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Abstract

Lack of response to endothelium-dependent vasodilators generally has been considered to be evidence for decreased nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity and NO generation after ischemic or hypoxic injury to vital organs including the kidney. In this study, renal blood flow (RBF) responses to endothelium-dependent vasodilators acetylcholine and bradykinin and the endothelium-independent vasodilator prostacyclin, the nonselective NOS inhibitor L-NAME (without and with l-arginine), the inducible NOS inhibitor aminoguanidine, and the NO-donor sodium nitroprusside were examined in 1-wk norepinephrine-induced (NE) and sham-induced acute renal failure (ARF) rats. Compared with sham-ARF, there was no increase in RBF to intrarenal acetylcholine and bradykinin, but a comparable RBF increase to prostacyclin in NE-ARF kidneys. However, there was a significantly greater decline in RBF to intravenous L-NAME in NE- than sham-ARF rats (−65±8 vs. −37±5%, P < 0.001) which was completely blocked by prior l-arginine infusion. There was no change in RBF to the inducible NOS specific inhibitor aminoguanidine. Unlike sham-ARF, there was no increase in RBF to intrarenal sodium nitroprusside in NE-ARF. Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence detection of constitutive (c) NOS using mouse monoclonal antibody were carried out to positively determine the presence of cNOS in NE-ARF. 90% of renal resistance vessels showed evidence of endothelial cNOS in both sham- and NE-ARF. Taken together, results of these experiments are consistent with the conclusion that NOS/NO activity is, in fact, maximal at baseline in 1-wk NE-ARF and cannot be increased further by exogenous stimuli of NOS activity. The increased NOS is likely of the constitutive form and of endothelial origin. It is suggested that the increased NOS activity is in response to ischemia-induced renal vasoconstrictor activity. Attenuated response to endothelium-dependent vasodilators cannot be interpreted only as evidence for decreased NOS activity. (J. Clin. Invest. 1995. 96:631–638) Key words: norepinephrine-induced acute renal failure • acetylcholine • prostacyclin • L-NAME • aminoguanidine

Introduction

Recent studies have demonstrated that ischemic or hypoxic injury to vital organs, including brain, lung, heart, and kidney, not only damages parenchymal cells but also affects the function and reactivity of the vasculature serving these organs (1–7). A general feature of the altered vasoreactivity is an augmented sensitivity to vasoconstrictor stimuli which, in turn, increases the vulnerability of the affected organ to additional ischemic attacks. Prinzmetal’s and postinfarction angina, accelerating transient cerebral ischemic attacks, vasoconstriction after limb ischemia, and prolonged acute renal failure, at least in part, are thought to be clinical examples of posts ischemic hypersensitivity of the resistance vasculature (1–8).

Since the discovery of endothelium-derived relaxing factor (EDRF)¹ (9) and identification of its active component as nitric oxide (NO) (10), a commonly held explanation for the augmented constrictor agonist sensitivity of the vasculature has been an ischemia-induced attenuation of EDRF (or NO) activity. NO has been shown to be constitutively generated and released by vascular endothelial cells to continuously modulate the tone and sensitivity of the underlying smooth muscle cells (11). In the absence of NO modulation, there is a leftward shift in agonist sensitivity and increased tone in resistance vessels (12). The hypothesis that ischemia-related changes in vascular sensitivity are due largely to reduced EDRF activity has been supported by the observation that there is a blunted response to endothelium-dependent vasodilators such as acetylcholine (ACh), bradykinin (BK), serotonin, and histamine in the coronary, cerebral, pulmonary, and renal vasculature after temporary cessation or reduction in organ blood flow or oxygen tension (1–7).

