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Abstract

The effects of decreasing the frequency of pulsatile gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) stimulation on pituitary responsiveness were studied in (a) men with isolated GnRH deficiency who had achieved normal sex steroid levels during prior long-term pulsatile GnRH replacement and (b) perifused dispersed pituitary cells from male rats in the absence of sex steroids. In three groups of four GnRH-deficient men, the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased at weekly intervals from (a) every 2-3-4 h (group I), (b) every 2-8 h without testosterone replacement (group II), or (c) every 2-8 h with testosterone replacement (group III). In three groups of three columns of perifused dispersed pituitary cells, pulses of GnRH were administered every 2, 4, or 8 h.

In groups I and II, mean area under the luteinizing hormone (LH) curve increased (P < 0.025) and serum testosterone levels fell (P < 0.035) as the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased. In group III, the area under the LH curve also increased (P < 0.01) although serum testosterone levels were constant, thereby demonstrating that the increase in pituitary responsiveness to slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation occurs independently of changes in the sex steroid hormonal milieu. The area under the LH curve also increased in the perifused dispersed rat pituitary cells when the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased to every 8 h (P < 0.05), thus demonstrating that the enhanced pituitary responsiveness to slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation is maintained even in the complete absence of gonadal steroids.

Nadir LH levels fell in all three groups (P < 0.01) as the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased. In contrast, mean peak LH levels, the rate of LH rise, and the rate of endogenous LH decay were constant as the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased. Finally, as the GnRH interpulse interval increased, mean LH levels fell, and mean follicle-stimulating hormone levels were stable or fell.

These results indicate that (a) pituitary responsiveness to GnRH increases at slower frequencies of GnRH stimulation in models both in vivo and in vitro, (b) these changes in pituitary responsiveness occur independently of changes in gonadal steroid secretion, and (c) the increases in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve at slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation are due to decreases in nadir, but not peak, LH levels. Slowing of the frequency of GnRH secretion may be an important independent variable in the control of pituitary gonadotropin secretion.

Introduction

The pituitary response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) is known to be determined by several factors. First, the pattern of GnRH presentation is critical since a pulsatile pattern of GnRH stimulation maintains normal gonadotropin secretion whereas continuous GnRH delivery causes profound pituitary desensitization (1, 2). Secondly, the quantity of GnRH within each pulse is directly related to the magnitude of the ensuing luteinizing hormone (LH) response from the pituitary (3, 4). Thirdly, the gonadotropin response to GnRH is modulated by the ambient levels of gonadal steroids (5–9). Finally, recent studies have demonstrated that the frequency of GnRH stimulation may itself be a critical determinant of the pituitary response to GnRH. Whereas physiological frequencies of GnRH stimulation maintain normal gonadotropin secretion in GnRH-deficient animals (1, 10, 11) and humans (12–15), supraphysiological frequencies of GnRH administration produce pituitary desensitization (1, 10, 16–18). In contrast, slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation increase the amplitude of the LH pulse in GnRH-deficient animals (10, 11, 19).

To determine whether slow frequencies of GnRH secretion (a) produce an increase in pituitary responsiveness independent of changes in gonadal steroids, (b) alter the relative amounts of LH and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) secreted, and (c) exert a differential effect upon the various components of a gonadotropin pulse, the following experiments were performed. First, the frequency of GnRH administration was progressively decreased in GnRH-deficient men. This model was chosen because these men lack endogenous GnRH secretion yet exhibit normal pituitary responsiveness to pulsatile GnRH during long-term GnRH administration. Thus, the pituitary responsiveness to low doses of GnRH can be analyzed without interference from endogenous GnRH secretion (14, 15, 20, 21). To determine whether the observed changes in LH secretion were due to alterations in pituitary responsiveness to GnRH related to the changing frequency of GnRH...
stimulation, changes in gonadal steroid secretion, or a combination of both mechanisms, controlled decreases in the frequency of GnRH stimulation were performed both with and without gonadal steroid replacement. Similar experiments were conducted using perfused dispersed pituitary cells from male rats to examine the effects of decreasing the frequency of GnRH administration on gonadotropin secretion in the absence of gonadal steroids. Finally, since slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation permit a more detailed evaluation of the individual components of a gonadotropin pulse, the impact of slowed GnRH stimulation of the pituitary upon the specific characteristics of an LH pulse was evaluated to examine the impact of GnRH frequency upon a pulsed response.

