This study was designed to investigate the pathogenesis of type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis.

Urinary and blood Pco₂ tensions were determined when the pH of the urine was equal to or exceeded the corresponding blood pH. This provided an indication of net hydrogen ion secretion in the distal nephron. In 16 normal subjects, the Pco₂ of the urine exceeded blood values (U-B Pco₂) by 32.7±3.1 mm Hg. In contrast, the urinary Pco₂ tensions in 10 patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis were not significantly greater than blood values (U-B Pco₂ = 2.0±2.2 mm Hg).

These results indicate that type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis is caused by failure of the cells of the distal nephron to secrete hydrogen ions rather than to gradient-limited hydrogen ion addition to the urine. This is suggested by the fact that urinary Pco₂ levels should be higher than blood Pco₂ levels when hydrogen ions are secreted into urine containing bicarbonate in the distal nephron and they were not in this study despite the presence of a favorable hydrogen ion gradient (tubular fluid pH exceeded blood pH).
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A B S T R A C T This study was designed to investigate the pathogenesis of type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis. Urinary and blood P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} tensions were determined when the pH of the urine was equal to or exceeded the corresponding blood pH. This provided an indication of net hydrogen ion secretion in the distal nephron. In 16 normal subjects, the P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} of the urine exceeded blood values (U-B P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}}) by 32.7±3.1 mm Hg. In contrast, the urinary P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} tensions in 10 patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis were not significantly greater than blood values (U-B P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}}=2.0±2.2 mm Hg). These results indicate that type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis is caused by failure of the cells of the distal nephron to secrete hydrogen ions rather than to gradient-limited hydrogen ion addition to the urine. This is suggested by the fact that urinary P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} levels should be higher than blood P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} levels when hydrogen ions are secreted into urine containing bicarbonate in the distal nephron and they were not in this study despite the presence of a favorable hydrogen ion gradient (tubular fluid pH exceeded blood pH).

INTRODUCTION
Renal tubular acidosis is a clinical syndrome characterized by a sustained metabolic acidosis in which there is a low concentration of serum bicarbonate and an approximately commensurate elevation in serum chloride. This syndrome has been regarded as a consequence of an inability to excrete the normal dietary acid load in the urine. Renal tubular acidosis can be subdivided into two major classes. In the proximal type (II), bicarbonate reabsorption is significantly reduced causing acidosis with a urine pH that is inappropriately high. When the bicarbonate concentration falls below the tubular threshold, bicarbonaturia will disappear and urine pH decreases to normal minimum values, suggesting that the acidification process of the distal nephron is intact (for reviews see references 3-6).

Classical (type I or distal) renal tubular acidosis is characterized by metabolic acidosis secondary to an inability of the cells of the distal nephron to produce a sufficient hydrogen ion gradient between blood and urine regardless of the degree of systemic acidosis (7). The defect could be: (a) an increased rate of hydrogen ion back diffusion from the urine despite a normal distal nephron hydrogen ion secretory rate; (b) normal secretory capacity of the distal nephron but an inability to secrete hydrogen ions against a significant hydrogen ion gradient; or (c) localized destruction and/or dysfunction of the distal nephron cells that secrete hydrogen ions. Unlike proximal renal tubular acidosis, bicarbonate excretion in this type of renal tubular acidosis is less than 15% of the tubular maximum.

Urinary P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} levels can be used to evaluate hydrogen ion secretion in the distal nephron (collecting duct) providing the following minimum criteria are met: (a) Bicarbonate must be present in sufficient quantity in the urine at this site (urine pH in these studies was greater than 7.4). (b) Carbonic anhydrase must be absent from the luminal surface in the distal nephron causing delayed dehydration of H\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (8-10). (c) The lower urinary tract must be relatively impermeable to carbon dioxide formed in this way. There are numerous reports in the literature to support these criteria (8-12). Therefore urinary P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} levels could provide a qualitative index for collecting duct hydrogen-ion secretion. In our studies, we measured the urinary P\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} levels in patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis and in normal subjects. Results to be presented indicate that type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis is most likely caused by destruction and/or dysfunction of the cells of the distal nephron that secrete hydrogen ions against a
clinchal and Biochemical Information on 10 Patients with Type I (Distal) Renal Tubular Acidosis

