Prevention of experimental myasthenia gravis by nasal administration of synthetic acetylcholine receptor T epitope sequences.
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T cell tolerization prevents and improves T cell-mediated experimental autoimmune diseases. We investigated here whether similar approaches could be used for antibody (Ab)-mediated autoimmune diseases. Myasthenia gravis, caused by IgG Ab against muscle acetylcholine receptor (AChR), is perhaps the best characterized of them. We used an animal model, experimental myasthenia gravis induced in C57Bl/6 mice by immunization with Torpedo acetylcholine receptor (TACHR), to demonstrate that nasal administration of synthetic sequences of the TACHR alpha-subunit-forming epitopes recognized by anti-TACHR CD4+ T helper cells (residues alpha150-169, alpha181-200, and alpha360-378), given before and during immunization with TACHR, causes decreased CD4+ responsiveness to those epitopes and to TACHR, reduced synthesis of anti-TACHR Ab, and prevented experimental myasthenia gravis. These effects were not induced by nasal administration of synthetic epitopes of diphtheria toxin. Secretion of IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10 by spleen T cells from TACHR immunized mice, in response to challenge with TACHR in vitro, indicated that in sham-tolerized mice only Th1 cells responded to TACHR, while peptide-treated mice had also an AChR-specific Th2 response. The TACHR peptide treatment induced also in vitro anergy to the TACHR of the spleen T cells, which was reversed by IL-2.
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T cell tolerization prevents and improves T cell–mediated experimental autoimmune diseases. We investigated here whether similar approaches could be used for antibody (Ab)-mediated autoimmune diseases. Myasthenia gravis, caused by IgG Ab against muscle acetylcholine receptor (AChR), is perhaps the best characterized of them. We used an animal model, experimental myasthenia gravis induced in C57Bl/6 mice by immunization with Torpedo acetylcholine receptor (TACHR), to demonstrate that nasal administration of synthetic sequences of the TACHR α-subunit–forming epitopes recognized by anti–TACHR CD4⁺ T helper cells (residues α150–169, α181–200, and α360–378), given before and during immunization with TACHR, causes decreased CD4⁺ responsiveness to those epitopes and to TACHR, reduced synthesis of anti-TACHR Ab, and prevented experimental myasthenia gravis. These effects were not induced by nasal administration of synthetic epitopes of diphtheria toxin.

Secretion of IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10 by spleen T cells from TACHR immunized mice, in response to challenge with TACHR in vitro, indicated that in sham-tolerized mice only Th1 cells responded to TACHR, while peptide-treated mice had also an AChR-specific Th2 response. The TACHR peptide treatment induced also in vitro anergy to the TACHR of Th1 cells from rats that had inhaled MBP transferred protection (15–17). Aerosol administration of myelin basic protein (MBP) to MBP-immunized rats that had developed relapsing EAE decreased the intensity of the immune response to MBP and the severity of the attacks (6). Spleen T cells from rats that had inhaled MBP transferred protection to naive animals (6).

Myasthenia gravis (MG) is caused by an autoimmune response to the muscle acetylcholine receptor (AChR), with sensitization of CD4⁺ cells and production of high affinity IgG (18). EMG is induced in rodents and rabbits by immunization with purified AChR from the electric organ of Torpedo fish (TACHR). This causes sensitization of CD4⁺ and B cells, and production of high affinity IgG Ab which cross react with mouse muscle AChR and cause myasthenic symptoms (18). Although mice are much less prone to EMG than Lewis rats

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: Ab, antibody; AChR, acetylcholine receptor; Ag, antigen; αbTX, α-bungarotoxin; DTX, diphteria toxin; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; EMG, experimental myasthenia gravis; MG, myasthenia gravis; MBP, myelin basic protein; S.I., stimulation indexes; TACHR, Torpedo acetylcholine receptor.
and rabbits, C57BI/6 (B6) mice are susceptible to EMG (18). Their anti-TAChR CD4+ T cells recognize primarily epitopes within residues 146–169, 181–200, and 360–378 of the TAChR α subunit—the sequence α146–169 is the most immunogenic (18). Universal, immunodominant CD4+ epitopes exist on the AChR, recognized in all MG patients by CD4+ T cells able to drive the synthesis of pathogenic anti-AChR Ab (18). Thus, to demonstrate that nasal administration of immunodominant TAChR CD4+ epitopes affects the anti-TAChR response and the appearance of EMG, could pave the way for development of similar treatments for human MG. In these studies, we investigated the effect on EMG susceptibility and on the T cell and Ab responses to TAChR, of nasal administration to B6 mice of synthetic CD4+ epitope sequences of the TAChR α subunit—Ta150–169, Ta181–200, and Ta360–378.

