Cell–Matrix Interactions Modulate Interstitial Collagenase Expression by Human Keratinocytes Actively Involved in Wound Healing
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Abstract

We reported that interstitial collagenase is produced by keratinocytes at the edge of ulcers in pyogenic granuloma, and in this report, we assessed if production of this metalloproteinase is a common feature of the epidermal response in a variety of wounds. In all samples of chronic ulcers, regardless of etiology, and in incision wounds, collagenase mRNA, localized by in situ hybridization, was prominently expressed by basal keratinocytes bordering the sites of active re-epithelialization indicating that collagenolytic activity is a characteristic response of the epidermis to wounding. No expression of mRNAs for 72- and 92-kD gelatinases or matrylins was seen in keratinocytes, and no signal for any metalloproteinase was detected in normal epidermis. Immunostaining for type IV collagen showed that collagenase-positive keratinocytes were not in contact with an intact basement membrane and, unlike normal keratinocytes, expressed α6β1 receptors. These observations suggest that cell–matrix interactions influence collagenase expression by epidermal cells. Indeed, as determined by ELISA, primary cultures of human keratinocytes grown on basement membrane proteins (Matrigel; Collaborative Research Inc., Bedford, MA) did not express significant levels of collagenase, whereas cells grown on type I collagen produced markedly increased levels. These results suggest that migrating keratinocytes actively involved in re-epithelialization acquire a collagenolytic phenotype upon contact with the dermal matrix. (J. Clin. Invest. 1993. 92:2858–2866.) Key words: collagenase • keratinocytes • wound healing • basement membrane • collagen

Introduction

Wound healing is an orderly process that involves inflammation, re-epithelialization, matrix deposition, and tissue remodeling. In most injuries, especially chronic wounds, healing is accompanied by inflammation, angiogenesis, and formation of granulation tissue. Degradation of extracellular matrix is required to remove damaged tissue and provisional matrices and to permit vessel formation and cell migration, and these remodeling processes involve various proteinases. The matrix metalloproteinase gene family includes interstitial collagenase, which has the unique ability to cleave fibrillar types I, II, III, and X collagens (1, 2), and stromelysins-1 and -2 and matryl-
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1. Abbreviation used in this paper: TIMPs, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases.
Methods

**Tissues.** Formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded histological specimens were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Washington University School of Medicine. 17 ulcers and 7 ulcerated pyogenic granulomas from different parts of the body were studied. Several ulcers were nonspecific and were characterized by inflammation and granulation tissue. 5 specimens were stasis ulcers, 5 were decubitus ulcers, 1 was pyoderma gangrenosum, and 1 specimen exhibited marked fibrosis. In addition, 4 specimens of bullous pemphigoid, 3 specimens of pemphigus vulgaris, and 4 samples of normal skin from different parts of the body were also studied.

For acute wounds, partial thickness wounds were created under aseptic conditions with Simplette-Blinding-time devices (OrganonTeknika, Durham, NC) on the lateral leg and/or ventral forearm of four normal elderly subjects ranging in age from 61 to 72 yr as described (13). At 1, 3, 4, and 7 d after wounding, 4-mm punch biopsies were taken at the Division of Dermatology, University of Washington, from two independent wounds for each time point. The wounds were bisected in a plane perpendicular to the long axis of the wound, fixed in 0.1 M paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin.

**In situ hybridization.** In situ hybridization was performed as described (14). All sections (5 μm) were treated with proteinase K (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and washed in 0.1 M triethanolamine buffer containing 0.25% acetic anhydride. Sections were covered with 25 to 50 μl of hybridization buffer containing 2.5–4 × 10⁶ cpm/μl of ³⁵S-labeled RNA probe. Specimens were incubated at 55°C for 18 h in a humidified chamber. After hybridization, slides were washed under stringent conditions and processed for autoradiography as described (7). After a 3–24-d autoradiographic exposure, the photographic emulsion was developed, and the slides were stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

