Role of cortisol in the pathogenesis of deficient counterregulation after antecedent hypoglycemia in normal humans.

S N Davis, … , F Costa, R Mosqueda-Garcia


The aim of this study was to determine the role of increased plasma cortisol levels in the pathogenesis of hypoglycemia-associated autonomic failure. Experiments were carried out on 16 lean, healthy, overnight fasted male subjects. One group (n = 8) underwent two separate, 2-d randomized experiments separated by at least 2 mo. On day 1 insulin was infused at a rate of 1.5 mU/kg per min and 2 h clamped hypoglycemia (53 +/- 2 mg/dl) or euglycemia (93 +/- 3 mg/dl) was obtained during morning and afternoon. The next morning subjects underwent a 2-h hyperinsulinemic (1.5 mU/kg per min) hypoglycemic (53 +/- 2 mg/dl) clamp study. In the other group (n = 8), day 1 consisted of morning and afternoon 2-h clamped hyperinsulinemic euglycemia with cortisol infused to stimulate levels of plasma cortisol occurring during clamped hypoglycemia (53 mg/dl). The next morning (day 2) subjects underwent a 2-h hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic clamp identical to the first group. Despite equivalent day 2 plasma glucose and insulin levels, steady state epinephrine, norepinephrine, pancreatic polypeptide, glucagon, ACTH and muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) values were significantly (R < 0.01) blunted after day 1 cortisol infusion compared to antecedent euglycemia. Compared to day 1 cortisol, antecedent hypoglycemia produced similar blunted day 2 responses of epinephrine, norepinephrine, pancreatic polypeptide and MSNA compared to day 1 cortisol. Antecedent […]

Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/118839/pdf
Role of Cortisol in the Pathogenesis of Deficient Counterregulation after Antecedent Hypoglycemia in Normal Humans

Stephen Neil Davis, Chris Shavers, Fernando Costa, and Rogelio Mosqueda-Garcia

Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232

Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the role of increased plasma cortisol levels in the pathogenesis of hypoglycemia-associated autonomic failure. Experiments were carried out on 16 lean, healthy, overnight fasted male subjects. One group (n = 8) underwent two separate, 2-d randomized experiments separated by at least 2 mo. On day 1 insulin was infused at a rate of 1.5 mU/kg per min and 2 h clamped hypoglycemia (53±2 mg/dl) or euglycemia (93±3 mg/dl) was obtained during morning and afternoon. The next morning subjects underwent a 2-h hyperinsulinemic (1.5 mU/kg per min) hypoglycemic (53±2 mg/dl) clamp study. In the other group (n = 8), day 1 consisted of morning and afternoon 2-h clamped hyperinsulinemic euglycemia with cortisol infused to stimulate levels of plasma cortisol occurring during clamped hypoglycemia (53 mg/dl). The next morning (day 2) subjects underwent a 2-h hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic clamp identical to the first group. Despite equivalent day 2 plasma glucose and insulin levels, steady state epinephrine, norepinephrine, pancreatic polypeptide, glucagon, ACTH and muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) values were significantly (P < 0.01) blunted after day 1 cortisol infusion compared to antecedent euglycemia. Compared to day 1 cortisol, antecedent hypoglycemia produced similar blunted day 2 responses of epinephrine, norepinephrine, pancreatic polypeptide and MSNA compared to day 1 cortisol. Antecedent hypoglycemia, however, produced a more pronounced blunting of plasma glucagon, ACTH, and hepatic glucose production compared to day 1 cortisol. We conclude that in healthy overnight fasted men (a) antecedent physiologic increases of plasma cortisol can significantly blunt epinephrine, norepinephrine, glucagon, and MSNA responses to subsequent hypoglycemia and (b) these data suggest that increased plasma cortisol is the mechanism responsible for antecedent hypoglycemia causing hypoglycemia associated autonomic failure. (J. Clin. Invest. 1996. 98:680–691.) Key words: catecholamines • autonomic nervous system • hypoglycemia • cortisol • glucagon

Introduction

Intensively treated insulin-dependent patients (IDDM) frequently have syndromes of abnormal counterregulatory responses to hypoglycemia (1). These include deficient neuroendocrine hormonal responses, altered glycemia thresholds for counterregulatory hormone release, and hypoglycemia unawareness. It is thought that these syndromes of abnormal counterregulation contribute to the threefold greater incidence of severe hypoglycemia observed in intensively treated IDDM patients. Although intensively treated IDDM patients have reduced levels of all counterregulatory hormones in response to hypoglycemia, it is the deficient autonomic—adrenomedullary responses which result in serious clinical consequences. This occurs because long duration IDDM patients lose the ability to release glucagon in response to hypoglycemia (2) and become dependent upon epinephrine for effective hypoglycemic counterregulation (3). The exact mechanism responsible for deficient autonomic—adrenomedullary responses in intensively treated IDDM patients is not known. However, numerous recent studies have demonstrated that antecedent hypoglycemia can reduce some if not all aspects of the neuroendocrine and autonomic—adrenomedullary responses to subsequent hypoglycemia in normal (4–7) and IDDM patients (8–10). Furthermore, other studies have demonstrated that insulinoma patients have syndromes of abnormal hypoglycemic counterregulation similar to intensively treated IDDM patients which return to normal when the pancreatic tumor is removed (11–13). These data have therefore lead to the hypothesis that hypoglycemia—associated autonomic failure in IDDM patients is caused by antecedent iatrogenic hypoglycemia (1).