**Methods**

**Patient population.** Eight men with idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH) aged 19–33 yr were selected on the basis of (a) a failure to undergo spontaneous puberty by the age of 18, (b) serum LH concentrations < 1.0 mIU/ml and FSH concentrations < 3.5 mIU/ml (normal LH and FSH 3–19 mIU/ml) in the presence of serum testosterone (T) concentrations < 100 ng/dl (normal T 300–1,000 ng/dl), (c) the absence of any endogenous gonadotropin pulsations during a 16–24-h period of baseline blood sampling at 10-min intervals prior to GnRH therapy, (d) normal basal and stimulated serum cortisol, growth hormone, thyrotropin-stimulating hormone (TSH), and prolactin concentrations after insulin-induced hypoglycemia and thyrotropin-releasing hormone injection, (e) normal computed tomographic findings of the hypothalamic–pituitary region; and (f) the maintenance of normal serum gonadotropin and gonadal steroid concentrations for at least 3 mo while receiving a previously reported regimen of low-dose, subcutaneous GnRH administered at 2-h intervals (14, 15). Four men participated in two different studies separated by at least 6 mo. The protocol was approved by the Human Studies Committee of the Massachusetts General Hospital and all subjects provided written informed consent.

**GnRH regimen for human studies.** GnRH was administered intravenously throughout these studies since intravenous GnRH injections produce pituitary gonadotropin responses which more closely mimic the spontaneous LH pulses of normal men than do subcutaneous injections (22). Before taking part in the clinical protocol, each GnRH-deficient man underwent an intravenous GnRH dose-response study employing four or five intravenous GnRH doses ranging from 2.5 to 250 ng/kg per bolus (3). An individual dose–response curve was then constructed and the resulting LH pulses were compared to the range of LH pulse amplitudes from 20 normal men whom we studied previously (20, 23) to select a GnRH dose that would produce LH pulses with amplitudes within the midrange of those in the normal male population. On the basis of these dose–response studies, the "physiologic" doses of GnRH employed in this study ranged from 11 to 50 ng/kg per bolus. GnRH was initially administered every 2 h because this is the average LH interpulse interval observed in normal men (23–25).

**Experiment 1.** Four IHH men (group I) were evaluated during three admissions each separated by 7 d. On the first day of the study (day 1) an indwelling catheter was inserted into a forearm vein to convert patients from long-term subcutaneous GnRH administration to intravenous GnRH injected at 2-h intervals by an autoinfusion pump (Ferring Laboratories Inc., Ridgewood, NJ). Subsequently, they returned for three admissions to the Clinical Research Center (CRC) at the Massachusetts General Hospital during which four GnRH pulses were monitored. In order to analyze the same number of pulses during each admission, the length of the sampling interval was progressively increased as the GnRH interpulse interval was increased. The first admission occurred 3 d later (day 4) during which GnRH was injected intravenously at 2-h intervals for 8 h (n = four pulses). The patients were then discharged receiving intravenous GnRH every 3 h from the infusion pumps for the intervening 7 d. During the second admission, (day 11), GnRH was injected every 3 h for 12 h (n = four pulses). The patients were then discharged while receiving GnRH every 4 h for the next 7 d. During the third admission (day 18), GnRH was injected every 4 h for 16 h (n = four pulses). Serum gonadotropin concentrations were determined at times 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 min after each GnRH dose. When the interval between GnRH doses was longer than 120 min, sampling was then continued every 30 min until the next GnRH dose. Serum T and estradiol (E2) concentrations were determined on serum pools composed of equal aliquots of each sample obtained during admission.

