg. per day for periods lasting 6 to
24 days. Hydrocortisone (Cortef®, Schering) was administered intramuscularly in doses of 7.5 and 10 mg. per kg. per day for periods of 6 to 13 days. On the day of the experiment, 100 to 150 mg. were included in the priming and sustaining infusion.

Prior to all experiments the animals were rendered hydropenic by deprivation of water for 48 hours and withholding of food for 24 hours. After such preparation minimal rates of urine flow were observed, and one to three urine samples were collected over a period of from 30 to 90 minutes. Subsequently, osmotic diuresis was induced by intravenous administration of a 20 per cent mannitol solution given at a rate varying between 1 and 9 ml. per minute. This infusion contained a small amount of sodium chloride (4.5 Gm. per L.) to avoid marked changes in the plasma sodium concentration (19). Simultaneously, a second infusion was given at a rate of 0.4 ml. per minute to maintain the plasma level of creatinine and vasopressin (Pitressin®D, Parke, Davis and Co.) at a constant level. An appropriate priming infusion containing mannitol and vasopressin (40 to 340 mU) preceded this second infusion. An equilibration period of 20 to 65 minutes was allowed after the start of these two separate sustaining infusions before urine samples were collected. Almost all observations during mannitol infusions were made during increasing osmotic diuresis. Urine was collected by means of an indwelling catheter, residual urine being expelled by air. No washout fluid was used. Blood samples were drawn into heparinized syringes at the midpoint of each collection period either from the femoral artery or the jugular vein.

Plasma and urine samples were analyzed for creatinine (20), the clearance of which was used as a measure of glomerular filtration rate. Urine and plasma osmolality were determined cryoscopically using a Fiske osmometer. Analyses for potassium were done on preinfusion plasma samples by methods previously described (16). Glucose Tm and glucose titrations were performed on two animals prior to and following DCA administration. The methods used in these experiments were essentially those described in a recent publication from this laboratory (21).

RESULTS

1. Relationship between urine flow and urine osmolality in control and DCA-treated animals

The effects of varying degrees of mannitol diuresis on the relationship between urine flow and urine osmolality during a typical control experiment are shown in Table I. During the two preinfusion collections, the urine flow was at low levels of about 0.2 ml. per minute. Concomitantly, urine osmolality exceeded that of plasma about sevenfold as indicated by the respective osmotic U/P ratios. Both the osmolar clearance (Cosm) and the amount of solute-free water reabsorbed (TmH2O) have small absolute values.2 Urine flow

2 Hypertonic urine is envisaged as consisting of two hypothetical portions: 1) an isosmotic moiety (osmolar clearance, Cosm, equalling UsmV/Psm) and 2) that amount of solute-free water which has to be abstracted from it to produce the observed hypertonicity. This amount is referred to as TmH2O and numerically equals Csm−V. On the other hand, a hypotonic urine can be represented by an isosmotic portion (Csm) and that additional amount of solute-free water which would have to be added to reduce its osmotic concentration to that observed. This latter moiety equals V−Csm and is referred to as Cm0. This concept as presented is that of Wesson and Anslow (10), but certain important considerations as to its application should be noted (22).
increased markedly after the 20 per cent mannitol solution was infused at increasing rates. In this experiment the peak urine flow reached 9.0 ml. per minute. As the urine flow increased, urine osmolality fell progressively but at all times remained distinctly above that of plasma as can be seen from the respective osmotic U/P ratios which exceeded unity at all urine flows. It is evident that T'H₂O at first increased and then levelled off when urine flow rates exceeded about 2 ml. per minute. No significant changes in glomerular filtration rate were observed during the infusion of mannitol in this and other control experiments. The observed relationship between urine flow, osmolal clearance and T'H₂O is in essential agreement with findings reported by Page and Reem (19) and others (11, 22). It should be noted that similar results were obtained when higher doses of vasopressin (80 to 340 mU priming, 80 to 500 mU per hour sustaining infusion) were given. Furthermore, we never observed an increase in T'H₂O when the level of vasopressin was acutely increased by supplements of 100 and 200 mU vasopressin administered intravenously. This also is in agreement with Zak, Brun and Smith (11) indicating that hydropenia and hypertonic mannitol infusions produce maximal effects on the elaboration of an osmotically concentrated urine which cannot be enhanced by increasing the amounts of exogenous vasopressin.