**RNA probes.** Probes for interstitial collagenase (14, 15), 92-kD gelatinase (16), and TIMP-1 (17) have been described. A 1,212-bp Acl–SmaI fragment of the 3' end of 72-kD gelatinase cDNA (18) was subcloned into Bluescript KS transcription vector (Stratagene Corp., La Jolla, CA). An 800-bp XbaI–XmnI fragment of the 5' end of matrix-dehydroxycDANNA was subcloned into pGEM-7Zf(+) (19) and was generously provided by Dr. Lynn M. Matrisian, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. As a control for nonspecific hybridization, sections in each experiment were hybridized with ³⁵S-labeled sense RNA transcribed from a bovine tropoelastin cDNA. The validity of this probe as a negative control has been confirmed by Northern (14) and in situ hybridization assays (7, 20). In vitro transcribed RNA was labeled with α³⁵S]UTP (> 1,200 Ci/mmol) using reagents from Promega Corp. (Madison, WI) by a modification of our previously described method (14). To increase the specific activity of the radiolabeled probes, 150 μCi of α³⁵S]UTP was dried in a microfuge tube under vacuum with gentle centrifugation. This effectively increases the concentration of radiolabeled precursor greater than fivefold compared to our previous protocol. Transcription reagents, 5 U of the appropriate RNA polymerase, and 1 μg of linearized DNA template were added in a total volume of 20 μl, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. At this point, an additional 5 U of polymerase was added, and the reaction was continued for 3 h more. These modifications have generally resulted in a 5- to 10-fold increase in the specific activity of the RNA probes. The specificity of the interstitial collagenase, 92- and 72-kD gelatinases, matrixin, and TIMP-1 probes for the appropriate sized mRNA has been verified by blot hybridization (19, 21, 22).

**Antibodies.** Polyclonal rabbit antiserum raised against a monospecific synthetic peptide from the α5 subunit of the α5β1 integrin fibronectin receptor and antihamster α1(IV) collagen monoclonal antibodies were supplied by Dr. John A. McDonald, Mayo Clinic-Scottsdale, AZ. The specificity of the α5 subunit antibody has been characterized (23). The mouse type IV collagen antibody was raised against the NH₂-terminal domain of the molecule and is specific as determined by ELISA and immunoblotting (Crouch, E. C., personal communication). Polyclonal rabbit anti-human collagenase antiserum was affinity purified as described (24, 25). Highly purified human collagenase protein isolated from fibroblast conditioned medium (26) was coupled to CNBr Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia LKB, Uppsala, Sweden), and specific antibodies were adsorbed and eluted as described (25). As determined by ELISA, full immunoreactivity was recovered by elution with 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.3. Polyclonal antiserum to laminin was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (LAM-89).

**Immunohistochemistry.** On sections serial to those used for in situ hybridization, immunostaining for α5β1 integrin, collagenase, type IV collagen, and laminin was done by the peroxidase-antiperoxidase technique (Vectastain ABC Kit; Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) using diaminobenzidine as a chromogenic substrate. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked by incubation with 0.3% H₂O₂ for 30 min at room temperature and 10 mg/ml trypsin was applied on the slides for 30 min. The α5β1, laminin, and type IV collagen antibodies were diluted 1:500, 1:2,000, and 1:1,000, respectively, and were incubated on sections for 1 h at room temperature. The collagenase antibody was diluted 1:2,000 and reacted at 4°C overnight. Sections were counterstained with Harris hematoxylin. Controls were performed with the appropriate rabbit preimmune serum or preimmune mouse ascites fluid.

**Keratinocyte culture.** Human keratinocytes were obtained from reduction mammoplasties or abdominoplasties. The subcutaneous fat and deep dermis were removed, the skin was cut into thin pieces, and the tissue was incubated in 0.25% trypsin in PBS (27). After 16 h, the epidermis was separated from the dermis, and the keratinocytes were scraped into DME. The keratinocyte solution was added to fresh DME supplemented with 5% FCS, 1% Hepes, and penicillin/streptomycin. Under these conditions, the keratinocytes differentiate and stratify similarly to cells in vivo. Cultures were plated in duplicate 12-well plastic plates precoated with either type I collagen (Vitrogen 100; Celtrix Laboratories, Palo Alto, CA) in concentrations from 12 to 750 μg/ml or a basement membrane-like substrate (Matrigel; Collaborative Research Inc., Bedford, MA) in concentrations ranging from 47 to 3,000 μg/ml. After reaching confluence, the cells were washed, then refed. The medium was collected 72 h later, and the levels of accumulated interstitial collagenase were measured by a competitive ELISA as described (28). This assay has nanogram sensitivity, is specific for collagenase, and measures total enzyme present whether free or bound to TIMP or substrate, or whether in an inactive or active form.

