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Abstract

Prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) inhibits fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis. In this study, we compared lung fibroblasts isolated from patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (F-IPF) and from patients undergoing resectional surgery for lung cancer (F-nl) with respect to their capacity for PGE₂ synthesis and their expression and regulation of cyclooxygenase (COX) proteins. Basal COX activity, assessed by quantitating immunoreactive PGE₂ synthesized from arachidonic acid, was twofold less (P < 0.05) in F-IPF than F-nl. In F-nl, incubation with the agonists PMA, LPS, or IL-1 increased COX activity and protein expression of the inducible form of COX, COX-2, and these responses were inhibited by coincubation with dexamethasone. By contrast, F-IPF failed to demonstrate increases in COX-2 protein expression or COX activity in response to these agonists. Under conditions of maximal induction, COX activity in F-IPF was sixfold less than that in F-nl (P < 0.05). Our data indicate that F-IPF have a striking defect in their capacity to synthesize the anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrogenic molecule PGE₂, apparently because of a diminished induction of COX-2 protein. This reduction in the endogenous capacity of F-IPF to down-regulate their function via PGE₂ may contribute to the inflammatory and fibrogenic response in IPF. Moreover, we believe that this represents the first description of a defect in COX-2 expression in association with a human disease. (J. Clin. Invest. 1995; 95:1861–1868.) Key words: arachidonic acid • lipopolysaccharide • eicosanoids • interleukin-1β • prostaglandin H synthase

Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)¹ is the most common of the interstitial lung diseases, a group of disorders characterized by inflammatory injury and fibrosis of the lung parenchyma. Fibrosis is generally considered to be an irreversible finding and is the hallmark of IPF. This fibrotic component of IPF is characterized by both a striking increase in the number of fibroblasts and the deposition of fibroblast-derived extracellular matrix proteins, especially collagen (1). Fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis are therefore of central importance in IPF, and the modulation of these processes by effector molecules such as cytokines (2–4), growth factors (5, 6), and eicosanoids (7) is of great interest.

Among those factors that downregulate fibroblast function, prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) has been the most extensively studied. This lipid mediator has been shown to decrease fibroblast proliferation (8, 9) and reduce collagen levels by inhibiting its synthesis (10, 11) and promoting its degradation (12). Since PGE₂ is a major eicosanoid product of fibroblasts (13–15), it is plausible to speculate that this molecule might regulate fibroblast function in an autocrine fashion.

The initial steps in the metabolism of arachidonic acid (AA) to PGE₂ and other prostaglandins (PGs) are catalyzed by the enzyme PGH synthase, or cyclooxygenase (COX) (16). Two distinct isozymes of COX, COX-1 and COX-2, have been identified. Whereas COX-1 is constitutively expressed in many tissues (17), COX-2 has been shown to be inducible by a variety of stimuli, such as PMA, IL-1, and LPS, in a number of cell types (18–21). In Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts, for example, increases in COX activity in response to IL-1 and PMA have been shown to correlate with increases in COX-2 protein (21).

We undertook this study to determine whether the eicosanoid profile and the regulation of PG synthesis in fibroblasts isolated from patients with IPF (F-IPF) differed from those observed in normal human pulmonary fibroblasts (F-nl). Our data indicate that, although F-nl and F-IPF had identical eicosanoid profiles, F-IPF exhibited significantly less COX activity at baseline than F-nl. Moreover, when cells from the two populations were stimulated with IL-1, PMA, or LPS, F-nl responded by increasing their PGE₂ production over baseline, but F-IPF did not. In cells from both groups, these metabolic responses correlated with their capacities to up-regulate the expression of COX-2 protein.

