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Introduction
Fusions involving neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinases (NTRKs) were among the first gene translocations described in cancer (1, 2). NTRK1, NTRK2, and NTRK3 (encoding TrkA, TrkB, and TrkC, respectively; hereafter collectively referred to as TRK) are primarily expressed in neuronal tissue. Rearrangements resulting in fusion of the carboxy-terminal kinase domain of TRK and various upstream amino-terminal partners create chimeric proteins with ectopic expression and constitutive kinase activation. TRK fusions are pathognomonic for several rare solid tumor malignancies (3–6) but are present in fewer than 1% of all solid tumors (7–10). Although TRK fusions occur in fewer than 1% of patients (9 of 7,311 patients), they confer responsiveness to TRK inhibition in vitro and in vivo in a patient-derived xenograft and a corresponding AML patient with ETV6-NTRK2 fusion. These data identify that despite their individual rarity, collectively, TRK fusions are present in a wide variety of hematologic malignancies and predict clinically significant therapeutic responses to TRK inhibition.

Rearrangements involving the neurotrophic receptor kinase genes (NTRK1, NTRK2, and NTRK3; hereafter referred to as TRK) produce oncogenic fusions in a wide variety of cancers in adults and children. Although TRK fusions occur in fewer than 1% of all solid tumors, inhibition of TRK results in profound therapeutic responses, resulting in Breakthrough Therapy FDA approval of the TRK inhibitor larotrectinib for adult and pediatric patients with solid tumors, regardless of histology. In contrast to solid tumors, the frequency of TRK fusions and the clinical effects of targeting TRK in hematologic malignancies are unknown. Here, through an evaluation for TRK fusions across more than 7,000 patients with hematologic malignancies, we identified TRK fusions in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), histiocytosis, multiple myeloma, and dendritic cell neoplasms. Although TRK fusions occurred in only 0.1% of patients (8 of 7,311 patients), they conferred responsiveness to TRK inhibition in vitro and in vivo in a patient-derived xenograft and a corresponding AML patient with ETV6-NTRK2 fusion. These data identify that despite their individual rarity, collectively, TRK fusions are present in a wide variety of hematologic malignancies and predict clinically significant therapeutic responses to TRK inhibition.

Results and Discussion
We performed next-generation targeted DNA and RNA sequencing across 7,311 patients with a variety of hematologic malignancies and discovered 8 patients (0.1%) harboring in-frame NTRK1,-2, or -3 fusions that included the full tyrosine kinase domain (Figure 1A and Table 1). TRK fusions occurred equally in males and females and across an age range of younger than 1 to 77 years (Table 1). The denominator (7,311 patients) and demographics for each disease subtype sequenced are listed in Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI120787DS1. Fusions occurred in patients with histiocytic (LMNA-NTRK1, TFG-NTRK1) and dendritic cell (TPR-NTRK1) neoplasms (n = 3/78; 3.8%) as well as ALL (ETV6-NTRK3; n = 1/659; 0.15%) and AML (ETV6-NTRK2, ETV6-NTRK3; n = 2/1201; 0.17%). In addition, we detected 2 multiple myeloma
patients with NTRK3 fusions (UBE2R2-NTRK3 and HNRNPA2B1-NTRK3; n = 2/1859; 0.11%).

Four of the 8 TRK fusions identified here were either previously unreported in the literature or had been reported but not functionally evaluated. To investigate the functional relevance of these alterations, these 4 fusions were cloned and expressed in c-Kit
mouse bone marrow (BM) cells where they resulted in a 1.7- to 2.2-fold expansion in colony formation (Figure 1B) and increased activation of AKT and PLCγ1 (Figure 1C). Despite uniform growth-promoting properties in primary murine BM cells and equivalent levels of TRK protein expression, each TRK fusion displayed distinct transforming and transphosphorylation properties in murine 32D and Ba/F3 hematopoietic cell lines. For example, LMNA-NTRK1, ETV6-NTRK2, and UBE2R2-NTRK3 fusions caused robust cytokine-independent growth of both Ba/F3 and 32D cells, whereas the HNRNPA2B1-NTRK3 fusion did not transform Ba/F3 cells (Figure 1D and Supplemental Figure 1A). Consistent with these different growth properties, each fusion activated or did not activate PI3K-AKT, MAPK, and PLCγ1 signaling to differing degrees (Supplemental Figure 1B). Regardless of potential differences in lineage-dependent transforming capacities, expression of TRK fusions in primary mouse BM or cell lines conferred sensitivity to TRK inhibition (Figure 2, A and B and Supplemental Figure 1C).