Methods

Peptide synthesis and characterization. We synthesized (19) and used three peptides, 19–20 residue long, corresponding to residues 150–169, 181–200, and 360–378 of the TAChR α subunit. The peptide codes include To for TAChR α subunit and two numbers, indicating the position on the α subunit sequence of the first and last residues of the peptide. Also, we used three 20-residue peptides, synthesized with the same approach, and corresponding to the sequence regions 271–290, 302–321, and 431–450 of diphtheria toxin (DTX), that previous studies have demonstrated to be highly and universally immunogenic for human CD4+ T cells (reference 20; Diethelm-Okita, Okita, and Conti-Fine, unpublished observations). We reported in detail previously the characterization of all those peptides (20–21).

Purification of TAChR. We purified TAChR from Torpedo californica electric organ as alkali-stripped TAChR-rich membrane fragments, characterized as we described previously (21). The TAChR concentration was determined as α-bungarotoxin (αBTX) binding sites (22), the protein concentration by the Lowry et al. assay (23). The TAChR preparations we used contained 3.8–5.8 nmol of αBTX binding sites per mg protein. The protein composition was assessed by SDS-PAGE (24) that consistently showed, in the preparations we used, only four TAChR subunits as the main protein bands. Occasional minor bands have low mol wt and are proteolytic products of the TAChR subunits (21). For use in cell cultures, we diluted the TAChR-rich membrane fragments in RPMI 1640 as needed, and sterilized them by UV irradiation. For immunization, we solubilized them in 1% Triton X-100 (21), diluted them to 0.5 mg/ml in PBS, and stored them at −80°C.

Mice and induction of tolerance. B6 mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and housed at the animal facility of the University of Minnesota. After light anesthesia by i.m. injection of Ketaset (100 mg/kg; American Home Products Corp., Fort Dodge, IA), the mice received a total of 25 μl of PBS containing 50 μg of peptide Ta150–169 into both nostrils, either alone or pooled with equimolar amounts of peptides Ta180–200 and Ta360–378 (referred to as peptide pool or α pool). The dose we used was based on the results of a pilot experiment, in which we used increasing amounts of peptide α150–169 (50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 μg, respectively). The lowest dose (50 μg) afforded a satisfactory level of protection, and we used that dose for further experiments. We administered the tolerogen as a solution instilled into the nostrils, instead of an aerosol, because it allowed accurate administration of defined amounts of solutions. Nasal delivery of either aerosol or liquid Ag solutions has similar efficacy in suppressing the effects of subsequent immunizations (6–14).

We used two nasal treatment schedules, to which we refer as protocols A and B. In protocol A, peptides or peptide-free PBS were administered 2 wk before the first TAChR immunization, and then three more times, on the same day of the three immunizations with TAChR (at 1 mo interval, see below). In protocol B, peptides or peptide-free PBS were administered weekly, starting two weeks before beginning of the immunization with TAChR, for a total of 14 treatments (2 before and 12 during TAChR immunization).

Control mice received 25 μl of peptide-free PBS, or a pool of the three synthetic DTX peptides in PBS.

Immunizations. 8–10-wk-old mice were immunized by subcutaneous injections, along the back and at the base of the tail, of solubilized TAChR (50 μg), or peptide To150–169 (100 μg), or peptide pool (100 μg of each peptide). The mice were boosted twice at 4-wk intervals with the same amount of Ag. The Ag solutions (in 100 μl PBS) were emulsified with an equal volume of complete Freund's adjuvant (FA) for the first injection, of incomplete FA for the boosts. Control mice were injected with PBS emulsified in the appropriate adjuvant.

Verification of the diffusion of nasally administered solutions into the mouse respiratory tract. We investigated which parts of the mouse respiratory system came in contact with solutions given nasally, using a solution of ethidium bromide. Ethidium bromide is a good marker because it is absorbed through the mucosal lining of the respiratory tract and it is brightly fluorescent under UV light. Two mice were anesthetized and 25 μl of a 4% ethidium bromide solution in PBS was instilled into the nostrils, as described above. 10–15 min later the animals were killed by cervical dislocation. Their nasal cavities, larynx, trachea, bronchi, and lungs were dissected, washed in PBS and examined under UV light for ethidium bromide staining.