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: ACh, acetylcholine; AG, aminoguanidine; ARF, acute renal failure; BK, bradykinin; C$_0$, iulin clearance; cNOS, constitutive NOS; EDRF, endothelium-derived relaxing factor; iNOS, inducible NOS; L-NAME, nitro-L-arginine methyl ester; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NO, nitric oxide; NOS, nitric oxide synthase; PGI$_2$, prostacyclin; RBF, renal blood flow; SNP, sodium nitroprusside.
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The kidney arterial vasculature in models of postischemic acute renal failure (ARF) shows constrictor hypersensitivity to renal nerve stimulation (6) and to angiotensin II (13). These models also show attenuated vasodilatation to ACh and BK (6, 7). Thus, the vasculature of the kidney in postischemic ARF demonstrates functional aberrations similar to those described for other vital organs after ischemia or hypoxia.

The original purpose of this study was to confirm the role of reduced NO activity in the abnormal vascular reactivity of postischemic ARF by examining the effects of the NO synthase (NOS) inhibitor, nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME). It was hypothesized that in the presence of reduced NOS activity L-NAME would not produce the renal vasoconstrictor effect observed in the normal kidney (14). However, it was found that L-NAME infusion, in fact, resulted in a significantly greater renal vasoconstrictor response than in normal or sham-ARF kidneys. The marked vasoconstriction to L-NAME was observed despite the absence of vasodilatation to ACh and BK, and a normal vasorelaxation to non–endothelium-dependent prosta-
cyclin (PGI2). These findings, in addition to a blunted vasodilatory response to the NO-donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP) and the immunologic detection of constitutive (c) NOS in the endothelium, suggested that NOS activity in the postischemic renal vasculature, rather than being reduced, was present and probably maximal and could not be stimulated further by endothelium-dependent dilators. Furthermore, the absence of a vaso-
constrictor response to the inducible NOS (iNOS) inhibitor amino-
guanidine (AG) in the ARF vasculature made it likely that the increased NOS was of the constitutive type, probably originating from the endothelium.

Methods
Renal postischemic injury model. Noradrenaline (NE)-induced ARF has been described previously (15). Briefly, adult Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 250–300 grams underwent right nephrectomy 10 d before disease induction. After pentobarbital anesthesia, the left renal pedicle was exposed and NE was delivered at 0.6 μg/kg·min−1 for 90 min into the renal artery. The rats were returned to metabolic cages receiving water ad libitum and a low-potassium diet (ICN Pharmaceuticals, Cleve-
lan, OH) for 72 h and a standard rat chow diet (Wayne Feed, Long-
mont, CO) thereafter. Peak azotemia occurred between 24 and 48 h after NE infusion. Renal blood flow, which was 10–15% of control during ARF induction, returned to 70% of control levels by 24 h and to near control levels by 1 wk (15). Inulin clearance (CIN) fell to zero after NE infusion and slowly rose to 30% of control at 1 wk (15). Sham-ARF rats were similarly treated, but 0.9% saline was substituted for NE infusion into the renal artery.

The techniques of measuring renal vascular reactivity have been described previously (15) and were carried out as follows. At 1 wk, a catheter was placed in the right jugular vein and the left kidney was exposed through a flank incision under pentobarbital anesthesia. The renal artery was dissected bluntly from the renal vein, and a catheter was placed in the ureter. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was measured through a femoral artery catheter, which was connected to an electronic transducer (P23Db; Stratham Instruments, Oxnard, CA) and a direct-
writing recorder (model 7702B; Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, CA). Renal blood flow (RBF) was measured with a miniature electromagnetic flow probe (Carolina Medical Electronics, Inc., King, NC) which was placed around the renal artery and attached to an oscilloscope and digital recorder. The flow probe size was chosen that circumferentially fit closely about the arterial wall by low-power microscopic observation but did not alter urine flow rate. Calibration was conducted according to the method of Arendshorst et al. (16). Ringer’s lactate, containing an amount of insulin sufficient to give plasma concentrations of 50–100 mg/dl, was infused at 2 ml/h through the jugular venous catheter. After 1 h of equilibration, blood and urine samples were collected for CIN measurements. Thereafter, the following protocols were carried out. For each experiment n = 5 for both NE- and sham-ARF rats.

