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Introduction

Wnts are a family of 19 secreted proteins that play key roles in cell proliferation, cell-cell communication, and cell differentiation and that are essential during embryonic development and in adult tissue homeostasis (1). The binding of Wnts to their receptors and coreceptors results in the regulation of multiple downstream signaling pathways (2). Our knowledge of the specific events and signals regulated by Wnts derives from a variety of genetic, molecular, and biochemical approaches that have generated a rich map of these downstream pathways (3, 4).

The Wnt/β-catenin pathway, also known as canonical WNT signaling, has been intensively studied. In the presence of Wnts, β-catenin is stabilized and translocates to the nucleus, where it drives expression of target genes in a context-specific manner via binding to TCF7L2 and other factors (3, 5, 6). In addition to the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, Wnts regulate signaling through diverse β-catenin–independent noncanonical pathways, such as the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway and the Wnt-dependent stabilization of proteins (Wnt/STOP) pathway, which are less well characterized (7–11).

While the downstream mutations that stabilize β-catenin (e.g., in the adenomatous polyposis coli [APC] gene) clearly cause human cancers, genetic lesions that cause Wnt overexpression have not been found (12). A subset of mutations that block Wnt receptor internalization and confer dependency on Wnt ligands have been identified in a range of carcinomas. These include loss-of-function mutations in RNF43, an E3-ligase, and translocations leading to increased R-spondin levels (13–15). RNF43 mutations (16) and translocations involving RSPO2 and RSPO3 are found in 7% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and 10% of colorectal cancers, respectively (15). Cancers with RNF43 or RSPO3 mutations have a markedly increased abundance of Frizzled receptors on the cell surface and are uniquely Wnt addicted (17, 18).

Wnts are palmitoylated by a membrane-bound O-acyltransferase, porcupine (PORCN). This modification is essential for binding to chaperone WLS and Frizzled receptors and is therefore required for the activity of all Wnts (19). Pharmacological PORCN inhibitors, such as ETC-159 and LGK-974, have progressed to phase I clinical trials due to their efficacy in preclinical models of RNF43-mutant pancreatic and RSPO3-translocated colorectal cancers (13, 18, 20). The recent development of these PORCN inhibitors that block all Wnt secretion provides an opportunity to investigate how Wnt-regulated genes change over time following withdrawal of signaling (18, 21–25). In order to provide the most relevant data, it is important to use the most predictive preclinical models. A large body of literature demonstrates that cancers in vivo behave very differently than cancers in tissue culture (26). These differences have led to the development of orthotopic xenograft models and patient-derived xenografts that better reflect the behavior of Wnt-addicted cancers in a complex cancer-host environment (27).

Here, we investigated the temporal impact of acute withdrawal of Wnt ligands on the transcriptome of a Wnt-addicted human pancreatic cancer in an orthotopic mouse model. The time-series analysis identified direct and indirect Wnt targets based on their dynamics, distinguishing immediate-early, early, and late-response genes. We identified and confirmed an important role of the Wnt/STOP pathway in regulating tumor growth and disentangled the WNT versus MYC dependencies. This comprehensive time-course analysis in an in vivo Wnt-addicted cancer provides...
insights into the central role of Wnt/β-catenin and Wnt/STOP signaling in the regulation of the ribosome biogenesis pathway.

Results

Time-dependent global transcriptional changes follow PORCN inhibition in a Wnt-addicted pancreatic cancer model. We aimed to identify the genes and biological processes that are directly or indirectly regulated by Wnt ligands in a Wnt-addicted cancer in vivo. To mirror the tumor microenvironment and recapitulate tumor-stromal interactions, we established an orthotopic mouse model using a highly WNT-dependent HPAF-II cell line with RNF43-inactivating mutation (13, 18). HPAF-II cells stably expressing firefly luciferase were injected into the mouse pancreas, and tumor growth was monitored by measuring light output. As expected, ETC-159 significantly inhibited the growth of HPAF-II orthotopic xenografts (Figure 1A) and led to pronounced histomorphological changes (Figure 1B) (18). Tumors from the control group were characterized by the presence of neoplastic cells with poorly defined acini and cell boundaries. Treatment with ETC-159 induced changes in cellular organization and, by 7 days, the tissue appeared more differentiated, with a decreased nuclear cytoplasmic ratio and diminished anisocytosis and anisokaryosis. There were groups of slender elongated cells that often palisaded with uniform cellular and nuclear polarity, had distinct cell borders, and formed acini. The acinar lumens had abundant mucus, as seen by Alcian blue staining (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI122383DS1).

