Long-lived and Transferable Tumor Immunity in Mice after Targeted Interleukin-2 Therapy
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Abstract

A major goal of tumor immunotherapy is the induction of tumor-specific T cell responses that are effective in eradicating disseminated tumor, as well as mounting a persistent tumor-protective immunity. We demonstrate here that a genetically engineered fusion protein consisting of human/mouse chimeric anti-ganglioside GD2 antibody and human interleukin-2 is able to induce eradication of established B78-D14 melanoma metastases in immunocompetent syngeneic C57BL/6J mice. This therapeutic effect is mediated by host immune cells, particularly CD8+ T cells and is associated with the induction of a long-lived immunity preventing tumor growth in the majority of animals when challenged up to four months later with B78-D14 cells. This effect was tumor-specific, since no cross-protection against syngeneic, ganglioside GD2+ EL-4 thymoma cells was observed. Furthermore, this tumor-specific protection can be transmitted horizontally to naive, syngeneic SCID mice by passive transfer of CD8+ T lymphocytes derived from immune animals. These results suggest that antibody-targeted delivery of cytokines provides a means to elicit effective immune responses against established tumors in the immunotherapy of neoplastic disease. (J. Clin. Invest. 1996. 98: 2801–2804.) Key words: antibody • cytokine • fusion protein • melanoma • T cell

Introduction

The availability of recombinant immunomodulatory cytokines in pharmacologic quantities and the ability to manipulate these proteins at the genetic level has allowed testing of the effects of these molecules on the host response to cancer in an empiric fashion (1–3). It became obvious that the systemic administration of cytokines to activate any sort of immunological responses fails to account for the fact that these molecules maintain the specificity of immunologic responses partially through their paracrine function (4). Physiologically, systemic concentrations of most cytokines during an immunologic response are orders of magnitude below the dissociation constant of their receptor, thereby avoiding generalized effects (5). In contrast, systemic administration of cytokines in pharmacologic doses produces high concentrations of these bioactive molecules in the vasculature at sites distant from the tumor, but often at suboptimal levels in the tumor microenvironment (3). A therapeutic approach that conforms with the paracrine nature of cytokines is the ex vivo genetic modification of tumor cells to express them in situ (4, 6). When produced by tumors, many of these cytokines induce a local inflammatory response which results in elimination of the injected tumor cells. In some cases, systemic immune responses are generated against challenge with the wild-type parental tumor (7, 8). Despite the highly encouraging preclinical data obtained with this approach, the feasibility of its broad clinical application as a cancer therapy seems rather limited by its patient specific nature.

We recently established an alternative approach for cancer therapy which combines effective, local concentrations of cytokines in the tumor microenvironment, low systemic toxicity, and a technically simple modus operandi (9, 10). This goal was achieved by construction of fusion proteins consisting of tumor-specific monoclonal antibodies and cytokines; thereby, using the unique targeting ability of antibodies to direct cytokines to the tumor site (11). Antibody-targeted IL-2 therapy is able to eradicate established pulmonary, hepatic and subcutaneous melanoma metastases in a syngeneic tumor model. Immunohistochemical analysis, in vivo depletion studies and the use of animals with defined immune defects demonstrated that this eradication of tumor metastases is mediated by host immune cells, particularly CD8+ T cells (9, 10). Here, we demonstrate that this tumor eradication is associated with induction of a long-lived immunity preventing tumor growth in these animals when challenged up to four months later with the same tumor.

Methods

Cell lines and reagents. The murine melanoma cell lines, B16 and B78-D14, have been described previously (12). B78-D14 was derived from B16 melanoma cells by transfection with genes coding for β1,4-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase and α2,8-sialyltransferase inducing a constitutive expression of the gangliosides G2a and G2b. B16 melanoma cells were maintained as monolayers in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 2 mM L-glutamine and were passaged, as necessary. The culture medium for B78-D14 cells was further supplemented with 400 μg G418 and 50 μg Hygromycin B per ml. Mouse/human chimeric antibodies directed against the EGF receptor (ch225) or G2a (ch14.18) were constructed by joining the cDNA for the variable region of the murine antibodies with the constant regions of the γ1 heavy chain and the κ light chain, as previously
described (13). The antibody-interleukin-2 fusion proteins, ch225-IL2 and ch14.18-IL2, were constructed by fusion of a synthetic sequence coding for human IL2 to the carboxyl end of the human Cy1 gene, as described (11). The fused genes were inserted into the vector phIL2 which encodes for the dihydrofolate reductase gene (14). The resulting expression plasmids were introduced into Sp2/0-Ag14 cells and selected in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 100 nM methotrexate. The fusion proteins were purified over a protein A-Sepharose affinity column. All other antibodies used are commercially available and have been described in detail by the manufacturer (PharMingen, La Jolla, CA).