The above studies identified a 77-year-old man with a history of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) who had developed refractory secondary AML with a history of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) who had developed refractory secondary AML with ETV6-NTRK2 as well as ETV6-MECOM fusions and a history of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of ETV6-MECOM expression was dramatically reduced in larotrectinib-treated mice (Supplemental Figure 2D) with the residual remaining cells positive only for the co-occurring ETV6-MECOM fusion.

Given the response in the PDX and lack of treatment options, the above-mentioned patient received 100 mg larotrectinib twice daily (provided under FDA expanded access) and attained a partial response (17) as assessed by BM biopsy at day 60 (BM blasts decreased from 54% to 20% with absolute neutrophil count >1,000/μl and platelet count >100,000/μl). A greater than 50% reduction in peripheral blood blasts occurred over 10 weeks coincident with a reduction in the abundance of ETV6-NTRK2 fusion transcripts (Figure 3A), and the patient achieved a partial remission (full clinical parameters shown in Supplemental Table 2). A 10-day treatment interruption due to respiratory distress and temporary intubation (unrelated to larotrectinib) resulted in an increase in circulating blast percentage, which was once again reduced when larotrectinib was reinitiated. Overall, the clinical response to larotrectinib was mirrored by changes in the abundance of the ETV6-NTRK2 fusion (Figure 3, A and B).

After 10 to 12 weeks of treatment, the patient eventually showed signs of clinical relapse and opted for supportive care. To