Methods

Patient populations. The IPF study group consisted of nine previously untreated patients from the University of Michigan Specialized Center of Research in Interstitial Lung Disease project. These patients displayed radiographic and clinical findings consistent with IPF; pathologic confirmation of IPF was made by open lung biopsy. There were three males and six females, with a mean±SEM age of 55.2±14.9 yr (range 28–69 yr). All patients were current nonsmokers. The degrees of inflammation and fibrosis in each of three separate biopsy specimens obtained from different bronchopulmonary segments were scored by a pathologist...
The number of patients considered using published undergone previously from (range 39-72 yr). One was a current smoker, five were former smokers (ceased at least 2 mo before surgery), and two were patients who never smoked. F-nl were isolated from areas of lung parenchyma that were considered histopathologically normal. The experimental protocol was approved by the University of Michigan Medical Center Institutional Review Board for Approval of Research Involving Human Subjects. The number of patients from whom cells were taken for each experiment is as indicated in the text and figure legends.

Isolation and culture of pulmonary fibroblasts. Fibroblasts were isolated from open lung tissue biopsy specimens using methods previously described (23). Briefly, lung tissue samples were isolated under sterile conditions, and 1 mm² fragments from each of the three sampled segments were pooled and placed in DME (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) with 10% LPS-free FCS (HyClone Laboratories, Inc., Logan, UT). Fibroblasts proliferating from these specimens were grown to 80% confluence and serially passed in the same medium. At the fifth passage, fibroblasts were seeded into 96-well plates (0.25 x 10⁶ cells per well) for metabolic studies, 60-mm dishes (4 x 10⁶ per dish) for immunoblot analysis, and 175-mm flasks (10⁶ per flask) for RNA analysis. Confluent cells were washed and rendered quiescent by culturing in serum- and LPS-free DME. They were then cultured for 1-72 h in the presence of absence of LPS from *Escherichia coli* (serotype O111:B4, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) (100 ng/ml), human recombinant IL-1β (Collaborative Biomedical Products, Bedford, MA) (2.5 ng/ml), PMA (Sigma Chemical Co.) (50 nM), and/or dexamethasone (Sigma Chemical Co.) (1 μM) before harvesting. Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air.

Separation of eicosanoids. Cellular lipids of fibroblasts cultured in 96-well plate wells were labeled by including 1 μCi of [3H]AA (sp act 76-100 Ci/mM) from Du Pont—New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) in the medium for 16 h. Unincorporated label was removed by washing cells with DME, and cells were then incubated for 30 min with ionophore A23187 (5 μM). Radiolabeled free AA and its eicosanoid metabolites were extracted from the medium, and pooled lipid extracts from four wells were subjected to reverse-phase HPLC as previously described (24). Radioactivity was determined off-line using a Radiomatic Flo-One Beta detector (Packard Instrument Co., Downers Grove, IL). Determination of PGE₂ accumulation. A 16- or 72-h incubation in serum-free medium, cumulative PGE₂ synthesis from endogenous AA was determined by assaying supernatants with an enzyme-linked immunosassay (EIA; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Determination of COX and PGE isomerase activities. PGE₂ accumulation reflects the actions of both phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂) and COX. To bypass PLA₂ and therefore estimate maximal COX metabolic capacity, cells were incubated as already outlined for 1, 16, or 72 h, washed, and incubated for 30 min in DME containing exogenous AA (10 μM). PGE₂ formation in the supernatant was then quantitated by EIA. Preliminary experiments indicated that this dose of AA was saturating, as indicated by maximal PGE₂ synthesis (data not shown). In a similar fashion, PGE isomerase activity was determined after a 15-min incubation with exogenous PGH₂ and subsequent quantitation of PGE₂. All assays were performed in duplicate, and activities were expressed as PGE₂ formed in nanograms per microgram of cellular protein. Protein concentration was determined by a microtiter plate modification (Pierce Biochemical, Rockford, IL) of the Bradford method (25) using BSA as a standard.