### Blood values on therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Cr (mg/100 ml)</th>
<th>Na (meq/liter)</th>
<th>K (meq/liter)</th>
<th>Cl (meq/liter)</th>
<th>CO² (meg/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. T.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. W.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. M.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. W.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Del.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. N.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. S.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. H.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Acid-load studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Urine pH (minimum)</th>
<th>Blood CO² (meg/liter)</th>
<th>Blood pH</th>
<th>Clinical data</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. T.</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>spont.</td>
<td>Nc</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. W.</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>spont.</td>
<td>U, Nc, Nl</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S.</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NH₄Cl(U)</td>
<td>U, Nc, Nl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B.</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>U, Nc, Nl</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. M.</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>Nc, Nl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. W.</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>U, Nc, Nl</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Del.</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>U, Nc, Nl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. N.</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>U, Nl</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. S.</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. H.</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>spont.</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NaHCO₃ to correct acidosis (milliequivalents per kilogram per day).
† These patients were studied in detail and reported in Table V.
§ Spont. = spontaneous; Nc = nephrocalcinosis; U = history of urinary tract infection; NH₄Cl = ammonium chloride load (7); Nl = nephrolithiasis.
‖ Incomplete renal tubular acidosis.

steep gradient rather than to either an increased back diffusion of hydrogen ions in the presence of a normal hydrogen ion secretory rate or gradient-limited hydrogen ion secretion (gradient-type lesions). This conclusion was drawn from the fact that urine minus blood Pco₂ (U-B Pco₂)1 levels were increased in normal subjects when given a sodium bicarbonate load, but they were not elevated in patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis under conditions when no secretory gradients for hydrogen ion existed.

**METHODS**

**Subjects.** 10 unrelated patients (ages 4-67 yr) with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis and 16 normal subjects (ages 21-64 yr) were studied. For purposes of clarity, the patients with renal tubular acidosis are identified by their initials. Most of these patients had either medullary nephrocalcinosis or nephrolithiasis. There was no history of nephrotoxic drug exposure, obstructive uropathy, or dysproteinemia. Most patients had a history of urinary tract infections in the past, but none were actively infected at the time of this study. In each case of type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis, the diagnosis was established by the NH₄Cl-loading test of Wrong and Davies (6). The minimum urine pH achieved in these patients was greater than 5.9 despite the induced systemic metabolic acidosis. This diagnosis was supported by the fact that the sodium bicarbonate could be maintained within normal limits by 2.0 meq/kg/day or less of sodium bicarbonate. Pertinent clinical data are presented in Table I.

The normal subjects all achieved a urine pH of 5.35 or less on the second voided fasting a.m. urine or on the NH₄Cl-loading test (7). All subjects had normal serum potassium levels and were free of obvious disease.

1 Abbreviation used in this paper: U-B Pco₂, urine minus blood Pco₂.

**Procedures**

**General.** 26 subjects were investigated. All studies were initiated in the morning (9:00 a.m.) with breakfast withheld. There were at least two sets of observations on each subject. A urinalysis, urine pH, Pco₂ and CO₂ content, serum sodium, potassium, chloride, CO₂ content, and creatinine of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were done before the study. Acidification and bicarbonate-loading studies were done on separate days. An oral sodium bicarbonate load of 0.5-2.0 meq/kg body weight with 500 cm³ of water was then taken on the morning of study by each subject. The dose of sodium bicarbonate was adjusted so that the urine pH would be greater than the corresponding blood pH. A second voided urine, and blood samples were analyzed as above. Urine samples were accepted only if the pH of the preceding sample was greater than 7.0 to minimize CO₂ production from mixing of alkaline and acid urines in the bladder. In three subjects the sodium bicarbonate was also administered intravenously in a separate study.

All urine samples were aspirated into a sealed syringe and kept anaerobic for pH, Pco₂, and CO₂ content determinations immediately after collection. Values that did not agree when applied to the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation were reanalyzed or discarded. The samples were retained at 0-4°C for this purpose.

**Blood sampling.** In patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis, an arterial blood sample was utilized to provide a minimum estimate of the renal medullary Pco₂. In normal subjects, all blood determinations were done on venous blood, as the magnitude of the U-B Pco₂ gradient removed the necessity to obtain the minimum estimate of renal medullary Pco₂. Before obtaining the sample from the antecubital vein, the subject remained recumbent for at least 10 min. The blood sample was obtained without the use of a tourniquet and forearm muscular contraction was avoided as much as possible.