To identify immediate-early, early, and late responses to Wnt inhibition, mice with established HPAF-II orthotopic xenografts were treated with ETC-159 and tumors were collected at 3, 8, 16, 32, and 56 hours and at 7 days after treatment (Figure 1C). Comprehensive gene expression analysis was performed using RNA-Seq of 4 to 7 independent tumors at each time point (Supplemental Figure 1A). Inhibition of WNT signaling led to a marked change in the transcriptome, with the expression of 11,673 genes (75% of all expressed genes) changing over time (FDR < 10%) (Supplemental Table 1). Expression of 773 genes changed as early as 8 hours after the first dose of ETC-159. After 56 hours, 1,578 and 1,883 genes were upregulated or downregulated, respectively (FDR < 10%, absolute fold-change > 1.5) (Figure 1D and Supplemental Figure 1, B and C). The majority of genes that exhibited significant differences at 56 hours were also differentially expressed at 7 days, suggesting that the effect of Wnt inhibition is primarily established within 3 days.

To better understand how the withdrawal of Wnt signaling affected gene expression over time, we performed time-series clustering (28) of differentially expressed genes. Genes with significant changes in response to treatment were grouped into 64 clusters, with each cluster consisting of genes exhibiting similar dynamic responses following PORCN inhibition (Supplemental Figure 1D and Supplemental Table 2). Further analysis of these clusters to identify consistent global patterns of transcriptional response identified 2 major robust patterns (Supplemental Figure 1, E-F), a supercluster comprising genes consistently downregulated (Wnt-activated genes) and a supercluster containing genes consistently upregulated following PORCN inhibition (Wnt-repressed genes) (Figure 2A). Here, we focus on Wnt-activated genes, and
Figure 2. Time-series clustering reveals distinct dynamics of Wnt-regulated genes and enrichment for cell cycle and ribosome biogenesis. (A) Time-series clustering of Wnt-activated genes reveals distinct patterns of response to PORCN inhibition. Genes differentially expressed over time (FDR < 10%) in response to PORCN inhibition were clustered by expression pattern using GP Clust. The cluster number (C) and Tl50 for each cluster are indicated. (B) GO Biological Process enrichments of each cluster of Wnt-activated genes (hypergeometric test). Enrichment of Wnt-activated genes highlights processes, including Wnt signaling, ribosome biogenesis, and the cell cycle. (C) Illustration of the various time courses of gene expression following PORCN inhibition. Representative Wnt-activated genes from selected clusters are shown. TPM, transcripts per million reads. (D) Well-established Wnt/β-catenin target genes change with distinct dynamics following PORCN inhibition.
we provide the analysis of Wnt ligand–regulated genes in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2.

**Analysis of Wnt-activated genes.** The Wnt-activated genes supercluster contained 11 clusters with distinct dynamics, consisting of 3,549 genes (23% of the transcriptome). For each of these clusters, we evaluated the time to 50% inhibition (TI50) based on its mean profile. We operatively classified these clusters into 4 waves, with TI50 ranging from 5.3 to 46.6 hours (Figure 2A) (first wave, 5.3–9.8 hours; second, 12.9–15.1; third, 20.3–26.5; fourth, 40.8–46.6). Well-established Wnt target genes had distinct time courses and were present throughout the first 3 waves, with TI50 ranging from 5.3 to 26.5 hours. For example, cluster 9 (TI50 = 8.5 hours) included well-known β-catenin targets (e.g., AXIN2, NKKD1, RNFL43, BMP4, and LGRS) and was significantly enriched for pathways and processes related to Wnt signaling and development (Figure 2B). C5 and C12 in the third wave (TI50, 20.6 and 22.9) similarly contained known Wnt target genes, e.g., NOTUM (29). The complex dynamics and broad range of response times of the various β-catenin targets most likely relates to the cell-type–specific context of the coregulatory elements of these genes and the stability of the specific mRNAs.