Animals. C57BL/6J and C57BL/6 scid/scid mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) at the age of 4–6 wk. These animals were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions and all experiments were performed according to the National Institutes of Health’s guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals.

Experimental lung metastases. Single cell suspension of 5 × 10⁶ tumor cells were injected into the lateral tail vein. To prevent pulmonary embolism caused by injection of tumor cells, mice were anesthetized by halothane inhalation, tumor cells were suspended in 500 μl PBS containing 0.1% BSA and administered i.v. over a period of 60 s. After 7 d, micrometastases were present, disseminated throughout the lungs and invading into the pulmonary alveoli. At day 28, after tumor cell injection, grossly visible metastases were present on the surface of the organ. Lungs were fixed in Bouin fixative and examined under a low magnification microscope for tumor foci on their surface. Sections from the lungs were stained with hematoxylin/eosin and examined histologically.

Subcutaneous tumors. Tumors were induced by s.c. injection of 5 × 10⁶ tumor cells in RPMI 1640, which resulted in tumors of ~40 μl volume within 14 d.

Passive transfer of lymphocytes. Single cell suspensions were prepared by mincing freshly resected spleens into small pieces and passing them through a steel sieve. After washing twice with Hanks balanced salt solution, viable cells were separated on a Ficoll/Hypaque gradient (Nycosrep™ animal 1.077, Nycomed Pharma, Oslo, Norway) at 600 g for 20 min, washed twice, counted and resuspended at a concentration of 3 × 10⁶ cells per ml in PBS containing 0.1% BSA. Lymphocyte subpopulations were isolated by panning in T-25 flasks coated with covalently immobilized antibodies against CD4 or CD8. Cell suspensions were injected into the lateral tail vein over a period of 60 s. To prevent pulmonary embolism caused by injection of cells, mice were anesthetized by halothane inhalation and the lymphocytes were administered in three aliquots of 1 × 10⁷ cells, each with 2 h between injections.

Immunohistology. Frozen sections were fixed in cold acetone for 10 min followed by removal of endogenous peroxidase with 0.03% H₂O₂ and blocking of collagenous elements with 10% species-specific serum in 1% BSA/PBS. The antibodies were then overlaid onto serial sections, at predetermined dilutions (usually 20 μg/ml) and slides were incubated in a humid chamber for 30 min. With PBS washes between every step, a biotinylated link antibody was applied for ten minutes, followed by an enzyme, i.e., either peroxidase or alkaline phosphatase, linked to streptavidin for 10 min. After another wash, the substrate was added and the slides were incubated in the dark for 20 min.

Statistical analysis. The statistical significance of differential findings between experimental groups of animals was determined by Student’s t test. The nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was chosen when the data were not amenable for parametric tests, as defined by the David-Pearson-Stephens test. Findings were regarded as significant, if two-tailed P values were ≤0.01.

Results and Discussion

Since the rejection of IL2-expressing tumor cells in some cases conferred protection against subsequent challenge with the parental tumor (1, 7), we tested the hypothesis that tumor eradication mediated by antibody-IL2 fusion proteins may also be associated with the induction of a persistent tumor immunity. Pulmonary metastases were induced by i.v. injection of 5 × 10⁶ B78-D14 murine melanoma cells into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice (12). After one week, when disseminated micrometastases were established, treatment with daily i.v. injections of 8 μg of the fusion protein ch14.18-IL2 was initiated and maintained over a period of 7 d. The fusion protein ch14.18-IL2 reacts with the ganglioside GD₃, which is expressed on B78-D14 cells. Previous experiments have shown that this treatment cured >90% of the animals (10). Six weeks after the initial tumor cell inoculation, these animals were challenged by i.v. injection