Table 1. NTRK1, -2, -3 fusions identified across 7,311 patients with hematologic malignancies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Fusion</th>
<th>Breakpoint location</th>
<th>Previously identified (H/S)</th>
<th>Previously functionally evaluated?</th>
<th>Other genetic alterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECD 27 M</td>
<td>LMNA-NTRK1</td>
<td>LMNA: intron 5 NTRK1: exon 11</td>
<td>Yes (H/S)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECD 2 mo M</td>
<td>TFG-NTRK1</td>
<td>TFG: intron 7 NTRK1: intron 11</td>
<td>Yes (S)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDCS 20 M</td>
<td>TPR-NTRK1</td>
<td>TPR: intron 21 NTRK1: intron 9</td>
<td>Yes (H/S)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CDKN2A and CDKN2B loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM 53 F</td>
<td>UBE2R2-NTRK3</td>
<td>UBE2R2: intron 3 NTRK3: intron 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>KRASQ61H, CXXR43523fs, MTO1R2512Y, TRAF3S84, IGH-WW1X fusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM 76 F</td>
<td>HNRNPA2B1-NTRK3</td>
<td>HNRNPA2B1: intron 7 NTRK3: intron 13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-ALL 11 F</td>
<td>ETV6-NTRK3</td>
<td>ETV6: intron 5 NTRK3: intron 14</td>
<td>Yes (H/S)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>JAK2T875N, PTPN11G503A, W1C0350R, CRLF2-P2RY8 fusion, CDKN2A and CDKN2B loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML 54 F</td>
<td>ETV6-NTRK3</td>
<td>ETV6: intron 4 NTRK3: intron 14</td>
<td>Yes (H/S)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>IDH1R132H, CBLQ0367R, DNMT3AR882H, NPM1W288fs, FLT3E59S, Y597insS, CUX1 loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML 77 M</td>
<td>ETV6-NTRK2</td>
<td>ETV6: intron 5 NTRK2: intron 15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CHEK2E457fs, CHEK2R217fs, NFT2Q272Y, DNMT3AW698*, ASXL1V466fs, KRAQ561P, CDKN18 deletion, ETV6-MECOM fusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Previously reported in a case of either hematologic (H) or solid tumor (S) malignancy. AML, acute myeloid leukemia; B-ALL, B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; ECD, Erdheim-Chester disease; IDCS, interdigitating dendritic cell sarcoma; MM, multiple myeloma.
Figure 1. Transforming TRK fusions are present across hematologic malignancies and cause transformation in a cell-type specific manner. (A) Diagram of the TRK fusions identified across 7,311 patients with hematologic malignancy, with the domain of each protein included in the fusion and the nucleotide sequence at the break points shown. The carboxy-terminal kinase domains of TRK proteins are fused in-frame to the amino-terminal fusion partners. (B) Methylcellulose colony numbers formed by murine c-Kit+ bone marrow transduced with the indicated fusion proteins (CFU-GM, colony-forming unit granulocyte-macrophage; CFU-GEMM, colony-forming unit granulocyte, erythrocyte, monocyte, megakaryocyte; and BFU-E, burst-forming unit erythroid). (C) Western blot performed on lysates from cells in B. Blots were stripped and reprobed for total proteins after respective phospho-proteins. (D) Growth of 32D cells in media lacking IL-3 either expressing the indicated fusion protein or transduced with the empty vector (EV) as negative control. Error bars represent mean and SD from triplicate samples. Differences were calculated using a 2-sided Student’s t test and corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method (*P < 0.0125).
Figure 2. TRK fusions confer responsiveness to TRK inhibition in hematopoietic malignancies in vitro and in vivo. (A) Colony numbers derived from c-Kit+ murine bone marrow cells stably expressing the indicated constructs grown in increasing concentrations (0, 25, and 50 nM) of larotrectinib in methylcellulose. (B) Cell viability of IL-3-independent 32D cells expressing TRK fusions following 72 hours of larotrectinib or vehicle treatment. The IC50 is calculated from the slope of the log inhibitor versus response curve. (C) Schematic of creation and testing of larotrectinib in a PDX from a patient with AML with an ETV6-NTRK2 fusion. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of mouse versus human cell subsets (mCD45 versus hCD45) in BM of a PDX after larotrectinib or vehicle treatment. Each row represents a distinct individual mouse xenografted with the same patient sample; all percentages represent percentage of live mouse Ter119−negative (mTer119-negative) cells. (E) Anti-hCD45 immunohistochemical analysis in BM from PDX mice treated with vehicle or larotrectinib for 14 days (top row scale bar, 200 μm; bottom row scale bar, 50 μm). Each column represents a distinct individual mouse xenografted with the same patient sample. Error bars represent mean and SD from triplicate samples. Differences were calculated using a 2-sided Student’s t test and corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method (*P < 0.0125).
evaluate the cause of relapse after larotrectinib therapy, we characterized ETV6-NTRK2 expression across the immunophenotypically distinct AML subpopulations. This analysis revealed that the ETV6-NTRK2 fusion was present in only 1 AML subpopulation of leukemic blasts at baseline (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Flow cytometric analysis of serial BM obtained during larotrectinib treatment revealed elimination of the population bearing the ETV6-NTRK2 fusion (CD33+CD34-CD117-CD11b-CD13-CD64+ cells) with continued presence of the population expressing the ETV6-MECOM fusion (CD33+CD34+CD117+CD11b+CD13+CD64+ cells) (Figure 3C) (Supplemental Figure 2E). Serial targeted RNA sequencing identified reduced ETV6-NTRK2 expression throughout larotrectinib treatment with concomitant increased ETV6-MECOM expression (Figure 3A). No mutations in NTRK2, including mutations associated with resistance to TRK inhibition (18), were detected at any time point, suggesting that clinical progression on larotrectinib was due to an expansion of the TRK fusion–negative AML clone, noted to be present at baseline.