Interestingly, we observed that the early changing clusters, such as C9, contained genes that are not known to be direct β-catenin target genes (Supplemental Figure 2) and thus may be β-catenin independent and rely on mechanisms such as Wnt/STOP. These included well-studied regulators of ribosome biogenesis (e.g., NPM1, DCK1, NOL6, RRSI) and nucleocytoplasmic transport (e.g., XPO5, NUP37) (30). Other smaller, rapidly responding clusters in the first and second waves similarly contained genes not known to be β-catenin target genes. These clusters were also enriched for processes associated with ribosome biogenesis (e.g., C20: POLR1A, POLR1B; C25: NOP14, RRP9). The slowest responding genes, found in the fourth wave clusters 7 and 21 (TI50, 40.8–46.6 hours) are likely to be regulated by processes downstream of initial Wnt signaling events and were also enriched for processes relating to ribosome biogenesis (Figure 2, A and B). In addition to ribosome biogenesis, there was a broad enrichment throughout the clusters for genes involved in nucleic acid metabolism and cell cycle, especially in the third wave, C1, C5, and C12 (Figure 2B). Selected examples of Wnt-activated genes with differences in their pattern of response to Wnt inhibition are depicted in Figure 2, C and D.

Our data set provides a comprehensive resource of genes whose expression is highly dependent on Wnt signaling in vivo (Supplemental Table 1). As several of the early changing genes are not known to be direct targets of β-catenin, this analysis identified genes that may depend on additional pathways, such as Wnt/STOP. Importantly, the data set highlights that, in addition to its recognized role in cell-cycle regulation, an early consequence of blocking Wnt signaling is the downregulation of genes involved in ribosome biogenesis and its associated processes.

A common core of Wnt-regulated gene expression changes are more robust in orthotopic xenografts. To determine whether the gene expression changes seen in the HPAF-II pancreatic cancer were generalizable to other Wnt-addicted cancers, we compared our data to our previously published data set of a Wnt-addicted RSPO3-translocation patient-derived colorectal cancer xenograft (CRC PDX) (Supplemental Table 3 and Supplemental Figure 3) (18). The strength of the correlation between the expression changes induced by PORCN inhibition at 56 hours in the 2 experimental systems (r = 0.36, Figure 3A) indicated that, regardless of the upstream mutation and tissue of origin, the downstream effect of Wnt inhibition on tumor gene expression was similar. In keeping with the central role of Wnts in regulating the differentially expressed genes, the majority of Wnt-activated genes (62%, FDR < 10%, absolute fold-change > 1.5) were also downregulated at 56 hours in the CRC PDX (Figure 3B). Within C9, 69% of the genes expressed in both systems were downregulated in CRC PDX, suggesting that these genes may be direct targets of Wnt signaling in both of these tumor models. Similar changes were seen in an orthotopic ASPC-1 tumor treated at a single time point (Supplemental Figure 3F). This highlights that the core processes and mechanisms responsible for the Wnt-activated genes are shared between the CRC PDX and pancreatic cancer orthotopic xenografts. Notably, the overlapping set of Wnt-activated genes was enriched for genes involved in cell-cycle regulation and ribosome biogenesis (Supplemental Figure 3A), again suggesting the centrality of these pathways in Wnt-addicted cancers.

To determine whether the orthotopic model was a more robust Wnt target discovery system, we compared the effect of ETC-159 on HPAF-II cells in vitro or as a subcutaneous xenograft. The differential expression analysis identified 4,409 genes whose response to ETC-159 was significantly different (interaction test, FDR < 10%) between models (see Supplemental Information for details) (Supplemental Table 4 and Figure 3, C–F, and Supplemental Figure 3, A–E). These genes, e.g., AURKA, cyclin E1, and CDKN2B, were enriched for processes, including cell cycle and ribosome biogenesis (Figure 3F and Supplemental Figure 3B). In addition to cell-cycle–associated genes, we identified several other genes that did not respond to Wnt inhibition in vitro, but behaved as WNT targets in vivo (i.e., EPHB3 and TGFBI; Supplemental Figure 3C). Looking only at genes decreasing more than 1.5-fold at 56 hours, we would have missed 817/1867 (44%) genes using a subcutaneous or 939/1867 (50%) using an in vitro model. Thus, the overall response to Wnt inhibition was reduced in the subcutaneous model and further blunted in vitro.

The much less pronounced effect of ETC-159 on the expression of cell-cycle genes in cell culture is consistent with our previous observations that PORCN inhibitors do not inhibit the growth of Wnt-addicted cancer cells in short-term 2D cell culture (20). These data demonstrate that Wnt target genes are highly dependent on the tissue-specific microenvironment, highlighting the value of using an orthotopic model to identify Wnt-regulated genes.

**PORCN inhibition leads to early downregulation of MYC and its targets.** The time-series clustering analysis (Figure 2A) identified sets of genes (clusters) having similar dynamics of response to PORCN inhibition, suggesting that each cluster may be regulated by distinct mechanisms. To investigate the differences in the transcriptional regulation of these genes, we performed a transcription factor–binding site (TFBS) motif analysis on the promoters of the Wnt-activated genes (Figure 4A).