The relationship between urine flow and urine osmolality was strikingly different after DCA treatment. A representative experiment is presented in Table II. Treatment with this steroid and the administration of a sodium chloride supplement resulted in a reduction of the preinfusion plasma potassium level to a subnormal value (2.5 mEq. per L). Urine osmolality at low urine flows was significantly reduced as can be seen from the low osmotic U/P ratios of 2.29 and 2.27, respectively. As urine flow increased, urine osmolality fell, and at flow rates of 4.4 ml. per minute and above, the urine formed became hypotonic (osmotic U/P ratios below 1.0). It is also apparent that the values of T'H₂O decreased steadily as the urine flow increased. Despite the continuing administration of vasopressin, higher rates of mannitol diuresis enhanced urinary hypotonicity leading to a progressive rise of C'H₂O from values of 0.26 ml. per minute to 2.60 ml. per minute at peak urine flows. Inspection of the last column shows that glomerular filtration rates remained fairly constant throughout the course of the experiment.

Figure 1 contains results of a typical sequence of three experiments (Experiments 14, 21 and 24, performed on Dog No. 1, see Tables III and IV) showing the effects of DCA treatment and potassium repletion. Data of the respective control experiment are included. Urine flow is plotted on the abscissa and osmolal clearance (Cosm) on the ordinate. Solute-free water clearance (C'H₂O) is given by the horizontal distance between the diagonal line (isomotic parameter) and the observed points to the right. The amount of solute-free

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results of an experiment showing the effect of DCA (1.0 mg. per Kg. per day for six days) on the elaboration of a concentrated urine in the hypodipsic state (Experiment 20, 23 Kg. dog) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140-150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Preinfusion plasma potassium level: 2.50 mEq. per L.
TABLE III
Osmotic diuresis during hydropenia and vasopressin administration in control and potassium repleted dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Dog 1</th>
<th>Dog 2</th>
<th>Dog 3</th>
<th>Dog 4</th>
<th>Dog 5</th>
<th>Dog 6</th>
<th>Dog 7</th>
<th>Dog 8</th>
<th>Dog 9</th>
<th>Dog 10</th>
<th>Dog 11</th>
<th>Dog 12</th>
<th>Dog 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine flow (mL/min)</td>
<td>0.13-11.0</td>
<td>0.12-7.0</td>
<td>0.19-5.5</td>
<td>0.19-9.0</td>
<td>0.09-9.4</td>
<td>0.15-10.4</td>
<td>0.09-9.5</td>
<td>0.10-6.0</td>
<td>0.05-7.5</td>
<td>0.08-12.6</td>
<td>0.10-8.8</td>
<td>0.12-6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. osmotic U/P ratio</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. T₉H₂O*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression coeff.†</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correl. coeff.‡</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma K⁺ (mEq/L)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (mean)</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma potassium levels (mU/hr)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Since the slope of Cₒsm on V (regression coefficient) varied among animals and often deviated significantly from 1.0, we have chosen to compare maximum solute-free water reabsorption instead of selecting a value of TₛH₂O at any arbitrarily selected urine flow rate.
† Regression of Cₒsm on V was calculated by the method of least squares taking such points at higher urine flow rates as showed linear regression of Cₒsm on V, thus care being taken not to include points within the range of splay of the titration curve at intermediate urine flow rates (38).
‡ Plasma potassium levels were measured before any infusions were given.