Evaluation of the clinical symptoms of EMG. EMG symptoms were quantified using a forced exercise by the inverted hang technique, sensitized by administration of a minute amount of pancuronium bromide (0.03 mg/kg i.p.) just before the beginning of the test (25). The mice hung from a grid, and we measured the time it takes for the mouse to release its hold and fall three times (holding time). The test was performed on the day of the first nasal administration, on the day before each immunization, and 7–14 d after the third immunization, just before killing the animal. This test is parametric, and gives a quantitative assessment of the severity of the mouse weakness. To verify the myasthenic nature of the weakness we detected, mice with significant weakness were injected i.p. with the cholinesterase inhibitor edrophonium chloride (Reversol; Organon Inc., West Orange, NJ). Reversol immediately improved the strength of the animals, and alleviated the paralysis of the most severely affected mice. The test was performed blinded, i.e., without knowledge of the treatment that the mouse had received.

The holding time of normal mice was 10.4 ± 2.1 minutes (n = 99). Mice with holding times of 8 min or longer were considered normal, those with holding time < 4 min but < 8 min were considered to have moderate symptoms, and those with holding time < 4 min were considered severely affected. Mice that were paralyzed or had died of respiratory paralysis are represented in the figures as having holding time of zero.

Lymphocyte proliferation assay. 7–10 d after the last immunization, spleen T cells were purified from individual mice (21). Irradiated (3,000 rad) spleen cells from nonimmunized mice were diluted as needed in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FCS (GIBCO BRL), 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM Heps, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 μM penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (culture medium) and used as Ag presenting cells. The spleen T cells (1 × 10^3 ml in culture medium, 100 μl per well) were seeded in triplicate in 96 flat-bottom well plates containing 100 μl of 5 × 10^5/ml Ag presenting cells. One of the following Ag was added: 10 μg/ml PHA (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO); 5 or 10 μg/ml TAChR; 5 or 10 μg/ml of the individual epitope peptides. Controls were triplicate wells containing T and antigen presenting cells, without any Ag. After 4 d, the cells were labeled for 16 h with [3H]thymidine (1 μCi per well, specific activity 6.7 Ci/mmol; Dupont-NEN, Boston, MA) and harvested (Titertek; Skatron, Inc., Sterling, VA). [3H]Thymidine incorporation was measured by liquid scintillation. The data are represented as
stimulation indexes (S.I.), namely the ratio between the cpm obtained for a culture in the presence of a given stimulus, and the average cpm obtained for the unstimulated cultures (blanks). The blanks obtained for each experiments are indicated in the figure legends.

**Results**

**Distribution in the respiratory tract of solutions administrated nasally.** The mouse nostrils, larynx, and trachea were brightly stained by ethidium bromide administered with the same procedure as the peptide solutions. The staining was increasingly weaker in the bronchi, and only weak focal signals were present in the lung parenchyma (data not shown).

- **T cells from mice treated nasally and immunized with TACRh peptides do not respond in vitro to the peptides**, or to TACRh. To assess the effect of the nasal treatment with synthetic TACRh peptides on the ability of CD4+ cells to become sensitized to the same peptides, after they were administered as subcutaneous immunizing injections in adjuvant, we treated nasally three groups of mice with peptide Te150–169 or the α pool (50 μg/pool), or peptide-free PBS, after protocol A. We immunized the mice three times with the peptide(s) used for the tolerization procedure, and 7–10 d after the last immunization we tested the spleen T cells of two mice tolerized with peptide Te150–169, four mice tolerized with the peptide pool and two sham-tolerized mice, for their proliferative response in vitro to the immunizing peptides and to the TACRh.

- **The results we obtained within each group were highly consistent.** Fig. 1 reports the results obtained with one mouse from each group. The T cells of sham-tolerized mice had a good proliferative response in vitro to the immunizing peptide(s) and to TACRh, indicating that they recognize epitopes similar to those originating from TACRh processing (25), while the T cells of peptide-tolerized mice did not respond to the immunizing peptide(s) or to TACRh.