Unexpectedly, the promoters of genes downregulated immediately following Wnt withdrawal (e.g., C9, TI50 = 8.5 hours) did...
Figure 3. Multimodel comparison identifies core Wnt-activated genes most effectively in pancreatic orthotopic and CRC PDX models. (A) Gene expression changes in the HPAF-II orthotopic xenografts are highly correlated with those in CRC PDX with a RSPO3 translocation (described in ref. 18) ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Diagonal dashed line is where genes would fall if they changed equally in the 2 models. Horizontal and vertical dashed lines indicate a 1.5-fold change. (B) Genes identified as Wnt activated in the HPAF-II orthotopic model were also significantly downregulated in the CRC PDX. Proportion of genes in each indicated time-series cluster that were also downregulated (FDR < 10%) in the CRC PDX is shown. (C) The HPAF-II orthotopic model is markedly more responsive than a subcutaneous model to Wnt inhibition. Gene expression changes in ETC-159–treated HPAF-II orthotopic compared with subcutaneous xenografts are plotted as in A, above. Genes annotated as mitotic cell-cycle processes (GO BP1903047, purple dots) are far less responsive to PORCN inhibition than are HPAF-II cells cultured in vitro; data are plotted as in C, above. HPAF-II cells in culture were treated for 48 hours with 100 nM ETC-159. (E) The HPAF-II orthotopic model has the most robust response across 3 different HPAF-II models. (F) Cell-cycle gene expression changes were more robust in CRC PDX and HPAF-II orthotopic xenografts compared with subcutaneous and in vitro models. Representative cell-cycle genes are shown.
not show significant enrichment for TCF7L2/LEF1-binding sites ($P = 0.21$). The majority of TCF7L2-binding events are found to be intergenic rather than promoter associated (Supplemental Figure 4) (31). The promoters of genes in the most rapidly responding clusters (i.e., C9, C10, C20, C24, and C25; TI$_{50} < 20$ hours) were rather significantly enriched for canonical E-box motifs, bound by transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later (TI$_{50} > 20$ hours) were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).

The enrichment of E-box–binding motifs occurred in some early responding Wnt-activated genes (e.g., C20, C9) whose expression fell even before MYC mRNA decreased, suggesting additional levels of regulation (Figure 4, A and B). Upon PORCN inhibition, MYC mRNA responded as a direct WNT–/β-catenin target gene with an early and sustained decrease (C9), albeit only to approximately 50% of its initial mRNA abundance (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the well-established role of β-catenin signaling in the regulation of MYC expression (33). In addition, various transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later TI$_{50} > 20$ hours were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).

The enrichment of E-box–binding motifs occurred in some early responding Wnt-activated genes (e.g., C20, C9) whose expression fell even before MYC mRNA decreased, suggesting additional levels of regulation (Figure 4, A and B). Upon PORCN inhibition, MYC mRNA responded as a direct WNT–/β-catenin target gene with an early and sustained decrease (C9), albeit only to approximately 50% of its initial mRNA abundance (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the well-established role of β-catenin signaling in the regulation of MYC expression (33). In addition, various transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later TI$_{50} > 20$ hours were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).

The enrichment of E-box–binding motifs occurred in some early responding Wnt-activated genes (e.g., C20, C9) whose expression fell even before MYC mRNA decreased, suggesting additional levels of regulation (Figure 4, A and B). Upon PORCN inhibition, MYC mRNA responded as a direct WNT–/β-catenin target gene with an early and sustained decrease (C9), albeit only to approximately 50% of its initial mRNA abundance (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the well-established role of β-catenin signaling in the regulation of MYC expression (33). In addition, various transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later TI$_{50} > 20$ hours were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).

The enrichment of E-box–binding motifs occurred in some early responding Wnt-activated genes (e.g., C20, C9) whose expression fell even before MYC mRNA decreased, suggesting additional levels of regulation (Figure 4, A and B). Upon PORCN inhibition, MYC mRNA responded as a direct WNT–/β-catenin target gene with an early and sustained decrease (C9), albeit only to approximately 50% of its initial mRNA abundance (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the well-established role of β-catenin signaling in the regulation of MYC expression (33). In addition, various transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later TI$_{50} > 20$ hours were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).