**Urine sampling.** In a pilot study we demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the urine Pco₂ be-
Minimum urine pH was achieved on spontaneously voided a.m. specimens in 13 subjects. An NH₄Cl load (7) was required in three subjects to achieve a urine pH of less than 5.30. All subjects received 0.5–2.0 meq NaHCO₃/kg body wt. The urine pH exceeded the venous blood pH in each study.

REPORTED RESULTS

Chloride was measured by the autoanalyzer method of Zall, Fisher, and Garner (15). Serum and urinary CO₂ content were measured by the method described by Skeggs (16), adapted for use in the AutoAnalyzer. BUN and creatinine were determined by standard autoanalyzer methods. Phosphorus was measured by the method of Fiske and Subbarow (17). Blood pH and Pco₂ and urinary Pco₂ were anaerobically determined immediately at 38°C with an Instrumentation Laboratory model 313 pH blood gas analyzer (Instrumentation Laboratory, Inc., Lexington, Mass.). Urinary pH was also determined immediately with a Radiometer pH meter, model pH M 22 Q (Radiometer Co., Copenhagen, Denmark). These experimentally derived values were tested in the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation and only those values which were within a 10% variation were accepted.

The urinary buffer curves were determined by the back titration of 1-ml portions of urine from pH 4.5 to 8.5 with 0.133 N NaOH after the removal of bicarbonate by acidification and aeration for 4 h. The titrant was delivered in 0.02-ml quantities by a Radiometer ABU12 AutoBurette into a Radiometer TTA31 microtitration assembly controlled by a Radiometer TTTtC automatic titrator. As the buffer curve was linear in all cases, the buffer capacity was determined by dividing the urine buffer concentration by the pH change.

**RESULTS**

**Normal subjects.** All normal subjects in this study achieved a urine pH which was equal to or greater than the pH of their venous blood after the ingestion of 0.5–2 meq/kg of sodium bicarbonate. At this time there was
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TABLE III
Urine and Blood Measurements during Acute Bicarbonate Loading in Patients with Type I (Distal) Renal Tubular Acidosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Cr (mg/100 ml)</th>
<th>Na (meq/liter)</th>
<th>K (meq/liter)</th>
<th>Cl (mm Hg)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mm Hg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Pco₂ (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Urine pH</th>
<th>Pco₂ (mm Hg)</th>
<th>U - B Pco₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. T.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. W.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. W.</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. M.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Del.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. N.</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. S.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. H.</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All subjects received 0.5-2.0 meq/kg of sodium bicarbonate. The blood values represent the midpoint in the collection period selected for presentation. Serial collection periods were performed in each patient. The period in which the urine pH equalled or exceeded blood pH is presented for each patient.

no significant change in their blood Pco₂, but the urine Pco₂ increased markedly. The U-B Pco₂ was 32.7±3.1 mm Hg. These data are presented in Table II.

 Patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis. When the urine pH was elevated to levels equal to or greater than blood pH, the urinary Pco₂ level did not rise appreciably. The U-B Pco₂ was 2.0±2.2 mm Hg in these subjects (Table III). All the values for the U-B Pco₂ differences reported in Table III are maximum-observed values recorded for this parameter as several of the studies were repeated on separate occasions and on multiple samples. The U-B Pco₂ difference is therefore significantly lower in patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis than in normal subjects (P < 0.001). If there was CO₂ loss after the urine left the renal pelvis, this could have lowered the absolute U-B Pco₂ difference in this study. However, identical methods of collection were employed in both normal subjects and the patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis, and therefore similar losses should have occurred in both groups. For this reason, the absolute magnitude of the U-B Pco₂ difference between the two groups should not be affected. Our results confirm the observations of Pak Poy and Wrong (13) and establish conclusively that patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis have an impaired capacity to elevate their urine Pco₂ after bicarbonate ingestion.

To conclusively establish that the tubular fluid pH exceeded the blood pH, four subjects with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis and a normal serum creatinine were restudied. Small U-B Pco₂ and pH differences could have been overlooked when venous blood and open

TABLE IV
Urine and Arterial Blood Determinations after Bicarbonate Loading in Four Patients with Type I (Distal) Renal Tubular Acidosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Na (meq/liter)</th>
<th>K (mm Hg)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mg/100 ml)</th>
<th>Cl (mm Hg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>V (ml/min)</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻ (μm/min)</th>
<th>PO₄ (μg/min)</th>
<th>Buffer capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. T.</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. S.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. B.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. W.</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For details, see Table III. Arterial blood was obtained in all patients. The urine was collected under oil by catheterization in patients Y. T., M. S., and G. B.