**Results**

To assess if expression of collagenase is a common feature of the epidermal response to wounding, 24 samples of ulcerated lesions representing various underlying pathologies (see Methods) were studied using in situ hybridization. In all 24 samples, prominent signal for interstitial collagenase mRNA was detected in basal keratinocytes at the edges of the wounds (Figs. 1 A and B and 2 A and B). Immunostaining with affinity purified collagenase antibodies verified that basal keratinocytes produced collagenase protein with the strongest cellular staining at the wound edge (Fig. 1 F). Strong immunostaining for collagenase protein was also seen in the extracellular space near to the wound indicating the presence of secreted enzyme (Fig. 1 F). In a few samples (3/24), collagenase mRNA was also present in keratinocytes some distance from the ulcer in areas of papilomatotic and hyperplastic epidermis (data not shown). In addition, collagenase expression was also seen in most samples (22/24) in occasional fibroblast-like or macrophage-like cells in the dermis near to the ulceration (Fig. 1 A, B, and E). Typically, however, the signal in these stromal cells was much less than that seen in the basal keratinocytes. In one ulcer characterized by fibrosis with no inflammation, collagenase mRNA was detected exclusively at the epidermal wound edge. As we reported before (7, 20), no signal for collagenase mRNA was
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Figure 1. Collagenase mRNA is expressed by basal keratinocytes which are not in contact with a basement membrane. Serial sections were hybridized with 35S-labeled antisense RNA for collagenase mRNA (A, B, E) or stained with a monoclonal antibody to type IV collagen (C, D). Low power dark-field photomicrographs from ulcers of the forehead (A) and buttock (B) revealed strong autoradiographic signal for collagenase mRNA (small arrows) in migrating keratinocytes at the edge of the ulcer (U), which is at the far left in each micrograph. In many samples, occasional stromal cells had signal for collagenase mRNA (arrowhead in A and E, and cells in the inflamed area just to the left of the migrating epidermis in B). The large arrow approximates the extent of the basement membrane. Autoradiographic exposure was for 10 d, and sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (E) High power bright-field view (×210) of the section shown in A reveals that the collagenase-positive cells are confined to the basal epidermis, and again the large arrow approximates the extent of the basement membrane. (C and D) Sections parallel to A and B were immunostained for type IV collagen, which demonstrates that the epidermal basement membrane ceases (arrow) about where collagenase expression begins. Strong immunostaining is evident in the basement membrane of multiple blood vessels. (A and C) ×100; (B and D) ×50. (F) Immunostaining with affinity purified collagenase antibodies demonstrates the presence of enzyme protein in basal keratinocytes (small arrows). In this specimen, the ulceration is on the right side of the micrograph. Stromal staining for collagenase protein is indicated (large arrow). ×50. Immunohistochemical slides were stained with Harris hematoxylin.
seen in lymphocytes, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, in areas of unaffected epidermis, or in normal skin specimens. No specific signal was detected in samples hybridized with 35S-labeled sense RNA probe (data not shown).

To characterize the tissue microenvironment of the collagenase-positive keratinocytes, we stained serial sections with antibodies for type IV collagen as a marker of the basement membrane. In all samples, collagenase-positive keratinocytes were not in contact with an intact basement membrane, whereas epidermal cells behind the migrating front, which did not express collagenase mRNA, resided on an intact basement membrane (Fig. 1A and C, B and D). In many samples, a few basal keratinocytes just within the area of intact basement membrane were positive for collagenase mRNA, but this signal decreased progressively in cells away from the migrating front of epidermis. The integrity of the epidermal basement membrane was further confirmed by laminin immunostaining, which coincided with that for type IV collagen (data not shown).

These observations indicate that basal keratinocytes at the edge of wounds are in contact with and migrate over dermal matrix components. As shown by others (12, 29), the expression of matrix receptors is altered in activated basal keratinocytes. In particular, expression of the fibronectin integrin receptor, α5β1, is induced in migrating keratinocytes. In chronic wounds, we found that the α5 subunit of this receptor was expressed on the basal surfaces and occasionally on the pericellular edges of the same population of migrating keratinocytes that were positive for collagenase mRNA expression (Fig. 2). As expected, strong staining for α5β1 was present in blood vessel walls of all samples. In agreement with others (12, 29), no staining for α5β1 was noted in the intact epidermis or in normal skin.