The enrichment of E-box–binding motifs occurred in some early responding Wnt-activated genes (e.g., C20, C9) whose expression fell even before MYC mRNA decreased, suggesting additional levels of regulation (Figure 4, A and B). Upon PORCN inhibition, MYC mRNA responded as a direct WNT–/β-catenin target gene with an early and sustained decrease (C9), albeit only to approximately 50% of its initial mRNA abundance (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the well-established role of β-catenin signaling in the regulation of MYC expression (33). In addition, various transcription factors, including MYC, HEY1, CLOCK, and ID2 (Figure 4A). The genes in clusters that responded later TI$_{50} > 20$ hours were enriched for E2F, NRF, and NFYB binding sites (32).
Finding that PORCN inhibition caused a decrease in MYC protein abundance despite no change in ectopic MYC mRNA levels (Figure 5, A and C). We next determined whether blocking the Wnt/STOP effect on MYC protein altered the response to PORCN inhibition. The abundance of MYC T58A did not change with ETC-159 treatment, in contrast to the response of the endogenous MYC transcript in the HPAF-II tumors. Right: range of MYC expression in clinical pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (PDAC) and colon adenocarcinomas (COAD) sequenced as part of the TCGA. The MYC expression in MYC OE is comparable to the range observed in clinical samples. (B) MYC T58A cancers are partially responsive to Wnt inhibition. HPAF-II cells, MYC OE, or MYC T58A HPAF-II cells were injected into the pancreas as before. Following the establishment of tumors, mice were treated daily with 30 mg/kg ETC-159. Tumor weights after 28 days of treatment are shown. n = 5–9 mice/group. Overall differences were assessed using nonparametric 2-way ANOVA (condition, < 2 × 10–16). Pairwise differences between conditions were assessed using post hoc Mann-Whitney U test (2 tailed). TGI, tumor growth inhibition. (C) Ectopically expressed MYC is sensitive to GSK3-mediated degradation. ETC-159 treatment reduces the protein abundance of both endogenous and ectopically expressed MYC in HPAF-II and MYC OE tumors. Mutation of the GSK3 phosphorylation site prevents the decrease in MYC protein abundance in response to Wnt inhibition in the HPAF-II (T58A) tumors. Ratios of MYC levels to β-actin levels for each lane are indicated.

Figure 5. Stabilized MYC partially reverses the effects of Wnt inhibition. (A) Expression of nonendogenous Myc transcripts in MYC OE or MYC T58A tumors does not change with ETC-159 treatment, as indicated by log fold changes (left) or the relative transcript abundance (right). (B) Mutation of the GSK3 phosphorylation site prevents the decrease in MYC protein abundance upon Wnt inhibition in the HPAF-II (T58A) tumors. Ratios of MYC levels to β-actin levels for each lane are indicated.

Distinguishing between Myc-dependent and Myc-independent regulation of Wnt target genes. To directly identify MYC-independent and MYC-dependent WNT target genes, we performed an additional set of RNA-Seq experiments to examine gene expression changes in orthotopic tumors generated from HPAF-II WT, MYC OE, or MYC T58A cells. We selected the time points 0, 8, and 56 hours after the start of therapy to allow us to examine early direct targets of both Wnt/β-catenin and Wnt/GSK3/MYC signaling. Consistent with the previous experiment, ETC-159 treatment reduced MYC protein by approximately 70% in 56 hours in HPAF-II WT tumors, while protein levels in MYC T58A tumors showed no decrease (Figure 6A).

We identified 2,131 genes whose transcriptional response to PORCN inhibition in vivo was dependent on MYC status (FDR < 10%, Supplemental Table 5). These genes, whose response to PORCN inhibition was different among WT, MYC OE, or MYC T58A tumors, were classified as MYC-dependent Wnt target genes. Of these genes, 827 (23%) were found in our set of Wnt-activated genes. For each of the clusters of Wnt-activated genes, we determined the fraction that exhibited MYC-dependent or MYC-independent responses (Figure 6B). The majority of genes that were downregulated most rapidly upon Wnt inhibition (i.e., C9, C10, C20, and C25; T10 < 20 hours) (Figure 2A) were MYC independent. Selected examples of well-established Wnt-regulated MYC-independent genes, such as AXIN2 and NKDI, are illustrated in Figure 6D, which examines both the relative transcript abundance and the log fold changes. Not surprisingly, the MYC-independent Wnt target genes in C9 were associated with Wnt-signaling pathways and embryonic patterning (Figure 6C).

