The mechanism of acute renal failure after uranyl nitrate.
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Administration of 25 mg/kg uranyl nitrate (UN) to rats leads to a brief period of polyuria followed by progressive oliguria with death at 5 days. Factors that determine glomerular filtration rate (GFR) were examined in control Munich-Wistar rats (n equals 16) and 2 h after either 15 mg/kg (n equals 8) or 25 mg/kg (n equals 7) of UN (i.v.) utilizing direct measurements of hydrostatic and oncotic pressures and plasma flow. Total kidney GFR was reduced to 47% of control in the low dose group and to 21% in the high dose group. The simultaneous nephron filtration rate (sngfr) was 28.6 plus or minus 0.8 nl/min/g kidney wt in control, 29.1 plus or minus 1.0 in the low dose group, and 18.1 plus or minus 1.2 (P less than 0.001) in the higher dose group. This disparity in UN effect upon GFR and sngfr was due to tubular back-diffusion of solute through damaged epithelia beyond the early proximal tubule as demonstrated by microinjection of inulin and mannitol in the proximal tubule. Inulin "leak" persisted at 6 h after UN when tubular pressure had returned to normal. Comparison of sngfr measured in early vs. late proximal tubule revealed no difference after high dose UN, suggesting no significant leak of inulin from the early proximal tubule, and that the decreased sngfr was […]
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ABSTRACT
Administration of 25 mg/kg uranyl nitrate (UN) to rats leads to a brief period of polyuria followed by progressive oliguria with death at 5 days. Factors that determine glomerular filtration rate (GFR) were examined in control Munich-Wistar rats (n = 16) and 2 h after either 15 mg/kg (n = 8) or 25 mg/kg (n = 7) of UN (i.v.) utilizing direct measurements of hydrostatic and oncotic pressures and plasma flow. Total kidney GFR was reduced to 47% of control in the low dose group and to 21% in the high dose group. The simultaneous nephron filtration rate (sngfr) was 28.6 ± 0.8 nl/min/g kidney wt in control, 29.1 ± 1.0 in the low dose group, and 18.1 ± 1.2 (P < 0.001) in the higher dose group. This disparity in UN effect upon GFR and sngfr was due to tubular back-diffusion of solute through damaged epithelia beyond the early proximal tubule as demonstrated by microinjection of inulin and mannitol in the proximal tubule. Inulin "leak" persisted at 6 h after UN when tubular pressure had returned to normal. Comparison of sngfr measured in early vs. late proximal tubule revealed no difference after high dose UN, suggesting no significant leak of inulin from the early proximal tubule, and that the decreased sngfr was due to primary reductions in ultrafiltration. Nephron plasma flow was equal to control at both doses of UN. Also directly measured hydrostatic pressure gradient across the glomerular capillary was not changed. The effective filtration pressure achieved equilibrium in control animals but became significantly positive at the efferent end of the capillary at both doses of UN and increased. Total glomerular permeability (LpA) was progressively reduced from control (0.089 ± 0.005 nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg) at low dose UN (0.047 ± 0.013) and high dose (0.024 ± 0.003 nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg). Therefore UN decreases GFR by two mechanisms: (a) tubular damage leading to back-diffusion of solutes and (b) a primary reduction in sngfr due to reduced LpA.

INTRODUCTION
A recent resurgence of interest in the pathogenesis of renal failure has focused attention upon the several factors which can potentially contribute to the reduction in glomerular filtration (GFR). Recent studies on this issue (1-6) have suggested the following mechanisms leading to a reduction in measured filtration rate: (a) Renal vasoconstriction, which could decrease GFR by either a reduction in nephron plasma flow (rpf) or decrease the glomerular capillary hydrostatic pressure (Po); (b) tubular obstruction may lead to a reduction in filtration by elevating tubular pressure and reducing the hydrostatic pressure gradient acting across the glomerular capillary membrane (ΔP) (4-6); and (c) transcellular leak of solutes as slow as inulin or lissamine.

1 Glossary of terms used in this paper: AR, afferent arteriolar resistance; C, protein concentration; Cm, systemic protein concentration; Cm, efferent protein concentration; ΔP, hydrostatic pressure gradient between glomerular capillary and Bowman’s space (mm Hg); EFP, effective filtration pressure; FF, kidney filtration fraction (GFR/RPF); GFR, kidney filtration rate (ml/min/g kidney wt); LpA, total glomerular permeability (nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg); MAP, mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg); Po, glomerular capillary hydrostatic pressure (mm Hg); Pt, Bowman's space or proximal tubule hydrostatic pressure (mm Hg); Δr, oncotic pressure; rbf, nephron blood flow; rpf, nephron plasma flow (nl/min/g kidney wt); RBF, kidney blood flow; RPF, kidney plasma flow (nl/min/g kidney wt); sngfr, superficial nephron filtration rate (nl/min/g kidney wt); UN, uranyl nitrate; x*, glomerular capillary length.
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green, as a result of epithelial injury, requiring no primary alteration in filtration at the glomerulus (7–9).

The apparent disagreements which have arisen as to the exact mechanism for decreased GFR may have at least two explanations: (a) The experimental models which have been utilized differ rather markedly and (b) the experimental observations have been obtained at widely varied time intervals after the initial injury (1–11).

