Cholesterol-lowering Effect of Mevinolin, an Inhibitor of 3-Hydroxy-3-Methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A Reductase, in Healthy Volunteers
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ABSTRACT Mevinolin reduces cholesterol synthesis by inhibiting 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase. The safety and effectiveness of this agent was evaluated in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study in 59 healthy men (serum cholesterol 3.58–7.76 mmol/liter) in five centers. Subjects maintained their usual diet and activities. Doses of 6.25, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg twice daily for 4 wk produced mean reductions of total serum cholesterol of 23–27% (vs. placebo (4%), P < 0.01). Mean low density lipoprotein cholesterol fell 35–45%, while high density lipoprotein and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides were not significantly affected. Mean apolipoprotein B fell 27–34%. 50 mg was not significantly more effective than 6.25 mg. Mevinolin was generally well tolerated, and no serious clinical or laboratory abnormalities occurred. One subject (12.5 mg) was withdrawn because of abdominal pain and diarrhea. These results suggest that if long-term safety can be demonstrated, inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase are likely to prove useful in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.

INTRODUCTION

Elevated serum cholesterol is a major factor in the development of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease (1, 2). There is a substantial and currently unsatisfied need for safe, well tolerated therapy capable of effecting large reductions in serum cholesterol. Mevinolin (MK-803, 1,2,6,7,8,8a-hexahydro-8,8-dihydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-8-(2-methyl-1-oxobutoxy)-1-naphthalene-heptanoic acid β-lactone], a fermentation product derived from Aspergillus terreus, is rapidly converted in vivo to the corresponding hydroxy acid, mevinolinic acid, which is a potent competitive inhibitor of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (E.C. 1.1.1.34; HMG-CoA reductase) (3); this enzyme catalyzes the conversion of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A to mevalonate, which is the rate-limiting step in the cholesterol synthesis pathway (4). Mevinolin lowers serum cholesterol in dogs (3, 5), and in a pilot study in healthy men it was well tolerated and exerted a rapid and substantial cholesterol-lowering effect (6).

The purpose of this investigation was to estimate the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of mevinolin at various doses in healthy normocholesterolemic volunteers, and thereby to obtain data required for the design of subsequent studies in hypercholesterolemic patients. Efficacy was assessed by determination of cholesterol in high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), and very low density lipoprotein (VLDL), and apolipoprotein B and triglycerides, over a 4-wk treatment period. Safety and tolerability were assessed by routine clinical and laboratory measurements. In addition, because cholesterol is the precursor of all steroid hormones, the possibility that mevinolin could reduce steroidogenesis was investigated by mea-
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measurement of plasma cortisol and testosterone, and urinary excretion of 17-hydroxysteroids and 17-ketosteroids.

METHODS

Subjects. 59 healthy male volunteers (mainly medical students, physicians, and laboratory technicians), mean age 28.6, SD 6.2, range 18 to 45, participated in the study after giving informed consent. There were 12 subjects in Cardiff, Graz, Norwich, and Nottingham, and 11 in London. Before entering the study, all subjects underwent a pre-study screen consisting of a physical examination and routine hematology, serum chemistry, and urinalysis (see below). Potential subjects with serum cholesterol <3.88 or >7.76 mmol/liter were excluded; other exclusion criteria were current regular use of any drugs, a history of drug or alcohol abuse, and multiple and/or severe allergies.

Treatments. After a single-blind placebo run-in period of 2 wk (days 1R–14R), each subject received on a double-blind basis one of the following six treatments for 4 wk (days 1–28): mevinolin 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 mg bid, 25 mg qd, or placebo. In order that the mean and distribution of the baseline serum cholesterol would be similar for all groups, subjects were ranked according to their serum cholesterol as measured during the pre-study screen, and the allocation to treatment was determined by rank; allocation was otherwise random. There were thus 10 subjects in each treatment group, except for 50 mg bid, where there were 9, and each center had 2 subjects in each of the 6 treatment groups, except for London, where there was only 1 subject in the 50-mg bid treatment group.

Micronized drug was formulated with cornstarch in capsules of identical appearance. Medication was taken before breakfast and dinner. In the case of the 25-mg qd regimen, active drug was taken in the morning and a placebo capsule was taken in the evening. Compliance was monitored by subject diaries. The subjects maintained their usual diet and activities, except that alcohol intake was restricted to 200 ml wine or 500 ml beer/d.