Only third wave clusters C5 and C1, changing with T10 of 20.6 and 26.5 hours, contained a sizeable fraction (>25%) of MYC-dependent genes (Figure 6B) that were enriched in ribosome biogenesis and cell-cycle processes discussed below. We did not observe enrichment for E-boxes in clusters C1 and C5 (Figure 4A). Interestingly, the subsets of genes in these clusters that were MYC dependent were also not specifically enriched for MYC TFBS, suggesting either that they are indirect targets of MYC or that TFBS analysis is not powerful enough to detect a clear enrichment for these MYC motifs.

Regulation of cell cycle by Wnts in Wnt-addicted cancers is Wnt/GSK3 dependent. Our initial analysis (Figure 2) demonstrated that cell cycle and ribosome biogenesis are 2 key pathways that are transcriptionally regulated by Wnt inhibition, with multiple
Figure 6. The majority of early responding Wnt-activated genes are MYC independent.

(A) Treatment with ETC-159 for 56 hours reduces the protein abundance of MYC in HPAF-II WT xenografts, but not HPAF-II T58A xenografts. Ratios of MYC levels to β-actin levels for each lane are indicated. (B) The majority of Wnt-activated genes are MYC independent. Wnt-activated genes were classified as either MYC dependent or MYC independent based on whether they responded differently to ETC-159 treatment (interaction test, q value < 10%) across the 3 xenograft models studied (HPAF-II, MYC OE, and MYC T58A). (C) MYC-dependent and -independent Wnt-activated genes in each time-series cluster regulate distinct biological processes (gene ontology: biological process) (hypergeometric test). Annotated WNT target genes (i.e. Wnt signaling and anterior/posterior patterning) are MYC independent. Ribosome biogenesis and cell-cycle genes are regulated both by MYC-dependent and -independent pathways. (D) Representative examples of early responding MYC-independent Wnt-activated target genes. Expression and log₂ fold changes in HPAF-II, MYC OE, and MYC T58A xenograft model systems treated with ETC-159 for 56 hours.
genes regulating cell cycle changing in a time-dependent manner, including CDK1, E2F2, E2F1, CDKN2B, and CDKN2A (Figure 7A). Consistent with this robust regulation of cell-cycle genes, Ki67-positive cells were significantly reduced in the tumors as early as 56 hours after starting ETC-159 and were further reduced at 7 days of treatment (Figure 7B).

Genes associated with mitotic cell-cycle processes and DNA replication were enriched in the third wave of clusters (C1, C5, C12; T150, 20.6–26.5 hours) (Figure 2B). These clusters were enriched for binding sites for the E2F and NFY families of transcription factors (Figure 4A) that cooperatively regulate cell-cycle genes (32, 38, 39). Interestingly, E2F1 and E2F2 gene expression decreased at the same rate as that of the other cell-cycle genes, suggesting that the early decrease in the expression of cell-cycle-related genes was not due to changes in these E2F mRNAs (Figure 7A). E2F activity is also regulated by cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) signaling through p105/Rb. Indeed, the expression of the CDK inhibitors increased as early as 8 hours after PORCN inhibition in orthotopic tumors, and this was associated with a subsequent decrease in Rb phosphorylation (Figures 7, A and C). Thus, CDK inhibition and decreased Rb phosphorylation are likely to be major mechanisms driving the decrease in the transcription of E2F target cell-cycle genes.

Notably, the abundance of cell-cycle regulators, such as cyclin D1 and E1, among others, is also regulated by Wnt/STOP signaling (8). Similarly to MYC, after 56 hours of ETC-159 treatment, the protein abundance of CCND1 was reduced by approximately 33% (see A and D), while CCND1 protein decreases approximately 65% after 56 hours treatment in both HPAF-II and CRC PDX models. Each lane is from an independent tumor. Ratios of CCND1 levels to -actin levels for each lane are indicated. ETC-159 treatment reduces levels of pRb (S780) by MYC stabilization only partially rescues proliferation upon PORCN inhibition. Ki-67 staining quantitated as in Figure 4C. (B) Representative cell-cycle genes whose expression is influenced by Wnt overexpression. Relative expression is plotted (TPM) (left panel) or as log fold change (right panel). (C) Representative cell-cycle genes whose expression is independent of MYC overexpression. Relative expression is plotted as TPM (left panel) or as log fold change (right panel). (D) MYC stabilization only partially rescues proliferation upon PORCN inhibition. Ki-67 staining quantitated as in B. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test was used to calculate significant differences.