**Nasal administration of synthetic AChR epitopes prevents appearance of EMG symptoms.** Fig. 2 summarizes the results we obtained testing the strength of mice treated nasally with the peptide epitopes, and immunized with TACRh. We studied two groups of mice, treated with the TACRh peptide(s) as indicated or sham-tolerized (PBS), using the protocol A (A) or protocol B (B). For each group we also report the results obtained for the same mice before TACRh immunization (Naive). We report here the results obtained 8 or 10 wk after beginning of the immunization (which were consistent), when we detected the maximum frequency of EMG symptoms.

In agreement with previous studies, that found variable EMG frequency (20–70%) in TACRh immunized B6 mice (for review see reference 18), the frequency of EMG in the sham-tolerized groups varied. In a first pilot experiment (data not shown), 17 (89%) of 19 mice developed EMG. In the two experiments reported in Fig. 2, all 5 sham-tolerized mice, and 5 of the 10 sham-tolerized mice had EMG symptoms, respectively.

When the tolerizing peptides were administered after protocol A, 5 of the 12 mice (42%) tolerized with peptide Te150–169, and three of the eight mice (37%) treated with the α pool after protocol A developed EMG, as compared with 100% of the mice sham-tolerized in parallel (Fig. 2 A).

When the tolerizing peptides were administered after protocol B, none of the mice that received nasal administration of peptide Te150–169 had detectable weaknesses, and one mouse in the group treated with the peptide pool had at 10 wk a holding time barely below 8 min; 50% of the sham-tolerized mice had EMG weakness (Fig. 2 B).

Fig. 2 reports also the average holding time±SD of the groups of mice used in these experiments. In both experiments mice tolerized to peptide Te150–169 and to the α pool had significantly longer holding time than the sham-tolerized mice.

**Reduced T cell response to the sequence Te150–169 and to**

---
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were slightly lower than those of the sham-tolerized group, but given the scattering of the data, the difference was significant only for the mice tolerated to the α pool. All groups of mice treated nasally with TAChr peptides had lower proliferative responses to the TACrh than the control mice sham-tolerized in parallel, but the extent of the reduction varied in the different groups. The particular groups of peptide-tolerized mice reported in Fig. 3 is representative of those that had the least reduction in proliferative response to TACrh. In most other groups, the reduction was much more substantial, and some group of α pool-tolerized mice had barely detectable or no proliferative responses to TACrh (e.g., see Figs. 5 B and 6).

The T cells of most sham-tolerized mice responded to peptide Tα150–169 but to a much lesser extent than to TACrh, because the anti-TACrh CD4+ T cells of B6 mice recognize several epitopes on sequence regions other than Tα150–169 (21). The T cells of both peptide-treated groups responded to Tα150–169 significantly less than the sham-treated mice; several mice did not respond to this epitope sequence (S.I. < 1.5).

Peptides Tα181–200 and Tα360–378, that are much less immunogenic for CD4+ cell sensitization than Tα150–169 (23), were recognized poorly even by the spleen T cells of sham-tolerized mice. Previous reports demonstrated that the T cell response of B6 mice to those epitope sequences can be detected only when using purified CD4+ cells instead of total spleen T cells, as we did here (21). The response to peptides Tα181–200 and Tα360–378 of the α pool–tolerized mice was the same as that of the control mice. Thus, the reduced T cell recognition of the TACrh molecule of the mice tolerated with the peptide pool is at least partially due to a reduced response to epitopes formed by the sequence Tα150–169.

The extent of the proliferative response to TACrh, Tα150–169, and Tα180–200 of the sham-tolerized mice correlated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tolerized with</th>
<th>PBS</th>
<th>Tα-150–169</th>
<th>α pool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holding time (min.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Nasal administration of synthetic TACrh CD4+ epitope peptides protects from EMG. Peptide Tα150–169 or α pool or peptide-free PBS were administered nasally twice before immunization with TACrh, and at different time intervals during the course of the immunization (A, monthly; B, weekly). Three immunizations with 50 μg of TACrh, 1 mo apart, were also administered. We report the muscle strength of the mice, measured as holding time using the curare sensitized hanging test described in the text, after the third TACrh injection. We considered normal those mice having a holding time of 8 min or more, moderately sick those with holding time between 4 and 8 min, and severely sick those with holding time of < 4 min. The 4 and 8 min levels are indicated by dashed horizontal lines. The panels labeled Naive report the values obtained for the mice used in these experiments before immunization with TACrh. The other plots reporting the results obtained for the mice sham-tolerized with PBS or tolerated with peptide Tα150–169 or with a pool, as indicated above the plots. The average holding times ± SD of the different groups are indicated, and the level of significance of the difference as compared with the sham-tolerized group (***P < 0.002; *P < 0.02). See text for experimental details.