There is, however, no available information indicating mechanistically how hypoglycemia per se or a consequence of hypoglycemia may cause deficient autonomic—adrenomedullary counterregulatory responses. During the last decade there have been considerable advances in our understanding of the effects of glucocorticoids on neuroendocrine and autonomic—adrenomedullary responses to stress. One important function of glucocorticoids is to protect the body against its own defense mechanisms which might cause damage if allowed to proceed unregulated. Conceptually, this idea can be related to a number of homeostatic mechanisms in addition to the classical role of glucocorticoids reducing an inflammatory response. Information exists from the 1950s reporting that glucocorticoids may reduce levels of catecholamines released in response to stress (14). More recent data have confirmed that glucocorticoids can reduce the autonomic—adrenomedullary responses...
Cortisol and Deficient Hypoglycemic Counterregulation

...to a variety of differing stress in humans and animals (15–18). Several studies have also demonstrated that glucocorticoid deficiency (induced by bilateral adrenalectomy) results in exaggerated autonomic responses to diverse stress such as cold exposure (19) or surgery (20). Other recent studies investigating the effects of raised levels of glucocorticoids on autonomic-adrenomedullary function have been similarly consistent. Komesaroff and Funder (18) demonstrated that prior administration of dexamethasone could reduce the autonomic-adrenomedullary response to hypoglycemia in conscious sheep. Whereas Tappy et al. (21) reported that dexamethasone administration resulted in reductions of plasma norepinephrine levels during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemia in humans.

Taken together the above information indicates that glucocorticoids exert a restraining effect on autonomic–adrenomedullary function. Based upon these data, we have hypothesized that antecedent hypoglycemia causes reductions in autonomic–adrenomedullary responses to subsequent hypoglycemia due to elevations in plasma cortisol levels. The aim of the present study, therefore, was to determine in normal humans whether hypoglycemia associated autonomic failure is caused by antecedent increases in plasma cortisol.

Methods

Subjects

We studied 16 healthy male volunteers, 19–34 years of age (25±2 yr) with a mean body mass index of 23.2±0.6 (kg/m^2) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HBA_1C) 4.8±0.1% (normal range 4–6.5%). None were taking medication nor had a family history of diabetes. Each subject had a normal blood count, plasma electrolytes, liver, and renal function. All gave written informed consent. Studies were approved by the Vanderbilt University Human Subjects Institutional Review Board. The subjects were asked to follow their usual weight-maintaining diet for 3 d before each study. Each subject was admitted to the Vanderbilt Clinical Research Center (CRC) at 5:00 pm on the evening before an experiment. All subjects were studied after an overnight 10-h fast.

Experimental design

Glucose clamp studies. Eight subjects attended for two separate 2-d experiments separated by at least 2 mo. The other eight subjects attended for a single 2-d experiment. The order of the three experiments were randomized and performed in a single blind fashion. On the morning of the first day of each study, after an overnight fast, two intravenous cannulae were inserted under 1% lidocaine local anesthesia. One cannula was placed in a retrograde fashion into a vein on the back of the hand. This hand was placed in a heated box (55–60°C), so that arterialized blood could be obtained (22). The other cannula was placed in the contralateral arm so that 20% glucose could be infused via a variable-rate volumetric infusion pump (I med, San Diego, CA).

Antecedent euglycemia experiments

On the morning of day one (Fig. 1) after the insertion of venous cannula a period of 90 min was allowed to elapse followed by a 30 min basal control period and a 120 min hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic experimental period. At time zero a primed continuous infusion of insulin (23) was administered at a rate of 1.5 mU/kg per min for 120 min. Plasma glucose levels were measured every 5 min and a variable infusion of 20% dextrose was adjusted so that plasma glucose levels were held constant (24). KCL (20 mmol/liter) was added to the glucose infusion in each study. After completion of the initial 2-h test period each subject received a small snack (15 grams of carbohydrate in the form of fruit juice) and their plasma glucose was maintained at euglycemia for a further 2 h. At that point insulin was restarted and a sec-

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of experimental protocols used in this study.](image)
ond hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp, identical to the morning, was performed. At completion of the second glucose clamp subjects consumed a large meal, bedtime snack, and remained in the Clinical Research Center.

**Antecedent hypoglycemia experiments**

These experiments followed a similar format to the previously described euglycemia experiments with the exception that on day 1 identical morning and afternoon hyperinsulinemic–hypoglycemic clamps of 53±2 mg/dl were performed. At completion of day 1 morning hypoglycemic experiments, subjects received a 15 gram carbohydrate snack and their plasma glucose was rapidly restored to normal by the use of an exogenous 20% glucose infusion. Plasma glucose was maintained at euglycemia for 2 h before afternoon hypoglycemic clamp experiments were performed.

**Antecedent cortisol during hyperinsulinemic–euglycemia experiments**

The experiments in this group involved a similar format to the previously described studies. On day 1 subjects underwent morning and afternoon hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp experiments but with an additional constant infusion of cortisol (2 μg/kg per min) to simulate the increase of plasma cortisol levels occurring during hypoglycemic experiments. In this protocol the effects of an isolated physiologic increase of plasma cortisol, independent of hypoglycemia, on subsequent counterregulation could be determined.