Preparation of crude cell lysates. F-nl or F-IFF were harvested from 60-mm plates by scraping with a rubber policeman in 250 ml of ice-cold homogenizing buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, 0.1 M NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 60 μg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, pH 7.1). The cell suspension was sonicated on ice using a model 250 sonifier (Branson Ultrasonics Corp., Danbury, CT) at a power level of 1, 20% duty cycle for 1.5 min. Immunoblot analysis. Aliquots of F-nl and F-IFF lysates containing 20 μg of total protein were combined 1:1 (vol/vol) with SDS sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% β-mercaptoethanol, pH 6.8) and immediately boiled for 3 min. They were then subjected to SDS-PAGE on 10% acrylamide gels overlaid with a 5% stacking gel by the method of Laemmli (26). High molecular weight weight markers (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL) and COX-1 and COX-2 standards were run in parallel in each gel. The COX-1 standard (Oxford Biomedical Research, Inc., Oxford, MI) was purified from sheep seminal vesicle, and the COX-2 standard (generously provided by D. DeWitt, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI) consisted of microsomes from cos cells transfected with the cDNA for murine COX-2 (27). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose (Bio Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA) using a Trans-Blot Cell ( Hoefer Scientific Instruments, San Francisco, CA), and membranes were blocked for 1 h with 10% nonfat dry milk reconstituted with 0.1% Tween in Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) (TBST). The membranes were washed for 5 min in TBST and then incubated for 1 h with either anti-COX-1 antisemur (1:5,000 dilution) or anti-COX-2 antisemur (1:300 dilution). The former is a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against sheep seminal vesicle COX (28), and the latter is a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against a 17-amino acid peptide derived from the murine COX-2 sequence that is not present in COX-1 (29). After another 15-min wash in TBST, the membrane was incubated for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:10,000 for COX-1 and 1:5,000 for COX-2) followed by three 5-min washes in 0.3% TBST and three 5-min washes in TBST. Detection was accomplished using the ECL enhanced chemiluminescence method (Amersham Corp.) according to the manufacturer’s directions. Multiple exposures were obtained for each membrane, and the densities of bands corresponding to COX-1 and COX-2 were quantitated by video densitometry of appropriately exposed autoradiographs using image analysis software from Scion (Fredric, MD). To compare the steady-state basal levels of COX-1 and COX-2 among all the F-nl and F-IFF patients, all samples were loaded in a random fashion on the same gel and processed in parallel; band densities, expressed in arbitrary densitometric units, were determined. To analyze the effects of agonists on COX protein levels, different experimental samples from a given patient were loaded on the same gel and processed in parallel, and the densities of bands from agonist-treated samples were expressed as a percentage of that from the control (untreated) sample.

RNA preparation and analysis. Total RNA was prepared from cultured fibroblasts by the Tri- Reagent method (Molecular Research Center, Inc., Cincinnati, OH). For PCR analysis of RNA, cDNA was prepared by reverse transcription of 1 μg of each RNA sample in a 50-μl reaction containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 40 mM KCl, 6 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.4 mM dNTPs, 2 μM random hexamer primers (Perkin Elmer-Cetus, Norwalk, CT), 0.2 μl/μl RNAse inhibitor (Perkin Elmer-Cetus), and 8 U/μl Moloney-murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (RT) (Life Technologies, Inc., Bethesda, MD). The reaction mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 10 min, at 42°C for 30 min, and at 95°C for 5 min. The cDNAs were then diluted to 100 μl, and the same cDNA mixtures were used in all PCRs. PCRs performed in a 50-μl reaction volume containing 5 μl of each cDNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂, 50 μM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, 5 μl of (α-³²P) dCTP (3,000 Ci/mmol; Du Pont—New England Nuclear), and 0.05 μl of Taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer-Cetus). The primers used were (α) human COX-1, 5′-TGC CCA GCT CCT GGC CCG CCG CCTT-3′ and antisense 5′-GTG CAT CAA CAC AGG CGC CTC TTC-3′; (b) human COX-2, sense 5′-TTC AAA TGA GAT TGT GGG AAA ATT GCT-3′ and antisense 5′-AGA TCA TCT CTC GTG TAT CTT-3′; and (c) G3PDH, sense 5′-CCA CCC ATG GCA AAT TCC ATG GCA-3′ and antisense 5′-TCT AGA CGG CAG GTC ACG TCC ACC-3′. All primer pairs amplified a fragment that crossed an intron, thereby distinguishing cDNA from genomic DNA by the size of the expected fragment after amplification.
The conditions for amplification were as follows: COX-2, 95°C for 2 min for 1 cycle, 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min for 35 cycles, and 72°C for 7 min for 1 cycle; and COX-1 and G3PDH, 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min for 25 cycles. Both cycle programs were preceded by 2 min at the stated denaturation temperature and followed by 7 min at 72°C. Cycle curve studies between 25 and 35 cycles confirmed that, for the amounts of cDNA being amplified, the reactions had not reached the plateau of the amplification curve for any primer pair. Negative controls performed with no RNA added to the reverse transcription reaction or no RT yielded no detectable fragments with either primer pair.