The present study examines the mechanism of reduction of GFR very early after the administration of uranyl nitrate (UN) to determine the primary factors which initiate the process of this form of acute renal failure. We have chosen to examine early mechanisms causing a reduction in measured filtration because secondary effects of the agent, such as volume depletion, may complicate the interpretation of primary mechanisms. UN (UO₉(NO₃)₈) was utilized to induce acute renal failure because the pathology of this condition has been previously described in detail by Oliver (12) and involves the late proximal tubule, and in severe forms, the glomerulus. Prior data has revealed a high mortality in experi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat no.</th>
<th>sngfr nl/min/g kidney wt</th>
<th>rpf ml/min/g kidney wt</th>
<th>Cₐ g/100 ml</th>
<th>snff(sngfr/rpf) ml/min/g kidney wt</th>
<th>GFR ml/min</th>
<th>FF(GFR/RPF)</th>
<th>̇V µl/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (16 rats)</td>
<td>28.6±0.8* (n = 92)</td>
<td>78.8±2.5 (n = 92)</td>
<td>5.2±0.2 (n = 16)</td>
<td>0.37±0.02 (n = 16)</td>
<td>1.04±0.03 (n = 16)</td>
<td>0.37±0.01 (n = 16)</td>
<td>1.4±0.1 (n = 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (15 mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.3±1.0* (n = 46)</td>
<td>76.8±2.4 (n = 46)</td>
<td>4.5±0.2 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.33±0.04§ (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.49±0.10 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.18±0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28.3±2.0</td>
<td>128.8±9.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.8±3.0</td>
<td>87.8±8.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.4±2.1</td>
<td>48.4±4.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.3±3.1</td>
<td>85.7±7.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25.3±3.5</td>
<td>85.0±11.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>24.6±2.1</td>
<td>164.3±16.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>33.1±2.2</td>
<td>114.1±7.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>29.1±1.0* (n = 46)</td>
<td>93.5±5.9 (n = 46)</td>
<td>4.5±0.2 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.33±0.04§ (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.49±0.10 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.18±0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value‡</td>
<td>&gt;0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.0±0.7</td>
<td>71.3±2.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.0±3.2</td>
<td>57.2±15.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.2±2.3</td>
<td>95.5±12.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.3±4.1</td>
<td>61.9±11.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>23.6±1.7</td>
<td>80.2±5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.5±1.2</td>
<td>34.4±6.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.6±1.1</td>
<td>89.9±4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>18.1±1.2* (n = 38)</td>
<td>72.2±4.5 (n = 38)</td>
<td>4.4±0.1 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.25±0.02§ (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.22±0.07 (n = 7)</td>
<td>0.07±0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value‡</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ±SEM.
‡ Compared with control group.
§ Determined by microprotein analysis of efferent peritubular samples.
|| P < 0.02 when compared to snff in this group.
mental animals after 15/mg/kg or lesser doses of UN (12, 13). Also, with a given dose of this agent, the acute reduction in GFR was reasonably predictable and the involvement of superficial nephrons was also reasonably homogenous.

We have applied newer techniques of direct measurement of P0 (14-16), microprotein analysis of the afferent and efferent oncocytic pressures (17, 18), and microinjection methods to examine this issue and conclude that the reduction in measured GFR is a combination of (a) transepithelial leak of solutes across tubules and (b) a primary reduction in neprhon filtration due to decreased total glomerular permeability (L.A).

**METHODS**

Experiments were performed on male Munich-Wistar rats (100-240 g) which were anesthetized with inactin (100 mg/kg, i.p.), fed standard chow (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, Mo.), and permitted free access to water until the time of micropuncture. Studies were performed on three groups: control hydropenic rats (n = 16), a group after 15 mg/kg UN (i.v., n = 8), and a 25-mg/kg UN group (n = 7). Also, in a separate group of animals after 25 mg/kg UN, microinjection studies were performed.

Surgical preparation for micropuncture was as previously described (18, 19). After placement of a tracheostomy and vascular catheters, a concentrated (100 mM NaNO3) solution in isotonic NaCl-NaHCO3 was administered intravenously to deliver either 15 or 25 mg/kg. Surgical losses were not replaced and this volume was small (<0.2 ml). Usually a transient initial decrease in blood pressure was noted after the initial 2-5 μl of UN, but blood pressure returned quickly to control levels and did not fall further with the remainder of the agent. Surgical preparation for micropuncture was then completed. [14C]Inulin was infused at 30-40 μCi/h in a maintenance infusion of NaCl-NaHCO3 (0.5-0.6% body wt/h) in all groups. In three groups (control, 15 and 25 mg/kg UN) nephron filtration rates (snfr, n = 6) and at least two clearance periods for total GFR were obtained. Data from 8 of the 16 control animals have appeared previously in a different form (17). Also samples of systemic blood and several samples of efferent peritubular blood were obtained. In addition, pressures were measured in surface glomerular capillaries, Bowman’s space and tubules with a servo-nulling device. The methods utilized and the operation of the servo-nulling device have been described in a previous publication (14).

At the end of the last clearance period in each group, a renal vein sample was obtained by methods previously described to determine total filtration fraction from the extraction of radioactive inulin (18, 20).

**snfr in the proximal tubule.** Because of the description of the UN pathology of involvement of the late proximal tubule and the pars recta (12), snfr were measured in the earlier proximal tubular segments. Tubular blockage was accomplished with oil blocks of at least three to four tubular diameters. Collections were made with care such that the tubular diameter proximal to the collection pipet remained constant during the collection, and that the oil block floated distally with ease with intermittent gentle counterpressure upon the syringe. These precautions were undertaken to assure that snfr was not artificially elevated since collections were made in the earlier proximal tubule, while tubular pressure was high (21).

To assess the possibility that inulin may leak from the earlier proximal tubular segments, a comparison of snfr measured in earlier proximal to late proximal tubular loops was conducted. Comparison was not performed in the same nephron but in alternating early and late segments in the same animals.

**Proximal tubular microinjection studies.** Both [14C]-mannitol and [methoxy-3H]inulin were injected into early proximal tubular segments to determine the transepithelial leak of those substances in control, 25 mg/kg UN rats, after 2.5% (body wt) isoncotic plasma expansion (as a second control condition at high tubular pressure), and 6 h after 25 mg/kg UN. To isoncotic NaCl-NaHCO3 was added [14C]mannitol and [3H]inulin to a concentration of 0.40 and 0.69 μCi/μl, respectively and this fluid stained lightly with FD & C green (Keystone Aniline & Chemical Co., Chicago, Ill.). Samples of this fluid, made fresh each day, were obtained with a constant volume 4 nl, self-filling quartz pipet and placed under oil in the concavity of a special microscope slide. These samples were then aspirated under direct vision into 7-10-μm tip pipets filled with normal saline or isotonic saline solution. Alternate samples were delivered into liquid scintillation counting fluid and utilized as a 100% reference standard for total counts per minute of each isotope. Every other sample was utilized for microinjection into proximal tubular segments. The pipet was inserted carefully into an early proximal segment and a small amount of tubular fluid aspirated to clear the tip of the small quantity of oil. We have found that if even small amounts of mineral oil enter the tubule, either before or after the injection of the radioactive sample, recovery of radioactive marker from the left ureter in control animals is incomplete.

Since the radioactive sample was colored lightly with dye, this permitted visualization of the entire microinjection of material. In order for the injection to be considered adequate, dye must be retained entirely within the lumen and not leak around the pipet. The fluid was injected slowly over several minutes to maintain the tubular diameter constant and not to elevate the existing tubular pressure.

After initiation of the microinjection, urine samples were collected separately from the left and right ureters in 15-min periods (usually two or three). 5-min urine collections were then obtained to determine renal function in control animals. Urine collections in the urine had returned to the background level before the next microinjection was begun.