**Day 2 experiments**

Day 2 experiments involved standardized clamped hypoglycemia to assess the effects of day 1 treatments on subsequent hypoglycemic counterregulation. After a 10-h overnight fast a third glucose clamp study was performed. The timing of the experiment was identical to the previous morning. To measure glucose kinetics, a primed, (18 μCi) constant infusion of [3-13C]glucose was started at time 0.01 ms duration). This stimulation produced painless muscle contraction of the foot. After this a reference tungsten electrode, with a shaft diameter of 200 μm, was placed subcutaneously. A similar electrode, with an uninsulated tip (1–5 μm) was inserted into the nerve and used for recording of muscle sympathetic nerve activity. Placement of the recording electrode was guided by electrical stimulation (1–4 V, 0.01 ms duration). Electrical stimulation was performed with a stimulator (588; Grass Instruments Co., Quincy, MA) connected to an isolation unit (SIU8TB; Grass Instrument Co.). Recorded signals were fed to a preamplifier (1,000-fold amplification) and filtered using a band width between 700 and 2,000 Hz. The filtered signal was rectified, amplified a further 100-fold, and integrated in a resistance–capacitance network using a time constant of 0.1 s (Nerve traffic analysis system 662C-3; University of Iowa Bioengineering, Iowa City, IA). The final signal was monitored using a storage oscilloscope (S111A; Tektronix Inc. Beaverton, OR) and recorded after fourfold amplification on a TA-2000 recorder (Gould, Inc., Cleveland, OH). A recording of muscle sympathetic nerve activity was considered adequate when (a) electrical stimulation produced muscle twitches but not paresthesia, (b) stretching of the tendons in the foot evoked proprioceptive afferent signals, whereas cutaneous stimulation by slight stroking of the skin did not, (c) nerve activity increased during phase II of the Valsalva maneuver (hypotensive phase) and was suppressed during phase IV (blood pressure overshoot), and (d) nerve activity increased in response to held expira-

| Table I. Plasma Glucose, Insulin, and Cortisol Levels from Hyperinsulinemic (1.5 mU/kg per min), Euglycemic and Hypoglycemic Clamp Studies on Morning of Day 1 |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                                   | Control period | 30      | 60      | 75      | 90      | 105     | 120     |
| Plasma glucose (mg/dl)                           |                |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Antecedent euglycemia                            | 95±1           | 95±4    | 96±3    | 96±3    | 93±3    | 92±2    | 93±3    |
| Antecedent hypoglycemia                          | 94±2           | 54±4*   | 58±3*   | 56±3*   | 54±2*   | 53±2*   | 52±2*   |
| Antecedent cortisol                              | 95±2           | 96±3    | 98±3    | 97±2    | 92±2    | 94±3    | 91±2    |
| Plasma insulin (μU/ml)                           |                |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Antecedent euglycemia                            | 6±1            | 98±4*   | 97±6*   | 100±3*  | 98±8*   | 98±6*   | 101±5*  |
| Antecedent hypoglycemia                          | 6±1            | 116±10* | 103±8*  | 103±7*  | 104±11* | 105±8*  | 107±13* |
| Antecedent cortisol                              | 6±2            | 101±10* | 97±10*  | 98±9*   | 99±9*   | 98±7*   | 99±9*   |
| Plasma cortisol (μg/dl)                           |                |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Antecedent euglycemia                            | 8±1            | 10±1*   | 12±2*   | 12±1*   | 11±1*   | 10±1*   | 10±1*   |
| Antecedent hypoglycemia                          | 8±1            | 10±1*   | 22±2*   | 25±2*   | 25±3*   | 26±3*   | 26±3*   |
| Antecedent cortisol                              | 9±1            | 25±1*   | 30±3*   | 28±3*   | 31±3*   | 32±3*   | 32±3*   |

Values are mean±SE. * Plasma insulin and cortisol concentrations are significantly increased (P < 0.05) compared with control period. † Plasma glucose levels are significantly reduced (P < 0.05) compared with control period.
Sympathetic nerve activity will be expressed as bursts/min. Measurements of muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) were made from the original tracings using a digitizer tablet (HIPAD; Houston Instruments, Austin, TX) coupled to Sigma Scan Software (Jandel Scientific, Coite Modena, CA) in a micro computer. The effect of a given antecedent treatment on MSNA was expressed as change (Δ) from preceding control period.

Two types of sympathetic fibers (skin and muscle) can be identified from recordings of peripheral nerves. MSNA was recorded in the present study as this has been demonstrated to reflect increased sympathetic activity during insulin-induced hypoglycemia (27), 2-deoxyglucose–induced neuroglycopenia, (28) and hyperinsulinemic euglycemia in normal humans (29).

Microneurography is a particularly useful technique and has several advantages in assessing sympathetic neuronal activity compared to assays of circulating catecholamines. Measurement of norepinephrine levels in humans does not accurately reflect the concentrations occurring at the synaptic cleft. In fact, due to reuptake mechanisms in the synaptic cleft and clearance in the gut and liver, only a small fraction of the available norepinephrine is measured peripherally. Consequently circulating norepinephrine levels provide a small and imprecise reflection of sympathetic nervous system activity (30). Thus measurements of arterial norepinephrine underestimate the degree of sympathetic activation induced by hypotension when compared with measurements of muscle sympathetic nerve activity (31). Additionally, it has been demonstrated that hyperinsulinemia may increase norepinephrine clearance, thereby giving falsely low cate-

Figure 2. Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on arterialized plasma insulin and glucose levels in overnight fasted man after antecedent hyperinsulinemic–euglycemia (○—○), antecedent hyperinsulinemic–hypoglycemia (●—●), and antecedent hyperinsulinemic–euglycemia with simulated cortisol (▲—▲). Plasma insulin concentrations during each infusion were significantly different (P < 0.01) from control period at 15 min onward. Plasma glucose concentrations were significantly different from control period values at 15 min (P < 0.01) onward.