Our findings demonstrate that collagenase expression is a predictable feature of keratinocytes at the edge of chronic ulcers. To assess if enzyme expression is also induced during the healing of acute normal wounds, we examined samples of

Figure 2. α5β1 integrin is expressed by collagenase-positive keratinocytes. Signal for collagenase mRNA in a fibrotic decubitus ulcer (A) and a stasis ulcer of the ankle (B) was seen in migrating keratinocytes (small arrows) at the edge of the ulcer, which is at the far left in each micrograph. Large arrows approximate the extent of signal for α5 immunostaining. Autoradiography was for 10 d. (C and D) Sections parallel to A and B were stained for the α5 subunit of the α5β1 fibronectin receptor. Small arrows indicate staining for α5 along the basal layer of keratinocytes. In C, receptor staining was confined to the same population of basal keratinocytes, which express collagenase mRNA as seen in A. In D, receptor staining was strongest in areas where collagenase mRNA was expressed, as seen in B, then diminished about where the signal for collagenase mRNA ceased (large arrow). Strong immunostaining for α5 was predictably evident around blood vessels. Magnification: (A and C) ×150; (B and D) ×60.
pressed in basal keratinocytes for sterile partial thickness basement membrane signal was noticeably weaker than that in the chronic wounds. By 4 d, re-epithelialization was complete, and collagenase expression was no longer detected (Fig. 3 B and D). In agreement with our previous findings on chronic wounds, immunostaining for type IV collagen showed that the collagenase positive cells were not in contact with a basement membrane, whereas by 4 d, the basement membrane had reassembled and no signal for enzyme mRNA was seen (Fig. 3). No signal for collagenase mRNA was seen in any dermal cell in these noninflamed acute samples.

Because the complete breakdown of cleaved fibrillar collagen may involve gelatinases, we examined the expression of other metalloproteinases in the chronic ulcer samples. No signal for the 72- and 92-kD gelatinase mRNAs was seen in the epidermis of any ulcerative or normal sample examined, even after an extended autoradiographic exposure of 17 d. However, if eosinophils were present (in 6 of 13 samples examined), a positive signal for 72- and 92-kD gelatinase mRNAs was detected in these granulocytes (data not shown) in agreement with our previous findings (20, 21, 25). The presence of 92-kD protein was also examined in five samples by using an affinity purified antibody (25) and no immunoreactivity was ever localized to the epidermal ulcer edges (not shown). As expected, however, neutrophils, which contain but do not actively synthesize the enzyme (25), and eosinophils stained positively for 92-kD gelatinase protein. Since matrilysin may activate procollagenase (30) we examined if it was expressed in wounds, but no signal was detected by either immunostaining or in situ hybridization with 35S-labeled antisense RNA for interstitial collagenase mRNA (A and B) or stained with monoclonal type IV collagen antibody (C and D). (A) As in chronic wounds, low power dark-field photomicrograph of a section from biopsy taken 3 d after wounding revealed signal for collagenase mRNA (small arrows) in basal keratinocytes at the healing edge of the wound. The epidermis (E) is seen migrating below the incision wound (W). The arrowhead indicates the approximate extent of the basement membrane. (C) Immunostaining of a parallel section indicates that collagenase-positive cells were not in contact with an intact basement membrane (arrowheads). (B) By 7 d after wounding, re-epithelialization was completed, and as shown by type IV collagen immunostaining in panel D, the basement membrane is intact and continuous. In the dark-field photomicrograph from a parallel section (B), no signal for collagenase mRNA was observed. Autoradiographic exposure for A and B was for 10 d. Magnification: (A and C) ×150; (B and D) ×60.

Figure 3. Localization of collagenase mRNA and type IV collagen immunostaining in acute wounds. Parallel sections were hybridized with 35S-labeled antisense RNA for interstitial collagenase mRNA (A and B) or stained with monoclonal type IV collagen antibody (C and D). (A) As in chronic wounds, low power dark-field photomicrograph of a section from biopsy taken 3 d after wounding revealed signal for collagenase mRNA (small arrows) in basal keratinocytes at the healing edge of the wound. The epidermis (E) is seen migrating below the incision wound (W). The arrowhead indicates the approximate extent of the basement membrane. (C) Immunostaining of a parallel section indicates that collagenase-positive cells were not in contact with an intact basement membrane (arrowheads). (B) By 7 d after wounding, re-epithelialization was completed, and as shown by type IV collagen immunostaining in panel D, the basement membrane is intact and continuous. In the dark-field photomicrograph from a parallel section (B), no signal for collagenase mRNA was observed. Autoradiographic exposure for A and B was for 10 d. Magnification: (A and C) ×150; (B and D) ×60.
hybridization. As we reported (7, 20), TIMP-1 mRNA was characteristically seen in macrophage-like cells within inflammatory infiltrates and in perivascular cells. Signal for TIMP-1 mRNA, however, was expressed by one or two epidermal cells in 4/14 samples, but the intensity of the signal for TIMP-1 mRNA in keratinocytes was substantially weaker than the signal found in stromal cells.