Total [3C] and [3H] counts were separated based upon the relative count rates of [3C] and [3H] standards in [3C] and [3H] channels. Radioactivity from the left and right ureters were expressed as percentage of the mean of all 100% reference standards which alternated with injected samples.

Urinary volumes were higher than hydroperic controls (Table I) after UN and microinjections were performed at this spontaneous urine flow rate. Control microinjection studies differed from control hydroperic microinjection studies in that urine flow was elevated by the infusion of 10% mannitol in 0.45% NaCl-NaHCO3 (i.v.) to raise urine volumes to three to four times the control urine flow rate, comparable to urine flow during UN studies. Microinjections conducted in rats after plasma expansion were performed at the resultant urine flow, usually only slightly higher than in UN rats. In separate studies, pressures, snfr, and total kidney GFR were measured at approximately 6 h after 15 mg/kg UN administered subcutaneously. Rats were weighed at the time of injection and approximately 4 h, at the time of surgical preparation for micropuncture. Tubular microinjection studies were also
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performed at 6 h after 25 mg/kg UN to determine tubular recovery of insulin and mannitol at this later time.

Calculations. sngrf is determined as follows:

\[ \text{snfr} = \frac{\text{total urine cpm of } ^{14}\text{C}}{\text{plasma cpm } ^{14}\text{C}/\text{nl} \cdot \text{time of collection}}. \]

The nephron plasma flow (rpf) is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{rpf} = \text{snfr}/(1 - C_s/C_a), \]

where \( C_s \) = systemic protein concentration and \( C_a \) = effluent peritubular capillary protein concentration determined by microprotein methods, and \( (1 - C_s/C_a) \) = the nephron filtration fraction (snfr). The relationship between oncotic pressure (\( \pi \)) and protein concentration (\( C \)) is defined by the following:

\[ \pi = 1.76C + 0.28C^2 \text{ (reference 18),} \]

which is a least squares approximation of the original, empirical relationship noted by Landis and Pappenheimer (22):

\[ \pi = 2.1C - 0.16C^2 + 0.009C^3. \]

This relationship assumes that approximately 50% of total protein is albumin. We have performed protein electrophoresis upon the plasma of four rats after 25 mg/kg UN and the percentage albumin ranged from 46 to 55% fulfilling this criteria.

Afferent arteriolar resistance (AR) and nephron blood flow (rBF) are calculated as previously described (18).

Total GFR, renal plasma flow (RPF), renal blood flow (RBF), and kidney filtration fraction (FF) were determined as previously described (18, 20).

The determinants of snfr are defined as follows:

\[ \text{snfr} = LpA \cdot \text{EFP}, \]

where \( LpA \) = total glomerular permeability and \( \text{EFP} \) = mean effective filtration pressure. The EFP along the glomerular capillary length (\( x^* \)) is defined as follows:

\[ \text{EFP}_{x^*} = (\Delta P - \pi)_{x^*}, \]

and the \( \text{EFP} \) by the following:

\[ \text{EFP}_{x^*} = \int_0^1 (\Delta P - \pi)_{x^*} \text{dx}^*. \]

The snfr relates to the rate of rpf along the \( x^* \) as follows:

\[ \text{rpf}_a - \text{rpf}_l = \text{snfr} = LpA \cdot \int_0^1 (\Delta P - \pi)_{x^*} \text{dx}^*. \]

The profile of EFP along \( x^* \) and a value for \( LpA \) was determined from the measured data with a double iterative method as previously described (19), and by the integration method described by Deen, Robertson, and Brenner (23). The value for \( LpA \) and EFP after UN were identical by both methods. A unique value for \( LpA \) and EFP cannot be determined at filtration pressure equilibrium (\( \pi^* = \Delta P \)), but rather only a maximum value for EFP and a minimum value for \( LpA \).

Analytical methods. Protein concentrations were determined in aortic and efferent peritubular capillary samples as described in a previous publication (18, 19). Urine and plasma electrolyte concentrations were determined on an Instrumentation Laboratory flame photometer (Instrumentation Laboratory, Inc., Lexington, Mass.) and osmolality with an Advanced Instruments osmometer (Advanced Instruments, Inc., Needham Heights, Mass.). Radioactivity was determined on a Packard liquid scintillation counter (model 2425, Packard Instrument Co. Inc., Downers Grove, Ill.), and all samples were at least three times the background count rate.

Statistical methods. All input variables were analyzed by analysis of variance (24) and estimates of variance of all input parameters were carried through the calculation of EFP and \( LpA \) to determine a final estimate of variance in these values. In addition, the values determined for \( LpA \) in the two groups of UN rats were applied to the control hydrogenic condition to determine if these values belong to the family of values of \( LpA \) which fit the hydrogenic condition.

We have applied the Haldane-Cochran adaptation of the chi-squared test to determine the degree of relative homogeneity of both snfr and tubular pressure within each animal in each experimental group (25). The absolute and weighted variances (as determined by the SD) were analyzed in each animal and compared with the control hydrogenic condition as a further test of homogeneity of these parameters within each rat.

Electron microscopy. Electron microscopy was performed on a Siemens Elmskope 101 (Siemens Corp., Iselin, N. J.) at 80 kV on 1-mm\(^2\) sections of renal cortical tissue. The tissue was fixed immediately in 2% gluteraldehyde in phosphate buffer and remained in this solution for 3 h, then postfixed with osmium tetroxide. The tissue was serially dehydrated in ethanol, infiltrated with propylene oxide, and mounted in Epon. Thin sections (0.5-1.0 \( \mu m \)) were stained with UN and lead acetate and viewed under the electron microscope. 10 separate tissue sections were made for each hydrogenic and high dose UN sample. Approximately 35 photographs were taken for each state to determine the morphologic changes in tubules and glomeruli.

RESULTS

After the intravenous UN there was transient decrease in urine volume, especially with the higher dose of this agent (25 mg/kg). After 20-30 min there was a consistent increase in urine volume above the preinjection level. The urine was occasionally blood tinged, but cleared by the time of measurements. Qualitative assessment of urine samples at the time of micropuncture measurements revealed no evidence of proteinuria. Urine volume from the left micropuncture kidney averaged 4.0±0.6 \( \mu l/min \) in the low dose group and 5.3±1.3 \( \mu l/min \) at the higher dose 2 h after administration of UN. This rate of urine flow was significantly higher than control hydrogenic flow rates (1.4±0.1 \( \mu l/min \)).

