Rasmussen’s encephalitis (RE) is a neuroinflammatory disease that typically affects only one hemisphere of the brain, resulting in severe seizures. Sixty years after the disease was first described, the preferred and best treatment option for RE is grotesque and involves removing a hemisphere of the brain (hemispherectomy); therefore, a better understanding of this seizure disorder may provide additional, less invasive therapeutic options. In this issue of the *JCI*, Carmant and colleagues have developed an animal model of this focal seizure disorder. The model provides experimental insights into the pathogenesis of RE and potential new treatments for this disease.
Rasmussen’s encephalitis: history of a rare disease

In 1958, Theodore Rasmussen, a neurosurgeon at the Montreal Neurologic Institute, along with neuropathologist Jerzy Olszewski and neurologist Donald Lloyd-Smith, described three pediatric patients on whom Rasmussen had operated to stop their intractable focal epilepsy (1). The specimens obtained at surgery indicated that the pathology was largely confined to one hemisphere of the brain. The neuropathology was remarkable for the presence of perivascular infiltrations of lymphocytes that were largely restricted to one hemisphere of the brain and patchy areas of neuronal death with gliosis (1). Rasmussen’s encephalitis (RE) is rare, presents mostly in children, and is estimated to affect approximately one to two individuals per 10,000,000, according to studies from the United Kingdom and Germany (2).

RE is notable for the progressive loss of neurologic function that is attributable to pathology in one hemisphere. Clinical presentation may include progressive motor weakness and progressive visual field loss on one side. There is profound language disturbance when the dominant hemisphere is attacked (2–4). Focal motor seizures known as epilepsy partialis continua (EPC) are common. Clinically, EPC appears with focal twitching of the face, arm, fingers, or leg, while the patient remains, in many cases, fully aware cognitively. EPC can continue for hours, sometimes days, with continued twitching of a specific region, often on the face, arm, hand, or leg. At some point in the progression of the disease, usually within a year or so, the neurologic deficits from the pathology in one hemisphere remain fixed and no longer progress. However, there is often a residual seizure disorder (1–4). Currently, there are no remedies available for these seizures, but surgical intervention with wide extirpation of brain tissue on the affected side was pioneered by Rasmussen and colleagues (1–4). Hemispherectomy is still regarded as the best chance for cure, though this procedure comes with a large burden of functional disability (4). Immune suppression with anti-CD20, tacrolimus, intravenous Ig (IVIg), and steroids have been only moderately successful in alleviating RE (1–4).

A mouse model of RE

In this issue, a research team composed of medical scientists from the fields of neuroimmunology, neuropathology, and pediatric immunology collaborated to develop an animal model of RE (5). Carmant and colleagues transferred human peripheral blood lymphoid cells from patients with RE into NOD-Scid IL-2γc-null (NSG) mice (6, 7). Following engraftment of RE-derived lymphocytes, the mice developed severe seizures, and pathology analysis revealed the presence of human T cells in the perivascular cuffs, similar to what is seen in patients with RE. These T cells found in the brain of these mice produced a key inflammatory cytokine, IFN-γ, and a key mediator of cytotoxicity, granzyme B.

The damage in the brains of the RE mouse model was bilateral, involving both hemispheres, a feature that is distinctly different from what is seen in humans with RE. Rodent and human brains are different in at least one major respect: unlike humans, mice do not have a dominant hemisphere. At least in this model, there was no bias in pathology that was restricted to one hemisphere. Whether the bilateral manifestation in the RE mice has anything to do with cerebral dominance is mere conjecture at this point; however, there is evidence (reviewed in ref. 8) that handedness, particularly left handedness, correlates with autoimmunity within families.

It remains unresolved as to why the human disease has pathology limited to one hemisphere. Viral encephalitis often begins with a single focal lesion; however, with the exception of RE, there is little evidence of such widespread damage restricted to a single hemisphere in any other type of viral encephalitis or any other kind of neurodegenerative disease (1–4). Perhaps, the closest approximation to what is observed in RE is the case for herpes simplex virus (HSV) encephalitis, which often starts in one temporal lobe but does not progress to envelop and destroy the whole hemisphere. Post-in-
fectious encephalomyelitis and acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), along with classical animal models, collectively known as experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), all generally involve both hemispheres. One further factor that must be considered in the etiology of RE is that in some patients, there are areas of focal cortical dysplasia and tuberous sclerosis with inflammatory and gliotic pathology. The question of whether these dysplastic areas might serve as a nidus for an inflammatory response has been raised (2). A combination of a viral and dysplastic etiology might also be in play as a possibility.