Our in vivo observations suggest that contact with the dermal extracellular matrix influences collagenase production by basal keratinocytes. If so, then collagenase would not be expressed in epidermal wounds where the basement membrane remains intact. In the autoimmune disease bullous pemphigoid, epidermal blisters form at the lamina lucida, leaving a mostly intact basement membrane attached to the dermis, yet the area is repaired by re-epithelialization similar to that seen in wound healing. As shown by in situ hybridization, no collagenase mRNA was detected in 3/3 pemphigoid samples (Fig. 4). Similarly, no collagenase mRNA was detected in keratinocytes in pemphigus vulgarus blisters, which occur suprabasilarly and are repaired by re-epithelialization (data not shown).

To assess further the role of cell–matrix interactions and the expression of collagenase, we cultured primary keratinocytes in high Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing medium, which promotes differentiation and stratification, on various concentrations of native type I collagen or basement membrane proteins (Matrigel). Collagenase expression was markedly induced in cells plated on collagen, and enzyme production, as quantified by ELISA, correlated directly with the concentration of the collagen substructure (Fig. 5). However, collagenase production was not induced in cells grown on Matrigel and only background levels of enzyme were spontaneously secreted (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

After injury to the skin, activated keratinocytes migrate over the wound bed in direct contact with elements of the provisional and dermal matrices. The findings presented here suggest that these new cell–matrix interactions influence collagenase production in the activated keratinocyte. Indeed, we demonstrated in both chronic and acute wounds that collagenase-expressing keratinocytes were not in contact with an intact basement membrane as assessed by the absence of immu-
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**Figure 4.** Collagenase is not expressed by keratinocytes in bullous pemphigoid. (A) Dark-field micrograph of a bullous pemphigoid section hybridized for collagenase mRNA. No signal is seen in the injured epidermis or elsewhere. (B) Immunostaining of a parallel section for type IV collagen reveals an intact basement membrane (arrows). Autoradiographic exposure was for 10 d. ×150.
noreactivity for type IV collagen and laminin. Thus, the homeostatic phenotype of the basal keratinocyte may be maintained by interactions with the underlying basement membrane. Reflecting these in vivo relationships of enzyme expression and underlying matrix, cultured keratinocytes grown on basement membrane proteins (Matrigel) did not produce significant levels of collagenase, whereas cells grown on type I collagen expressed markedly increased levels. Consistent with our data, Petersen et al. (31) have demonstrated that keratinocytes recognize and migrate on type I collagen substrate and that this interaction results in enhanced collagenase synthesis.

In most of the chronic wounds we studied, the border between immunoreactive basement membrane and collagenase-positive basal keratinocytes was not precise; however, the signal for collagenase mRNA decreased progressively within a few cells overlying the newly formed basement membrane. This observation is consistent with our idea that cell–matrix interactions maintain the phenotype of basal keratinocytes in intact skin and indicates that collagenase expression ceases once the cell establishes contact with the basement membrane. In all cell models studies, collagenase production is regulated at the level of transcription (32–35), and recently we have shown that collagenase mRNA has a half-life of ~6 h (32). Thus, the weaker signal for collagenase mRNA in basal keratinocytes within the basement membrane border may represent the transcripts that remain and are being degraded after gene expression has been shut off.

We also showed that α5β1 integrin was present in the same keratinocytes that express interstitial collagenase, thus demonstrating further that cell–matrix interactions are altered in the activated keratinocytes. In agreement with our data, α5β1 integrin is expressed in keratinocytes migrating out of skin explants (36), and blocking this integrin receptor has been associated with inhibition of keratinocyte migration on fibronectin matrices (37). Furthermore, Web et al. (38) found that collagenase expression depends on the capacity to cross-link and cluster α5β1-receptors on the cell surface of synovial fibroblasts. Although the stimulus that induces integrin expression by keratinocytes is not known, transforming growth factor β1, which would be released from degranulated platelets at the initiation of wound repair and from migratory and resident cells during other stages of healing, does upregulate production of α5β1 (36). Since the α5β1 integrin recognizes fibronectin, which is present in both the provisional and dermal matrices but absent from the epidermal basement membrane, it is doubtful that this receptor transduced induction of collagenase expression by keratinocytes cultured on collagen. Most likely other integrins, such as the type I collagen-binding α5β1 receptor, which is constitutively expressed on keratinocytes (29), participates in mediating induction of collagenase gene expression. Since keratinocytes are not normally in contact with type I collagen, it is tempting to speculate that basal production of α5β1 integrin keeps keratinocytes primed and ready to respond to wounding. This will be addressed in future studies by testing if blocking antibodies to α5β1 inhibit re-epithelialization.