Plasma sodium concentration was 136±3 meq/liter, potassium 6.4±0.5 meq/liter, and osmolality 296±5 mosmol at the 15 mg/kg dose and 138±3 meq/liter, 6.0±0.6 meq/liter, and 283±15 mosmol, respectively at the higher dose, not different from the respective values in the control condition. Urines from micropuncture animals 2 h after UN were analyzed and re-
revealed sodium and potassium concentrations of 140±9 and 87±14 meq/liter, respectively; values quite different from control hydropenic micropuncture animals. Sodium excretion averaged 0.80±0.12 and potassium excretion 0.46±0.08 meq/min during the micropuncture study. When expressed as the fractional excretion of sodium (clearance of sodium divided by the inulin clearance × 100), values averaged 8.2±1.5%, considerably higher than the typically low values in hydropenic micropuncture rats (14).

Examination of the micropuncture surface of the kidney after UN revealed a homogeneous appearance of all tubules. The tubules were slightly but uniformly dilated but normal in color and appearance. No pigment or debris was noted in distal tubular segments. I stress this appearance to support our further contention that nephrons were uniformly involved within a given rat. There was no evidence of varying degrees of tubular obstruction and dilatation. We have observed the surface following the model of acute renal failure with glycerol induced myoglobinuria in this same species. In this model, marked heterogeneity of tubular color and radius occurs and pigment and debris are evident within distal tubules. This model of renal failure stands in marked contrast to the findings noted 2 h after UN.

Effect of UN on sngfr and GFR. The resulting sngfr and GFR are demonstrated in Fig. 1 as the mean value in each animal. The sngfr after 15 mg/kg of UN (n = 46) was nearly identical to control animals (n = 92) at 29.1±1.0 and 28.6±0.8 nl/min/g kidney wt, respectively (P > 0.7). After 25 mg/kg of UN (n = 38), the sngfr was significantly lower than either control or low dose UN groups (18.1±1.2, P < 0.001). When chi-squared tests were applied to data for sngfr within each animal, in only one of eight rats in the 15 mg/kg UN group was sngfr more heterogeneous than in control animals. After 25 mg/kg, four of seven rats had a more heterogeneous distribution of sngfr measured. To quantitate this degree of variance, we have analyzed each group with regard to the mean SD, expressed as a percentage of the mean value in each rat. In control the mean SD was 19±3% of the mean sngfr in each rat, 21±3% in 15 mg/kg UN rats (P > 0.6), and 31±8% in the 25 mg/kg UN group (P > 0.1). Although there was a tendency for variance to be somewhat higher in the 25 mg/kg UN group, this did not achieve statistical significance.

The simultaneously determined GFR revealed that with either dose of UN, GFR was decreased to a greater extent than the corresponding sngfr (Fig. 1). Also, total FF was lower than the corresponding sngfr at either dose level of the nephrotoxic agent (Table I) (P < 0.02). This greater effect of UN upon GFR could have been the result of back-diffusion of radioactive inulin across damaged epithelia.

Microinjection studies. To determine if back-diffusion of solutes occurred after UN microinjection studies were performed. The results of microinjection of [H]-inulin and [14C]mannitol into proximal tubules of con-
Control and 25 mg/kg UN rats are shown in Fig. 2. Recovery of \(^{3}H\)inulin from the urine of the left kidney of control rats averaged 96±1%. \(^{14}C\)Mannitol recovery from the left kidney of control rats was 95±2%. There was no excretion of either radioactive inulin or mannitol from the right kidney in control animals. In rats after 25 mg/kg UN, there was a large variation in recovery of both radioactive markers from the urine of the left kidney (n = 16). The recoveries were significantly less than in the control hydropenic rats at 55±10 and 43±8%, respectively (P < 0.001). This variation in recovery was primarily the result of differences among animals, rather than variability among tubules within an animal. Points were derived from three rats and each rat is labeled with a specific symbol to dramatize this animal difference (Fig. 2). This variability in inulin recovery among animals is also noted in the 25 mg/kg UN rats in Table I where the ratio of reduced sngfr to GFR is quite different, primarily as the result of a larger variation in GFR reduction. Additional indirect evidence is provided by the variability in FF among rats after UN. Also, significant radioactive material was excreted from the right kidney at 7±3 and 12±2%, respectively. Total radioactive activity excreted from both left and right kidneys was less than 100% after UN because of the very low GFR bilaterally, which permitted significant quantities of inulin and mannitol, which had leaked from the tubule, to leave the plasma volume. These results suggest that the greater reduction in total GFR with UN was the result of transepithelial back-diffusion of solutes of differing size such as inulin and mannitol.

To help define the reasons for this "leak" of inulin from tubules of UN rats, control microinjection studies of inulin and mannitol were also performed in rats after 2.5% (body wt) isoncotic plasma expansion. Both tubular pressure and rate of urine flow were similar to that found in UN rats. Recovery of inulin and mannitol was 92±3 and 86±7%, respectively (n = 8), not different from control animals (P > 0.6) and quite different from the low, abnormal recovery in UN rats. This finding demonstrates that elevation of tubular pressure and the mild diuretic state alone was not sufficient to explain the back-diffusion of inulin.

The question remained whether the significant reduction in sngfr noted with 25 mg/kg reflected a primary reduction in filtration at the glomerulus or leak of inulin from the early proximal tubule.

**sngfr in the early and late proximal tubule.** In order for back-leak of inulin to account for the observed 37% reduction in sngfr measured in the early proximal tubule, the leak must be of significant magnitude. We
Table II

\( \Delta P \) and \( \pi \) in Control Hydropenic, Low Dose (15 mg/kg) UN and High Dose (25 mg/kg) UN Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat no. (n)</th>
<th>P0</th>
<th>( \Delta P )</th>
<th>( \pi _h )</th>
<th>( \pi _k )</th>
<th>MAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (12 rats)</td>
<td>44.2±1.0* (n = 32)</td>
<td>30.8±1.0 (n = 32)</td>
<td>17.1±0.9</td>
<td>33.8±1.4</td>
<td>133±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (15 mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (n = 4)</td>
<td>60.0±2.3 (n = 4)</td>
<td>25.4±2.7 (n = 4)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (n = 4)</td>
<td>58.9±4.0 (n = 4)</td>
<td>34.1±4.0 (n = 4)</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (n = 5)</td>
<td>52.3±3.4 (n = 5)</td>
<td>25.8±1.8 (n = 5)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 (n = 1)</td>
<td>54.4 (n = 1)</td>
<td>21.5 (n = 1)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>56.6±1.9* (n = 14)</td>
<td>27.7±1.8 (n = 14)</td>
<td>12.8±1.2 (n = 4)</td>
<td>22.5±1.5 (n = 4)</td>
<td>125±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value‡</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 (n = 2)</td>
<td>51.8±1.2* (n = 2)</td>
<td>26.0±0.4 (n = 2)</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (n = 2)</td>
<td>63.6±3.1 (n = 2)</td>
<td>28.4±0.8 (n = 2)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 (n = 2)</td>
<td>62.8±2.0 (n = 2)</td>
<td>26.8±2.0 (n = 2)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (n = 6)</td>
<td>64.3±2.4 (n = 6)</td>
<td>31.0±3.4 (n = 6)</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (n = 1)</td>
<td>70.4 (n = 1)</td>
<td>30.4 (n = 1)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (n = 2)</td>
<td>53.9±3.7 (n = 2)</td>
<td>35.4±7.0 (n = 2)</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean</td>
<td>61.2±1.8* (n = 15)</td>
<td>30.0±1.7 (n = 15)</td>
<td>13.1±0.7</td>
<td>20.4±2.2</td>
<td>114±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value‡</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ±SEM.
† Compared to control group.