Data analysis. Where applicable, data are expressed as mean±SEM. Paired or unpaired Student's t tests were used to analyze differences in enzyme activity or steady-state COX protein levels. Linear correlation analysis was used to assess the correlation between PGE2 synthetic capacity and fibrosis scores. Significance was inferred from a P value < 0.05.

Results

Constitutive PGE2 accumulation in cultures of F-nl and F-IPF. To assess the capacity of F-nl and F-IPF to produce PGE2 in the absence of an agonist, equal numbers of cells were cultured in serum- and LPS-free DMEM for 16 h, and PGE2 released into the medium was quantitated. F-IPF produced 6.5-fold less immunoreactive PGE2 per μg of protein than did F-nl (P < 0.05, n = 3 for F-nl and F-IPF) (Fig. 1). The protein content did not differ significantly between F-nl and F-IPF (~ 130 μg of crude lysate protein per 60-mm dish at 80% confluence for both F-nl and F-IPF).

Eicosanoid profile in F-nl and F-IPF. PGE2 synthesis from endogenous AA reflects the sequential activities of PLA2 and COX. To determine whether the defect in F-IPF PGE2 synthesis reflected a defect in PLA2-dependent AA release, we assessed the release of radiolabeled products from prelabeled cells stimulated with A23187 for 30 min. Completely separating free AA and all its metabolites by HPLC further permitted us to determine whether the two cell populations metabolized AA into different eicosanoid products and, in particular, whether there was a differential capacity for these cells to synthesize anti-inflammatory PG and proinflammatory leukotrienes. As shown in the experiment depicted in Fig. 2, which is representative of a total of two independent experiments, both cell types produced a similar profile of eicosanoids upon stimulation, with PGE2 and free AA being the major products. Neither cell type produced any detectable products of the 5-, 12-, or 15-lipoxygenase pathways. These data clearly establish that F-IPF were capable of releasing endogenous AA; however, the ratio of PGE2 to free AA was strikingly lower (~ 18-fold in the example shown in Fig. 2) in F-IPF than in F-nl. This indicates that the primary limitation in PGE2 synthesis was in utilization of released AA by the COX pathway of F-IPF.

COX activity in unstimulated F-nl and F-IPF. To focus more directly on this apparent defect in COX metabolism, we added exogenous AA in order to bypass PLA2, thereby assessing maximal COX activity by quantitating PGE2 formation. Because both cell proliferation (30) and serum (31) can influence PG synthesis and COX activity, we studied cells at various time points up to 72 h after the removal of serum to ensure that any residual effects of serum had abated. There were no significant differences in COX activity over the 72-h culture period (i.e., at 1, 16, or 72 h) for either fibroblast population (Fig. 3). Interestingly, COX activity was 2.5-fold lower in F-IPF as compared with F-nl (P < 0.05) at all time points studied (Fig. 3). All subsequent experiments were performed at 16 h.
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Figure 1. PGE2 accumulation over 16 h in F-nl and F-IPF. Both F-nl and F-IPF were grown to 80% confluence. They were then incubated for 16 h in serum-free medium. Aliquots of the supernatants were used to quantitate PGE2 by EIA. Results are expressed in nanograms of PGE2 per microgram of total cell protein per well. Each bar represents mean±SEM from n = 3. *P < 0.05 versus corresponding F-nl value by unpaired t test.