Figure 3. Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on arterialized plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine levels in overnight fasted man. Symbols are as indicated for Fig. 2. Plasma epinephrine was initially significantly increased (P < 0.01) from control values at 45 min onward during all protocols. Antecedent hypoglycemia and antecedent cortisol values are significantly lower (P < 0.01) compared with antecedent euglycemia. Plasma norepinephrine was initially significantly increased (P < 0.05) from control values at 30 min onward during antecedent euglycemia and antecedent cortisol but 45 min during antecedent hypoglycemia. Antecedent hypoglycemia and antecedent cortisol values are significantly lower (P < 0.05) compared with antecedent euglycemia.
cholamine levels (32). Therefore, by combining microneurography with assays for circulating catecholamines, a more accurate estimation of sympathetic activity is obtained.

**Analytical methods**

The collection and processing of blood samples have been described elsewhere (33). Plasma glucose concentrations were measured in triplicate using the glucose oxidase method with a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). Glucagon was measured according to the method of Aguilar-Parada et al. with an interassay coefficient of variation (CV) of 15% (34). Insulin was measured as described previously (35) with an interassay CV of 11%. Catecholamines were determined by HPLC (36) with an interassay CV of 17% for epinephrine and 14% for norepinephrine. We made two modifications to the procedure for catecholamine determination: (a) we used a five rather than one-point standard calibration curve; and (b) we spiked the initial and final samples of plasma with known amounts of epinephrine and norepinephrine so accurate identification of the relevant respective catecholamine peaks could be made. Cortisol was assayed by using the Clinical Assays Gamma Coat RIA kit with an interassay CV of 6%. Growth hormone was determined by RIA (37) with a CV of 8.6%. Pancreatic polypeptide was measured by RIA using the method of Hagopian et al. (38) with an interassay CV of 8%. Adrenocorticotropin (ACTH) and β-endorphin were determined by RIA with a CV of 10% using the method of Nicholson et al. (39).

**Figure 4.** Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on arterialized plasma cortisol and glucagon levels in overnight fasted man. Symbols are as indicated for Fig. 2. Plasma cortisol was initially significantly increased \((P < 0.05)\) from control values at 45 min onwards in all protocols. Plasma glucagon was initially significantly increased \((P < 0.05)\) from control values at 45 min onward in all protocols. Antecedent hypoglycemia values are significantly lower \((P < 0.01)\) and antecedent cortisol \((P < 0.05)\). Antecedent cortisol values are significantly lower \((P < 0.01)\) compared with antecedent euglycemia.

**Figure 5.** Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on arterialized plasma growth hormone and pancreatic polypeptide levels in overnight fasted man. Symbols are as indicated for Fig. 2. Plasma growth hormone was initially significantly increased \((P < 0.05)\) from control values at 45 min onward during antecedent euglycemia and antecedent cortisol but 60 min during antecedent hypoglycemia. Antecedent hypoglycemia values are significantly lower \((P < 0.05)\) compared to antecedent euglycemia or antecedent cortisol. Plasma pancreatic polypeptide was initially significantly increased \((P < 0.05)\) from control values at 45 min onward during antecedent euglycemia and antecedent cortisol but 60 min during antecedent hypoglycemia. Antecedent cortisol and antecedent hypoglycemia values are significantly lower \((P < 0.01)\) compared with antecedent euglycemia.
Lactate, glycerol, alanine, and 3-hydroxybutyrate were measured on deproteinized whole blood using the method of Lloyd et al. (40). Nonesterified fatty acids were measured using the Wako Bioproducts kit (Richmond, VA) adopted for use on a centrifugal analyzer (41).

Blood samples for glucose flux were taken every 10 min throughout the control period and every 15 min during the experimental period. Blood for hormones and intermediary metabolites were drawn two times during the control period and every 15 min during the experimental period. Cardiovascular parameters (pulse, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressure) were measured noninvasively by a Dinamap (Critikon, Tampa, FL) every 10 min throughout each 300-min study. MSNA was measured continuously throughout each 300-min study.

**Materials**

HPLC purified [3-3H] glucose (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) was used as the glucose tracer (11.5 mCi/mM). Human regular insulin was purchased from Eli Lilly and Co. (Indianapolis, IN). The insulin infusion solution was prepared with normal saline and contained 3% (vol/vol) of the subject's own plasma. Hydrocortisone was purchased from Organon, Inc. (West Orange, NJ).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as mean±SE unless otherwise stated, and analyzed using standard, parametric, two-way ANOVA with a repeated measures design. This was coupled with a paired or unpaired Student’s t test to delineate at which time statistical significance was reached. A P value of <0.05 indicated significant difference.

**Results**

**Insulin, glucose, and cortisol levels from day 1 morning studies.** Peripheral insulin values were stable and at similar steady state levels by the final 45 min of each experimental protocol (Table I). Plasma glucose levels (Table I) were also stable during the final 45 min of each experiment with a CV of 1.9, 3.2, and 2.4% during antecedent euglycemia, hypoglycemia, and cortisol respectively. Plasma cortisol levels (Table I) increased

Figure 6. Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on arterialized plasma ACTH and β-endorphin levels in overnight fasted man. Symbols are as indicated for Fig. 2. Plasma ACTH was initially significantly increased (P < 0.05) from control values at 45 min onward during antecedent euglycemia and antecedent cortisol but 60 min during antecedent hypoglycemia. Antecedent hypoglycemia values are significantly lower compared with antecedent cortisol (P < 0.01) and antecedent euglycemia (P < 0.01). Plasma β-endorphin was initially significantly increased (P < 0.05) from control values at 45 min onwards during antecedent euglycemia and antecedent cortisol, but 60 min during antecedent hypoglycemia. Antecedent hypoglycemia values are significantly lower (P < 0.01) compared with antecedent cortisol or antecedent euglycemia.