Therefore compared sngfr measured in early proximal tubular segments to that obtained in late proximal segments to define the extent of leak in earlier segments. The sngfr in early proximal tubular segments in a separate group of 25 mg/kg UN rats was 21.0±3.0 nl/min/g kidney wt (n = 17) and 18.5±3.2 in the latest accessible proximal tubular segments (n = 17) \( (P > 0.8) \). These data provide evidence against significant back-diffusion of inulin in the early proximal tubule. The measured sngfr in the early proximal tubule can therefore be interpreted as a valid indicator of the sngfr at the glomerulus. We will therefore proceed with analysis of the separate factors which can affect the sngfr.

Hemodynamic effects of UN. rpf was 93.5±5.9 nl/min/g kidney wt after 15 mg/kg of UN (n = 46) which was significantly different from the values in control rats (78.8±2.5 nl/min/g kidney wt, n = 92 \( P < 0.01 \)). In the 25 mg/kg UN group rpf was 72.2±4.5 nl/min/g kidney wt (n = 38) which was not different from the control group \( (P > 0.1) \). rbf was unchanged after UN at both dose levels. Hematocrit was 54±1% in control, 50±1% at the lower UN dose \( (P < 0.05) \) and 47±1% \( (P < 0.01) \) at the higher UN dose level. This small fall in hematocrit must represent fluid entry into the vascular compartment since the rat was maintained at a hydropenic maintenance infusion rate during the
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TABLE III
Total LpA and EFP in Control Hydropenic, Low Dose (15 mg/kg) UN and High Dose (25 mg/kg) Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LpA (ml/g kidney wt/mm Hg)</th>
<th>EFP (mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.089±0.005*†</td>
<td>5.1±1.2*§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (15 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.047±0.013‖</td>
<td>10.2±1.8‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN (25 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.024±0.003‖</td>
<td>13.4±1.5‖</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ±SEM.
† A minimum possible value at filtration pressure equilibrium.
§ A maximum possible value at filtration pressure equilibrium.
‖ Significantly different from the control value (at least P < 0.05).

study. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was 131±4 mm Hg in control rats, 119±5 at the low dose (P > 0.05) and was significantly lower at the high dose of UN at 115±6 mm Hg (P < 0.025). Afferent arteriolar resistance was 0.53±0.04 ml/min/g kidney wt/mm Hg in control rats and 0.37±0.05 (P < 0.05) and 0.38±0.06 ml/min/g kidney wt/mm Hg (P > 0.05) at the low and high dose, respectively. The reduction in sngfr after high dose UN was therefore not attributable to decreased rpf or vasoconstriction.

Total RPF was 2.9±0.2 ml/min/g kidney wt in control animals, and not statistically different after low and high dose UN at 4.0±1.0 and 4.0±1.7 ml/min/g kidney wt (P > 0.5). The data on total RPF has a much greater error after UN because of the progressively small FF with increasing dose of UN, and the attendant inaccuracies in this determination. Total RBF in control was 6.3±0.4 ml/min/g kidney wt in the control group, 7.7±1.9 at low dose UN and 7.8±3.4 ml/min/g kidney wt at the higher UN dose (P > 0.5).

C and σ after UN. Cα, Cβ, and σ are demonstrated for all animals after UN in Tables I and II. Cα and Cβ were 5.2±0.2 and 8.3±0.2 g/100 ml respectively (n = 16). Cα and Cβ were both significantly decreased after both low and high dose UN. Also Cα and σ were significantly lower than control values in both groups.

Hydrostatic pressures after UN. Hydrostatic pressures were measured in glomerular capillaries and the corresponding Bowman’s space (Pt) in four rats in the low dose group and in six rats in the high dose UN group to describe fully the factors which determine the sngfr. ΔP and σ in these animals as well as the values in control animals are demonstrated in Table II. Mean P0 was 44.2±1.0 mm Hg in control rats (n = 32), and significantly higher in the 15 mg/kg UN group (P0 = 56.6±1.9 mm Hg, n = 14) (P < 0.001) and in the 25 mg/kg UN group (P0 = 61.2±1.8 mm Hg, n = 15) (P < 0.001). Tubular pressure was also significantly higher than control (P1 = 13.4±0.4 mm Hg) in low (P1 = 28.8±1.5 mm Hg (P < 0.001) and high dose UN groups (P1 = 31.3±2.1 mm Hg) (P < 0.001).

When statistical analyses of homogeneity of Pt were conducted, as for sngfr, we find that in one of eight 15 mg/kg rats, Pt observations among nephrons were more heterogeneous than control measurements and in six of seven rats in the 25 mg/kg UN group a more heterogeneous Pt distribution was noted. When SD was expressed as a percentage of the observed mean, in control, the mean SD was 11±2% of the mean, 11±2% in the 15 mg/kg UN group (P > 0.8), and 23±3% in the 25 mg/kg UN group (P < 0.005). Although significantly different, the increase in variance for Pt at the higher level is not statistically significant.

TABLE IV
The Effect of UN (25 mg/kg) Administration on the Time Course of Urinary Sodium, Potassium, and Water Excretion before Death (day 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Days after UN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, ml/kg/24 h</td>
<td>32.2±6.0*</td>
<td>113±7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNa, meq/liter</td>
<td>451±61</td>
<td>204±17§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK, meq/liter</td>
<td>205±22</td>
<td>109±11§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Um, mosmol</td>
<td>1,931±263</td>
<td>886±39§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNaV, meq/kg/24 h</td>
<td>14.3±2.5</td>
<td>23.5±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKV, meq/kg/24 h</td>
<td>6.5±1.1</td>
<td>12.4±1.9†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ±SEM.
† Significantly higher than control (at least P < 0.05).  
§ Significantly lower than control (at least P < 0.05).
UN dose was modest and is of the order observed in other experimental states with elevated tubular pressure (18). The data are not compatible with a markedly heterogeneous distribution of $P_t$ which might be caused by tubular obstruction of a heterogeneous type.