Halperin, Goldstein, Haig, Johnson, and Stinebaugh
air voiding were employed (Table III). Therefore, these studies were repeated with larger doses of sodium bicarbonate, arterial blood sampling, and urinary catheterization. There was no significant elevation of the urinary Pco₂ in these patients (Table IV) despite the demonstration of excretion rates of bicarbonate and phosphate which are associated with urinary Pco₂ elevations in normal subjects (13, 18). The absence of a significant U-B Pco₂ difference in these patients indicates that delayed dehydration did not occur and suggests that the collecting duct and final urine pH are identical. As the urine pH exceeded the blood pH by 0.60, 0.62, and 0.64 U in three of these patients (Y. T., M. S., and G. B.), it is readily evident that the diffusion gradient for hydrogen ion in the collecting duct was into rather than out of the tubular lumen. Calculations outlined in footnote 2 quantitate the degree of CO₂ loss required to raise the pH of the collecting duct tubular fluid in these studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Technical considerations in the urinary Pco₂ methods

To avoid the problem of nonconstancy of blood Pco₂, results in this study were expressed as U-B Pco₂ as

\[ \text{pH}_{RP} = 6.1 + \log \frac{\text{HCO}_3^{\text{RP}}}{0.03(\text{PCO}_2^U + 45)} \]  

(1)  

\[ \text{HCO}_3^{\text{RP}} = (\text{pH}_U - \text{pH}_{RP}) \cdot BC + \text{HCO}_3^U \]  

(2)

where \( \text{RP} \) = renal pelvis; \( U = \) urinary, and \( BC = \) buffer capacity. Applying the data to patients Y. T., M. S., and G. B., the renal pelvis urine pH would be 7.69, 7.75, and 7.71, respectively. The assumed Pco₂ decrement of 45 mm Hg would have arisen from the delayed dehydration of 1.35 mmol H₂CO₃ (45 × 0.03). This would necessitate the release of 1.35 mmol of H⁺ from urinary buffers to react with urinary bicarbonate. The magnitude of pH change is calculated from the buffer capacity measured in this urine (Table IV) and represents a pH change of 0.21, 0.19, and 0.11, respectively. By subtraction, the calculated collecting duct pH would have been 7.46, 7.55, and 7.58—i.e. still greater than the corresponding arterial pH values. As urinary Pco₂ losses of this magnitude are extremely unlikely to occur, we can conclude with confidence that the collecting duct pH exceeded the arterial blood pH in these three cases.

**Table V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urine Pco₂ Values during Bicarbonate Loading in Patients with Type I (Distal) Renal Tubular Acidosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate-loading studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0–7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was extracted from studies in the literature on subjects with renal tubular acidosis in whom the urinary Pco₂ was either measured directly or could be calculated. These values represent mean values of several observations.

...recommended by Portwood, Seldin, Rector, and Cade (18). If urines of acid and alkaline pH were to mix in the bladder, such as would occur when plasma acid-base conditions are changing, urine with a high Pco₂ would result. Therefore, urines were collected every 30–60 min and the samples were rejected if the prior urine collection had an acid pH. We obviously could not prevent the admixture of acid and alkaline urines formed in heterogeneous nephrons, however, if this were the basis for the high U-B Pco₂ tension described, it would have applied only to normal subjects and not to patients with distal renal tubular acidosis.

**Urinary Pco₂ levels in alkaline urine**

The Pco₂ level in freshly voided alkaline urine is considerably greater than that of blood (26–24). This observation was confirmed in this report (Table II). The urinary Pco₂ levels obtained under similar circumstances in patients with distal renal tubular acidosis are in marked contrast to those observed in normal subjects. Our results (Table III) confirm the findings of Pak Poy and Wrong (13) and clearly establish that there is a diminished capacity for patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis to elevate the Pco₂ level in alkaline urine. Similar observations in type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis were also present in the results of several other authors (Table V). This defect is present in patients with both overt and incomplete type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis.
renal tubular acidosis (patients who maintain normal serum bicarbonate levels, but fail to lower urine pH appropriately after an NH4Cl challenge). To appreciate the significance of these findings with regard to the pathogenesis of this disease, we must consider the process by which the urinary PCO2 is elevated in normal subjects.