Responses to EDRF-dependent and EDRF-independent vasodilators. A micropipette (35 μM OD) was positioned in the renal artery just proximal to the blood flow probe. Baseline RBF measurement was made during 0.9% saline infusion through the pipette (2 μl/min). The infusion was then changed to one containing ACh (512 × 10−6 μg/kg/min), BK (0.5 μg/kg/min), or DPGs (3 × 10−4 μg/kg/min). The intrarenal infusion doses were the maximum that produced negligible effects on systemic hemodynamics. After 20 min of infusion RBF mea-

surements were repeated. Vasodilator infusion was then discontinued, and RBF was observed until it had again returned to a stable baseline.

Responses to L-NAME and AG. In separate anesthetized NE- and sham-ARF rats, after baseline RBF measurements were determined, L-

measurements (10 mg/kg) was given intravenously at the time of stable peak response, RBF was again recorded. The response to L-NAME at the same dose and by the same route was determined a second time after intrarenal infusion of L-arginine (5 mg/

kg/min) for 15 min before and for 30 min after giving L-NAME to determine the NOS specificity of the L-NAME effect. To assure that the renovascular response was not a sympathetic response to hypertension induced by L-NAME, L-NAME was also given directly to an artery (1.1 mg/kg/b), and RBF was measured at 30 min. To exclude the possibility that lack of response to ACh and vasocostriction to L-

NAME were not the result of animal-to-animal variation in vascular

reactivity, NE-ARF rats were first given ACh (512 × 10−4 μg/kg/

min) intrarenally. After 20 min, RBF was measured. ACh was then discontinued, and L-NAME (10 mg/kg) was given intravenously to these same rats and RBF was recorded 30 min later.

In separate NE-ARF rats, after determining baseline RBF, the iNOS-

selective inhibitor AG (17–19) was infused intravenously at 100 μM/kg over 5 min. RBF was observed over 60 min. Thereafter, L-NAME (10 mg/kg) was given intravenously and RBF was recorded at 30 min. To determine the iNOS blocking effectiveness of this dose of AG in vivo, normal Sprague-Dawley rats were given AG or vehicle as a bolus intravenous infusion 30 min before high dose (10 mg/kg) intravenous infusion of Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen 0127:B8 (Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, MI). MAP was monitored over 180 min. In vehicle-treated rats (n = 4), MAP fell from a baseline value of 99±5 to 73±7 mmHg at 60 min, 68±8 mmHg at 120 min, and 71±5 mmHg at 180 min after LPS (all P < 0.01 compared with baseline). In AG-treated rats (n = 4), MAP was 100±6 mmHg at baseline, 96±7 mmHg at 60 min, 99±5 mmHg at 120 min, and 110±20 mmHg at 180 min after LPS. None of the MAP values was significantly different from baseline. The absolute and percent declines in MAP were both significantly greater in the vehicle-treated group (P < 0.001). Thus, AG was likely an effective pharmacologic blocker of iNOS at this dose in rats.

Response to SNP. After recording baseline RBF in NE- and sham-

ARF rats, SNP (3 μg/kg/min) was infused intrarenally through a micro-
pipette as described above. After 10 min infusion, RBF was recorded, SNP infusion was discontinued, and RBF was allowed to return to a stable baseline.