The $\Delta P$ was $30.8 \pm 1.0$ mm Hg in the control hydropenia group ($n = 32$). The $\Delta P$ was $27.7 \pm 1.8$ mm Hg in the low dose UN group ($P > 0.1$) and $30.7 \pm 7$ in the high dose group ($P > 0.6$).4

EFP and $L_A$. When the factors which determine glomerular ultrafiltration were analyzed in those animals in which direct measurements of $\Delta P$ were obtained, significant differences from the control animals were noted. In control animals ($n = 12$) mean $EFP_s$ was $14.0 \pm 1.6$ mm Hg and $EFP_c = 2.7 \pm 2.5$ mm Hg. These values define a condition of filtration pressure equilibrium in which paired analysis of $\pi_s$ and $\Delta P$ for each animal revealed that these values were not different ($P > 0.3$).

In the lower dose UN group, $sgnfr$ was nearly identical to control while $rpf$ was higher and $\pi_s$ lower than control animals. The EFP profile along the length of the glomerular capillary ($*EFP$) also differed significantly from the control state. $EFP_s$ was $11.4 \pm 1.6$ mm Hg ($P > 0.4$), and the mean $EFP_s$ after low dose UN was $+4.2 \pm 2.0$ mm Hg ($0.2 > P > 0.1$) ($n = 4$). One of four animals however did achieve filtration pressure equilibrium (no. 27) and a second was only slightly positive (+2.4). A minimum estimate of $L_A$ could be determined for rat no. 27, as was done in control animals at filtration equilibrium. $L_A$ in the low dose UN group was $0.047 \pm 0.013$ nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg compared to a minimum possible value of $0.089 \pm 0.005$ nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg ($P < 0.01$) (Table III). When this value for $L_A$ is applied to the hydrogenic values for $\Delta P$, $rpf$, and $C_s$, then the measured $sgnfr$ in these control animals ($30.0 \pm 0.7$, $n = 12$) cannot be achieved and a value of $22.2 \pm 2.4$ nl/min/g kidney wt results, which is significantly lower than the measured value ($P < 0.02$). This finding demonstrates that this value for $L_A$ in the low dose group was lower than that family of values for $L_A$ which permits the measured $sgnfr$ at filtration pressure equilibrium.

$EFP$ was increased at $10.2 \pm 1.8$ mm Hg in the low dose UN group ($P < 0.05$), which was partially due to the increased plasma flow and reduced $\pi_s$, but primarily the result of the reduction in $L_A$. The maximum possible value for $EFP$ in the control state was $5.1 \pm 1.2$ mm Hg. Since filtration pressure equilibrium obtains in the control hydrogenic state, reciprocal elevations in $EFP$ will occur in response to the reduced $L_A$ which will compensate at or near equilibrium and result in relative constancy of $sgnfr$.

At the higher dose of UN, $EFP_s$ was $16.6 \pm 1.4$ mm Hg ($P > 0.3$). The $EFP_s$ was higher than either control or low dose UN groups at $9.3 \pm 2.7$ mm Hg ($P < 0.01$). $EFP_s$ could be determined accurately and was significantly higher than control at $13.4 \pm 1.5$ mm Hg ($P < 0.005$). Since $sgnfr$ was significantly lower at this higher dose, (18.1 ± 1.2), it requires that $L_A$ must have been further decreased at this higher dose of UN. $L_A$ was $0.024 \pm 0.003$ nl/s/g kidney wt/mm Hg and significantly lower than the minimum possible value in the control state ($P < 0.001$) (Table III). As in the low dose UN group, when this value for $L_A$ was applied to the measured parameters in the control condition, an $sgnfr$ of only $15.2 \pm 1.8$ nl/min/g kidney wt was achieved. This value was much lower than the value measured, demonstrating again that this value was much lower than the control $L_A$.

The further reduction in $L_A$ with high dose UN has resulted in a significant decrease in $sgnfr$, implying that $L_A$ must be reduced markedly from the value in hydropenia (at filtration pressure equilibrium) before an observable reduction in $sgnfr$ occurs. Under the conditions of the present study, a decrease in $L_A$ to 25-30% of the control value was required to produce a measurable decrease in $sgnfr$.

**Microinjection studies 6 h after UN.** At 6 h after 15 mg/kg UN, $sgnfr$ was $24.4 \pm 2.0$ nl/min/g kidney wt ($0.1 > P > 0.05$ compared to control rats) and $GFR$ was $0.69 \pm 0.06$ ($P < 0.001$). Tubular pressure at this time had returned to the normal hydrogenic level at $13.1 \pm 0.4$ mm Hg ($P > 0.6$, compared to control hydrogenic animals). Therefore filtration rates were similar to that observed at 2 h but tubular pressure had returned to normal. The rats were weighed at the time of injection and before surgery at 4 h and had lost $6.8 \pm 1.7$ g during the interval. This was the consequence of an increase in urine flow which was not replaced by an increase in water intake. The reduction in tubular pressure was probably the result of this modest acute volume depletion.

Microinjection studies were also performed at 6 h after 25 mg/kg UN to determine if inulin back-diffusion was the result of tubular epithelial damage alone or also required an elevation in tubular pressure for full

---

*Since there was potential for direct damage to the glomerular capillary as a result of the administration of UN, the accuracy of direct measurements could have been diminished. We have therefore examined estimates of $P_a$ with the only other method available for calculation of $P_a$, the stop-flow technique (SFP). We have found that $P_a$ and $P_{sw}$ do not always correlate, especially in comparisons during hydropenia (13). Recognizing that identity does not prove validity, we found $P_{sw}$ to be $52.1 \pm 1.6$ mm Hg ($n = 24$) in the low dose UN group and $57.1 \pm 2.3$ mm Hg in the high UN group ($n = 23$), values not statistically different from those obtained by direct $P_a$ measurements ($P > 0.05$ and 0.10, respectively).
Figure 3 Representative electron micrographs of the glomerular basement membrane (A) (× 42,000) and a late proximal tubular cell (B) (× 21,000) are shown after 25 mg/kg UN. The glomerular basement membrane is entirely normal as are the filtration slits. However, the proximal tubular cell demonstrates two types of vacuoles, one of which contains membranous
material, and mitochondrial abnormalities, with swelling and loss of normal cristae pattern. The intercellular channels were also widened when compared to control electron micrographs, but the tight junctions observed were entirely normal in configuration. Cortical distal tubular cells demonstrated similar, if more extensive, abnormalities after UN.
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expression. Inulin and mannitol recovery from the urine of the left, injected kidney was 50±9 and 44±8%, respectively, values significantly lower than both control hydropenic and plasma-expanded rats ($P < 0.001$) and nearly identical to microinjection studies at 2 h. We conclude that inulin back-diffusion is the result of tubular damage primarily, and not the result of the initial elevation in P$_i$ observed at 2 h after UN.

