Glycemic Thresholds for Activation of Glucose Counterregulatory Systems Are Higher than the Threshold for Symptoms
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Abstract

To define glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems and for symptoms of hypoglycemia, we measured these during stepped reductions in the plasma glucose concentration (in six 10-mg/dl hourly steps) from 90 to 40 mg/dl under hyperinsulinemic clamp conditions, and compared these with the same measurements during euglycemia (90 mg/dl) under the same conditions over 6 h in 10 normal humans. Arterialized venous plasma glucose concentrations were used to calculate glycemic thresholds of 69±2 mg/dl for epinephrine secretion, 68±2 mg/dl for glucagon secretion, and 66±2 mg/dl for growth hormone secretion, and 58±3 mg/dl for cortisol secretion. In contrast, the glycemic threshold for symptoms was 53±2 mg/dl, significantly lower than the thresholds for epinephrine (P < 0.001), glucagon (P < 0.001), and growth hormone (P < 0.01) secretion. Thus, the glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems during decrements in plasma glucose lie within or just below the physiologic plasma glucose concentration range, and are substantially higher than the threshold for hypoglycemic symptoms in normal humans. These findings provide further support for the concept that glucose counterregulatory systems are involved in the prevention, as well as the correction, of hypoglycemia.

Introduction

A body of physiological and clinical evidence (reviewed in references 1 and 2) indicates that the prevention (3–6), as well as the correction (7–9), of hypoglycemia is the result of both dissipation of insulin and activation of glucose counterregulatory systems. Whereas insulin is the dominant glucose-lowering factor, there are redundant glucose counterregulatory factors, and a hierarchy among these. In defense against decrements in plasma glucose, dissipation of insulin is likely most important. Glucagon plays a primary counterregulatory role. Epinephrine is not normally critical but it compensates largely and becomes critical when glucagon is deficient. Hypoglycemia develops or progresses when both glucagon and epinephrine are deficient and insulin is present. Growth hormone (10), cortisol (10), and glucose autoregulation (11, 12) may be involved in the correction of hypoglycemia under some conditions, but these are neither critical nor potent. Other hormones, neurotransmitters, and effects of substrates other than glucose have not been shown to be involved.

If glucose counterregulatory systems are involved in the prevention of hypoglycemia, these should be activated by plasma glucose decrements within or just below the physiologic range of plasma glucose concentrations, and at glucose levels higher than those that produce symptoms of hypoglycemia. Thus, we hypothesized that during decrements in plasma glucose the glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems are higher than the glycemic threshold for symptoms. To test this hypothesis we measured counterregulatory factors and symptoms during controlled plasma glucose decrements under hyperinsulinemic clamp conditions, and compared these with the same measurements during euglycemia under the same conditions in normal humans. Reasoning that stepped plasma glucose decrements would define glycemic thresholds more precisely than a continuous decrease in plasma glucose, we lowered the plasma glucose concentration in 10-mg/dl hourly steps.

Methods

Subjects. 10 normal young adults (nine men and one woman) gave their written consent to participate in these studies, which were approved by the Washington University Human Studies Committee and done at the Washington University General Clinical Research Center. Their ages ranged from 23 to 34 yr (mean±SD, 26±3 yr). Their percent ideal body weights (Metropolitan Life Insurance Co, [New York, NY] tables) ranged from 92 to 113% (100±7%). Each subject participated in two studies, an experimental and a control study, both after an overnight fast.

Protocol. After reporting to the Washington University General Clinical Research Center early on the morning of study, the subject assumed the recumbent position which was maintained throughout. Three indwelling intravenous lines were then inserted: an antecubital line for Biostator (Miles Laboratories, Inc., Elkhart, IN) sampling, an antecubital line for Biostator glucose infusion and Harvard pump (Harvard Equipment Co., Natick, MA) insulin infusion, and a hand vein line for sampling. That hand was placed in a 60°–65°C box to provide arterialized venous samples.

On one occasion (the experimental study), purified porcine regular insulin (Novo Industri, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was infused intravenously at a constant rate (see below) over 6 h and the plasma glucose concentration was clamped by variable intravenous glucose infusion using the Biostator operating in mode 9:1, at sequential target glucose concentrations of 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, and 40 mg/dl at 1-h intervals. On another occasion (the control study) insulin was infused at the same rate but plasma glucose was clamped at a target glucose concentration of 90 mg/dl over 6 h. The subject was not informed whether the plasma glucose concentration was being decreased (experimental study) or held constant (control study).