| Table I. Induction of a Protective Tumor Immunity by ch14.18-IL2 Fusion Protein-mediated Eradication of Established Subcutaneous and Pulmonary Metastases |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Initial tumor¹ | Treatment      | Interval [wk] | Challenge¹ | No of lung foci¹ |
| none            | none           |               | B78-D14     |                |
| B78-D14 i.v.    | ch14.18-IL2    | 6              | 200, 200, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500* |
| B78-D14 s.c.    | PBS            | 6              | B78-D14     | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 21, 56, 150, >500 |
|                 | cryotherapy    | 6              | B78-D14     | 150, 150, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500, >500* |
| IL2 + ch14.18   | ch14.18-IL2    | 6              | B78-D14     | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 26 |
|                 |                | 4              | B78-D14     | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 128 |
|                 |                | 16             | B78-D14     | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 128 |
|                 |                | 20             | B78-D14     | 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 128 |
|                 |                | 8              | EL-4        | >500*, >500*, >500*, >500*, >500*, >500*, >500*, >500* |

¹Primary tumors were induced by intravenous or subcutaneous injection of 5 × 10⁶ B78-D14 melanoma cells in C57BL/6 mice; treatment was started either 7 or 14 d, respectively, thereafter and consisted of daily intravenous injections of PBS, 24,000 IU rIL2 plus 8 μg ch14.18 antibody or 8 μg ch14.18-IL2 fusion protein. In one group of animals subcutaneous tumors were treated on day 14 with cryotherapy by application of liquid nitrogen for 30 s. Remaining subcutaneous tumors were excised after 4 wk. Animals were challenged by intravenous injection of 2.5 × 10⁶ B78-D14 cells or 1 × 10⁶ EL-4 thymoma cells at indicated times. ¹²8 d after rechallenge animals were killed and evaluated for metastatic disease. Animals that died before that date are marked with an asterisk.
of $2.5 \times 10^6$ B78-D14 cells. In 7 of 12 animals, the initial therapy caused complete protection against the tumor challenge and in three animals, the resulting tumor growth was dramatically reduced (Table I). The differences in the number of metastatic pulmonary foci between protected and naive animals were statistically significant with two-tailed $P$ values equal to or less than 0.002.

Studies of Prehn et al. have convincingly illustrated that the majority of murine tumors are immunogenic, as revealed by vaccination with irradiated tumor cells or by surgical excision of a growing tumor mass (15, 16). This inherent immunogenicity markedly complicates the assessment of the immunostimulatory properties of therapeutic manipulations. To account for these problems, we induced subcutaneous tumors by injection of $5 \times 10^6$ B78-D14 cells and subjected these to cryotherapy, a combination of recombinant IL2 with the antibody ch14.18 or the ch14.18-IL2 fusion protein. All therapies were started 14 d after tumor cell inoculation. Both the combination of IL2 with the antibody, as well as the fusion protein were administered i.v. over a period of seven days, once a day, in equivalent amounts. Complete regression of tumors was only observed in those animals that received ch14.18-IL2 with an incidence of $\sim 50\%$. Remaining tumors were surgically removed four weeks after initial tumor induction. The partial cryotherapeutic removal of the subcutaneous tumors was chosen as one of the controls, since the event of tumor cell destruction may result in an immunizing effect (17). Thus, antigens from destroyed cells may be accessible for uptake and processing by antigen presenting cells (18). However, neither the growth of the subcutaneous tumor itself, nor the presence of tumors altered by cryotherapy or injection of IL2 in combination with ch14.18 caused protection to subsequent challenge with B78-D14 melanoma cells. In contrast, therapy with the tumor-specific fusion protein ch14.18-IL2 completely inhibited the growth of tumors in more than half of the animals (Table I). Time course experiments demonstrated that this protective immunity is long-lived, as the same percentage of animals is effectively protected against a challenge with B78-D14 cells over a period of 20 wk after the initial tumor cell inoculation (Table I).

The specificity of this protective immune response was evaluated. Mice, that had previously rejected B78-D14 tumors induced by therapy with ch14.18-IL2 fusion proteins, were challenged by i.v. injection of EL-4 thymoma cells. These cells were chosen, since they are not only syngeneic with C57BL/6 mice, but also share expression of the ganglioside GD2 with B78-D14 cells. It should be noted that B78-D14 cells were generated from B16 melanoma cells by double transfection with genes coding for $\beta$-1,4-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase and $\alpha$-2,8-sialyltransferase inducing a constitutive expression of GD2, which served as target antigen for ch14.18-IL2 (12). As shown in Table I, the rejection of B78-D14 melanoma cells did not induce a cross-protection against the EL-4 thymoma cells.