Figure 3. Spleen T cells from mice treated nasally with synthetic TACrh T epitope sequences and immunized with TACrh, respond minimally to peptide Tα150–169 and respond to the TACrh to a lesser extent than the T cells from sham-tolerized controls. Mice received weekly nasal administrations of peptide-free PBS (circles), or Tα150–169 (squares), or α pool (triangles) as indicated below each plot, and were immunized three times with TACrh. The spleen T cells of individual mice were tested in proliferation assays with TACrh or the individual peptides Tα150–169, Tα181–200, and Tα360–378, as indicated above each panel. The data are average S.I. ± SD of triplicate cultures. The cpm in the absence of any stimulation were 190 ± 88. The proliferative responses of mice that had EMG are indicated with black circles. The average responses of the different groups, and the level of significance of the difference between peptide-tolerized and sham-tolerized mice are reported (*) P < 0.03; **P < 0.01. See text for experimental details.
loosely with the presence of EMG symptoms: the three mice with EMG symptoms were among those with the highest S.I. Nasal treatment with AChR peptides causes reduced synthesis of anti-TAChR Ab. We measured the serum anti-TAChR Ab concentration of individual mice tolerized with peptide Tα150–169 or with the α pool or sham-tolerized 4, 8, and 10 wk after the beginning of the immunization with TαChR (Fig. 4). Mice treated with Tα150–169 or the α pool had significantly lower concentrations of anti-TAChR Ab than the sham-treated (PBS) group as early as 4 wk after the first TαChR immunization, although they developed substantial concentrations of anti-TAChR Ab (at 10 wk 5.5±1.5 μM and 4.3±1.6 μM versus 7.2±1.8 μM in the sham-tolerized group). The anti-TAChR Ab concentration of individual sham-tolerized mice correlated loosely with the presence of EMG symptoms: the three mice with EMG symptoms were indicated by black circles. The average Ab concentrations of the different groups and the level of significance of the difference between peptide-tolerized and sham-tolerized mice are indicated. See text for experimental details.

Nasal administration of synthetic DTX peptides does not affect the anti-AChR T and antibody responses or development of EMG. To test the specificity of the effects observed after nasal administration of TαChR epitope peptides, we tested the effects on the anti-TAChR response and appearance of EMG of nasal administration of three DTX peptides, highly immunogenic for human CD4+ cells (20), of the same length and synthesized with the same procedure as the TαChR epitope sequences. The peptides were administered following protocol B, before and simultaneously with three immunizations with TαChR. At the same time, two other groups of mice were sham-tolerized with PBS or tolerated with the α pool. None of the α pool-treated mice developed EMG, while the DTX peptide– and PBS-treated mice developed EMG with similar frequency (~40%) (Fig. 5A and data not shown). Mice treated nasally with DTX peptide or PBS developed similar serum anti-AChR Ab concentrations, higher than those of the AChR peptide-tolerized mice (data not shown). After the third TαChR immunization, the spleen T cells of four mice from each group were pooled and tested for the proliferative response in vitro to TαChR. The spleen T cells from DTX peptide-treated mice responded well to TαChR, while the responses of spleen T cells of the α pool treated mice were consistently very low (Fig. 5B).