We herein describe that TRK fusions occur across patients with a wide variety of hematologic malignancies and are amenable to TRK inhibition. In addition to prior data identifying TRK fusions in patients with AML (12–14), Ph-like B-ALL (15), and histiocytosis (16), we also document TRK fusions in multiple myeloma and dendritic cell neoplasms. Although the frequency of TRK fusions appears to be rare across a very wide spectrum of leukemias and lymphoid malignancies studied here, the antitumor activity of larotrectinib seen here calls for systematic identification of TRK fusions in the clinical evaluation of hematologic malignancy patients with refractory disease. In the solid tumor setting, immunohistochemistry (IHC) with a pan-TRK antibody has shown potential for TRK fusion detection in certain settings (19). However, TRK IHC has not been studied in hematologic malignancies, where expression of TRK kinases in the absence of gene rearrangements has been described (20, 21). As noted earlier, the efficacy of larotrectinib in TRK fusion–expressing cancer types was very recently demonstrated in a histology- and age-agnostic study of children and adults with solid tumors. In this study, 55 patients with 17 TRK fusion–positive tumor types received larotrectinib with an objective response rate of 80% (11). In the solid tumor experience, occasional on-

---

Figure 3. Response of ETV6-NTRK2 fusion AML to larotrectinib and clinical relapse due to outgrowth of TRK fusion–negative clone. (A) Targeted RNA sequencing of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) during larotrectinib treatment of the patient showing sequencing reads supporting ETV6-NTRK2 (red line) or ETV6-MECOM (blue line). Gray areas denote time when larotrectinib was being administered to the patient. (B) ETV6-NTRK2 expression in PBMCs measured by qRT-PCR (red line) during treatment of the patient as well as absolute number of PB blasts (black line). Error bars represent mean and SD from triplicate samples (error bars for blast percentage in B represent average of 3 consecutive days of blast percentage surrounding this time point). Relative fold expression of ETV6-NTRK2 was defined relative to the last time point. (C) Serial FACS analysis of bone marrow samples before treatment (top 2 rows) and after treatment (bottom 2 rows) with larotrectinib. All cells were gated on live cells and gates with red labels were gated on blasts as well. Changes in the frequency of TRK fusion–positive (turquoise; CD34+CD117- cells in the blast gate) and –negative (red; CD34+CD117+ cells in the blast gate) blasts before and after treatment are shown. Normal monocytes (CD45-CD11b-CD13-CD14+) are shown in purple.
target mechanisms of acquired drug resistance were observed to occur due to drug-resistant mutations in the kinase domain of TRK proteins. By contrast, in this case of the ETV6-NTRK2 expressing AML patient, the TRK fusion clone was suppressed by TRK inhibition, but ultimately the efficacy of TRK inhibition was limited by the subclonal nature of the fusion. Further efforts to correlate the response to TRK inhibition with the clonal nature of TRK fusion expression will thus be important to determining how durable responses will be in hematologic malignancies. In the setting of subclonal TRK fusions, combination strategies employing highly selective inhibitors with other anticancer agents with limited overlapping toxicities will likely be required to achieve complete responses.

Methods

Patient samples. Targeted DNA (>50 ng) and RNA (>300 ng) sequencing was interrogated using the FoundationOne Heme Panel (Foundation Medicine Inc.) (22). The panel analyzes the complete coding DNA sequence of 405 genes, as well as selected introns of 31 genes involved in chromosomal rearrangements. It also interrogates the RNA sequence of 265 genes commonly rearranged into fusions. Although raw sequencing data from the FoundationOne Heme Assay is not available for public accessibility, researchers may contact Foundation Medicine Inc. to discuss or request access (client.services@foundationmedicine.com).

Anchored multiplex PCR for targeted next-generation sequencing of fusions. Detailed methods for multiplex fusion PCR are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Xenotransplantation. Six-week-old NSGS (stock 013062) mice were sublethally irradiated (200 cGy) 24 hours before transplantation followed by direct intrafemoral injection (23). Viably frozen BM MNCs were used for xenografts and engrafted into the maximal number of recipient animals (which was 6 recipient mice total).

Flow cytometry. Detailed methods for flow cytometry and all antibodies utilized are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Histology. Detailed methods for histological analyses and antibodies used for immunohistochemistry are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Western blotting. Detailed methods for Western blotting and all antibodies utilized are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Colony-forming assays and in vitro and in vivo drug studies. Detailed methods for colony-forming assays and in vitro and in vivo drug studies are provided in the Supplemental Materials.
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