Physiological of elevated urinary PCO2 levels in alkaline urine

Delayed dehydration of HCO3−. Ochwadt and Pitts (35) performed the most conclusive experiments to support the concept that delayed dehydration of HCO3− is the mechanism for the high urinary PCO2 tensions of alkaline urine. Intravenous infusion of carbonic anhydrase completely abolished the U-B PCO2 difference in alkaline urine. Since the final urine contained carbonic anhydrase activity, they inferred that the HCO3− dehydration reaction was in equilibrium in this study. They concluded that disequilibrium in this reaction in the distal nephron was responsible for the elevated PCO2 tensions. Direct support for the theory that hydrogen ion secretion was responsible for HCO3− formation was provided by Rector et al. (for reviews see references 10, 36, 37). The pH of the distal nephron urine was measured by two methods and averaged 0.85 pH units lower when measured directly as compared with measurements with the equilibrium concentration of HCO3− (quinhydrone pH electrode). They concluded, together with the data cited above, that the acid disequilibrium pH of the distal nephron provides strong support for the theory of hydrogen ion secretion and could be predicted by the delayed dehydration theory of Pitts and Lotspeich (28). The presence of a disequilibrium pH in alkaline tubular fluid was confirmed recently by Vieira and Malnic (39) employing antimony electrodes.

Mixing hypothesis. Kennedy, Orloff, and Berliner (30) have proposed that alkaline and acid urines delivered from heterogeneous nephrons are mixed in the collecting duct system, thereby forming H2CO3 and hence resulting in a high U-B PCO2 gradient. This “mixing hypothesis” required the presence of urinary buffer for proton donation from the acid pH urine. Kennedy, Eden, and Berliner (31) demonstrated that dehydration of H2CO3 was immeasurably rapid in the absence of nonbicarbonate buffer despite the absence of carbonic anhydrase. However, nonbicarbonate buffer is always present in the urine. Portwood et al. (18) have shown that very small amounts of buffer such as were present in their studies, will delay the dehydration of H2CO3 sufficiently to generate high U-B PCO2 gradients. These authors (18) concluded that “the excretion of buffer although influencing urine CO2 tension to some extent, has only a minor effect in the range of buffer excretion ordinarily encountered.” In addition there is no difference in urinary buffer excretion when one compares normals and patients with distal renal tubular acidosis (13, 40).

Kennedy et al. (30) proposed two major inconsistencies with the theory of delayed dehydration of H2CO3. (a) Urine PCO2 tensions increased as the concentration of urinary buffer increased. This could still be explained by the delayed dehydration hypothesis as follows: increased nonbicarbonate buffer levels would be titrated to the lower, disequilibrium pH in the distal nephron (caused by H2CO3 accumulation). As H2CO3 is dehydrated nonenzymatically in the lower urinary system, urinary pH would tend to rise. The large reservoir of potential hydrogen ions in the nonbicarbonate buffers will now donate protons and titrate some of the bicarbonate present. This would cause an additional elevation of the urine H2CO3 and thereby increase the urinary PCO2 level. (b) They postulated that carbonic anhydrase inhibitors would abolish hydrogen ion secretion in the distal nephron and should minimize U-B PCO2 gradients. These agents did not do so. Moreover, micropuncture studies of the distal nephron have demonstrated that hydrogen ion secretion of the distal nephron is not decreased, but actually increased by these agents (38). Therefore this second major objection to the theory of delayed H2CO3 dehydration is also invalid.

Role of the countercurrent system in the control of urinary PCO2. The role of the countercurrent system in the formation of the high urinary PCO2 had been considered previously by Pak Poy and Wrong (13). Based on the observation that patients with renal tubular acidosis often had impaired ability to concentrate the urine, they argued that the principal reason that patients with renal tubular acidosis could not elevate the urinary PCO2 with bicarbonate loading was due to their inability to create a gradient for CO2 due to their lack of concentrating ability. Rector (10, 36, 37) also underscored the importance of the countercurrent system to determine urinary PCO2 levels. He based his reasoning on the fact that the disequilibrium pH of the distal tubule was reduced by only 0.85 pH units. He concluded that lower disequilibrium pH values of 1.5–2.0 pH units would be required to generate the observed urinary CO2 tensions. However, it must be pointed out that measurements were made in the distal tubule and not in the collecting duct where the required magnitude for disequilibrium pH might have been achieved.