Immunologic determinations of endothelial NOS. The immunologic techniques for detecting cNOS were adapted from those of Pollock et al. (20). Kidney tissue was obtained from the upper and lower poles and two midportions of the cortex of sham- and NE-ARF rats that showed vasodilatation and lack of vasodilatation, respectively, to ACh. For immunohistochemistry, tissue samples no larger than 0.5 × 1.0 × 1.0 cm were quickly embedded in OCT compound and frozen in ice-cold 2-methylbutane. Tissue sections of 5 μm were cut onto poly-L-lysine-

coated slides. The slides were air dried and then fixed in acetone. Nonspecific binding was blocked by immersing the slides in 10% horse serum in PBS for 40 min; then endogenous biotin was blocked with Biotin Blocking Kit (Vector Labs, Inc., Burlingame, CA) as per manu-
facturer’s specifications. Sections were then incubated overnight with anti-NOS monoclonal antibody (kindly provided by Dr. Jennifer Pollock, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL) at a 1:500 dilution in PBS with 1% BSA. For negative control, IgG antibody (Zymed Laboratories Inc., South San Francisco, CA) was used at the same dilution. After washing in PBS (3 × 10 min), endogenous peroxidase activity was suppressed by a 15-min incubation in 6% H2O2. Then sections were incubated with biotinylated horse anti-mouse IgG 1:40 for 30 min, followed by freshly prepared streptavidin-biotin-horse radish peroxidase (Vector Labs, Inc.) 1:200 for 40 min. The slides were examined with a Nikon Optiphot microscope. For immunofluorescence, tissue sections from sham- and NE-induced ARF rat kidneys showing response and no response to ACh, respectively, were obtained and quickly frozen as described previously. The technique for tissue preparation was similar to that outlined for immunohistochemistry up to the overnight incubation with the primary antibody and the control. Then, after a PBS wash, the slides were incubated with FITC/anti-mouse IgG conjugate 1:40 (Sigma Immunochemicals, St. Louis, MO) for 1 h. After a final wash, the slide were mounted and then examined with a Nikon Optiphot microscope. The percentages of arcuate and interlobular arteries and arterioles showing positive staining and fluorescence were counted blindly for each slide. A semiquantitative estimate of completeness and intensity of endothelial staining or fluorescence was made.

Analytical procedures. Plasma and urine inulin were measured with an autoanalyzer (Technicon Instruments Corp., Tarrytown, NY). Data are expressed as means±SD. C0 and renovascular resistance were calculated by standard equations. One-way ANOVA for repeated measures with Bonferroni correction was used to compare time-related functional parameters within each group (21). Comparisons of parameters between groups were made by ANOVA for multiple groups (22). Significance was taken as P < 0.05.

Results

Inulin clearances. The levels of C0 at 1 wk for NE- and sham-ARF rats, respectively, were similar for animals used in all separate hemodynamic measurement protocols. The mean value for sham-ARF kidneys was 1.04±0.10 and that for NE-ARF kidneys was 0.37±0.05, different at P < 0.001.

Responses to EDRF-dependent and EDRF-independent vasodilators. In both sham- and NE-ARF rats given intrarenal ACh, there were slight but significant declines in MAP from 109±6 to 101±4 mmHg and from 112±7 to 100±5 mmHg, respectively (P < 0.05). As illustrated in Fig. 1, RBF increased from 8.6±0.1 to 13.7±1.1 ml/min (P < 0.001) in sham-ARF kidneys. In NE-ARF rats, baseline RBF was lower than in sham-ARF animals (6.1±0.3 vs. 8.6±0.1 ml/min, P < 0.01). With ACh infusion, RBF was unchanged at 5.7±0.6 ml/min in NE-ARF kidneys.

The effects of BK on RBF were similar to those with ACh as shown in Fig. 2. Baseline MAPs were similar at 116±6 and 114±8 mmHg in NE- and sham-ARF kidneys, respectively. There were no significant reductions in MAP during intrarenal BK infusion. In sham-ARF rats, RBF increased from 8.3±0.4 to 11.1±0.8 ml/min (P < 0.001), whereas in NE-ARF animals RBF was unchanged (8.8±0.6 vs. 8.4±0.8 ml/min).