In many specimens of chronic wounds, we detected some expression of collagenase mRNA in stromal cells in the vicinity of the ulcer. Typically, however, only a few scattered positive cells were seen, and the signal per cell was much less than that detected in keratinocytes. Thus, collagenase expression in wound healing is primarily a response of the migrating epidermis. In contrast to the idea that cell–matrix interactions are required for collagenase induction in keratinocytes, enzyme expression in stromal cells may be regulated by cytokines released by advancing granulation tissue (39). Upregulation of collagenase gene expression by fibroblasts is mediated by various inflammatory agents including IL-1, TNF-α, and PDGF (40, 41), and macrophage stimulation of collagenase synthesis is induced by bacterial endotoxin (19). In human fibroblasts, epidermal growth factor also induces expression of collagenase (40), and this cytokine may be released from platelets during wound healing (42). Supportive of the requirement of inflammatory mediators for expression of collagenase by stromal cells is the absence of a dermal signal in a sample with a fibrotic ulcer lacking any inflammation (data not shown) and in the human acute wounds, which were also devoid of an inflammatory infiltrate. Although cytokines may affect collagenase expression by keratinocytes, our findings strongly implicate a dependence on precise cell–matrix contacts. Furthermore, the degradative activity of collagenase may be involved in distinct processes related to healing that are accomplished by the different cellular compartments. Keratinocytes may degrade dermal collagen to aid migration and promote re-epithelialization, whereas stromal collagenase activity may be related to tissue remodeling associated with granulation and scar formation.

In three samples, weak signal for TIMP-1 mRNA colocalized with collagenase mRNA in migrating keratinocytes. Keratinocytes are capable of secreting TIMP-1 in vitro (43) and, in fact, Jancic et al. (44) have detected temporal expression of TIMP-1 mRNA at the edges of healing human burn wounds. TIMP-1 was expressed by stromal or perivascular cells in all our samples studied, usually away from sites of collagenase expression. However, this feature was more consistent in the
pyogenic granulomas than in the nonspecific ulcers, the former of which are characterized by extensive, proliferating blood vessels and where TIMP-1 mRNA was frequently observed in a perivascular distribution. This suggests that keratinocyte-derived collagenase is allowed to act without impedence from TIMP-1.

In culture, human keratinocytes on plastic (45) or on collagen produce 92-kDa gelatinase (46, 47), but in vivo we detected no expression of this enzyme in normal or wounded skin epithelium. These apparently discrepant results may be explained by different factors. Potentially, cell culture may artificially induce gelatinase expression. In fact, we have only detected expression of 92-kDa gelatinase in skin specimens with eosinophils and occasional macrophages (20, 21, 25). In addition, upregulation of 92-kDa gelatinase is seen in the epithelial layer of healing rabbit corneal wounds (48), and hence, the healing response in the cornea may be distinct from that in the skin. Since neutrophils store 92-kDa gelatinase but do not actively make it (25), this enzyme may be involved in a wound healing response when these cells are present. Finally, Salo et al. (45) have suggested that gelatinases may be important in releasing keratinocytes from the basement membrane before lateral movement at the beginning of epithelial wound healing. Since we studied mostly chronic ulcers, metalloproteinases may have been expressed at earlier stages. Matrilysin may be relevant in wound healing by participating in the activation of plasminogen activator (49) as keratinocytes disperse their way through the provisional matrix in granulation tissue. However, this enzyme was not detected in keratinocytes or inflammatory cells in this study.

In summary, our studies show that production of interstitial collagenase mRNA by basal keratinocytes associated with re-epithelialization is a general phenomenon of wound healing in skin. In addition, our studies demonstrate that collagenase is the predominant metalloproteinase expressed in healing wounds. Collagenolytic activity may contribute to removal of damaged tissue or facilitate the migration of keratinocytes through dermal connective tissue. Based on our findings that the collagenase-positive basal cells are not in contact with an intact basement membrane and that type I collagen stimulates keratinocyte collagenase production in vitro, we hypothesize that migrating keratinocytes actively involved in re-epithelialization acquire a collagenolytic phenotype upon contact with the dermal matrix.
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