Sample collection. Fasting blood samples were collected immediately before the morning dose at ~0900 h on day 1 of the run-in period (day 1R), 4R, 8R, 11R, 15R (=day 1), 4, 8, 11, 15, 24, and 29 (days 15R and 29 are the days following the last placebo run-in day and active treatment day, respectively.) All samples were analyzed for total serum cholesterol, cholesterol in the VLDL, LDL, and HDL fractions, and triglycerides. Samples drawn on days 8R, 11R, 15R, 11, 24, and 29 were also analyzed for apolipoprotein B.

Routine hematology, liver function tests—serum glutamic oxalo-acetic acid transaminase (SGOT) (four centers) and/or serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) (three centers), alkaline phosphatase and total bilirubin—creatinine, fasting blood sugar, lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine phosphokinase (CPK), albumin, and globulin (or albumin/globulin ratio) were measured in the pre-study screen and on days 8R, 15R, 4, 8, 15, and 29. In three centers, the liver function tests were also performed on day 22.

Plasma cortisol and testosterone were measured in the samples collected on days 8R and 29. Routine urinalysis was performed on days 8R, 15R, 4, 8, 15, and 29. 24-h urines were collected on days 8R and 29 for 17-hydroxysteroids and 17-ketosteroids.

Analytical methods. The laboratories in the five centers used their usual methods for total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, plasma cortisol, plasma testosterone, 17-hydroxysteroids, and 17-ketosteroids. These are given in Table I. HDL cholesterol was directly measured using precipitation methods, and VLDL and LDL cholesterol were estimated according to the approximation of Friedewald et al. (21): total cholesterol = (HDL + LDL + VLDL) cholesterol, where VLDL cholesterol concentration = 0.80 triglycerides concentration (in SI units). The validity of this approximation under the conditions of this study was checked in two centers (Cardiff and London), which also measured VLDL directly, using double precipitation methods (22, 10). Serum for determination of apolipoprotein B was frozen and shipped to Hayway, NJ, where the radial immunodiffusion method of Sniderman et al. (25) was used. Laboratory safety tests were performed using routine automated methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Total serum cholesterol</th>
<th>HDL cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Plasma cortisol</th>
<th>Plasma testosterone</th>
<th>Urinary 17-hydroxysteroids</th>
<th>Urinary 17-ketosteroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff</td>
<td>7,A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12,D</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graz</td>
<td>7,A</td>
<td>11,C</td>
<td>13,E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwich</td>
<td>7,A</td>
<td>11,C</td>
<td>13,E</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nottingham</td>
<td>9,B</td>
<td>13,E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical measures. A complete physical examination was carried out before and after the study. Sitting pulse and blood pressure, temperature, and three lead electrocardiogram (ECG) were recorded on days 8R, 4, 15, and 29. Inquiry for adverse effects was made at each clinic visit.

Statistical analysis. For each blood and urine variable, within-group changes from baseline were analyzed using a paired t test. The baseline was taken to be the average of the three values recorded during the week preceding the start of active treatment (i.e., days 8R, 11R, and 15R). Also, between-group analysis of variance (blocked by center and including the center-by-treatment interaction effect) was carried out. Of the 15 possible pairwise comparisons, 7 were of interest: placebo vs. mevinolin groups, 6.25 mg bid vs. 25 mg qd, and 12.5 mg bid vs. 25 mg qd. These pairwise comparisons were made using Bonferroni's method as described by Dunn (24) after removing the effect of differences between centers (25).

In addition, the data from the mevinolin bid groups were assessed using a regression analysis. The regression analysis was performed using a log transformation (i.e., to obtain the values 1, 2, 3, 4) on the independent variable (dose of mevinolin). A significant regression coefficient can be interpreted as an indication of a dose-related response. For the measurements of total, HDL, and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides and apolipoprotein B, percent change from base line was also assessed.

RESULTS

57 of the 59 subjects completed the study. AN 38 (12.5 mg bid) was withdrawn on day 21 because of adverse effects (see below), and AN 41 (6.25 mg bid) withdrew for personal reasons on day 25. Because of a dispensing error, AN 10 (50 mg bid) received medication on days 21–28 intended for AN 12 (12.5 mg bid), and vice versa, and the day 29 values for these two subjects were therefore excluded from the analysis. According to the subject diaries, 99% of the prescribed capsules were taken, indicating good compliance.

Analysis of variance revealed no significant center-by-treatment interactions; accordingly, the results from the five centers were pooled (25).