Figure 7. Effects of peripherally infused insulin (1.5 mU/kg per min) on incremental increase from baseline MSNA in overnight fasted man. Symbols are as indicated for Fig. 2. MSNA was initially significantly increased (P < 0.05) from control values at 30 min onward during antecedent euglycemia but 60 min during antecedent hypoglycemia and antecedent cortisol. Antecedent hypoglycemia and antecedent cortisol values are significantly lower (P < 0.01) compared with antecedent euglycemia.
Cortisol on Glucose Turnover during Day 2 Hypoglycemia

Table II. Effects of Antecedent (Day 1) Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemia, Hypoglycemia, and Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemia plus Cortisol on Glucose Turnover during Day 2 Hypoglycemia (53±2 mg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hepatic glucose production (mg/kg per min)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>2.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.7±0.1*</td>
<td>1.6±0.1*</td>
<td>1.6±0.2*</td>
<td>1.6±0.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose utilization (mg/kg per min)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>2.0±0.2</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose specific activity (dpm/mg)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>80±5</td>
<td>88±8</td>
<td>87±7</td>
<td>89±7</td>
<td>88±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>85±7</td>
<td>80±7</td>
<td>81±6</td>
<td>80±6</td>
<td>83±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>82±15</td>
<td>97±12</td>
<td>97±12</td>
<td>101±13</td>
<td>100±12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose infusion rate (mg/kg per min)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>0.6±0.3</td>
<td>0.6±0.4</td>
<td>0.7±0.4</td>
<td>0.7±0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SE. *Final 45 min values suppressed (P < 0.05) compared with control period. †Final 45 min values reduced (P < 0.05) compared with antecedent euglycemia or cortisol.

by a modest but significant amount (ANOVA P < 0.05) during hyperinsulinemic euglycemia. In response to hypoglycemia, cortisol levels increased about threefold reaching steady state level of 26±3 μg/dl during the final 45 min of experiments. During antecedent cortisol studies, plasma cortisol levels were initially higher than antecedent hypoglycemia protocols but reached similar steady state levels (31±3 μg/dl) by the final 45 min of each experiment (Table I).

Table III. Effects of Antecedent (Day 1) Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemia, Hypoglycemia, and Hyperinsulinemic–euglycemia plus Cortisol on Cardiovascular Parameters during Day 2 Hypoglycemia (53±2 mg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>117±4</td>
<td>130±6*</td>
<td>131±7*</td>
<td>131±6*</td>
<td>131±7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>120±3</td>
<td>128±4*</td>
<td>134±4*</td>
<td>131±4*</td>
<td>135±5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>114±4</td>
<td>126±6*</td>
<td>131±6*</td>
<td>125±6*</td>
<td>125±7*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>67±4</td>
<td>60±3</td>
<td>59±3</td>
<td>60±3</td>
<td>60±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>65±2</td>
<td>57±2</td>
<td>56±3</td>
<td>57±3</td>
<td>60±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>65±3</td>
<td>58±4</td>
<td>56±3</td>
<td>57±4</td>
<td>58±4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>83±3</td>
<td>84±4</td>
<td>83±4</td>
<td>84±4</td>
<td>84±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>82±2</td>
<td>81±2</td>
<td>82±3</td>
<td>81±3</td>
<td>84±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>81±3</td>
<td>80±3</td>
<td>81±48</td>
<td>80±3</td>
<td>81±4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate (beats/min)</th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>195</th>
<th>210</th>
<th>225</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>63±4</td>
<td>78±6*</td>
<td>77±6*</td>
<td>78±4*</td>
<td>76±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>61±3</td>
<td>71±5*</td>
<td>71±5</td>
<td>69±4*</td>
<td>71±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>60±4</td>
<td>71±4*</td>
<td>68±3*</td>
<td>68±4*</td>
<td>68±4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SE. *Final 45 min values significantly increased (P < 0.01) compared with control period.
(CV of 2.4%) at 99±5 μU/ml. Plasma glucose levels fell at an equivalent rate (1.1 mg/min) in all studies and reached a similar steady state hypoglycemic plateau of 55±3 mg/dl. The stability of the plasma glucose level during the last 45 min of each protocol was demonstrated by a CV of 2.3%.