The reduction of the in vitro response to TαChR of spleen T cells from AChR peptides tolerized mice is reversed by IL-2. Anergy of Ag-specific CD4+ T cells is a possible mechanism of T cell tolerization. A test of T cell anergy is reversal of the nonresponsiveness in vitro to the Ag, by treatment of the T cells in vitro with IL-2 before Ag testing (26). Two groups of four mice each were treated nasally with PBS or with α pool after protocol B. After the third TαChR injection, the spleen T cells of the mice of each group were pooled and cultured with or without IL-2 as described in Methods. After the incubation, the cultures were removed and the spleen T cells thus treated were tested in proliferation assay for their responsiveness to the TαChR. For each condition and T cells population tested, we set up six independent cultures. Fig. 6 reports the average proliferation (measured as [3H]thymidine incorporation) of the sextuplicate cultures, set up with spleen T cells from α pool–tolerized or sham-tolerized mice, pretreated with or without IL-2, and cultivated in the absence of any stimulus, or in the presence of different concentrations of TαChR, as indicated. In the absence of IL-2 pretreatment, the spleen cells from α pool–tolerized mice responded to TαChR minimally, while those from sham-tolerized mice had a clear response. The IL-2 pretreatment increased to a small and nonsignificant extent the basal rate of cell proliferation of the T cells; it increased significantly (P > 0.001) the in vitro response to the TαChR of T cells from the sham-tolerized mice, and reversed the nonresponsiveness to the TαChR of the T cells from the α pool–tolerized mice. After IL-2 treatment, their spleen T cells responded to the TαChR to an extent comparable to that observed for IL-2 pretreated spleen T cells from the sham-tolerized mice (Fig. 6). The difference in [3H]thymidine incorporation induced by the presence of TαChR in the IL-2 pretreated T cells from the α pool–tolerized mice, as compared with that

![Figure 4](image-url) Figure 4. Mice treated nasally with TαChR peptides have less serum anti-TαChR Ab than sham-tolerized mice. Concentration of anti-TAChR Ab in the sera of individual mice tolerized by weekly inhalations (protocol B) of peptide Tα150–169 (squares) or peptide pool (triangles) or sham-tolerized with peptide-free PBS (circles), and immunized three times with TαChR, measured 4, 8, and 10 wk after the first TαChR immunization, as indicated above the plots. The Ab concentration is expressed as μM precipitated [125I]αBTX binding sites. Mice that presented EMG symptoms are indicated by black circles. The average Ab concentrations of the different groups and the level of significance of the difference between peptide-tolerized and sham-tolerized mice are indicated. See text for experimental details.

![Figure 5](image-url) Figure 5. Nasal administration of synthetic DTX peptides does not affect the development of EMG or the anti-AChR T cell response. (A) Muscle strength of individual mice, treated nasally with α pool or DTX peptides, as indicated above the plots, measured after the third TαChR injection as holding time using the curare sensitized hanging test. The 4 and 8 min levels are indicated by dashed horizontal lines. (B) Proliferative response to TαChR (5 and 10 μg, as indicated) of triplicate cultures of pooled spleen T cells of four mice from each group, after the third TαChR immunization (white columns, α pool–tolerized mice; black columns, DTX peptide–treated mice). The columns represent average S.I.±SD of triplicate cultures. The cpm in the absence of any stimulation were 228±29 for the DTX peptide–tolerized mice, and 190±17 for the α pool-tolerized mice. See text for experimental details.
of the same cell population non-pretreated with IL-2 was highly significant (P < 0.00003).

Nasal treatment with AChR peptides stimulates AChR-specific Th2 cells. Stimulation of modulatory Th2 cells is another possible mechanism of peripheral tolerance. To test this possibility we investigated the secretion of IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10 in response to challenge with TACrR, as representative cytokine for the Th1 (IL-2) and the Th2 (IL-4 and IL-10) subset. We tested the same mice treated nasally with PBS or with α pool after protocol B, that were used for the experiments on the effect of IL-2 treatment in vitro on the anti-TACrR responsiveness of spleen T cells, described above. After the third TACrR injection the spleen T cells of four mice of each group were pooled. For each of the pooled populations (sham-tolerized and α pool–tolerized) we set up sets of three (for IL-2 and IL-10) or two (for IL-4) independent cultures, that were cultivated with TACrR (10 μg/ml). Identical sets of parallel cultures cultivated without any stimuli served as controls for basal secretions of the interleukins studied. The concentration of IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10 was measured in the supernatants of three (for IL-2 and IL-10) or two (for IL-4) independent cultures, that were cultivated with TACrR (10 μg/ml). Identical sets of parallel cultures cultivated without any stimuli served as controls for basal secretions of the interleukins studied. The amount of IL-2 in the media was maximal 24 h after AChR addition, that of IL-4 and IL-10 at 48 h (data not shown). The averages of the data obtained in the two independent assays of the supernatants of three (for IL-2 and IL-10) or two (for IL-4) independent cultures of pooled spleen T cells exposed to TACrR for 24 h for IL-2 and for 48 h for IL-4 and IL-10, as compared with the concentration of the same interleukins in the supernatants of the parallel control cultures cultivated without antigenic stimuli, are reported in Fig. 7. The presence of TACrR induced the same modest but significant increase of IL-2 secretion in the α pool– and sham-tolerized groups. The presence of TACrR caused a modest increase in the IL-4 secretion, and no increase in the IL-10 secretion by the T cells from the sham-tolerized mice, while it caused a large increase in the secretion of both those interleukins in the α pool-tolerized group, that was significantly higher than the secretion of the same interleukins by the TACrR-stimulated T cells of the sham-tolerized mice (Fig. 7).