**Experiment 2.** Four IHH men (group II) were converted from long-term subcutaneous GnRH to intravenous GnRH as in experiment 1. On day 4, the patients were admitted to the CRC and intravenous GnRH was injected at 2-h intervals for 8 h (n = four pulses). The patients were then discharged receiving intravenous GnRH every 8 h for the next 7 d. On day 11, the patients were readmitted to the CRC and GnRH was injected every 8 h for 32 h (n = four pulses). Serum gonadotropins and gonadal steroids were determined as in experiment 1 with an additional sample drawn 90 min after each GnRH dose.

**Experiment 3.** GnRH was administered to four additional IHH men (group III) as in experiment 2. However, at the end of the first blood sampling period, all patients received an intramuscular injection of testosterone enanthate (100 mg). Serum LH, FSH, T, and E2 levels were determined as in experiment 2.

**Experiment 4.** Pituitaries from adult male rats having a mean weight of 316 g were dispersed and prepared for perfusion as previously reported (26). Briefly, the pituitaries were enzymatically dispersed, mixed with Biogel P-2 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA), and packed into columns of 10 million cells each. Medium was pumped through the columns overnight and experiments were performed between 18 and 24 h after dispersion. In all experiments, the medium containing fetal calf serum was replaced with a Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer containing bovine serum albumin.

In each experiment, 50 ng/ml GnRH was introduced as discrete pulses lasting 3.3 min. There were three columns per group. GnRH pulses were introduced every 2, 4, or 8 h for 48 h and fractions were collected to determine the LH secretory response.

**Evaluation of pituitary gonadotropin and gonadal steroid secretion.** To determine the effects of slowing the frequency of GnRH stimulation on pituitary responsiveness in the three human experiments, the following parameters of gonadotropin secretion were evaluated during each sampling period: (a) mean LH pulse amplitude, (b) mean area under the LH curve, and (c) mean serum LH and FSH concentrations.

The pulse amplitude was defined as the difference between peak and nadir LH concentrations. The area under the LH curve was determined by a previously described computer program that attempts to correct for (a) prior LH secretion that has yet to be cleared, (b) LH that would have contributed to the total area had the next pulse not occurred, and (c) the presence of a constant baseline (3). To ensure comparability of results, the area under the curve was determined using only the first 2 h of data for each LH pulse regardless of the GnRH frequency. Arithmetic means of the serum LH and FSH concentrations were determined over the entire GnRH-interpulse interval using a computer program designed to weight values appropriately for differences in sampling intervals. For the perfused dispersed rat pituitary cells, the area under the LH pulse was used to quantify the amount of LH secreted in response to each GnRH bolus.

To determine the components of the LH pulses which were responsible for changes in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve, the following additional parameters were evaluated for each sampling period: (a) mean peak LH levels, (b) mean nadir LH levels, (c) mean slopes of the rising component of the LH curve, and (d) mean rates of LH decay (k). Decay constants were determined from a computer program that utilized the transformed data to construct a linear regression model of hormonal decay. At frequencies slower than every 2 h, decay constants were determined using only the first 2 h of each LH pulse.
Statistical analysis. Because mean gonadotropin levels rise and mean LH pulse amplitudes fall when GnRH frequency is progressively increased in GnRH-deficient men (16), we hypothesized that mean gonadotropin levels would fall and mean LH amplitudes and areas under the curve would rise when the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased in these men. Therefore, differences were analyzed with one-tailed statistics using analysis of covariance for experiment I and paired t-testing for experiments II and III. To determine whether gonadal steroid replacement altered the effects induced by slowing the GnRH frequency, the differences in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve in groups II and III were compared by a two-tailed t test. For the perfused dispersed pituitary cells, the areas under the curve at each of the three GnRH frequencies were compared by a one-tailed t test. P values < 0.05 are construed as statistically significant. However, because of the small number of patients in each group, all P values < 0.1 are reported and P values between 0.05 and 0.1 are construed as being suggestive of statistical significance. All data are reported as the mean±SEM.