The RBF responses to EDRF-independent PGI2 are shown in Fig. 3. Baseline MAPs were similar at 114±5 and 116±7 mmHg, respectively, in sham- and NE-ARF rats. There was no significant change in MAP with intrarenal PGI2 in either group. RBF increased from 8.6±0.5 to 12.0±0.9 ml/min (P < 0.001) in sham-ARF kidneys and from 8.4±0.7 to 11.2±0.9 ml/min (P < 0.001) in NE-ARF kidneys. The absolute and relative increases in RBF in response to PGI2 were similar in the two groups.

Responses to L-NAME and AG. In both sham- and NE-ARF rats there were significant and similar increases in MAP after intravenous L-NAME infusion from 110±6 to 135±13 mmHg and from 112±6 to 140±6 mmHg, respectively (both P < 0.001). In the former group RBF fell from 8.8±0.4 to 5.5±0.9 ml/min (P < 0.001), and in the latter group RBF

![Figure 1. RBF responses to intrarenal infusion of ACh (hatched bars) in sham- and NE-ARF rats. There was a significant increase (*P < 0.001) in RBF from baseline (open bars) in sham-ARF. While baseline RBF was lower in NE-ARF kidneys (# P < 0.01), there was no significant change in RBF to ACh infusion.](image1)

![Figure 2. RBF responses to intrarenal BK (hatched bars) in sham- and NE-ARF rats. There was a significant increase (*P < 0.001) in RBF from baseline (open bars) in sham-ARF kidneys, but none in NE-ARF kidneys.](image2)

![Figure 3. RBF responses to intrarenal infusion of PGI2 (hatched bars) in sham- and NE-ARF rats. There were significant and similar increases (*P < 0.001) in RBF from baseline (open bars) in both groups of rats.](image3)
declined from 7.9±0.7 to 2.8±0.8 ml/min (P < 0.001) as illustrated in Fig. 4. The baseline RBF were similar for the two groups. However, the absolute (−5.0±0.3 vs. −2.2±0.2 ml/min) and fractional (−65±8 vs. −37±5%) falls in RBF were greater in NE- than sham-ARF kidneys (P < 0.001). When L-arginine was infused before and after L-NAME in sham-ARF rats, L-arginine increased RBF slightly, but significantly, from 8.3±0.5 to 9.6±0.7 ml/min (P < 0.02) and MAP was unchanged (112±7 to 107±6 mmHg). When L-NAME was then given, there was no significant decline in RBF by 30 min (9.0±0.5 vs. 9.6±0.7 ml/min), but there was a small increase in MAP (107±6 to 118±8 mmHg, P < 0.05). In NE-ARF rats, there also was no renal vasoconstrictor response to L-NAME after L-arginine. Before L-arginine, MAP and RBF were 109±2 mmHg and 8.0±0.4 ml/min, respectively. During L-arginine, MAP was 110±1 mmHg and RBF was 7.9±0.4 ml/min. For 30 min after L-NAME, MAP increased by only 15±4 mmHg (P < 0.01 compared with L-NAME alone) and RBF did not change. The minimum recorded value at 30 min was 7.8±0.7 ml/min, not different from the before L-NAME value.

Other NE-ARF rats were first infused intrarenally with ACh and then given intravenous L-NAME. Baseline RBF was 6.69±0.30 ml/min at a MAP of 110±5 mmHg. With ACh infusion, MAP fell slightly to 105±4 mmHg (P < 0.05) and RBF remained unchanged at 5.96±0.61 ml/min. When L-NAME was then given intravenously, MAP increased to 135±8 mmHg (P < 0.001) and RBF fell to 3.7±0.2 ml/min (P < 0.01). This MAP and RBF response sequence is demonstrated in Fig. 5.