The course of renal failure after UN. We have focused primarily upon the factors which determine the early reduction in measured clearance after UN. Also, studies were conducted to examine the eventual course of UN nephrotoxicity. Four rats were injected with 25 mg/kg UN and placed in metabolic cages and permitted free access to food and water. The pattern of excretion of water and electrolytes was examined and is demonstrated in Table IV. All rats died on the 5th day but appeared entirely normal until the 4th day when oliguria developed. The urine was protein free on the 1st day but proteinuria and low-grade glycosuria developed on the 2nd and 3rd day. Polyuria developed within the first 24 h and after the 1st day, there was a steady, uniform decline in urine volumes to the time of death. In the first 4 days the rats lost an average of 10.8±2.3 g in weight. In summary, UN produces a syndrome characterized by initial profound polyuria, secondary volume depletion, and eventual oliguria and death within 5 days. Progressive deterioration in renal function must occur and if correlated with prior histologic findings (12, 13), may be the result of progressive reductions in total glomerular permeability, continued increased tubular permeability and potential reductions in plasma flow secondary to volume depletion.

Morphologic correlations

Oliver in 1915 described specific changes by light microscopy after UN (12). The changes consisted of vacuolization of proximal tubules and mitochondrial changes which were most severe in the later portion of the proximal tubule, sparing to a great extent the early and midportions. Tissue was examined by Oliver from 6 to 96 h after the administered dose. We have reexamined renal tissue in control animals and after 25 mg/kg of UN. Since L$_A$ was reduced proportional to the dose administered, we have examined the glomerular structures in detail and have found no consistent abnormality in either the glomerular basement membrane or in slit pores (Fig. 3).

Proximal and distal tubular cells were also examined by electron microscopy. Vacuoles of two different types were noted in both proximal and cortical distal tubular cells. The first type of vacuole contained no defined structures and the second smaller vacuoles contained membranous structures, suggesting that they contained intracellular organelles (Fig. 3). Also the mitochondria demonstrated loss of cristae and were swollen in appearance. After UN the tight junctions that were observed were normal in appearance when compared to control sections, but the intercellular spaces were moderately widened as compared with control rats. The description of tubular abnormalities does not specifically explain the mechanism of transepithelial leak of inulin and mannitol. However, the morphologic alterations may be required to produce significant back-diffusion of solutes.

As further evidence of homogeneity of tubular damage with UN within a rat, electron micrographs revealed similar involvement of all late proximal and distal tubules. On the basis of data on sngfr, tubular pressures, electron micrographs, and the appearance of the kidney surface, tubular involvement was quite uniform and homogeneous within a UN rat. A heterogeneous type of tubular obstruction did not occur with UN and therefore did not contribute to either the reduction in inulin recovery or greater measured decrease in GFR after UN.

DISCUSSION

The recent development of newer micropuncture techniques has permitted the direct and quantitative evaluation of all the factors which determine the rate of nephron filtration. The development of the servo-nulling device and the discovery of the Munich-Wistar rat, a species with a high frequency of glomeruli on the kidney surface, permit direct assessment of the ΔP (14–18, 19). When these measurements are combined with microprotein analysis of afferent and efferent capillary blood samples and sngfr and the resulting data applied to mathematical models of glomerular ultrafiltration (23, 19), then a profile of the ultrafiltration pressure can be described and an estimation of total glomerular permeability can be obtained.

However, when these and other microtechniques are applied to animal models of clinical and pathologic conditions, it cannot be assumed that all measurements are equally valid as similar observations in the normal animal. The finding of a greater reduction in measured GFR than sngfr in the present study at both dose levels of UN is an example of such differences between the normal and the pathologic model. In a normal animal, this finding might be interpreted as evidence for "redistribution" of GFR or even complete cessation of filtration in deeper nephrons below the surface of the kidney. Another alternative is that sngfr was overestimated in both UN groups by providing a lower resistance pathway into the collection pipet and lowering the elevated tubular pressure. Because of this potential artifact, we carefully did not alter tubular diameter proximal to the pipet and per-
mitted the oil block to float a short distance from the pipet. Andreucci, Herrera-Acosta, Rector, and Seldin have examined this issue at high tubular pressures and found it impossible to significantly elevate snfgr, even with maximum aspiration pressures (21). In addition, data from this study demonstrate that snfgr were consistently higher than FF, which agrees with the finding of a greater reduction in kidney inulin clearance. In order for the snfgr to be lower than the measured values, and equal to the GFR reduction, errors of 100% in snfgr would be required, a highly unlikely phenomenon. The major conclusions of this study would not be changed, but LwA would be further reduced.

If the epithelium has become permeable to inulin, inulin clearance as an index of kidney GFR is no longer valid. In the present study, we have found such an increase in inulin permeability which could account for approximately one-half of the observed decrease in measured GFR after UN administration in the higher dose group and 100% of the reduction observed at the lower dose. At the higher dose the observed 37% reduction in snfgr combined with a minimum estimate of 45% back diffusion of inulin to give a predicted total GFR of 0.35 ml/min/g kidney wt, a value only slightly higher than the observed value of 0.22 ml/min/g kidney wt.

The finding of inulin back-diffusion adequately explains the greater reduction in kidney inulin clearance observed 2 h after UN. The acute elevation in tubular pressure was not the cause of this increased inulin permeability because (a) elevations in tubular pressure and similar levels of natriuresis after isoncotic plasma expansion were not associated with inulin back-diffusion and (b) rats studied 6 h after 25 mg/kg of UN continued to demonstrate increased tubular permeability to inulin, in spite of the fact that tubular pressures had returned to normal values, similar to control hydropenic rats. Therefore increased tubular permeability to inulin is primarily the result of epithelial damage after UN. Lorentz, Lassiter, and Gottschalk have demonstrated that elevation of tubular pressure through ureteral obstruction, saline expansion, and renal venous occlusion in the normal rat does not result in increased tubular permeability to inulin. Smaller molecules such as mannitol, sucrose, and creatinine were noted to back-diffuse from the tubular lumen at elevated tubular pressures in normal rats (26).