Figure 2. Radiolabeled eicosanoid profile of ionophore-stimulated F-nl and F-IPF. Cellular lipids of fibroblasts were prelabeled with [3H]AA during a 16-h culture in 96-well plates. Unincorporated label was removed, and cells were washed and then incubated for 30 min with 5 μM A23187. Radiolabeled products in pooled extracts from four wells were separated by HPLC, and radioactivity was determined via on-line detection. Peaks of radioactivity were identified on the basis of comigration with authentic standards. Similar results were obtained in a separate experiment using another pair of F-nl and F-IPF.

Figure 3. COX activity in F-nl and F-IPF. Subconfluent F-nl and F-IPF were cultured in serum-free medium for 1, 16, or 72 h, washed, and then incubated with 10 μM AA for 15 min. Aliquots of the cell supernatants were used to quantitate PGE2 by EIA. Results are expressed in nanograms of PGE2 per microgram of total cell protein per well. Each bar represents mean±SEM from n = 4. *P < 0.05 versus corresponding F-nl value by unpaired t test.
The formation of PGE$_2$ from AA requires the actions of not only COX, but also PGE isomerase. To exclude the possibility that differences in PGE$_2$ synthesis were attributable to differences in PGE isomerase activity between the two cell populations, PGE$_2$ formation from exogenously supplied PGH$_2$ (5 μM) was determined. Both F-nl and F-IPF synthesized equally large amounts of PGE$_2$ from exogenously supplied PGH$_2$ (396±84.3 ng per μg of protein for F-nl and 473±67.8 ng per μg of protein for F-IPF) ($P > 0.05$, $n = 3$). Moreover, this 40-fold (in F-nl) to 100-fold (in F-IPF) greater capacity for production of PGE$_2$ from exogenous PGH$_2$ than from exogenous AA confirms that COX itself is the rate-limiting step within this metabolic pathway. Our finding that differences between F-nl and F-IPF in PGE$_2$ production from exogenous AA were attributable to differences in the activity of COX itself was therefore expected.

**Immunoblot analysis of COX proteins in unstimulated fibroblasts.** The expression of COX-1 and COX-2 proteins was examined in F-nl and F-IPF cultured for 16 h in serum- and LPS-free medium. Crude lysates from both cell populations were subjected to immunoblot analysis using polyclonal antisera specific for COX-1 and COX-2. As shown in Fig. 4 A, most of the cell samples in both groups ($n = 8$ for each) expressed COX-1 in the unstimulated state. Densitometric analysis revealed that COX-1 expression in the two populations was similar (Fig. 4 B). This was an unexpected finding, given the 2.5-fold lower COX activity in unstimulated F-IPF as compared with unstimulated F-nl, and led us to consider that differences in COX-2 expression might be responsible for this difference in COX activity in the basal state. We found, however, very low levels of basal COX-2 expression in both groups. After prolonged exposure of autoradiographs, COX-2 was detectable in some subjects, but no differences were apparent between the two populations (Fig. 4 C).

**COX activity in stimulated fibroblasts.** In view of the reduction in COX activity in F-IPF in the basal state, we next examined COX activity in stimulated cells from the two populations. Previous studies have shown that various agonists can induce COX-2 protein and that coincubation with the glucocorticoid dexamethasone can prevent this induction. Cells were therefore incubated for 16 h with medium alone or in the presence of LPS (100 ng/ml), PMA (50 nM), or IL-1β (25 ng/ml), after which COX activity was assessed by quantitating PGE$_2$ formed from exogenous AA. As shown in Fig. 5, F-nl increased their activity approximately two- to threefold in response to stimulation with each of these three agonists. By contrast, F-IPF exhibited no increase in COX activity with any of the agonists. Thus, in the stimulated state the difference in maximal COX activity between F-nl and F-IPF was even more pronounced: F-IPF exhibited sixfold less maximal COX activity than did F-nl ($P < 0.05$ for LPS, PMA, and IL-1 stimulation). As expected, dexamethasone prevented the agonist-induced increase in COX activity in F-nl. Since F-IPF exhibited no induction of COX-2, it was not surprising that these cells were unaffected by dexamethasone.