Radioimmunoassays. Serum LH and FSH concentrations were determined by previously described double-antibody radioimmunoassays using the Second International Reference Preparation (Second IRP) as the reference standard for the human subjects and rat LH Reference Preparation 2 (RP-2) as the reference standard for the in vitro studies (26, 27). All samples from an individual patient were analyzed in the same assay. Serum T and E₂ concentrations were also determined by previously described radioimmunoassays (28, 29). The interassay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were < 10% for the LH and FSH radioimmunoassays and < 15% for the T and E₂ radioimmunoassays.

Results

Experiment 1. The pituitary LH responses to progressive decreases in the frequency of GnRH administration in a representative patient in group I are illustrated in Fig. 1. In this individual, when the GnRH frequency was decreased to every 4 h, there appeared to be a fall in nadir LH levels but little change in peak LH levels resulting in an increase in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve. For the four group I patients, both LH pulse amplitude (P < 0.01) and area under the curve (P < 0.02) increased when the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased, largely due to changes occurring when the GnRH frequency was slowed from every 3–4 h (Fig. 2 and Table I). Mean LH levels fell as the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased (P < 0.02) while mean FSH concentrations did not change (Table I). Both serum T (P < 0.035) and E₂ (P < 0.025) concentrations fell when the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased, with the fall in serum T levels occurring at the 4-h GnRH interval (Table I).

Experiment 2. The LH responses of a representative patient in group II are shown in Fig. 3 (upper panel), demonstrat-
The LH response to the initial bolus of each column did not differ significantly. After 34 and 42 h of stimulation, the LH responses to pulsatile GnRH given every 8 h were significantly greater than the responses to GnRH given every 2 or 4 h (P < 0.05). Because many of the baseline FSH levels were below the limit of assay sensitivity, conclusions about changes in FSH secretion could not be made in this system.

Analysis of components of LH pulsations in response to decreases in GnRH frequency. Slowing the frequency of GnRH administration allowed individual LH pulses to be dissected more precisely so that a detailed analysis of peak LH levels, nadir LH levels, the time to peak LH levels, and the rates of LH decay was possible. In all three groups of patients, the increases in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve observed during slowing of the GnRH stimulation were due entirely to decreases in nadir LH levels (P < 0.01 for all comparisons; Fig. 2 and 4 and Table III). In striking contradiction to the fall in nadir LH levels, peak LH levels did not change as the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased despite marked changes in sex steroid levels (Figs. 2 and 4 and Table III). The slopes of the rising component of LH secretion and the decay constants for LH during the first 2 h of sampling were identical regardless of the frequency of GnRH stimulation or the sex steroid hormone milieu (Figs. 2 and 4; Table III).

Discussion

In this study, we have demonstrated that controlled decreases in the frequency of administration of physiologic doses of GnRH to GnRH-deficient men have profound effects in and of themselves on pituitary gonadotropin secretion. When the frequency of GnRH stimulation was slowed, LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve both increased. This finding suggested that pituitary responsiveness to GnRH increases when the GnRH frequency is slowed in the setting of physiologic levels of gonadal steroids and is consistent with results in experimental systems where gonadal steroids were absent (10, 11, 19, 30–32). However, mean gonadal steroid levels also fell at the GnRH frequencies associated with increases in LH pulse amplitude and area. Because gonadal steroids can inhibit pulsatile LH secretion at the pituitary level in the human male (5–9), it was thus not possible to determine whether the larger LH pulses induced by decreasing the frequency of GnRH administration solely reflected a primary alteration in pituitary responsiveness to slow frequencies of GnRH administration, a reduced negative feedback effect of sex steroids, or both, in a setting where serum sex steroid levels were lower. Therefore, similar studies were performed in other GnRH-deficient men while maintaining serum sex steroid levels with exogenous T and in perfused dispersed rat pituitary cells in the absence of gonadal steroids. In both of these experiments, LH pulse amplitude and area under the secretory curve increased as the frequency of GnRH stimulation was slowed, thus demonstrat-