Two of our patients (G. B. and R. M.) had a normal concentrating capacity (Umax 875), yet were unable to elevate the urine PCO2 and establish a U-B PCO2 gradient after bicarbonate administration. This fact is more conclusive evidence demonstrating that a defect in the ability to concentrate the urine is not responsible for
the inability of patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis to elevate the urinary Pco₂ after bicarbonate administration.

A recent study by Uhlich, Baldamus, and Ulrich (34) clarifies the mechanisms leading to the development of an elevated Pco₂ in alkaline urine. These investigators measured bicarbonate, Pco₂, and pH in the renal artery, vasa recta, and collecting duct samples during saline infusion, bicarbonate infusion, and after the administration of carbonic anhydrase or Diamox. They demonstrated that during bicarbonate infusion the vasa recta Pco₂ exceeds that in the renal arterial by only 10 mm Hg. Further, the equilibrium value for Pco₂ in the collecting duct was 30 mm Hg higher than the vasa recta value. During carbonic anhydrase infusion there was no significant difference between the renal artery, vasa recta, or collecting duct Pco₂ tensions. These results are strongly suggestive that the major portions of the rise in urinary Pco₂ is due to secretion of H⁺ into the distal tubule and collecting duct with delayed dehydration causing the formation of CO₂ in portions of the collecting system which are relatively impermeable to CO₂. Furthermore, the elevation of papillary Pco₂ to a value greater than arterial Pco₂ during bicarbonate infusion is most likely the result of medullary trapping of CO₂ formed by secretion of H⁺ into the distal tubule and delivery to the collecting duct and papilla as a result of delayed dehydration.

From the foregoing analysis it can be appreciated that the U-B Pco₂ gradient in alkaline urine is primarily the result of secretions of H⁺ into the distal tubule and collecting duct with subsequent delayed dehydration. It follows then, that the U-B Pco₂ gradient during HCO₃⁻ loading can serve as a qualitative index of the capacity of the distal nephron to secrete H⁺ hydrogen ions.

**Interpretation of Pco₂ levels in alkaline urine in renal tubular acidosis**

The evidence reviewed above is strongly suggestive that the ability to elevate the urine Pco₂ after bicarbonate administration depends on the ability to secrete hydrogen ions into the distal nephron. Therefore the capacity to raise the urinary Pco₂ and establish significant U-B Pco₂ gradients is a qualitative measurement of the hydrogen ion-secretory capacity of the distal nephron. The inability of patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis to elevate their urinary Pco₂ during bicarbonate loading is indicative that there is an impaired capacity to secrete hydrogen ions in the distal nephron. Our study was designed to obtain the urine pH as great as or greater than blood pH to insure that no gradient between blood and urine would be present in the distal nephron. As there was no significant U-B Pco₂ gradient in the patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis, we can assume that delayed dehydration did not occur and that the collecting duct and final urine pH were similar. The failure of hydrogen ion secretion to occur under these circumstances implies a marked diminution or even a complete absence of hydrogen ion secretory capacity in the distal nephron rather than an inability to secrete hydrogen ions against a gradient. For these same reasons, the continued secretion of hydrogen ions but the inability to obtain a low tubular fluid urine pH because of hydrogen ion back diffusion down a concentration gradient can be excluded as the mechanism for distal renal tubular acidosis (if this were the case hydrogen ion secretion and delayed dehydration should have been demonstrable).

The hypothesis that distal renal tubular acidosis is due to localized dysfunction of the cells in the distal nephron (presumably in the collecting duct) is consistent with most of the available information about the disease. The bicarbonate reabsorptive capacity (T-\( \text{HCO}_3^- \)) in distal renal tubular acidosis is usually normal (3, 23, 25). This is compatible with our hypothesis in view of the limited secretory capacity of the collecting duct in relation to the other segments of the nephron (10). It is unlikely, therefore, that even in the event of a complete absence of hydrogen ion secretion throughout the collecting duct, that a significant decrease in the total hydrogen ion-secretory capacity could be detected. In addition, patients with distal renal tubular acidosis are often moderately sodium and potassium depleted (39, 40), both of which augment proximal tubular bicarbonate reabsorption, and slight augmentation of proximal tubular bicarbonate reabsorptive capacity could easily mask decreased or absent bicarbonate reabsorption in the collecting duct.