The experiments presented in Figure 1 illustrate two significant features. First, in the control and repletion experiment the osmotic clearance always exceeds the urine flow. It can be seen that the relationship between these two variables is such that the data generate a linear regression having a slope of approximately 1.0. In striking contrast, the slope is significantly less than 1.0 after DCA treatment. Second, it is evident that after DCA treatment a hypertonic urine was elaborated only at low urine flows. At about 5.4 mL per minute a transition from hyper- to hypotonicity occurred. Inspection of Figure 1 also shows that these observed changes (reduction in osmolar U/P ratios, decreased TₛH₂O, decrease in slope of Cₒsm on V) were almost completely reversible after DCA had been discontinued and oral potassium chloride supplements had been given for seven days. Essentially the same results were obtained in six similar sequences of experiments.

Figure 2 shows the effect of varying the amount of vasopressin after DCA treatment (Experiments 32, 33 and 34, performed on Dog No. 7, see Tables III and IV). In this animal, the effect of steroid administration was less marked. However, reduction of maximum osmolar U/P ratios at minimal urine flows and a decrease of TₛH₂O was observed. Furthermore, a small decrease in steepness of the slope of Cₒsm on V was seen. Doubling the amount of vasopressin had no significant effect on the process of urinary concen-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.10–9.10</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>295–312</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.21–9.40</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>304–331</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.14–9.20</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>301–339</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.08–6.80</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>300–323</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.14–11.46</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>302–340</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.12–11.20</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>308–335</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.12–3.02</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>308–363</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.10–11.50</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>300–332</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.23–13.53</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>309–358</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.08–8.00</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>317–354</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.06–8.64</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>310–354</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>20 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA + high NaCl</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.07–14.7</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>314–350</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>80 160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value: 2.90 ± 0.69
Standard deviation: 1.08 ± 0.56

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary K-Depletion</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.05–14.8</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>292–329</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>40 80</td>
<td>80 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary K-Depletion</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.08–11.2</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>296–327</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>80 160</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone +</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.08–10.3</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>287–313</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>40 80</td>
<td>80 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high NaCl</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.08–10.2</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>287–310</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>40 80</td>
<td>80 160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* † ‡ § See footnotes to Table III for explanation.
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Fig. 1. The relationship between urine flow and solute excretion under control conditions after DCA treatment and potassium repletion

Maximum osmotic U/P ratios were obtained at comparable low preinfusion urine flow rates.

In an additional experiment, the dose of vasopressin was acutely increased in a stepwise fashion (priming infusion 80, 160, 320 mU vasopressin, sustaining infusion 160, 320, 640 mU vasopressin per hour). These additional amounts of vasopressin also were without effect on $T_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}$ and the slope of $C_{\text{osm}}$ on V. This is in agreement with findings of other authors (8, 23) who observed that the polyuria developing after DCA treatment is vasopressin-resistant.

2. Relationship between urine flow and urine osmolality in animals on a high sodium chloride intake treated with hydrocortisone

In contrast to the results obtained with DCA, hydrocortisone in a dose of 7.5 mg. per Kg. per day for six days and continued in a dose of 10.0 mg. per Kg. per day for another week did not, with the exception of a small reduction of osmolar U/P ratios at low urine flows of questionable significance, result in a reduction of urinary concentrating ability. Plasma potassium levels were unchanged and filtration rate was unaltered after treatment with this steroid. Pertinent data are included in Tables III and IV.

3. Relationship between urine flow and urine osmolality after dietary potassium depletion

In one animal, a low potassium diet was given for a period of up to 38 days. Table III (Dog No. 8) and Table IV contain data on maximum osmolar U/P ratios, regression coefficients, plasma potassium levels and magnitude of glomerular filtration rate. A comparison of control values with data obtained after 35 and 38 days of feeding a low potassium diet shows that maximum osmotic U/P ratios, $T_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}$ and plasma potassium levels were reduced following potassium deprivation. Doub-
ling the dose of vasopressin had no noticeable effects on the process of forming an osmotically concentrated urine. These results are in agreement with those of other authors (4, 8) who found that dietary potassium depletion results in a significant reduction of the urinary concentrating ability which is resistant to vasopressin.