![Figure 5. COX activity in stimulated F-nl and F-IPF. Cells were incubated for 16 h in the presence or absence of control (cont.) of 100 ng/ml LPS (n = 7 for F-nl; n = 8 for F-IPF), 50 nM PMA (n = 5 for both F-nl and F-IPF), or 2.5 ng/ml IL-1β (n = 3 for both F-nl and F-IPF) and 1 μM dexamethasone (dex). Cells were washed and then incubated with 10 μM AA for 15 min. Aliquots of the supernatants were used to quantitate PGE$_2$ by EIA. Results are expressed in nanograms of PGE$_2$ per microgram of total cell protein per well. Each bar represents mean±SEM. *$P < 0.05$ versus corresponding F-nl value by unpaired $t$ test; †$P < 0.05$ versus unstimulated control value by paired $t$ test.](image-url)
cells stimulated with LPS, PMA, and IL-1. A representative autoradiograph shown in Fig. 6A reveals that steady-state COX-2 protein expression was increased by LPS in F-nl, and this induction was prevented by coincubation with dexamethasone. Such induction of COX-2 protein was not found in F-IPF. Densitometric analysis of COX-2 protein expression (Fig. 6B) indicates that in F-nl (n = 5), relative COX-2 expression increased approximately twofold (P < 0.05 versus corresponding control value) in response to LPS and decreased below baseline control levels (P < 0.05) when cells were coincubated with LPS plus dexamethasone. By contrast, COX-2 was not induced in F-IPF in response to LPS (n = 5). Moreover, LPS-stimulated F-IPF expressed twofold less COX-2 than did LPS-stimulated F-nl. In a similar fashion, IL-1 induced COX-2 protein expression substantially over the control level in F-nl, but not in F-IPF (Fig. 6C). Similar induction of COX-2 in F-nl but not in F-IPF was observed with PMA treatment (data not shown). Agonist stimulation had no effect on COX-1 levels, and there was no significant difference in COX-1 expression between the two populations in the stimulated state (data not shown).

Expression of COX-2 mRNA in F-nl and F-IPF. To determine whether the defect responsible for the lack of COX-2 protein expression in F-IPF was pretranslational, we isolated total RNA from F-nl and F-IPF that had been stimulated with or without 100 ng/ml LPS. Fig. 7 demonstrates that cells from each individual patient examined were representative of the entire group with respect to their COX activity (top panel) and COX-2 protein expression (middle panel), in that F-nl (Fig. 7A) but not F-IPF (Fig. 7B) exhibited increases with LPS stimulation. RT-PCR analysis was performed on these F-nl and F-IPF in the basal and LPS-stimulated states. COX-2 mRNA in basal F-IPF was detectable only after 35 PCR cycles and failed to increase after incubation with LPS (Fig. 7B, bottom panel), mirroring the results obtained for COX-2 protein expression under the same treatment conditions (Fig. 7B, middle panel). Abundant mRNA was detected in F-nl at 35 cycles (Fig. 7A, bottom panel); in fact, COX-2 was detectable after only 25 cycles in F-nl. When normalized to G3PDH mRNA, a 2.8-fold increase in the COX-2 PCR fragment was observed in F-nl treated with LPS as compared with control, whereas there was no increase in COX-2 transcript after LPS treatment in F-IPF. In sharp contrast to these striking differences in basal COX-2 mRNA expression, basal COX-1 levels were similar in F-nl and F-IPF (data not shown).

Correlation between COX activity and fibrosis. To determine whether there was a correlation between COX activity and the degree of pulmonary fibrosis, COX activities in both basal and LPS-stimulated fibroblasts from patients with IPF were plotted against mean fibrosis scores. As shown in Fig. 8, a statistically significant inverse relationship existed between the PG E2 synthetic capacity of LPS-stimulated F-IPF and fibrosis scores (r = 0.804). A similar correlation (r = 0.774) was noted for unstimulated F-IPF as well (data not shown).