The ability of patients with type I (distal) renal tubular acidosis to increase the excretion of titratable acid after phosphate infusion is well established (23, 25, 41). This finding is compatible with the absence of hydrogen ion-secretory function in the collecting duct. Available evidence suggests that hydrogen ion secretion in the proximal and distal tubules is gradient limited and operates below capacity under normal conditions (38, 42, 43). Therefore increasing the phosphate load to these nephron segments should progressively augment the titratable acid excretion. In addition, if man can lower the distal tubular fluid pH to 6.0-6.2 as can the rat (38, 42), then phosphate would be titrated to 75% of its capacity by the end of the distal tubule. Therefore the collecting duct hydrogen-ion secretion would not be expected to contribute greatly to the phosphate titration.

Bicarbonate excretion increases directly as a function of the urine flow rate (23). This was interpreted as evidence of a gradient-limited defect. In the absence of
hydrogen ion secretion in the collecting duct, the only
distal hydrogen ion-secretory cells in this situation
would be the cells of the distal tubule. As these cells are
thought to be primarily gradient limited with the maxi-
mum gradient being 6.0-6.2 (38, 42, 43), the absence
of collecting duct hydrogen ion-secretory function
would, in effect, mimic a “gradient-limited” type of de-
fect in this respect.

An additional finding in patients with type I (distal)
renal tubular acidosis which requires consideration is the
observation by Reynolds (23) that phosphate infusion
causes a marked increase in the urinary PCO₂ in pa-
ients who did not raise the urinary PCO₂ during bi-
carbonate infusion. Phosphate plays a central role in
the production of elevated urinary PCO₂ tensions as it is
the principal urinary buffer under normal conditions.
The urinary buffer plays two roles in raising the ur-
iinary PCO₂. (a) It supplies the great magnitude of the
hydrogen ions when the pH rises as a result of delayed
dehydration of H₂CO₃ (8). (b) It is largely responsible
for delaying the dehydration of carbonic acid in the
distal nephron (31).

In normal subjects and patients with type I (distal)
renal tubular acidosis, the normal base-line buffer ex-
cretion is similar (18)⁴ and adequate to accomplish both
roles. Why pharmacological quantities of phosphate can
raise the urinary PCO₂ in some patients with type I (distal)
renal tubular acidosis when normal excretory rate cannot be speculative, but several possibilities can be
entertained. One of the more plausible explanations
would be the capacity of very high phosphate concentra-
tions to markedly delay the dehydration of carbonic
acid formed in the distal tubule (31, 44). Rector, Port-
wood, and Seldin (44) showed that very low buffer con-
centrations are adequate to delay the dehydration of
H₂CO₃ enough to significantly elevate the urinary PCO₂
in normal subjects. However, if collecting duct secre-
tion is absent in patients with type I (distal) renal tu-
bular acidosis, a disequilibrium pH formed in the distal
tubule might be dissipated before reaching the renal
pelvis at normal rates of phosphate excretion. By con-
trast, in the presence of very high rates of buffer excre-
tion, the dehydration of H₂CO₃ formed in the distal
tubule might be delayed to the extent that CO₂ formation
takes place in the renal pelvis.

The second possible explanation for the phosphate
effect is that phosphate infusion should increase the
non-reabsorbable anion load delivered to the distal nephron.
As the infusion of nonreabsorbable anions increase the
potential difference in the distal tubule (45), hydrogen
ion secretion down a favorable electrical gradient might
be induced by phosphate infusion. Finally, phosphate

⁴ Halperin, M. L., M. B. Goldstein, A. Haig, M. D. John-

infusion might elevate the medullary PCO₂. This could be
accomplished by back titration of the phosphate with pro-
ton release during passage through the descending loop
of Henle if the pH, indeed, rises in this region as sus-
pected (46). The protons released should combine with
bicarbonate to form carbonic acid and ultimately CO₂
which would be delivered to the medulla and trapped by
the countercurrent system. Uhlich, Baldamus, and Uhrlich
have shown that medullary PCO₂ rises markedly after the
administration of acetazolamide, presumably as a re-
result of delayed dehydration of carbonic acid in the prox-
imal tubule with subsequent delivery of CO₂ to the medul-
lar region (34). A similar effect could result from in-
creased delivery of CO₂ to the medulla as a result of
phosphate infusion. Our proposal of diminished or ab-
sent secretion of hydrogen ion by the collecting duct is
compatible with all these possible mechanisms by which
phosphate infusion may elevate the urinary PCO₂ in pa-

teins with distal renal tubular acidosis.
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