Figure 3 presents a summary of the relationship between preinfusion plasma potassium levels and osmotic U/P ratios at low urine flow rates during the several preinfusion control periods. In general, a reduced U/P ratio is found in states accompanied by a low plasma potassium level. Hollander and associates (8) also observed that the renal concentrating ability is almost linearly related to the degree of potassium depletion measured by these authors by the fall in muscle potassium. In many conditions the plasma potassium level is a poor parameter of the degree of potassium depletion. However, in our experiments it appears to be safe to assume that the reduction of the plasma potassium concentration does reflect a state of potassium deficiency. Balance studies in various species are in accord with this assumption (24, 25). It is also obvious from inspection of Figure 4 that hydrocortisone was ineffective in lowering plasma potassium levels.

A summary of pertinent data of all experiments is tabulated in Tables III and IV, permitting a comparison of individual animals under control and various experimental conditions. While most of the data are self-explanatory, several points deserve consideration. Inspection of Column 7 in Table III shows that the variation of the slope of regression lines in control experiments is considerable, ranging from 0.87 to 1.36. Inclusion of this latter value in the calculation of the mean (0.994) considerably biases this value, since in the majority of observations the slope relating urine flow to osmolar clearance deviates significantly from 1.0, being lower in 8 out of 11 control experiments. In man, values closer to unity and having a smaller
standard deviation were observed by Zak, Brun and Smith (11). It is possible that the relatively larger increase of plasma osmolality observed in our experiments (Column 9, Table III) might explain slopes consistently lower than 1.0 since a sharp rise in plasma osmolality might not be reflected immediately in the urine osmolality and result in an erroneously low osmotic U/P ratio (26). However, inspection of individual experimental protocols has not shown any clearcut relationship between rate of increase in plasma osmolality and regression coefficient. Comparison of data listed in Column 7 of Table III with those of Column 8 in Table IV indicates that in spite of similar variations in animals treated with DCA (on high NaCl intake), the regression coefficient is significantly lower in the latter, ranging from 0.621 to 1.03, with a mean of 0.777. Also, lower maximum osmotic U/P ratios (compare Column 5 in Table III with Column 6 in Table IV) and lower values of maximum $\Delta H_2O$ (compare Column 6 in Table III with Column 7 in Table IV) are typical for DCA-treated animals on a high sodium chloride intake. Little significance should be attached to the absolute values of maximum $\Delta H_2O$ (Column 8, Table IV) other than indicating a significant degree of hypotonicity absent in untreated control animals over the same range of urine flows. Since most of the regression coefficients (Column 9, Table IV) are smaller than 1.0, the absolute value of $\Delta H_2O$ obviously depends on the maximum urine flow, a value showing variations among individual animals (Column 5, Table IV).

Tables III and IV permit a comparison of preinfusion plasma potassium levels in control and steroid-treated animals (Column 10, Table III and Column 12, Table IV). DCA was found to be most effective in depressing plasma potassium levels in dogs on a high sodium intake.

Finally, a striking feature in all experiments is the high coefficient of correlation for linear regression (see Column 8, Table III and Column 9, Table IV). Also, a similar high correlation coefficient, quite often in excess of 0.99, was observed in control and steroid-treated animals. Thus, in spite of large variations in the absolute values of the regression coefficients (slopes) under various experimental conditions, there is maintained a high degree of constancy of those factors which affect the relationship between urine flow and osmotic clearance, implying considerable regularity of the underlying physiological process (11).