---

Table I. Gonadotropin and Gonadal Steroid Responses in Four IHH Men to Decreases in GnRH Frequency from Every 2 to 3 to 4 h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone</th>
<th>GnRH frequency*</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean LH (mIU/ml)†</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.3±2.4</td>
<td>12.2±1.2</td>
<td>12.4±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FSH (mIU/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4±3.2</td>
<td>9.2±2.7</td>
<td>10.5±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LH amplitude (mIU/ml)‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.0±3.5</td>
<td>18.3±2.9</td>
<td>22.0±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean area under LH curve (mIU/ml x min)³</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,554±243</td>
<td>1,565±154</td>
<td>2,085±221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean T (ng/dl)³</td>
<td></td>
<td>583±79</td>
<td>614±84</td>
<td>373±43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean E₂ (pg/ml)³</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.1±12.5</td>
<td>38.7±7.3</td>
<td>25.8±3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All P values analyzed by analysis of covariance from 2 to 3 to 4 h.
† P < 0.02.
‡ P < 0.01.
³ P < 0.035.
⁴ P < 0.025.
ing that slow frequencies of GnRH administration per se can modulate pituitary gonadotropin secretion independent of changes in gonadal steroid feedback.

Because discrete LH pulsations are easily distinguishable at slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation, this model provides a unique opportunity to examine the components of an individual LH secretory event responsible for the observed changes in pulsatile LH secretion. The increases in both LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve occurring at slower GnRH frequencies were largely attributable to a decreasing baseline level of gonadotropin secretion since peak LH levels were well maintained and neither the slope of the rising component of

Figure 3. Serum LH concentrations determined at frequent intervals in two men with isolated GnRH deficiency as the frequency of GnRH administration was decreased from every 2 to every 8 h at weekly intervals without T replacement (upper panel) or with T replacement (lower panel). T and E2 concentrations determined on serum pools from each sampling period are indicated on the figure.

Figure 4. Mean (±SEM) serum LH and FSH concentrations of four GnRH-induced pulses in four men when GnRH was administered every 2 or every 8 h (A) without T replacement or (B) with T replacement.
Table II. Gonadotropin and Gonadal Steroid Responses in Two Groups of Four IHH Men to Decreases in GnRH Frequency from Every 2 to 8 h with and without T Replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone</th>
<th>Without T</th>
<th>With T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LH (mIU/ml)</td>
<td>18.1±4.1</td>
<td>8.5±1.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FSH (mIU/ml)</td>
<td>8.8±1.1</td>
<td>6.9±1.4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LH amplitude (mIU/ml)</td>
<td>17.1±3.4</td>
<td>31.2±5.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean area under LH curve (mIU/ml × min)</td>
<td>1,488±149</td>
<td>2,832±412‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean T (ng/dl)</td>
<td>713±118</td>
<td>197±48‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean E₂ (pg/ml)</td>
<td>35.7±9.3</td>
<td>19.4±2.8†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.06 vs. 2 h without T. † P < 0.02 vs. 2 h without T. ‡ P < 0.025 vs. 2 h without T. § P < 0.01 vs. 2 h without T. †† P < 0.07 vs. 2 h without T. ‡‡ P < 0.01 vs. 2 h with T. ††† P < 0.03 vs. 2 h with T.

LH secretion nor the rate of LH decay changed at any given GnRH frequency or sex steroid milieu.

One surprising feature of these studies was the remarkable preservation of peak LH levels over a wide range of GnRH frequencies and in the settings of both normal and low levels of gonadal steroids. Although it is not currently known why peak LH levels are maintained as the frequency of GnRH administration is decreased in the male in vivo, the constancy of peak LH levels in GnRH-deficient men in response to identical bolus doses of GnRH indirectly suggests that any variability in peak LH levels observed in normal men is due to variations in the amount or variability in the timing of endogenous GnRH secretion as has been described in animal systems (4). The maintenance of the rate of LH decay is less surprising since this component of LH pulses is felt to represent the metabolic clearance of LH which does not show any major changes in humans over a wide range of physiological circumstances (33).