Arterialized venous blood samples were drawn at 10-min intervals from 0 through 360 min during both studies. These were distributed to the appropriate tubes, kept on ice, and centrifuged promptly. The supernatants were then frozen for subsequent analysis. Blood pressures and heart rates were recorded automatically (Dinamap Vital Signs Monitor, model 1846; Critikon Inc., Tampa, FL) after each sample. Also at
10-min intervals the subject scored, from 0 (none) to 10 (severe), each of the following symptoms: difficulty thinking, shaky, tired, hungry, sweating, heart pounding, nervous, dizzy, faint, tingling, blurred vision, and different in any way. The sum of these 12 numbers constituted the symptom score. The symptom check list was self administered.

In the first three subjects, an intravenous insulin infusion dose of 1.0 mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ was used in both the experimental and control studies. However, it was necessary to increase the insulin infusion rate during the 6th hour of the experimental study to achieve the final glucose step, from 50 to 40 mg/dl. Therefore, an insulin infusion dose of 2.0 mU·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ was used in both the experimental and control studies in the remaining seven subjects.

Analytical methods. Plasma glucose was measured with a glucose oxidase method using a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). Plasma insulin (13), C-peptide (14), glucagon (15), growth hormone (16), and cortisol (17) were measured with radioimmunoassays, plasma norepinephrine and epinephrine with a single isotope derivative (radioenzymatic) method (18). Serum free fatty acids were measured with a colorimetric method (19), blood lactate (20), alanine (21), and β-hydroxybutyrate (22) with microfluorometric methods. The performance characteristics of these assays have been described previously (23).

Statistical methods. Glycemic thresholds for various parameters were determined by two methods: (a) estimated by inspection of mean experimental and control data; and (b) calculated as the mean of the glucose concentrations for each individual, during the experimental study, at which a given parameter first exceeded the 95% confidence interval (determined from the euglycemic control group data) for that parameter at the corresponding time point, after adjustment of experimental and control baseline (1st hour) data to zero. Calculated glycemic thresholds for the various parameters and hourly glucose infusion rates were compared using a t test. In this manuscript data are expressed as the mean plus or minus the standard error, except where the standard deviation is specified.

Results

Glucose, insulin, and C-peptide (Fig. 1). Mean (± SD) postabsorptive arterialized venous plasma glucose concentrations, before insulin infusions, were 91±7 mg/dl. The observed range was from 75 to 106 mg/dl.

During the experimental study target plasma glucose levels were approximated reasonably well. Mean (± SE) plasma glucose concentrations at the end of each hourly step (with the target levels in parentheses) were: 89±2 (90), 80±1 (80), 70±1 (70), 62±1 (60), 48±1 (50), and 43±2 (40) mg/dl. The corresponding plasma glucose values during the control study, in which the target was 90 mg/dl, were: 91±3, 93±3, 90±2, 90±2, 92±2, and 92±2 mg/dl. During the experimental study mean glucose concentrations were reasonably stable over the final 30 min of each step, except that targeted at 50 mg/dl where the mean level drifted downward.

Table I. Glucose Infusion Rates during Euglycemic Hyperinsulinemia (Control) and during Stepped Decrements in Plasma Glucose (Experimental) in Normal Humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Final glucose concentration</th>
<th>Glucose infusion rate</th>
<th>Final glucose concentration</th>
<th>Glucose infusion rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–60</td>
<td>91±3</td>
<td>6.0±0.9</td>
<td>89±2</td>
<td>6.3±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–120</td>
<td>93±3</td>
<td>7.9±0.8</td>
<td>80±1</td>
<td>6.5±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120–180</td>
<td>90±2</td>
<td>8.6±0.9</td>
<td>70±1</td>
<td>6.6±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180–240</td>
<td>90±2</td>
<td>9.2±0.8</td>
<td>62±2</td>
<td>5.6±0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240–300</td>
<td>92±2</td>
<td>9.6±0.7</td>
<td>48±1</td>
<td>3.3±0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–360</td>
<td>92±2</td>
<td>10.1±1.0</td>
<td>43±2</td>
<td>1.2±0.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.01 versus control.
† P < 0.001 versus control.

Stable hyperinsulinemia was comparable throughout the experimental and control studies except during the last hour of the former, when insulin infusion rates were increased in three subjects as noted earlier. Plasma C-peptide concentrations were stable throughout the euglycemic control study but suppressed markedly as the plasma glucose concentration decreased in the experimental study.

Glucose infusion rates (Table I). The average hourly glucose infusion rates required to maintain euglycemia during hyperinsulinemia increased progressively over the 6 h of the control study. In the experimental study, the first three glucose step levels (to final plasma glucose concentrations of 89±2, 80±1, and 70±1 mg/dl) during hyperinsulinemia were achieved without absolute reductions in the glucose infusion rates. Substantial reductions in the glucose infusion rates were required to achieve the subsequent three glucose steps.