**DISCUSSION**

The most important feature of our observations is that administration of DCA in hydropenic dogs results in a reduced concentrating ability of the kidney. In spite of substantial infusions of exogenous antidiuretic hormone, this effect is exaggerated at higher urine flow rates and thus is frequently associated with the formation of a urine markedly hypotonic to plasma. According to presently held views, the formation of an osmotically concentrated urine is envisaged in the following manner (27-29): Glomerular filtrate is reduced in volume during its passage along the proximal tubule by reabsorption of an isosmotic fluid.

---

*In agreement with results obtained by other authors (22), we have observed in some control experiments the excretion of a hypotonic urine during massive osmotic diuresis (urine flows above 15 ml. per minute). Therefore, we have arbitrarily limited our observations to lower flow rates.*
Therefore, no change in the osmotic pressure of
the tubule fluid occurs at this site. Active sodium
transport out of the water-impermeable distal part
of Henle's loop dilutes the tubular urine and
achieves hypertonicity of the medullary interstitial
fluid. In the presence of endogenous or exogenous
antidiuretic hormone, the distal tubule and collect-
ing duct is relatively water-permeable. Conse-
sequently the hypotonicity of the tubular urine is
dissipated as water equilibrates with cortical inter-
stitial fluid, resulting in the elaboration of a re-
duced volume of isosmotic fluid in the second part
of the distal convoluted tubule. Finally, the col-
lecting ducts, being permeable to water, permit fur-
ther equilibration, this time with the hypertonic
medullary interstitial fluid, rendering the final
urine osmotically more concentrated than plasma.

We believe that the reduced ability of the kidney
to concentrate the urine after DCA treatment is
due, at least to some extent, to diminished perme-
ability of the distal tubule and the collecting duct
to water; thus less water leaves the tubule lumen
in response to the osmotic gradient established by
solute reabsorption. In particular it is suggested
that the frequently observed hypotonicity of the
urine represents a failure of the second part of the
distal convolute and the collecting duct to concen-
trate the hypotonic fluid which emerges from
Henle's loop. As urine flow increases and less
time is available for the dissipation of an osmotic
gradient across a membrane less permeable to wa-
ter, the final urine would, in essence, approach the
tonicity of the fluid within the first part of the
distal tubule. The reduction of the slope of the
regression line of \( C_{\text{osm}} \) on \( V \), almost invariably
observed after DCA treatment, is in accord with
such an interpretation. Thus, the reduced slopes
are consistent with our view that in the presence
of diminished water-permeability of the distal tu-
bular epithelium, reabsorption of water, presumed
to be a passive process, does not, as usually, pro-
ceed from a hyposmotic to an isosmotic level. As
urine flow increases at higher rates of solute excre-
tion (increasing mannitol diuresis), back-diffusion
of water may progressively lag behind the reabsorp-
tion of solutes, thereby preventing the attainment
of an osmotic equilibrium between hyposmotic tu-
bular urine and peritubular fluid. Abstraction of
only a small amount of solute-free water from an
increasingly hypotonic fluid would lead first to a
reduction of \( T_{\text{H}_{2}O} \) and, at higher urine flow rates,
to a progressive increase in \( C_{\text{H}_{2}O} \). Only at low
urine flow rates would the fluid traversing the
distal tubule be rendered isosmotic, since only then
sufficient time would be available for the dissipa-
tion of the osmotic gradient between tubular urine
and cortical interstitial fluid. Indeed, micropunc-
ture studies by Gottschalk, Mylle, Winters and
Welt (30) have shown that the osmolality of distal
tubule fluid in hydropenic, potassium-deple-
ted rats was similar to that of control animals,
in spite of the fact that in the former group the
urine was less concentrated. Abstraction of a re-
duced amount of solute-free water from an iso-
smotic distal tubular fluid is consistent with our
results and those obtained by other authors (8, 30)
showing that, although diminished, osmolar U/P
ratios in excess of unity are regularly observed at
low urine flows. According to this interpretation,
the decrease in final water abstraction at low urine
flows would be attributable to a diminished perme-
ability of the collecting ducts to water.