We have provided several lines of evidence for a relatively uniform tubular involvement as a result of UN nephrotoxicity. The appearance of the kidney, histologic changes, and data on tubular pressures and snfgr suggest that heterogeneous tubular obstruction was not a characteristic of this form of nephrotoxic renal failure. The reduction in inulin recovery and resulting greater decrease in measured GFR was therefore not the result of a "spotty" form of tubular obstruction but increased tubular "leak." In recent studies that have also reported a reduced inulin recovery after microinjection studies in ischemic forms of renal failure (8, 9), it is not possible to conclude whether such a heterogeneous tubular obstruction occurred, or if true increased tubular permeability was the sole reason for these findings.

The marked early elevation in tubular pressure after UN is likely the result of the effect of the agent on tubular cells and sodium transport. Fractional and absolute sodium reabsorption was reduced and sodium and water excretion increased acutely. The tubular pressures observed are admittedly much higher than is usually associated with this modest diuresis. However, if tubular obstruction was present in the studies at 2 h after UN, it must represent a highly uniform tubular involvement, a finding unlikely to result from epithelial debris within the more distal tubules. After the early onset of polyuria, tubular pressures had returned to normal values at 6 h. A consistent volume depletion was observed and undoubtedly was an important factor in the reduction in tubular pressure.

Studies comparing early to late proximal tubular snfgr measurements suggest that leak from the early proximal tubule is minimal, in that values could not be differentiated in high dose UN rats. These findings suggest that the 37% reduction in snfgr observed in collections from the early proximal tubule at the higher dose represents a true decrease in filtration at the glomerulus. The location of tubular leak of inulin then correlates well with the initial pathologic findings described by Oliver (12). There was some evidence for a greater variability among rats of the tubular leak of inulin than in the primary reduction in snfgr (Table I). This heterogeneity of tubular effects among rats is reflected in the variation in the ratio of snfgr to GFR after high dose UN. Electron micrographs did not reveal the exact mechanism for the trans-tubular leak, although distinctive abnormalities were noted (Fig. 3).

The second reason for the decrease in measured kidney GFR involves a true reduction in nephron filtration as a result of a marked decrease in LWwA. In spite of evidence presented suggesting that significant leak of inulin did not occur from the early proximal tubule, it remains possible that snfgr was underestimated for other technical reasons. However, even if this were possible, calculated LWwA would continue reduced due to the finding of disequilibrium of the EFP. In order for LWwA to be in error and actually equal to control values, would require two independent errors; and underestimation of snfgr and an underestimation of \* from microprotein determinations, and to the proper degree, an unlikely possibility.
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Direct evidence for a reduction in \( L_a A \) in acute renal failure has not previously been reported. Cox et al. have suggested such a mechanism in an ischemic model of renal failure (11). Also, in a recent report, Bennett and co-workers have shown a reduction in \( L_a A \) while\( \Delta GFR \) was unchanged in the early phase of acute experimental glomerulonephritis (27). The decreased \( L_a A \) after UN could be either the result of a decrease in the filtering surface area of the glomerulus (A) or a true decrement in hydraulic permeability (\( L_a \)).

At the lower dose of UN, \( \Delta GFR \) was maintained despite a marked reduction in \( L_a A \), due to a large increase in EFP. This increase was partially the result of decreased \( \Delta E \) and a small increase in \( \Delta P \), but primarily the result of the decreased \( L_a A \). With a lower value for \( L_a A \), the rate of ultrafiltration at any point along the glomerular capillary length (\( \pi \)) will be decreased and the rate of rise in \( \pi \) will be reduced. The net effect will be an increase in EFP, which at filtration pressure equilibrium, will compensate for significant \( L_a A \) reductions and maintain \( \Delta GFR \). With further disequilibrium of EFPs the increasing EFP will not remain directly inversely proportional to the reduction in \( L_a A \) and \( \Delta GFR \) will fall, as noted in the 25 mg/kg UN group. A reduction in the AP per se does not either disequilibrate the EFP or reduce \( L_a A \). In isonicotic plasma-expanded rats, a condition in which \( L_a A \) can be calculated with accuracy because of disequilibrium of EFP, increased ureteral pressure resulted in tubular pressures similar to those after UN, and no reduction in \( L_a A \) was observed (unpublished observations). However, we have noted a modest disequilibrium of EFP after ureteral obstruction in hydropenic rats which must be a result of a reduction in the calculated glomerular permeability coefficient. This apparent difference in response to ureteral obstruction between groups may be due to the state of volume expansion and the capacity to release factors such as angiotensin.

The fall in \( \pi \) with UN may have resulted from loss of protein from the plasma volume or as a result of fluid entering the plasma volume. However, we did not observe significant proteinuria at 2 h after the agent.

Contrary to previous reports in the dog (10), we could not demonstrate that RPF was reduced 2 h after the administration of UN. \( \Delta P \) was increased at the lower dose and equal to control after high dose UN. Also, \( P_a \) was not reduced, but elevated significantly above the control value. Afferent resistance was therefore not increased acutely at either dose of UN. After UN injection, animals died uniformly at 5 days, soon after the onset of frank oliguria, probably as the result of either uremia or hyperkalemia. The progression of acute renal failure was the result of both a primary reduction in glomerular permeability and continued tubular back-diffusion of solutes as large asulin. Further compromise in renal function may have also resulted from the polyuria and volume depletion through secondary reductions in renal plasma flow. Reduction in RPF secondary to vasoconstriction was not an early primary cause for the decrease in measured filtration rate.

The question remains as to the applicability of the present findings of increased tubular permeability to large solutes and reduced total glomerular permeability to other clinical forms of acute renal failure. It seems likely that forms of acute renal failure characterized by definite tubular injury may also be associated with an increased tubular permeability to molecules as large asulin. Increased back-diffusion of solutes, normally retained within the tubular lumen, will also contribute to acute renal failure and to the uremic state. A specific role for decreased total glomerular permeability cannot be assigned to other forms of acute renal failure with certainty. However, we have demonstrated in this study that \( L_a A \) is significantly reduced before the onset of definite electron micrographic changes in the glomerulus. Since definite histologic abnormalities of the glomerulus become more evident at a later time after UN (12, 13), further reductions may have occurred with time and contributed to further reductions in \( \Delta GFR \).
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