In response to hypoglycemia, plasma levels of all neuroendocrine hormones (epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol, growth hormone, glucagon, pancreatic polypeptide, β-endorphin, and ACTH) increased compared to baseline (P < 0.01). Despite similar hypoglycemia there were significant differences (P < 0.01) in epinephrine levels (Fig. 3) among groups. Epinephrine levels were insignificantly reduced (P < 0.01) during the final 45 min of antecedent hypoglycemia (450±81 pg/ml) and antecedent cortisol experiments (530±76 pg/ml) compared with antecedent euglycemia (950±94 pg/ml). There was no overall statistical difference between epinephrine levels after antecedent hypoglycemia or cortisol. However, epinephrine levels increased more briskly in response to hypoglycemia after antecedent cortisol than antecedent hypoglycemia. There were also significant differences in norepinephrine levels (Fig. 3) among groups. Norepinephrine levels were significantly suppressed (P < 0.05) after antecedent hypoglycemia (291±20 pg/ml) and antecedent cortisol experiments (275±23 pg/ml) compared with antecedent euglycemia (348±35 pg/ml). There was no statistical difference between norepinephrine levels in the antecedent hypoglycemia or cortisol groups. However, similar to epinephrine results, norepinephrine levels increased more rapidly to hypoglycemia after antecedent cortisol compared with previous hypoglycemia. Plasma cortisol (Fig. 4) levels increased similarly in all groups. A difference in baseline values tended to accentuate a small nonsignificant difference between antecedent hypoglycemia and the other two groups. There were significant differences (P < 0.01) in glucagon (Fig. 4) among groups. After antecedent hypoglycemia (165±22 pg/ml) or antecedent cortisol (243±32 pg/ml), plasma glucagon levels were significantly suppressed (P < 0.01) compared with antecedent euglycemia (376±33 pg/ml). Plasma glucagon levels were significantly higher (P < 0.05) after antecedent cortisol compared with previous hypoglycemia (243±32 vs 165±22 pg/ml, P < 0.05).

Plasma growth hormone levels (Fig. 5) were significantly suppressed (P < 0.05) after antecedent hypoglycemia (34±6 ng/ml) compared with either antecedent euglycemia (46±6 pg/ml) or antecedent cortisol (51±5 ng/ml). There was no difference in growth hormone levels after antecedent euglycemia or cortisol. There were significant differences (P < 0.01) in plasma pancreatic polypeptide (Fig. 5) levels among groups. Pancreatic polypeptide levels were significantly suppressed (P < 0.05) after both antecedent hypoglycemia (882±161 pg/ml) or cortisol (787±106 pg/ml) compared to antecedent euglycemia (1,198±151 pg/ml). Pancreatic polypeptide levels were similar after antecedent hypoglycemia or previous cortisol. Plasma ACTH levels were also significantly different (P < 0.01) among groups. ACTH levels (Fig. 6) were suppressed (P < 0.05) after antecedent hypoglycemia (28±6 pg/ml) or antecedent cortisol (68±20 pg/ml) compared with previous euglycemia.

Table IV. Effects of Antecedent (Day 1) Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemia, Hypoglycemia and Hyperinsulinemic–euglycemia plus Cortisol on Intermediary Metabolites during Day 2 Hypoglycemia (53±2 mg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control period</th>
<th>Final 45 min of clamped hypoglycemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lactate (μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>924±101</td>
<td>1,612±102*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>945±96</td>
<td>1,438±83*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>998±58</td>
<td>1,683±111*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood alanine (μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>350±38</td>
<td>325±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>368±30</td>
<td>325±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>407±32†</td>
<td>366±19†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glycerol (μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>32±1</td>
<td>25±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>35±2</td>
<td>25±1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>35±5</td>
<td>24±2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood 3-hydroxybutyrate (μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>35±13</td>
<td>11±2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>28±5</td>
<td>7±2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>27±10</td>
<td>11±2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma NEFA (μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent euglycemia</td>
<td>384±100</td>
<td>194±39†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent hypoglycemia</td>
<td>492±45</td>
<td>211±24†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedent cortisol</td>
<td>419±43</td>
<td>211±11†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means±SE. *Final 45 min values are significantly increased (P < 0.01) compared with control period. †Incremental increase in lactate values are reduced (P < 0.05) compared with antecedent euglycemia. ‡Final 45 min values are significantly lower (P < 0.05) compared with control period.
Experimental group (antecedent euglycemia CV 2.0% to 2.1% for antecedent euglycemia, hypoglycemia, and cortisol, respectively. By the final 45 min of each insulin infusion period, an isotopic steady state existed with a CV of 0.9, 1.7, and 2.1% for antecedent euglycemia, hypoglycemia, and cortisol, respectively. Hepatic glucose production (Table II) was initially similar (2.0 ± 0.1 mg/kg per min) in all groups. Hepatic glucose production remained unchanged after antecedent euglycemia, but declined to 1.6 ± 0.1 mg/kg per min and 1.2 ± 0.3 mg/kg per min (P < 0.02) by the final 45 min of antecedent cortisol and hypoglycemia experiments, respectively. After antecedent euglycemia no exogenous glucose was required to maintain plasma glucose at the desired hypoglycemic level during day 2 experiments. Day 2 exogenous glucose infusions in the other two groups were minimal with only a rate of 0.2 ± 0.1 mg/kg per min after antecedent cortisol experiments and 0.7 ± 0.4 mg/kg per min after antecedent hypoglycemia studies. Glucose utilization rates were similar during the final 45 min of each experimental group (antecedent euglycemia 2.0 ± 0.1, antecedent hypoglycemia 2.1 ± 0.2, and antecedent cortisol 1.9 ± 0.2 mg/kg per min).

Cardiovascular parameters. Heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial blood pressure were similar at the start of each study (Table III). Despite large differences in circulating catecholamine levels and MSNA between groups, heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressure responded similarly during day 2 hypoglycemic clamp studies (Table III).