A second line of evidence in favor of the col-
clecting duct system to be involved in the functional
defect of the renal concentrating mechanism is the
finding of Oliver and his associates (31) that the
collecting ducts are uniformly affected in potas-
sium depleted rats. Their observations of swelling
and hyperplasia of the tubular epithelium and in-
tracellular accumulation of granules as well as
marked thickening of the basement membrane
might be consistent with decreased water perme-
ability of these renal tubular structures.

While the results of our experiments can be ex-
plained by decreased permeability of the distal
tubules and the collecting duct system to water,
a number of alternative or additional possibilities
must be considered.

First, sodium reabsorption might be diminished
at some critical site within the nephron after DCA
and/or potassium depletion. Failure of sodium
conservation, either at the level of Henle's loop
(13, 14) or the collecting ducts (32), could re-
sult in diminished hypertonicity of medullary in-
terstitial fluid. As a consequence, diffusion of
water out of the terminal part of the nephron due
to a diminished osmotic concentration gradient
might render the urine less concentrated.4

4 A number of sodium transport mechanisms are known
to be sensitive to lack of potassium (33-36). Since proxi-
Second, sodium reabsorption may be relatively enhanced following DCA administration, increasing free water and rendering the fluid within the distal tubule and collecting duct more hypotonic. Again, particularly at high urine flow rates, water reabsorption might lag sufficiently to permit excretion of hypotonic urine. While in dietary potassium depletion no increase in hypotonicity of the early distal tubule fluid has been found in micropuncture studies (30), such a possibility cannot be ruled out in steroid-treated animals. Also, sodium and chloride retention are known to occur during the development of experimental potassium depletion (4).

Third, although it is very unlikely, changes in filtration rate could have been responsible for the observed alterations in the renal concentrating process. A comparison of mean control filtration rates (Column 11, Table III) with data obtained in DCA-treated animals (Column 13, Table IV) shows that usually there was at most a moderate decrease in filtration rate in the latter group. However, a reduction in glomerular filtration rate of the magnitude observed would tend to increase urine osmolality rather than to decrease it (28, 37). To test whether nephron activity was changed following DCA administration associated with a state of potassium deficiency, glucose $T_m$ measurements were done on two animals (Dogs No. 1 and 2) prior to and following steroid treatment. No change was observed in spite of marked and typical alterations in the urinary concentrating ability. Also, glucose titrations showed no difference in splay. Hence, we believe that alterations in filtration rate or of nephron perfusion are excluded as the factor responsible for the difference in the concentrating ability which we observed.

**SUMMARY**

1. Administration of DCA (1.0 mg. per Kg. per day for 6 to 24 days) to dogs on a high sodium chloride intake resulted in reduction of maximum osmotic U/P ratios at low urine flows in the hydopenic state. During mannitol diuresis and vasopressin infusion, the amount of solute-free water reabsorption ($T^{\text{H}_{2}O}_{\text{H}_{2}O}$) was significantly decreased. At urine flow rates above 5 ml. per minute, the urine was frequently hypotonic. The slope of osmolal clearance on urine flow was significantly less (mean, 0.777) than in control animals (0.994). These changes were vasopressin-resistant. Plasma potassium levels were reduced, indicating potassium deficiency. Discontinuation of DCA and administration of potassium chloride supplements showed that the observed alterations were reversible.

2. Hydrocortisone (7.5 mg. per Kg. per day and 10.0 mg. per Kg. per day for periods of 6 to 13 days) did not significantly decrease maximum osmolar U/P ratios, $T^{\text{H}_{2}O}_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ or the slope relating $C_{\text{osm}}$ to $V$. This steroid was also ineffective in dogs on a high sodium chloride intake to reduce the plasma potassium level.

3. The data presented have been interpreted to indicate a diminished permeability of the renal epithelium of the distal tubules and collecting ducts to water after DCA and potassium depletion. However, redistribution of solute reabsorption within the nephron has not been excluded.
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