The results with direct intrarenal infusion of L-NAME were as follows. In sham-ARF rats with mean baseline MAP and RBF of 105±3 mmHg and 8.4±0.3 ml/min, respectively, intrarenal L-NAME did not increase MAP (107±4 mmHg) but did decrease RBF by 35±8% to 5.4±0.2 ml/min (P < 0.01). In NE-ARF animals, intrarenal L-NAME also did not change MAP (120±8 vs. 116±7 mmHg), but RBF fell from 7.6±2.1 to 2.7±1.2 ml/min (P < 0.001). The decreases in RBF with intrarenal L-NAME were quantitatively similar to those with intravenous L-NAME in both sham- and NE-ARF rats despite the lack of changes in MAP. Likewise, the greater decline in RBF to L-NAME infusion in NE-ARF compared with sham-ARF rats was similarly observed with intrarenal L-NAME (P < 0.001).

The effects of the iNOS inhibitor AG on MAP and RBF, compared with L-NAME, are shown in Fig. 6 for NE-ARF rats.
Figure 7. RBF responses to intrarenal SNP (hatched bars) in sham- and NE-ARF rats. There was a significant increase (*P < 0.001) from baseline (open bars) in sham-ARF kidneys. Baseline RBF was lower in NE-ARF kidneys (*P < 0.01); however, there was no increase in RBF to SNP.

Baseline MAP fell slightly during SNP infusion from 114±5 to 110±4 mmHg in sham-ARF animals and from 116±5 to 110±5 mmHg in NE-ARF animals (both P < 0.05). RBF increased from 8.4±0.2 to 11.4±1.4 ml/min in the former group (*P < 0.001). Baseline RBF in NE-ARF kidneys was lower than that in sham-ARF kidneys (6.4±0.3 vs. 8.8±0.2 ml/min, P < 0.01). With SNP infusion RBF was unchanged at 6.5±0.3 ml/min in NE-ARF kidneys. The absolute (2.6±0.2 vs. 0.1±0.1 ml/min) and fractional (30±7 vs. 2±1%) increases in RBF, respectively, were greater in sham- than NE-ARF kidneys (both P < 0.001).

Immunohistochemistry/fluorescence detection of cNOS. Frozen sections prepared from four cortical tissue slices of five sham-ARF and five NE-ARF kidneys were processed for both cNOS monoclonal antibody and IgG control detection by immunohistochemical and immunofluorescence techniques (minimum of five sections each). The slides were examined for staining or fluorescence of arcuate and interlobular arteries and arterioles. An average of 10 vessels was identified on each slide which was similar in sham- and NE-ARF kidneys. IgG control sections from all kidneys were negative for endothelium-specific staining or fluorescence. Monoclonal antibody to cNOS was detected by both immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence in the endothelium of 90% of the vessels of interest in the tissue sections of both sham- and NE-ARF. While not quantifiable by rigid criteria, the intensity of staining (fluorescence) appeared to be greater in the slides from NE-ARF kidneys. Control IgG and cNOS monoclonal antibody staining and fluorescence are illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9.

Discussion

Decreased response to endothelium-dependent vasodilators, but intact response to endothelium-independent vasodilators, generally has been considered to be evidence in support of reduced...
EDRF or NO activity in models of ischemic or hypoxic organ injury (1–7). The test of vasodilator sensitivity to endothelium-dependent agents is not specific, i.e., it does not identify a particular endothelial, paracrine, or smooth muscle cell abnormality. However, it does suggest an overall defect in the effective vascular NO generation system.

Since it had been shown previously by our laboratory that there was an impaired vasodilator response to ACh and BK in 1-wk ischemic NE-ARF (6), the present study was designed to confirm a reduction in NOS activity in this model by demonstrating an attenuated renal vasoconstriction to an NOS inhibitor. However, despite reaffirming blunted responses to ACh and BK and an appropriate vasodilator response to endothelium-independent PGI2, there was a marked vasoconstriction to L-NAME, indicating that NOS activity was at least normal and probably greater than normal. Regardless of the level of recovery of baseline RBF in NE-ARF kidneys at 1 wk (range 6.1±0.3 to 8.8±0.6), the responses to endothelium-dependent vasodilators and to NOS inhibition were consistent. Thus, there was an apparent paradox: a lack of response to largely NO-dependent vasodilators but evidence for substantial NOS/NO vasodilator activity.