Symptom score (Fig. 1). The mean symptom score began to increase during the 70–60-mg/dl plasma glucose step and clearly exceeded control values during the 60–50-mg/dl glucose step in the experimental study. It rose progressively as plasma glucose decreased thereafter. Notably, the mean symptom score tended to drift upward over time in the euglycemic control study.

Epinephrine (Fig.1) and glucagon, growth hormone, cortisol, and norepinephrine (Fig. 2). The mean plasma epinephrine concentration doubled (from 34±7 to 68±17 mg/ml) during the 90–80-mg/dl glucose step and clearly exceeded euglycemic control values during the 80–70-mg/dl glucose step in the experimental study. Epinephrine levels rose substantially as plasma glucose decreased thereafter. The mean plasma glucagon concentration increased and exceeded euglycemic control values during the 70–60-mg/dl glucose step and rose thereafter in the experimental study. There was, however, considerable variation in glucagon levels, which tended to decline during the control study. The mean plasma growth hormone concentration rose sharply and exceeded control values during the 80–70-mg/dl glucose step, and increased substantially as plasma glucose de-

Figure 1. Mean (±SE) plasma glucose, insulin, C-peptide, and epi-

nephrine concentrations and mean symptom score during stepped plasma glucose reductions (●, ○) and during euglycemia (∗).
increased thereafter in the experimental study. Some variation in growth hormone levels was apparent in the control study, as observed previously under other conditions (3). The mean plasma cortisol concentration clearly increased and exceeded control values during the 70-60-mg/dl glucose step, and rose progressively as plasma glucose decreased thereafter in the experimental study. Although it drifted upward earlier, the mean plasma norepinephrine concentration did not exceed control values until the 50-40-mg/dl glucose step in the experimental study.

**Free fatty acids, β-hydroxybutyrate, lactate, and alanine (Fig. 3).** In the experimental study the mean serum free fatty acid concentration increased, clearly exceeding control values during the 70-60-mg/dl glucose step. The mean blood β-hydroxybutyrate concentration appeared to exceed control values only during the last glucose step. The mean blood lactate concentration increased and exceeded control values during the 70-60-mg/dl glucose step, and rose progressively as plasma glucose decreased thereafter in the experimental study. The mean blood alanine concentration did not change in either study.

**Heart rate and blood pressure (data not shown).** Mean heart rate and systolic and diastolic blood pressures in the experimental study did not deviate appreciably from those in the control study.

**Glycemic thresholds.** Arterialized venous glycemic thresholds for symptoms and for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, the calculated glycemic threshold for symptoms (53±2 mg/dl) was significantly lower than the glycemic thresholds for increments in plasma epinephrine (69±2 mg/dl, P < 0.001), glucagon (68±2 mg/dl, P < 0.001), and growth hormone (66±2 mg/dl, P < 0.01). The glycemic threshold for cortisol secretion (58±3 mg/dl) tended to exceed that for symptoms, but not significantly so. The glycemic threshold for an increment in plasma norepinephrine (51±3 mg/dl) was similar to that for symptoms.

**Discussion**

These data indicate that the glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems (including the secretion of epinephrine, glucagon, and growth hormone) during decrements in plasma glucose lie within, or just below, the physiologic plasma glucose concentration range in normal humans. Normal arterialized venous plasma glucose concentrations have not been well defined under a variety of conditions. If one assumes that the observed (75–106 mg/dl) or statistical (mean±2 SD, 77–105 mg/dl) range of postabsorptive values in these subjects represents the physiologic range, the calculated glycemic thresholds for epinephrine (69±2 mg/dl), glucagon (68±2), and growth hormone (66±2 mg/dl) secretion lie just below the physiologic range. The data also indicate that the glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems are substantially higher than the threshold for hypoglycemic symptoms (53±2 mg/dl). These findings provide further support for the concept, based upon physiological and clinical evidence (1–6), that glucose counterregulatory systems are involved in the prevention, as well as the correction, of hypoglycemia in humans.