Discussion

Fibroblasts have been studied extensively as target cells in fibrotic disorders, including IPF. Less is known about the possible role of fibroblasts as effector cells actively participating in the evolution of IPF. Because fibroblasts are known to synthesize PGE2, and this PG has the ability to downregulate fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis, we hypothesized that F-IPF might exhibit a diminished capacity for PGE2 synthesis as compared with F-nl. This hypothesis was tested in primary cultures of fibroblasts isolated from lung biopsy specimens from patients with IPF and from nonfibrotic control patients undergoing resection for bronchogenic carcinoma. Our study yielded several major findings. (a) F-IPF exhibited diminished capacity to synthesize PGE2 from endogenous AA in both basal and ionophore-stimulated conditions. (b) Similar reductions in
PGE$_2$ synthesis from exogenous AA (but not exogenous PGH$_2$) suggest a defect at the level of the COX enzyme itself. (c) Unlike F-nl, F-IPF were incapable of increasing COX activity after pretreatment with the agonists LPS, PMA, and IL-1β. (d) This inability to augment COX metabolic activity correlated with a failure to increase steady-state levels of COX-2 protein and mRNA. (e) Finally, a significant inverse correlation was observed between the PGE$_2$ synthetic capacity of F-IPF and semiquantitative fibrosis scores of lung tissue from which these F-IPF were obtained.

Several methodological features of our study deserve comment. First, cells from three separate lung segments were pooled to obtain the F-IPF used. In the interstitial lung diseases, the degrees of pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis are well known to be heterogeneous in distribution (1). Although pooling cells from different regions minimizes the possibility of sampling errors, it also averages out cellular heterogeneity, thereby tending to underestimate the magnitude of abnormalities in the most severely affected areas. Second, because alterations in eicosanoid profiles have been reported to accompany serial passage of fibroblasts (32), we chose to study cells at the fifth passage, reasoning that this was a sufficient passage number to ensure purity, but not so great that differences present in vivo might be lost. Nonetheless, the differences in maximal COX activity between F-nl and F-IPF that we observed have persisted through the 12th passage (n = 2 for both cell populations; data not shown). Third, because both the proliferative state and the serum itself can influence PG synthesis and COX activity, we studied quiescent cells at various time points up to 72 h after removal of serum. The defects in COX activity in F-IPF were observed at all time points.

We initially observed that constitutive PGE$_2$ accumulation in F-IPF was 6.5-fold lower than that in F-nl when cells were studied at 16 h in culture. We therefore performed HPLC analysis to determine whether there were any differences in the eicosanoid profiles between the two cell types that would account for this finding. A previous report indicated that human skin fibroblasts failed to synthesize 5-lipoxygenase metabolites of AA (33). However, since leukotrienes have the capacity to stimulate fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis (34), it was nevertheless of interest to determine whether these metabolites were synthesized by F-IPF. In fact, HPLC analysis detected no lipoxygenase products elaborated by fibroblasts from either patient group. Rather, in both F-IPF and F-nl the major products observed were free AA and PGE$_2$. What was striking was the markedly reduced capacity of F-IPF to metabolize the released AA to PGE$_2$, as compared with F-nl. Although we did not compare the two populations for their quantitative capacities to release AA, HPLC analysis of prelabeled cells clearly indicated that F-IPF were capable of releasing free fatty acid, thereby implicating a defect distal to PLA$_2$. This does not exclude a possible concomitant reduction in AA levels that might be available as substrate for COX (i.e., decreased deacylation or increased reacylation) in F-IPF as compared with F-nl. This possibility must be explored in future studies.
The capacity for PGH₂ metabolism was far greater than the cells’ capacity for PGH₂ formation, suggesting that COX is the rate-limiting enzyme. Moreover, no differences in PGE isomerase activity were detected between the two cell types in stimulated (data not shown) or basal states. But F-IPF did exhibit 2.5-fold less COX activity than F-nl in the basal state. Despite this, we detected no differences in steady state levels of COX-1 protein and no appreciable COX-2 protein expression in the basal state. Although the mechanism for reduced basal COX activity in F-IPF has not been elucidated in this investigation, several possibilities exist. First, COX-1 enzyme activity in F-IPF may be less per molecule than that in F-nl, reflecting either intrinsic enzyme modifications or differences in modulating factors. Second, the subcellular localization of COX-1 in F-IPF and F-nl might differ, resulting in decreased access of the enzyme in F-IPF to endogenous and exogenous substrate. Third, differences in constitutive expression of COX-2 might exist in the two populations, but may be below the limits of sensitivity of the Western blotting procedure used. Using RT-PCR, we did find that whereas COX-1 mRNA levels were similar, COX-2 mRNA levels were indeed lower in F-IPF than in F-nl in the basal state. Identifying the mechanism responsible for this reduction in basal COX activity in F-IPF will require further investigation.