Discussion

We demonstrate here that nasal administration of a 20 residue TACrR synthetic peptide, Tα150–169, that forms an immunodominant epitope recognized by pathogenic CD4+ cells, effectively protected B6 mice from induction of EMG caused by immunization with TACrR. The treatment was effective when administered before and during immunization with TACrR: monthly or weekly administrations had comparable effects. This suggests that nasal administration did not cause further priming of pathogenic anti-AChR CD4+ T cells. Protection from EMG was associated with reduced T cell reactivity in vitro to the TACrR, reduced levels of anti-TACrR Ab in the blood, and minimal or absent proliferative response of spleen T cells to the immunodominant peptide Tα150–169. These effects were Ag-specific, since they could not be reproduced by nasal administration of comparable peptide sequences of DTX.

Although nasal administration of peptides Tα181–200 and Tα360–378 affected subsequent sensitization of T cells to all those sequences (Fig. 1), the protective effects on EMG induction observed here are likely due to tolerization of CD4+ cells that recognize epitopes within the sequence Tα150–169, because nasal administration of peptide Tα150–169 alone was as
effective as administration of the α pool in protecting from EMG and reducing the T and B cell responses to TACHR.

Since the AChR destruction and dysfunction that results in EMG symptoms is caused by Ab binding, it is likely that the altered anti-TACHR CD4+ reactivity after nasal tolerization results in protection from EMG because of a change in the anti-AChR Ab repertoire, because of preferential cooperation of different pairs of CD4+ helper T cells and B cells (27–29). In indirect support of this possibility, we found that mice tolerated with TACHR peptides, while protected from EMG, developed substantial amounts of anti-AChR Ab, but significantly lower than those observed for the mice sham-tolerized with peptide-free PBS (Fig. 4), or treated with DTX peptides. The pathogenic Ab missing in the TACHR peptide-tolerized mice are likely synthesized with the help of CD4+ cells recognizing epitopes within the sequence Tx150–169. An important pathogenic role in mouse EMG of CD4+ cells recognizing epitopes within the sequence is supported by several findings: (a) neonatal tolerization to this sequence region reduces susceptibility to EMG (30 and Karachunski, P., and B.M. Conti-Fine, unpublished observation); (b) B6 mice primed with AChR and boosted with a synthetic sequence α146–162 developed EMG while mice boosted with a control peptide did not (31); and (c) in congenic B6 strains carrying the bm12 mutation of the I-A molecule, the ability by CD4+ cells to recognize this sequence correlates with propensity to EMG (25, 32–33). That CD4+ cells sensitized to a single dominant AChR epitope may drive the synthesis of pathogenic anti-AChR Ab has been shown by transfer experiments for both rat (34) and human (35) CD4+ lines against defined AChR epitopes.

Several mechanisms are involved in oral tolerance, including: anergy or deletion by apoptosis of Ag specific T cells, and induction of Ag specific regulatory CD4+ Th2 cells (36–37). In EAE, the same CD4+ precursors can develop into regulatory Th2 cells if the Ag is administered orally, or encephalitogenic Th1 cells if the Ag is administered subcutaneously in adjuvants (38). Ag-specific regulatory CD4+ cells may exert a nonspecific downregulating activity through secretion of cytokine, such as IL-4, IL-10, and TGF-β, that act on Th1 cells in topographic proximity, irrespective of their Ag specificity (Ag driven bystander suppression) (36). Oral administration of an Ag can induce tolerance by different mechanisms, depending upon the dose of Ag that was fed (39–40). Low doses of Ag generate Th2 regulatory cells, whereas high doses induce anergy (39–40) and/or apoptosis of Ag-reactive Th1 and Th2 cells (37). Given the functional similarity of the lymphoid tissues associated with the respiratory and the gastrointestinal systems, similar mechanisms are likely involved in nasal tolerance (7, 10). Studies on the protecting effect of nasal treatment with TACHR in the rat model of EMG have provided direct evidence for suppression of both Th1 and Th2 responses after nasal administration of the TACHR molecule, that was likely due to TGF-β–secreting cells (15–17).