Intermediary metabolism. There was a significant difference (P < 0.01) in blood lactate responses among groups (Table IV). Blood lactate levels were increased significantly (P < 0.01) by the final 45 min of each experimental group. However, incremental increases in blood lactate after antecedent hypoglycemia (+ 565 ± 60 μM) or antecedent cortisol (+ 680 ± 85 μM) were significantly blunted (P < 0.05) compared with antecedent euglycemia (+ 915 ± 95 μM). There was also a significant difference (P < 0.05) in blood alanine responses among groups. Alanine levels remained similar to baseline after antecedent euglycemia (350 ± 38 to 314 ± 27 μM) but were suppressed significantly (P < 0.05) after antecedent hypoglycemia (368 ± 30 to 311 ± 15 μM) and antecedent cortisol (407 ± 31 to 340 ± 18 μM). There were also significant (P < 0.02) differences in glycerol metabolism among groups. Blood glycerol levels remained similar to baseline after antecedent euglycemia (32 ± 6 to 27 ± 3 μM) but were significantly suppressed, relative to baseline, after antecedent hypoglycemia (35 ± 2 to 25 ± 2 μM, P < 0.05) and antecedent cortisol (35 ± 5 to 25 ± 3 μM, P < 0.05). Blood, 3-hydroxybutyrate and plasma nonesterified fatty acid responses fell similarly and significantly in each of the three groups.

Discussion

The increased incidence of severe hypoglycemia in IDDM patients is the most serious complication of rigorous metabolic control. Recent work has demonstrated that antecedent hypoglycemia can result in reduced neuroendocrine and autonomic nervous system (ANS) responses to subsequent hypoglycemia in normal volunteers (4–7) patients with IDDM (8, 9) or insulinoma (11–13). However, the mechanism(s) responsible for these diminished autonomic counterregulatory responses remain unknown. Based on reports that elevated plasma cortisol levels can diminish subsequent ANS activity to a variety of differing stress (14–18) we have hypothesized that hypoglycemia-associated autonomic failure (1) is caused by antecedent increases of plasma cortisol. To test this hypothesis we have simulated increases in plasma cortisol levels, similar to that occurring during hypoglycemia, but on a background of hyperinsulinemic euglycemia and then examined the ANS response to subsequent hypoglycemia. The results clearly demonstrate that a selective, threefold, physiologic increment in plasma cortisol levels or antecedent hypoglycemia result in similar diminished ANS counterregulatory responses to subsequent hypoglycemia.

Previous studies investigating the effects of antecedent hypoglycemia on subsequent hypoglycemic counterregulation have demonstrated a spectrum of blunted neuroendocrine responses (1–10). Heller et al. (4) and Veneman et al. (7) reported blunted responses of all major neuroendocrine counterregulatory hormones after antecedent hypoglycemia in normal volunteers. Whereas, Davis et al. (5) and Widom et al. (6) observed reductions in some but not all neuroendocrine responses (cortisol [5, 6], epinephrine [6], glucagon [5], growth hormone [5]) during subsequent hypoglycemia in normal humans. Studies involving IDDM patients have provided similar results. Dagogo-Jack et al. (9) reported blunted epinephrine and pancreatic polypeptide counterregulatory responses after antecedent hypoglycemia whereas Davis et al. (8) observed reduced growth hormone and cortisol levels after prior hypoglycemia. Thus it is clear from these studies that antecedent hypoglycemia can result in reduced ANS and neuroendocrine responses during subsequent hypoglycemia. The previous studies, however, were not designed to identify the mechanism(s) responsible for deficient autonomic–neuroendocrine counterregulation after antecedent hypoglycemia. This present study clearly demonstrates that during the final 45 min of each experiment (steady state period) prior physiologic elevations of plasma cortisol can blunt ANS responses to subsequent hypoglycemia by a similar magnitude to that of antecedent hypoglycemia. Parenthetically, it should be noted that the final 45 min of our experiments was designated steady state based upon the fact that glucose, insulin levels, and glucose infusion rates were stable (CV < 5.0%). The term “steady state period” does not indicate that other variables (i.e., neuroendocrine hormones, or MSNA) were also stable during this time.

Antecedent elevations in cortisol had major effects upon the ANS. During the steady state period, plasma epinephrine,
norepinephrine, pancreatic polypeptide levels, and MSNA were similarly significantly blunted after antecedent cortisol or hypoglycemia compared with control experiments. However, it should be noted that plasma cortisol levels were slightly increased in the antecedent cortisol compared with antecedent hypoglycemia group. Thus it is possible that the stimulus for subsequent ANS suppression was greater in the antecedent cortisol group. Nevertheless, the present results dramatically demonstrate that physiologic increases in plasma cortisol downregulate ANS responses to subsequent hypoglycemia and provides strong support for the argument that increased plasma cortisol can play a major role in antecedent hypoglycemia causing subsequent hypoglycemic autonomic failure. There were differences in the effects of antecedent cortisol or hypoglycemia on initial ANS–neuroendocrine counterregulatory responses. During the first 30 min of stable hypoglycemia (30–60 min) plasma levels of epinephrine, norepinephrine, and pancreatic polypeptide were greater after prior cortisol compared with antecedent hypoglycemia. This finding needs further investigation but may indicate that antecedent hypoglycemia has a greater effect upon altering the glycemic threshold (i.e., needing a lower plasma glucose) for release of neuroendocrine hormones compared with prior cortisol. Interestingly, there was no difference in the initial response of MSNA after antecedent cortisol or hypoglycemia. We speculate that this may represent an example of differentiation within limbs of the sympathetic nervous system (autonomic adrenomedullary vs peripheral sympathetic neurons).