Figure 7. Wnt-regulated cell-cycle changes are only partially influenced by MYC. (A) Robust changes in the expression of representative cell-cycle genes over 7 days of PORCN inhibition in HPAF-II orthotopic xenografts. The dotted line is at 1.5-fold (log2 0.58). (B) Significant decrease in proliferating cells over time. (C) CCND1 mRNA decreases 33% (see A and D), and CCND1 protein decreases approximately 65% after 56 hours treatment in both HPAF-II and CRC PDX models. Each lane is from an independent tumor. Ratios of CCND1 levels to -actin levels for each lane are indicated. ETC-159 treatment reduces levels of pRb (S780) in both HPAF-II tumors and CRC PDX models. Note the actin blots are the same as in Figure 4C. (D) Changes in the expression of CCND1 after 56 hours in CRC PDX model. (E) Representative cell-cycle genes whose expression is influenced by MYC overexpression. Relative expression is plotted (TPM) (left panel) or as log fold change (right panel). (F) Representative cell-cycle genes whose expression is independent of MYC overexpression. Relative expression is plotted as TPM (left panel) or as log fold change (right panel). (G) MYC stabilization only partially rescues proliferation upon PORCN inhibition. Ki-67 staining quantitated as in B. Two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test was used to calculate significant differences.
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The development of targeted drugs that rapidly and robustly inhibit PORCN provides a unique opportunity to examine in real time the consequences of Wnt withdrawal in Wnt-addicted human cancers. This time-based analysis of Wnt signaling and its interaction with MYC provides a comprehensive assessment of the role played by Wnt ligands in driving Wnt-addicted cancer. Importantly, the high concordance of the transcriptional changes in Wnt-addicted RSP03-mutant colorectal and RNF43-mutant pancreatic cancers reveals core shared pathways regulated by Wnt signaling in cancer. Previous studies examining the targets of Wnt signaling in cancer have focused on models that are driven by loss-of-function mutations in APC. Here, the use of Wnt ligand-driven cancer mouse models casts a broader net, identifying an unexpectedly large number of genes whose expression depends on the continued presence of the Wnt ligand, many of which are independent of β-catenin.
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Hence, it was an open question whether MYC would be important downstream of RNF43 mutations in pancreatic cancers, where many additional pathways are activated by the Wnt addiction (36, 45). Using a model of Wnt-addicted human cancer with stabilized MYC, we were able to disentangle the interaction of Wnt and MYC and stratify the roles of Wnts and MYC in regulating cell cycle and ribosome biogenesis. One notable difference was that stabilization of MYC did not enhance the expression of cell-cycle genes. However, stabilized MYC could partially overcome the effect of Wnt inhibition on expression of a subset of cell-cycle genes (46), whereas Myc overexpression and stabilization more profoundly affected genes regulating various processes associated with ribosome biogenesis. Here too, the response to Wnt inhibition was variable, as a large subset of genes, including ribosomal proteins, responded to PORCN inhibitors with similar fold changes, while others were “immune” to Wnt inhibition in the presence of stabilized MYC. This suggests a complex interaction of MYC and Wnt-regulated pathways driving these processes.

Ribosomes are overexpressed in cancer and have become targets for anticancer therapies, for instance, by triggering nucleolar stress (40, 47–49). While MYC is known to regulate ribosome biogenesis (30), the role of Wnts has been less clear (50, 51). Here, we show for what we believe is the first time that Wnt signaling globally affects multiple steps in ribosome biogenesis both directly and indirectly.

The genes whose expression changes most rapidly after PORCN inhibition, the early wave clusters, were predictably enriched for well-established β-catenin target genes (41). However, our analysis revealed a large number of coregulated genes that were not known β-catenin targets. DNA sequence–based analysis of enrichment for TCF/LEF-binding sites was not a useful approach to discriminating between whether these early changing genes could be additional β-catenin targets or could be regulated by multiple noncanonical pathways. Indeed, while many individual studies found TCF/LEF sites in the promoters of selected genes, our findings support the results from genome-wide analyses showing that functional TCF/LEF sites are often present at large distances from transcriptional start sites (3). Additionally, recent studies have established that even β-catenin promoter binding is not sufficient for identifying β-catenin transcriptionally regulated genes (5).