Both clonal anergy and sensitization of regulatory Th2 cells seem to have occurred in our system (Figs. 6 and 7). High dose clonal deletion by apoptosis is less likely, since the highest doses we used were as effective as the lowest (50 μg, i.e., 20 nmol). This dose compares in weight to those used for low dose oral tolerance (39). However, most Ag used in those studies had higher molecular weight than our peptides, and therefore their molar concentration would have been lower than that used for our peptide tolerization procedures. Epitope-specific anergy induction by nasal treatment with the TACHR peptides is directly supported by the finding that the reduced responsiveness in vitro of T cells to TACHR could be reversed by treatment with IL-2 (Fig. 6).

Anergy or deletion of the T cells recognizing epitopes within the sequence Tx150–169 might suffice to protect from EMG, because, as discussed above, in B6 mice the CD4+ cells recognize epitopes within this sequence region are uniquely pathogenic. Also, the CD4+ response of B6 mice hyperimmunized with TACHR, which have EMG with high frequency, focuses almost exclusively on the sequence Tx150–169, rather than spreading to other TACHR epitopes (41). Thus, sensitization of CD4+ cells to epitopes within this sequence suffices to, and is prominent for, driving a pathogenic anti-TACHR Ab response. This is different from EAE, where progression of the disease correlates with spreading of the CD4+ response to new epitopes within MBP and other myelin components (42–43).

Nasal administration of TACHR peptides sensitizes ACHR-specific Th2 cells, which were not detectable after TACHR immunization in mice sham-tolerized or tolerated to DTX peptides (Fig. 7). On the other hand, TACHR immunization per se appeared to sensitize Th1 cells only (Fig. 7). Also in human MG Th1 cells are likely involved in the pathogenic anti-AChR response (44). In EAE, Th1 cells are the direct effectors of demyelination, and their anergy or down regulation by the Th2 subset affects their pathogenic action directly, and has therapeutic effects (45). On the other hand, in EMG the protective effects of nasal administration of TACHR are indirect, and the procedures described here will not have a therapeutic effect when the tolerogenic peptides are administered only after establishment of the pathogenic anti-TACHR Ab response, given the long Ab life and the long life span of activated B cells (46) relative to the time frame of the experiments we describe here.

The use of T cell epitope peptides instead of the whole Ag avoids the risk that the nasally administered Ag will prime synthesis of pathogenic Ab—even if nasal administration of peptides causes production of anti-peptide Ab, they are extremely unlikely to cross react with the cognate native Ag (12). That immunization with short TACHR peptides does not result in appearance of EMG has been shown in several studies (for review see reference 18). Also, short synthetic peptides are easily made, while scarce human auto-Ag cannot be purified from tissues and, if they are complex proteins, their production as recombinant protein might be difficult and labor intensive.

Nasal tolerization using the approach described here requires knowledge of the autoAg sequences forming CD4+ epitopes; this might be difficult to do for human patients. This problem may not apply to MG because the CD4+ cells of most MG patients recognize a limited number of epitope sequences of the human AChR (for review see reference 18). Those sequence regions are recognized with high precursor frequency (44), and should therefore be considered both immunodominant and universal CD4+ epitopes. They would be ideal candidates for application to human MG of the approach described here. The presence on a protein Ag of a few immunodominant, universal epitope sequences for sensitization of human CD4+ cells occurs also for the normal responses to exogenous Ag, like tetanus and diphtheria toxoid (20, 45–49).

Although the procedure described here affects the anti-AChR Ab–secreting B cells indirectly, and it does not have immediate therapeutic effects on established EMG, it could be a
viable candidate for MG management, if associated to plasma- pheresis and azathioprine, which eliminate the existing anti- AChR Ab and affect the activated B cells. The combined effects of such a two-pronged approach might result in a long- lasting downregulation of the anti-AChR response, in both the CD4+ and the B cell compartments.
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