Another unsolved issue in RE is whether the adaptive immune response underlying T cell– and antibody-based immunity is driven by a particular antigen on neurons. Although there is evidence of adaptive immunity to glutamate receptors (9, 10), immune responses to glutamate receptors are seen in a variety of inflammatory epilepsies. It is now well established that one of the common triggers of epilepsy is an inflammatory response to one or more brain antigens. Molecular mimicry between viruses and components of the CNS is one of the potential drivers of autoimmune inflammation and seizures (11). The known list of antigens associated with immune-mediated epilepsy is large, and commercial tests are now available to detect such immune responses to brain antigens. The evaluation of epilepsy on the pediatric neurology ward now routinely involves a screen from a panel of antibodies obtained from both the serum of peripheral blood and from cerebrospinal fluid. At present, it remains unknown as to whether there is a particular antigen that drives RE and, if so, what antigen mediates an adaptive immune response.

An important feature of this animal model of RE is the potential to test new approaches for therapy (5). Natalizumab, a humanized antibody targeting α4 integrin (12), has been one of the most potent drugs approved for both multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease (12, 13). In the RE model, administration of an antibody against α4 integrin reduced the inflammatory infiltrate when given either prophylactically or after the onset of seizures. Both prophylactic and therapeutic treatments induced a shift in the ratio of human CD4+ to CD8 + T cells infiltrating the brain, and, in particular, reduced the number of granzyme B+ human CD8 + T cells in the brain. Other natalizumab-associated changes included a reduction in human CD4+ cells, a reduction in glial fibrillary acidic protein–expressing (GFAP-expressing) astrocytes, and a reduction in HLA-DR+ cells (5). Video EEG monitoring also showed a reduction in seizures when natalizumab was given prophylactically, though a similar effect was not seen when the antibody was given after seizures were already apparent.

Perivascular cuffs are a prominent feature of neuroinflammation, inflammatory...
different integrin pairing. In inflammatory bowel disease, the heterodimer α4β1 integrin is recognized by a different receptor, MAdCAM-1, which serves as the key homing molecule (16). Macrophages are also key cellular players in neuroinflammation. α5β1 integrin is expressed on macrophages that home to the CNS in EAE (17). α5β1 integrin binds fibronectin (ref. 17 and Figure 1), and treatment of EAE with an antibody against α5β1 integrin reversed clinical paralysis after encephalomyelitis was present and prevented the onset of disease when given prophylactically (17). Such treatments with an antibody against α5β1 integrin might permit the homing of T and B cells to the brain, thus potentially allowing for immune surveillance and reducing the risk of PML (14) in diseases like RE.

Conclusions

The team of medical scientists who developed this intriguing model of RE have now opened an area of research into effective new therapies for this disorder. Half a century after Rasmussen and colleagues described this horrible disease, perhaps at some point hemispherectomy can be retired to the “Museum of Past and Abandoned Therapies.” An example of a therapy in such a theoretical museum might be Halsted’s radical mastectomy to treat breast cancer. As Murkerjee so elegantly described in his monograph, “The Emperor of All Maladies” (18), this disfiguring therapy has now been abandoned. For many diseases, we might look at entrants on display in such a museum with wonder and dread, astonished that such therapeutic interventions were even tried. Every medical and surgical specialty can name some therapies that evidence-based medicine has shown to have actually even worked, yet were still dreadful. No therapy may be more dreadful, however, than removing half a brain to stop a seizure disorder. The words grotesque and dreadful used in this Commentary may not be adequate to describe this massive operation, which actually provides great benefit but at a significant cost. I imagine my colleagues in neurosurgery would agree and would hope that the massive surgical procedure could be replaced by a medical therapy someday, leaving hemispherectomy as a historical display in the “Museum of Abandoned Therapies.”
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