COX activity in F-nl was increased above baseline by the addition of the agonists LPS, PMA, and IL-1/β to the culture medium. Evidence that this increase in activity was due to COX-2 induction was provided by the observations that the increase in COX activity correlated with COX-2 protein and mRNA expression, that there was no increase in COX-1 expression with agonist stimulation, and that the increases in activity and COX-2 protein expression were both prevented by coinubcation with dexamethasone. In contrast to F-nl, F-IPF were refractory to increases in COX activity or COX-2 protein expression by these same stimuli. That refractoriness was observed for three unrelated agonists strongly suggests that the defect resides not at the level of a receptor or signaling mechanism, but at a more distal effector step in enzyme synthesis. RT-PCR results suggest a failure to increase steady-state mRNA levels upon agonist stimulation. The molecular mechanism underlying this lack of mRNA inducibility remains to be determined, but could reflect enhanced turnover rates for the transcript or reduced transcription rates. This in turn might result from an acquired alteration in the COX-2 promoter, from an inability to alternatively splice a premature transcript (35), or from alterations in the regulation or actions of transcription factors. It will be of great interest in future studies to determine whether other lung cells share this defect in COX-2 inducibility identified in F-IPF.

To our knowledge, this is the first demonstrated association of a lack of inducibility of COX-2 with a human disease. A great deal of attention has been focused on the role of COX-2 in inflammation (36), and the development of selective inhibitors of COX-2 offers the prospect of antiinflammatory efficacy with less gastrointestinal and renal toxicity than current nonselective COX inhibitors (37). However, it is also recognized that certain PGs, including PGE₂, can exert potent inhibitory actions on leukocytes (38, 39), lymphocytes (40, 41), and fibroblasts (8, 9, 11, 42), which participate in the processes of inflammatory injury and repair. Induction of COX-2 by agonists present in the inflammatory milieu of the lung might represent an important mechanism by which fibroblasts can increase their PGE₂ synthetic capacity and thereby limit cellular proliferation, collagen synthesis, and production of inflammatory mediators. A defect in this homeostatic process may promote or sustain inflammation and fibrosis in the lung. In this regard, it is intriguing that, despite the small sample size, we observed a significant inverse correlation between the degree of fibrosis and COX activity (PGE₂ synthetic capacity) in fibroblasts isolated from IPF patients. Of note, Borok et al. (43) found significantly less PGE₂ in lavage fluid obtained from patients with IPF than in normal subjects. These data therefore highlight the potential detrimental consequences that might result from pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2, at least during the reparative phases of inflammatory injury in the lung. Finally, our observations may have therapeutic ramifications. Augmentation of PGE₂ levels in the lung via aerosolization of a stable PGE₂ analog (43) or via transfection of the cDNA encoding COX-1 or COX-2 (44) has the potential to reduce fibrosis and ultimately to improve the prognosis for this often devastating disease.
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