Interestingly, our analysis revealed that E-box TFBSs are enriched in the early changing genes, followed at later time points by enrichment for E2F-binding sites. The enrichment for E-boxes strongly suggested a role for MYC. MYC is a potent oncogene, and its activation is a hallmark of cancer initiation and maintenance (42, 43). MYC is required for tumorigenesis following β-catenin activation by APC loss in the gut, but not in the liver (36, 44). Hence, it was an open question whether MYC would be important downstream of RNF43 mutations in pancreatic cancers, where many additional pathways are activated by the Wnt addiction (36, 45). Using a model of Wnt-addicted human cancer with stabilized MYC, we were able to disentangle the interaction of Wnt and MYC and stratify the roles of Wnts and MYC in regulating cell cycle and ribosome biogenesis. One notable difference was that stabilization of MYC did not enhance the expression of cell-cycle genes. However, stabilized MYC could partially overcome the effect of Wnt inhibition on expression of a subset of cell-cycle genes (46), whereas Myc overexpression and stabilization more profoundly affected genes regulating various processes associated with ribosome biogenesis. Here too, the response to Wnt inhibition was variable, as a large subset of genes, including ribosomal proteins, responded to PORCN inhibitors with similar fold changes, while others were “immune” to Wnt inhibition in the presence of stabilized MYC. This suggests a complex interaction of MYC and Wnt-regulated pathways driving these processes.

Ribosomes are overexpressed in cancer and have become targets for anticancer therapies, for instance, by triggering nucleolar stress (40, 47–49). While MYC is known to regulate ribosome biogenesis (30), the role of Wnts has been less clear (50, 51). Here, we show for what we believe is the first time that Wnt signaling globally affects multiple steps in ribosome biogenesis both directly and indirectly.

Figure 9. Wnt and MYC coregulate ribosome biogenesis. (A) Wnt inhibition reduces nucleoli, but this is rescued by expression of stabilized MYC. Changes in nucleolar size and abundance in tumor sections were assessed by silver staining. (B) Expression of ribosomal subunit genes (RPLs/RPSs) is enhanced by stabilized MYC T58A, but remains sensitive to PORCN inhibition. The outlier in HPAF-II tumors is RPS27L, as in Figure 8A. (C) Representative Wnt-activated ribosome biogenesis genes that are MYC dependent. Changes in selected genes in MYC OE and T58A tumors are shown. Relative expression is plotted as TPM (top panels) or as log2 fold change (bottom panels).
and by regulating the transcription and protein abundance of Myc via the Wnt/STOP pathway and that this is shared in both Wnt-addicted pancreatic and colorectal cancers.

Comparing the effect of PORCN inhibition across different models confirmed the value of studying Wnt signaling in an orthotopic microenvironment or in the presence of native stroma (CRC PDX). The experimental value of the orthotopic model using a cell line is that it is more amenable to genetic manipulation, such as the introduction of stabilized MYC, allowing a more detailed analysis of the role of downstream drivers.

The stabilization of MYC via the Wnt/GSK3 signaling axis highlights how this mechanism can target MYC and other cell-cycle proteins in cancer, affecting aberrant cell growth (52). The Wnt/STOP pathway is likely to have multiple additional targets (9, 10) that may also play a role in these pancreatic and colorectal cancers. Future studies with high-resolution mass spectrometry at early time points after Wnt inhibition may facilitate their identification. The data provided in this study can facilitate biomarker discovery for patients suffering from Wnt-addicted cancers and provide a significant resource for the Wnt and cancer community.

Methods

Tumor growth and mouse treatment. Mouse xenograft models from HPAF-II cells were established by orthotopic injection of HPAF-II cells in NOD SCID gamma mice as described in the Supplemental Methods. Mice were purchased from InVivos or Jackson Laboratories. Animals were housed in standard cages and were allowed ad libitum access to food and water.

Western blot analysis. Tumors were homogenized in 4% SDS buffer, and proteins were resolved on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Western blots were performed according to standard methods.

Immunohistochemistry and AgNOR staining. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were then stained with H&E, Ki67, or nuclear organizer regions using standard protocol. Images were acquired using a Nikon E microscope.

RNA isolation and data analysis. Tumors were homogenized in RLT buffer, and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA-Seq libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA protocol with subsequent PolyA enrichment. Details for quality control and data processing for RNA-Seq, TFBS analysis, time-series clustering, and ChIP-Seq analysis are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Proteomics. Tumors were homogenized on dry ice and solubilized with 8 M urea and 20 mM HEPES. Trypsin-digested peptides in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were separated using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC Nano Liquid Chromatography System coupled to a Q-Exact Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Following data-dependent acquisition, raw data files were loaded and analyzed using Progenesis QI (Nonlinear Dynamics).

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed using R and GraphPad. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. For genome-wide analysis, an FDR of less than 10% was considered significant, and for enrichment analyses, an FDR of less than 5% was considered significant.

Study approval. The SingHealth Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal studies, which complied with applicable regulations.

Data availability. The RNA-Seq data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO GSE118041, GSE118231, GSE118190, and GSE118179).
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