The mechanism responsible for increases in MSNA during hypoglycemia is not clear. Previous work has documented that decreases in diastolic blood pressure result in large increases in MSNA. Thus as insulin causes vasodilatation and a fall in diastolic blood pressure it may be argued that any observed increases in MSNA during hypoglycemia are due to insulin per se. However, recent work by Hoffman et al. have demonstrated that hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia results in greater MSNA compared to equivalent levels of insulin in the presence of euglycemia (42). This indicates that during hypoglycemia, MSNA is reflecting a change in central (i.e., brain) sympathetic drive rather than an adaption to peripheral hemodynamic effects of insulin. The results of this present study confirm this view. In these experiments insulin levels were carefully controlled to be equivalent in all three groups. The fall in diastolic blood pressure was also similar in all groups. Additionally, the increase in heart rate was also equivalent within groups. This is an important point as MSNA is linked to cardiac rhythm. Thus it cannot be argued that observed changes in sympathetic activity were simply due to differences in heart rate during day 2 studies. However, despite equivalent hypoglycemia, insulinemia, heart rate, and diastolic blood pressure, incremental increases in MSNA were dramatically reduced by ~90% after antecedent cortisol and ~66% after antecedent hypoglycemia. These data therefore clearly demonstrate that the profound reduction in MSNA after antecedent cortisol or hypoglycemia was due to an alteration in central sympathetic drive rather than a peripheral vasodialatory signal caused by insulin.

The effects of antecedent hypoglycemia on subsequent pituitary neuroendocrine counterregulation have previously been confined to reports of growth hormone responses. In the present study levels of ACTH, β-endorphin, and growth hormone are reported. This provides a more comprehensive ac-
mechanism responsible for diminished HGP. In the present study we have not used the labeled glucose infusion method to deliver tritiated glucose (48). Labeling exogenous glucose infu-
sates with a glucose tracer is most valuable during hyperin-
sulinemic–euglycemic studies where HGP is completely sup-
pressed and large changes in glucose specific activity occur due
to greatly increased glucose flux. The conditions during hyper-
inulinemic–euglycemic studies are however quite different
from hypoglycemic experiments. In the present study, HGP
was not completely suppressed and the changes in glucose flux
were minimal. During day 2 experiments after antecedent eu-
glycemia no exogenous glucose was required to achieve the
desired glycemia. In fact the highest glucose infusion rate used
during the entire day 2 studies was only 0.7 mg/kg per min
during day 2 experiments after antecedent hypoglycemia). Fur-
thermore glucose specific activity was perfectly constant
during the final 45 min of all glucose clamp studies. Therefore,
as HGP data were only presented from sections of this study
control period and final 45 min of glucose clamp) where glu-
cose specific activity was constant, we believe that these data
represent reliable estimates of glucose turnover.

Plasma lactate levels during hypoglycemia reflect epi-
 nephrine action on muscle glycogenolysis. The finding, therefore, of
blunted day 2 plasma lactate levels after antecedent cortisol or
hypoglycemia is consistent with reduced plasma epinephrine
counterregulatory responses. Along similar lines, blunted day
2 plasma glycerol and alanine levels after antecedent cortisol
or hypoglycemia also indicate reduced autonomic adrenomed-
ul- lary counterregulatory activity at adipose tissue and skeletal
muscle, respectively.

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and heart rate (HR) usually
increase during insulin-induced hypoglycemia. This is attrib-
uted to increased autonomic adrenomedullary activity. The
finding, therefore, that SBP and HR increased similarly in all
three groups despite large differences in circulating catechol-
amines and MSNA was unexpected. We cannot provide a pre-
cise mechanism for this finding. However, we speculate that
inhibition of negatively chronotropic parasympathetic activity
may have offset a similar reduction in positively inotropic and
chronotropic sympathetic nervous activity, so that cardiovas-
cular parameters in antecedent hypoglycemia and cortisol pro-
tocols remained similar to control studies.

The levels of plasma cortisol obtained in this study are far
higher than usual daily morning peaks in normal subjects. Pre-
vious studies have demonstrated that peak levels of cortisol in
normal males range from ~14 to 19 μg/dl (49, 50). In the
present study cortisol levels were elevated to ~30 μg/dl for 2 h
in the late morning and ~25 μg/dl mid afternoon. These levels
are therefore greater and occurring later in the day compared
with normal morning peaks. Thus it is possible that the timing
of the increased plasma cortisol levels may have had an effect
in addition to the levels per se. During the last decade there
have been considerable advances in the understanding of the
effects of glucocorticoids on the brain. There are two specific
brain corticosteroid receptors; a type 1 (or MR) and type II (or
GR) (51). The type 1 receptors are nearly always fully occu-
pied (~90%) even at basal levels of circulating steroids and
exert a continuous tonic influence on associated hippocampal
hypothalamic function (52). The type II receptor becomes oc-
cupied during acute periods of stress and downregulates
hypothalamic–autonomic function (53). These receptors ex-
plain how circulating levels of corticosterone reduce corticotro-
pin-releasing factor (CRF) and ACTH levels in rats (54). Fur-
thermore, these receptors provide a plausible and logical
explanation for the effects of cortisol in the present study. We
speculate that the increased levels of cortisol activated the type
II corticosteroid receptors leading to a reduction in the hypo-
thalamic–autonomic response to subsequent hypoglycemia.

In summary, these studies demonstrate that in normal hu-
man prior elevations of plasma cortisol similar to increases
usually occurring during hypoglycemia can result in profound
blunting of the hypothalamic–autonomic counterregulatory re-
sponses to subsequent hypoglycemia. Prior physiologic eleva-
tions in cortisol and antecedent hypoglycemia resulted in simi-
lar blunted ANS counterregulatory responses to subsequent
hypoglycemia. We conclude that increased plasma cortisol
plays a major role in antecedent hypoglycemia causing subse-
quent hypoglycemic–autonomic counterregulatory failure.
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