The approximation of Friedewald et al. (21) LDL cholesterol = (total – HDL – VLDL) cholesterol, where VLDL cholesterol concentration = 0.80 × triglycerides concentration (in SI units) was checked in two centers (Cardiff and London) where VLDL cholesterol was measured directly. In the 19 subjects receiving active treatments, the average of the measured values/calculated values for VLDL cholesterol was 0.91 for the 95 placebo run-in samples, and 0.89 for the 135 samples drawn during the active treatment period (P > 0.05). Thus there was no evidence that the validity of the Friedewald approximation was affected by treatment with mevinolin. However, the individual values of VLDL cholesterol are subject to some uncertainty, as Friedewald et al. (21) point out. Because VLDL cholesterol is a small fraction of total cholesterol, the estimation of LDL cholesterol is not appreciably affected by any likely error in VLDL cholesterol determination.

Efficacy. Total serum cholesterol was 23–27% lower by the end of treatment in all the bid dosage groups (Fig. 1), (vs. placebo at day 29, P < 0.01), which was entirely attributable to a reduction in LDL cholesterol concentration of 35–45% (Figs. 1, 2); there was no significant change in HDL cholesterol, VLDL cholesterol, and triglycerides (Fig. 1). The reduction in LDL cholesterol was evident after 3 d of treatment and was essentially complete after 14 d (Fig. 3). LDL cholesterol tended to fall a little faster at the higher doses, but at the end of treatment, the effect of the top dose, 50 mg bid, was only slightly and not significantly greater than that of the lowest dose, 6.25 mg bid and there was no significant regression of effect on dose. (Figs. 1, 2). 25 mg qd exerted an appreciable cholesterol-lowering effect (vs. placebo at day 29, P < 0.05), but less than that of 6.25 and 12.5 mg bid (Figs. 1, 2), although this difference did not attain statistical significance. Apolipoprotein B concentrations (Fig. 4) were 25–34% lower than base line in the bid dosage groups at day 29 (vs. placebo at day 29, P < 0.01), and 20% lower than base line in the 25 mg qd group (vs. placebo at day 29, P < 0.05). The magnitude of the responses to treatment differed from center to center (Figs. 2, 4). This effect was statistically significant (P < 0.05 by analysis of variance) for day 29 apolipoprotein B but not day 29 LDL cholesterol, and for LDL cholesterol at several of the earlier time points.

![Figure 1: Effect of treatment on cholesterol in LDL, HDL, and VLDL. The bars on the left and right of each pair represent the base-line and posttreatment (day 29) mean values, respectively. Placebo, n = 10; 25 mg qd, n = 10; 6.25 mg bid, n = 9; 12.5 mg bid, n = 8; 25 mg bid, n = 10; 50 mg bid, n = 8.](image-url)
When the posttreatment results on all the bid doses were pooled, a positive correlation was observed between response, expressed as absolute fall in LDL cholesterol concentration at the end of treatment, and base line LDL cholesterol \((r = 0.34, P = 0.045)\). When response was expressed as percentage reduction, there was no correlation \((r = 0.11, P = 0.55)\).

Plasma cortisol and testosterone concentrations and urinary excretion of 17-hydroxysteroids and 17-keto-steroids were not significantly affected by mevinolin, although posttreatment values were generally slightly lower than base line in the active treatment groups (Table II).

**Adverse events.** There were no serious adverse events. One subject (AN 38, 12.5 mg bid) was withdrawn from the study on day 21 because of severe diarrhea and abdominal pain; otherwise, all symptoms reported were mild or moderate in intensity, and no other subject had to have treatment discontinued. Adverse events are summarized in Table III. The transam- inase values did not exceed 50 U/liter; both the rashes were maculopapular and mild, and in one case had resolved by the end of the study.

**DISCUSSION**

As is expected in a multicenter study, the responses to treatment differed quantitatively from center to center. The use of a balanced design and the absence of any significant center-by-treatment interactions permitted the pooling of the data without introduction of bias (25).

Lipid-lowering drugs have not often been investigated in normocholesterolemic volunteers, but the mean falls in serum cholesterol observed in this study are probably larger than can be obtained with any approved lipid-lowering agent, with the exception of cholestyramine and other bile acid sequestrants, which can produce a response of similar magnitude, but which (because of their bulk and taste) are poorly tolerated by many patients (26). Although caution is warranted when extrapolating results obtained in normal volunteers to the clinical situation, it is worth noting that a 35–45% reduction in LDL cholesterol, as observed in this study, would be sufficient for many hypercholesterolemic patients. It is also of interest that in dogs synergism between mevinolin and bile acid sequestrants has been reported (5).

The cholesterol-lowering effect of mevinolin was achieved by a reduction in the atherogenic LDL frac-

---

**Figure 2** Effect of treatment on LDL cholesterol. Points represent percent change in LDL cholesterol for individual subjects. Means are indicated by horizontal lines. ●, Cardiff; ○, Graz; △, London; Δ, Norwich; ■, Nottingham.