Two possible explanations for this apparent paradox were considered. First, there was a post-NOS effector defect, or, second, NOS activity and NO generation were already maximal in the basal state in 1-wk NE-ARF and could not be stimulated further by NO-dependent vasodilators. Even though the lack of dilator response to the NO-donor SNP would be consistent, the former explanation was less plausible. The normal vasodilation to cAMP-dependent PGI2 excluded a defect in smooth muscle relaxation potential, and the vigorous vasoconstriction to L-NAME indicated that the L-arginine–NOS–NO–cGMP system was functioning. Thus, a post-NOS effector defect seemed unlikely. On the other hand, all of the findings were consistent with basal state maximal NOS activity: the lack of further relaxation to ACh and BK, the increased renal vasoconstrictor sensitivity to L-NAME, and the blunted vasodilator response to SNP. The diminished response to SNP presumably was due to the basal increased NO stimulation of soluble cGMP activity and/or a downregulation of the receptor-effector mechanism for further increase in soluble cGMP. Interestingly, vasodilator response to SNP has been shown to be greater after endothelial denudation (Raij, L., personal communication), presumably because of the removal of a component of continuous NO stimulation of soluble cGMP. Unlike SNP, atrial natriuretic peptide, which also operates through a cGMP mechanism, will produce modest vasodilation in this same NE-ARF model at 48 h (23).

While the reason for this response difference is uncertain, atrial natriuretic peptide stimulates membrane-bound particulate, rather than soluble, cGMP which may be unaffected by the continual NO stimulation.

The source of the NOS activity was uncertain. While the...
probable site was the endothelium, the finding of perivascular fibrosis and mononuclear cell infiltration about arterial vessels in this ischemic ARF model at 1 wk (15) raised the possibility that the NOS was of the inducible type as the result of cytokine stimulation (24). The lack of an inhibiting (vasoconstrictor) response to AG followed by a strong inhibition by L-NAME in the NE-ARF rats, suggested that the increased NOS was of the constitutive type and probably was localized in the endothelium. Some caution is required, however, in interpreting the results with AG as regards both other potential effects of this agent. In addition to relatively selective inhibition of iNOS, AG also interferes with the formation of advanced glycosylation end products (19) and inhibits nitric oxide which contributes to inactivation in vivo of histamine (25). The effect of AG on advanced glycosylation end products is not of likely relevance to this study, but it could be argued that an effect to increase histamine activity could obscure a vasoconstrictor response indicative of iNOS inhibition. There are no data to address this issue in ARF. However, it is unlikely that histamine would be an effective vasodilator in the setting of NE-ARF, since its vasorelaxing effect is endothelium mediated, similar to ACh and BK (26). Moreover, the iNOS inhibiting effect of AG in endotoxin-treated vessels was unaffected by histamine blockers in vitro (18). The choice of an in vivo AG dose for these experiments was unexplored previously. The selective iNOS inhibiting effect of AG is largely based on in vitro evidence in rats showing that endotoxin-induced NOS and vasodilation are inhibited, but endothelium-dependent NO vasodilation is not, unless a concentration of AG from 16 to 40 times greater is used (17–19). The dose chosen for the experiments in this study in vivo (100 μM/kg) has been shown previously to have a minimal, but significant, arterial pressure increasing effect in normal rats (17). It was measured that this dose produced a small detectable inhibiting effect on cNOS in vivo and the iNOS sensitivity to AG was 16 to 40 times greater than the dose chosen, it should have been sufficient to block iNOS in vivo similar to the response seen to L-NAME. However, no vasoconstriction was observed. The preliminary experiments in normal Sprague-Dawley rats showing that the same AG dose blocked the vasodilator effect of injected LPS also supports the likelihood that active iNOS in NE-ARF should have been inhibited if it were present. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the NOS activity was not likely to have been of the inducible type and, much more likely, was constitutive NOS.