We have previously shown that CD8$^+$ T cells are essential for the eradication of established micrometastases induced by antibody-IL2 fusion proteins (9, 10). To characterize the cells responsible for the protective immunity observed in successfully treated animals, passive lymphocyte transfer studies were performed. Lymphocytes were obtained from spleens of animals which had previously rejected subcutaneous B78-D14 tumors after treatment with ch14.18-IL2. It has been reported that murine antigen-activated/effector T cells or their progeny are characterized by a Ly-6C+/CD44hi phenotype (19, 20). We observed that $\sim 30\%$ of CD8$^+$ T cells used for the transfer experiments indeed expressed this phenotype (data not shown). Transfer of these cells to naive C57BL/6 scid/scid mice 24 h before challenge with B78-D14 cells confers protection to all animals causing either complete (5/8 animals) or partial (3/8 animals) inhibition of tumor growth (Table II). In contrast, transfer of lymphocytes from naive mice or those suffering from an untreated subcutaneous tumor caused no protection.

Enrichment for either CD4$^+$ or CD8$^+$ T cells before passive transfer indicated that CD8$^+$ T cells are sufficient to transmit tumor immunity. A second line of evidence demonstrating CD8$^+$ cells as mediators of this tumor immunity was provided by immunohistologic examination of subcutaneous B78-D14 tumors growing for 18 d in C57BL/6 scid/scid mice. Tumor samples were obtained 24 h after passive transfer of lymphocytes isolated from animals which had previously rejected subcutaneous B78-D14 tumors. After this transfer, CD8$^+$, but no CD4$^+$ cells were detected in the tumor samples (Fig. 1). Interestingly, CD8$^+$ cells could not be detected in the surrounding tissues adjacent to the tumor demonstrating the specificity of the homing of these cells to the tumor site (21).

The induction of a persistent tumor-specific immunity by
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**Figure 1.** Homing of passively transferred CD8$^+$ T cells to subcutaneous tumors. C57BL/6 scid/scid mice were injected s.c. with $5 \times 10^6$ B78-D14 cells. After 18 d, $3 \times 10^7$ lymphocytes obtained from immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice, that had previously rejected B78-D14 tumors after ch14.18-IL2 treatment, were administered i.v. 24 h later, 8-µm sections of these tumors were prepared and subjected to immunostaining with anti-CD8 antibodies. Arrowheads mark the border between tumor and surrounding tissue. A, $\times 80$; B, $\times 200$.**
Table II. Horizontal Transmission of Tumor Immunity by Passive Transfer of Lymphocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Tumor/therapy</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>No. of lung foci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt; 500, &gt; 500, &gt; 500*, &gt; 500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>PBL</td>
<td>&gt; 500, &gt; 500, &gt; 500, &gt; 500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B78-D14 s.c.</td>
<td>PBL</td>
<td>0, 0, 2, 45</td>
<td>150, 200, &gt; 500, &gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch14.18-IL2</td>
<td>PBL</td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 2</td>
<td>150, 200, &gt; 500, &gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0, 0, 0, 87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Subcutaneous tumors were induced by injection of 5 × 10⁶ B78-D14 cells into C57BL/6 mice which served subsequently as donors for lymphocyte transfer. Treatment of these animals with daily intravenous injections of 8 μg ch14.18-IL2 fusion protein for seven consecutive days was started on day 14. Remaining subcutaneous tumors were excised after 4 wk. 2 Donor were sacrificed 6 wk after tumor induction and lymphocytes were obtained from pooled spleen cell suspensions. Lymphocyte subpopulations were isolated by panning in T-25 flasks coated with covalently immobilized antibodies against CD4 or CD8. Either 3 × 10⁶ total lymphocytes; 1 × 10⁶ CD4+ or 1 × 10⁶ CD8+ cells were transferred to C57BL/6 scid/scid mice by i.v. injection 24 h before i.v. challenge with 2.5 × 10⁶ B78-D14 cells. 3 Animals were killed 28 d after challenge and evaluated for metastatic disease. Animals that died before that date are marked with an asterisk.
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