**Figure 3** Time-course of changes in mean LDL cholesterol. ●, placebo; ○, mevinolin 25 mg q d; △, 6.25 mg bid; Δ, 12.5 mg bid; □, 25 mg bid; ■, 50 mg bid. Placebo, \(n = 10\); 25 mg q d, \(n = 9\); 6.25 mg bid, \(n = 9\); 12.5 mg bid, \(n = 8\); 25 mg bid, \(n = 10\); 50 mg bid, \(n = 8\). (Subjects with missing data at any time point were excluded.)

**Figure 4** Effect of treatment on apolipoprotein B. Points represent percent change in apolipoprotein B for individual subjects. Means are indicated by horizontal lines. Key as in Fig. 2.
tion; the HDL fraction that is negatively correlated with ischemic heart disease (2, 27) was unaffected, as was the VLDL fraction. In this respect, mevinolin resembles cholestyramine but differs from clofibrate and nicotinic acid whose effects are exerted on both VLDL and LDL (26). Apolipoprotein B, which is the principal apoprotein of LDL and is claimed to correlate better with ischemic heart disease than LDL cholesterol (28), was also substantially lowered by mevinolin. Recently Kovanen et al. (5) have demonstrated that in dogs treatment with mevinolin leads to a reduction in LDL synthesis and an increase in the fractional catabolic rate for LDL; the latter could be attributed, at least in part, to an increase in the number of high affinity LDL receptor sites.

The relative ineffectiveness of once-daily administration (25 mg qd) is consistent with preliminary results (unpublished data, Merck Sharp & Dohme Div., Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, PA.) showing that plasma levels fall to very low levels 24 h after a single dose of mevinolin in healthy volunteers. The lack of regression of effect on dose indicates that all the doses used in this study lie near the top of the dose-response curve in normocholesterolemic individuals when given bid. Insofar as one objective of the study was to define the dose-response curve, it is evident that we underestimated the potency of mevinolin.

The incidence, nature, and intensity of the adverse events noted in this study, not all of which were necessarily drug related, are considered quite acceptable relative to the potential therapeutic benefits, taking into account the fact that the top dose used was eight times the dose found to produce near-maximal pharmacological effects. The lack of a statistically significant effect of mevinolin on plasma testosterone and cortisol, and 17-hydroxysteroid and 17-ketosteroid excretion, indicates that steroidogenesis is probably not affected to any clinically important degree by mevinolin under normal conditions. However, there appeared to be a trend towards a reduction of these variables, and the possibility that a clinically significant effect might be observed when steroidogenesis is proceeding at a high rate (e.g., during stress) cannot be ruled out.

None of the lipid-lowering agents currently in clinical use exert any major effect on the cholesterol synthesis pathway. Earlier attempts to reduce serum cholesterol by inhibiting its synthesis involved the use of drugs such as triparanol (MER-29) and AY-9944, which inhibit late steps in the cholesterol synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plasma cortisol</th>
<th>Plasma testosterone</th>
<th>Urinary 17-ketosteroids</th>
<th>Urinary 17-hydroxysteroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base line</td>
<td>Δ SD</td>
<td>Base line</td>
<td>Δ SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nmol/liter</td>
<td></td>
<td>µmol/24 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>50 (99)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 mg qd</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>55 (135)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25 mg bid</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>-50 (105)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5 mg bid</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>-55 (160)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 mg bid</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>-41 (135)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mg bid</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>-55 (99)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean plasma cortisol and testosterone and 24-h urinary excretion of 17-ketosteroids and 17-hydroxysteroids; base line (day 8R) means and posttreatment (day 29) mean changes from base line.

| Table III
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Adverse Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allocation number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adverse events; transient symptoms (i.e., those occurring on < 5 d) are not tabulated.
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pathway (29, 30). Triparanol was introduced into clinical use but was withdrawn because of serious toxic effects, some of which may have been related to the build-up of the sterol intermediate desmosterol (31, 32). Inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase could not cause build-up of sterol intermediates.

ML-236B, a structurally related compound that is obtained from a different microorganism (33) and is a less potent inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase (3), has recently been reported to be effective in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia (34, 35). In our short-term study, mevinolin was safe and generally well tolerated (particularly at the lower doses), and was very potent as a cholesterol-lowering agent, both in terms of response attained and on a milligram basis. If safety during long-term use can be demonstrated, inhibitors of HMG-CoA reductase may well provide an important advance in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia.
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