Whereas LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve both increased when the frequency of GnRH stimulation was slowed, mean LH levels decreased and mean FSH levels did not change when the GnRH frequency was slowed from every 2 to 3 to 4 h. However, when the GnRH frequency was slowed

![Figure 5](image-url)
further, to every 8 h, a decrease in mean FSH levels was also demonstrated. Because mean gonadotropin concentrations are influenced both by changes in pituitary responsiveness (as reflected by pulse amplitude and area) as well as by the frequency of GnRH administration, mean gonadotropin concentrations can fall even if pituitary responsiveness increases if the frequency of GnRH administration is decreased sufficiently. Had only mean LH levels been determined in our study, we would have concluded that pituitary responsiveness decreased at slower frequencies of GnRH administration. However, the large increases in LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve at the slower frequencies of GnRH administration clearly demonstrate that pituitary responsiveness to GnRH increases as the frequency of GnRH stimulation is decreased and that it is essential to dissect the various components of a secretory curve in experimental circumstances.

Previous investigations of the effects of decreases in GnRH frequency on mean gonadotropin levels have produced conflicting results that appear to be related to the degree of gonadal maturation in the model under investigation. Studies employing gonadally intact, mature monkeys have demonstrated a fall in mean LH levels with no change in mean FSH levels, similar to that seen in our study, when the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased (34, 35). However, studies utilizing castrated or gonadally immature models have reported a disproportionate rise in serum FSH compared with LH levels at slower frequencies of GnRH administration (10, 11, 18, 36, 37). For example, Gross et al. (36) recently reported a disproportionate rise in serum FSH levels when the frequency of GnRH stimulation was decreased in GnRH-deficient men whose serum T levels were in the hypogonadal range since they had not received prior long-term GnRH therapy. Because the early stages of puberty are characterized by a predominance of FSH as compared with LH secretion (38), and since we have previously documented that pituitary priming is occurring during this period of GnRH administration (39), the preferential rise in mean FSH levels in the study of Gross et al. (36) may well reflect the normal physiological response of the pituitary to GnRH stimulation during early puberty when gonadal secretory influences are low. In addition, Adams et al. (37) have recently reported that testosterone replacement prevents this preferential secretion of FSH in response to slow frequencies of GnRH administration in orchidectomized juvenile monkeys. Consequently, in our gonadally mature patients, the failure to elicit a preferential rise in FSH secretion in response to slow frequencies of GnRH administration may be explained either by their normal sex steroid secretion or by inhibin production by the mature gonad.

The cellular mechanisms by which slow frequencies of GnRH administration alter pituitary responsiveness are unknown. In GnRH-deficient male rats, the number of GnRH receptors falls when the frequency of GnRH stimulation is slowed (18) so that it is unlikely that the increases in LH pulse amplitude and area in our patients are due to changes in GnRH receptor number. The increased LH pulse amplitude and area under the curve that we observed at slow frequencies of GnRH administration might reflect an increase in readily dischargeable LH, as has been suggested in animal models (19).

Whether other mechanisms, such as alterations in gonadotropin synthesis or postreceptor events, are involved in the changes in pituitary responsiveness at slow GnRH frequencies is presently unknown.

In summary, we have demonstrated that slow frequencies of GnRH administration produce an increase in pituitary responsiveness that is primarily due to a fall in nadir LH levels with no change in peak LH levels, and is independent of changes in gonadal steroid secretion. Because there is considerable heterogeneity in the frequency of endogenous GnRH secretion in normal men (20, 23), it is possible that a compensatory increase in the magnitude of LH secretion helps to maintain normal gonadal steroid secretion during long, spontaneous pauses in endogenous GnRH secretion. Similarly, it is possible that an increase in pituitary responsiveness to slow frequencies of GnRH stimulation is important in the production of the large-amplitude LH pulsations that are characteristic of the luteal phase of the normal menstrual cycle (27, 40). Alterations in the frequency of GnRH stimulation are an important determinant of pituitary responsiveness in GnRH-deficient men and may also play an important role in the control of gonadotropin secretion in normal men and women.
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