It had been shown previously that the serum and urinary levels of nitrite/nitrate (NO$_2$/NO$_3$) correlated with endogenous whole animal NO production (27). However, these measurements were not useful in determining kidney generation of NO in ARF. Urinary NO$_2$/NO$_3$ are primarily derived from glomerular filtration, and filtered NO$_2$/NO$_3$ are largely reabsorbed by the proximal tubule under physiologic conditions (27, 28). In ARF both GFR and proximal tubular function are substantially altered, making urinary measurements of NO$_2$/NO$_3$ impossible to interpret as estimates of renal NO generation.

Since urinary measurements of NO metabolites were not helpful in attempting to positively demonstrate NOS in NE-ARF kidneys, the techniques to detect cNOS by monoclonal antibody were incorporated. Endothelial cNOS was at least as readily found in the endothelium of resistance arterial vessels of NE-ARF as in sham-ARF kidneys by histochemistry or fluorescence methods. Immunologic detection did not necessarily confirm enzyme activity. However, it did provide evidence supporting the role of endothelial cNOS function in the NE-ARF kidney when coupled with the pharmacologic inhibition data with L-NAME.

Is there a rationale for the apparent marked increase in NOS activity in the setting of 1-wk ischemic ARF? At this point, the argument is hypothetical, but it is not unreasonable to propose that the increased NOS activity is a response to significant vasoconstrictor stimulation in the established ARF kidney. Endogenous vasoconstrictor agonist activity, including endothelin-1, thromboxane A$_2$, and renin-angiotensin, has been shown to be increased in ischemic ARF models (29–31). These agonists, in addition to endothelin-3, have been shown to induce endothelial NOS activity (32–35). Alternatively, ischemic injury itself may increase smooth muscle cytosolic calcium (36) or increase oxygen radical generation (37) providing potent vasoconstrictor stimulation to which NOS activation is a modulating response. It is of interest that despite evidence of increased constrictor agonist activity in ischemic ARF models, basal RBF is nearly always relatively well preserved in the established phase of disease compared with GFR, suggesting that there may be an opposing vasodilator activity operating simultaneously. The results of this study using L-NAME demonstrated the dramatic vasoconstriction that would occur if NOS activity was actually reduced in 1-wk ischemic ARF. At present it is uncertain what specific mechanism or mediator(s) is responsible for the vasoconstrictor activity unmasked by NOS inhibition.

Regardless of the mechanism of increased, and probably maximal, NOS activity, this finding is actually consistent with other observations in this ischemic ARF model (15). Basal RBF approaches normal. If NOS activity were truly decreased, then basal RBF should be much lower. Despite the nearly normal basal RBF, there is a marked vascular hypersensitivity to additional exogenous constrictor stimuli such as renal nerve stimulation and angiotensin II (6, 13). Assumably, if NOS activity were maximal in the basal state, then further NO generation would not occur to modulate the effect of added constrictor agonist.

It is unknown whether the findings in this study, i.e., that attenuated responses to endothelium-dependent vasodilators may not indicate a reduction in NOS activity, have relevance to reports of posts ischemic or postsphoxic vascular reactivity in other organs and in essential hypertension as well, where attenuated response to endothelium-dependent vasodilators has also been reported (38). While lack of response to endothelial-dependent vasodilators may actually represent a true decrease in NOS activity in heart, lung, or brain, it is of interest to note that in two recent publications posts ischemic NOS activity was detected. In hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction and poststroke vasoreactivity, conditions reported to be associated with decreased ACh vasorelaxation, NOS activity was functionally and immunologically detectable (39, 40). Thus, it may be necessary to reassess the interpretation of some studies regarding the meaning of decreased endothelium-dependent vasodilator responses in ischemic and hypoxic injury.
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