These glycemic thresholds for symptoms and for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems during decrements in plasma glucose should not be extrapolated to other conditions uncritically. First, the glycemic thresholds were determined in a small, reasonably homogenous group of normal young adults. Second, they represent estimates, albeit possibly underestimates, of the biologic thresholds. Since the glycemic thresholds were based upon the plasma glucose concentration at which experimental values first exceeded the 95% confidence interval for that parameter during the euglycemic control study, these represent...
conservative threshold values that might be lower than the biologic thresholds. Increments in counterregulatory factor release and in symptoms must have begun earlier, before the values exceeded the 95% confidence intervals. Since the signal must precede the response by some finite time interval (i.e., the signal and the response cannot be simultaneous), these responses might have been signalled at higher glucose levels despite the use of hourly stepped plasma glucose decrements. Indeed, from inspection of mean data it appears that the average glycemic thresholds for epinephrine and growth hormone release are > 70 mg/dl, perhaps > 80 mg/dl. Third, the glycemic thresholds were determined from arterialized venous blood samples. Although arteriosorptive differences in plasma glucose are negligible in the postabsorptive state, substantial gradients (~ 15–30% at maximum) develop after glucose ingestion (24, 25). To the extent that such glucose extraction is the result of hyperinsulinemia, the venous glucose concentrations under the current hyperinsulinemic conditions were likely lower than the arterialized venous values reported here. The latter can be viewed as estimates of biologic thresholds for counterregulatory activation and symptoms, but they should not be compared with venous glucose levels under other conditions.

The glycemic threshold for increments in plasma cortisol (58±3 mg/dl) was lower than those for increments in epinephrine, glucagon, or growth hormone. Rather than reflecting a lower threshold for activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis, this might have been due to delay in the response of cortisol secretion to increments in ACTH, which was not measured. However, the prompt rise in plasma cortisol after injection of corticotropin-releasing hormone (26, 27) suggests that there was little delay in detection of ACTH increments, and supports the possibility that the glycemic threshold for release of ACTH is indeed lower than the thresholds for release of other counterregulatory hormones.

The relatively low glycemic threshold for increments in plasma norepinephrine (51±3 mg/dl) contrasts with the relatively high threshold for increments in plasma epinephrine (69±2 mg/dl). A substantial proportion, if not all, of the plasma norepinephrine response to hypoglycemia is derived from the adrenal medullae (28). However, muscle sympathetic nerve activity increases during hypoglycemia in humans (29); in general, muscle sympathetic nerve activity correlates well with the plasma norepinephrine concentration (30, 31). If the increments in plasma norepinephrine in the present study are indicative of sympathetic neural, as well as adrenomediullary, activation, the concordance of the norepinephrine (51±3 mg/dl) and symptom (53±2 mg/dl) glycemic thresholds is consistent with the notion that some of the nonneuroglycopenic symptoms of hypoglycemia are the result of a sympathetic neural, rather than an adrenomediullary, discharge. Although a symptom such as palpitations might be attributable to circulating catecholamines, sweating, a prominent symptom, is at best partially explicable on this basis (32, 33). It is largely attributable to sympathetic postganglionic cholinergic activation (32–34).

Several other observations from the present study warrant comment. First, the late rise in serum free fatty acid concentrations during hypoglycemia suggests that increased sympathochromaffin catecholamine release, perhaps in concert with other factors including increased growth hormone levels, can override the antilipolytic effect of sustained hyperinsulinemia. Second, since plasma C-peptide concentrations were suppressed completely during decrements in plasma glucose, but not during euglycemic hyperinsulinemia of similar magnitude, the data indicate that decrements in plasma glucose during hyperinsulinemia are more potent than hyperinsulinemia per se in suppressing insulin secretion. Third, since the glucose infusion rates required to maintain euglycemia increased progressively in the control study, steady state was not achieved. This has been reported by others during similar hyperinsulinemic clamps (35). If endogenous glucose production was suppressed completely, a reasonable assumption at this level of hyperinsulinemia (36), then steady state glucose utilization was also not achieved. Notably, absolute reductions in glucose infusion rates were not required to achieve the first three glucose step levels (to 70±1 mg/dl) in the experimental study although substantial reductions were required to achieve lower glucose levels. Our experimental design does not permit distinction between the roles of reduced plasma glucose concentrations per se and of resistance to insulin action induced by activated counterregulatory systems in this reduced glucose utilization. Fourth, despite substantial increments in plasma epinephrine we observed no significant changes in heart rate or blood pressure. The most plausible explanation is that the hemodynamic effects were counteracted by an increase in parasympathetic activity. Thus, during gradual decrements in plasma glucose, hemodynamic changes may not reflect the extent of sympathochromaffin activation.

Lastly, the data document the technical feasibility of the use of stepped plasma glucose decrements in the study of humans, and of the quantification of symptoms of hypoglycemia using a symptom score. With respect to the latter, the upward drift of the symptom scores during the euglycemic control study underscores the importance of the paired control for studies of responses to plasma glucose decrements.

In summary, the glycemic thresholds for activation of glucose counterregulatory systems during decrements in plasma glucose lie within, or just below, the physiologic plasma glucose concentration range, and are substantially higher than the glycemic threshold for hypoglycemic symptoms in normal humans. These findings provide further support for the concept that glucose counterregulatory systems are involved in the prevention, as well as the correction, of hypoglycemia.
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