Immune cells are pivotal in the reaction to injury, whereupon, under ideal conditions, repair and resolution phases restore homeostasis following initial acute inflammation. Immune cell activation and reprogramming require transcriptional changes that can only be initiated if epigenetic alterations occur. Recently, accelerated deciphering of epigenetic mechanisms has extended knowledge of epigenetic regulation, including long-distance chromatin remodeling, DNA methylation, posttranslational histone modifications, and involvement of small and long noncoding RNAs. Epigenetic changes have been linked to aspects of immune cell development, activation, and differentiation. Furthermore, genome-wide epigenetic landscapes have been established for some immune cells, including tissue-resident macrophages, and blood-derived cells including T cells. The epigenetic mechanisms underlying developmental steps from hematopoietic stem cells to fully differentiated immune cells led to development of epigenetic technologies and insights into general rules of epigenetic regulation. Compared with more advanced research areas, epigenetic reprogramming of immune cells in injury remains in its infancy. While the early epigenetic mechanisms supporting activation of the immune response to injury have been studied, less is known about resolution and repair phases and cell type–specific changes. We review prominent recent findings concerning injury-mediated epigenetic reprogramming, particularly in stroke and myocardial infarction. Lastly, we illustrate how single-cell technologies will be crucial to understanding epigenetic reprogramming in the complex sequential processes following injury.
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Introduction

From a holistic view, the immune system is not only responsible for discrimination of self and nonself, but is critically involved in regulating physiological processes throughout the body, including tissue repair and resolution following injury (1), a term encompassing mechanical damage, exposure to toxins, temperature fluctuations, emotional stress, and dramatic changes in diet. Immediately following injury, immune cells sense the perturbation and relay local and systemic signals to other parts of the immune system (e.g., via cytokines) to induce appropriate and injury-adapted effector responses. As a final step, the immune system regulates and monitors repair and resolution of the threat, thereby reestablishing homeostasis (Figure 1). These highly integrated processes, particularly during acute phases following injury, are often described as inflammation; yet, if the endpoint is not resolution, ongoing inflammation fosters chronic low-grade inflammatory conditions, fibrosis, or abscess formation leading to diseases instead of homeostasis (2–5). An emerging picture indicates fast epigenetic regulatory mechanisms as critical activators of immune cells involved in early responses to injury (6, 7). However, much less is known about epigenetic reprogramming of immune cells during later phases, particularly during repair and resolution.

Here, we focus on recent findings concerning epigenetic mechanisms involved in regulatory immune processes during the later phases of the injury response.

Epigenetic reprogramming during immune cell activation

“Epigenetics” was first coined in 1942 by C.H. Waddington (8), but only in 2008 at a Cold Spring Harbor meeting did the scientific community agree on the definition: “An epigenetic trait is a stably heritable phenotype resulting from changes in a chromosome but not necessarily in the DNA sequence” (9). Today, epigenetic mechanisms are loosely understood and usually refer to DNA methylation, histone modifications, noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), and chromatin accessibility and looping.

Chromatin structure allows (when “open”) or prevents (when “closed”) binding of transcriptional machinery to a DNA segment, consequently activating or silencing gene expression (Figure 2A). Chromatin accessibility is therefore used to define gene regulatory elements such as enhancers, promoters, and silencers. Various histone modifications are enriched at these sites. In general, histone acetylation is found on regulatory elements of active genes, whereas H3K27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) characterizes regulatory elements of silenced genes (10). H3K4 methylation (H3K4me) is widely regarded as a mark of transcriptional activity but, depending on location and crosstalk with other epigenetic modifications, can also mark nonexpressed genes; e.g., the presence of both H3K27me3 and H3K4me marks regulatory elements of genes that are not expressed but are readily induced upon stimulation, therefore “poised” for expression (11–13). While promoters are enriched
in H3K4me3, enhancers carry H3K4me1 (14). Histone modifications can affect chromatin compaction or recruit transcriptional regulators. Next-generation sequencing–based techniques such as DNase I hypersensitivity assay followed by sequencing (DNase-Seq; refs. 15, 16), assay for transposase-associable chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-Seq; ref. 17), and ChIP followed by sequencing (ChIP-Seq; ref. 18) enable investigation of chromatin accessibility and histone modification distribution at a genome-wide and single-cell level. These technological advances hugely impacted studies mapping and deciphering the role of DNA-associated proteins and accessible DNA regions in many cell types and diseases.

Initial evidence that external stimuli influence immune cell chromatin came from studies of T cell activation (19, 20), revealing the extremely compact chromatin in naive T lymphocytes accompanying their low-level gene expression. Antigen encounter induces increased nuclear volume, recruitment of chromatin remodeling complexes to DNA, appearance of loose chromatin, and activation of thousands of genes, including IL2 (19–21). Altered histone modification patterns consistently accompany activation processes of various immune cells. Genes induced during transition from naive to germinal center B cells gain activating histone marks such as H3K4me1 and H3ac, while silenced loci lose these marks (22). Similarly, H3K4me and histone acetylation are increased in effector and memory T cells at loci linked to cytokine locus comprising Il4, Il5, and Il13 (45, 46), as well as Ifng (32), Tnfa (47), and Il21 (48). Conversely, genes that become downregulated in effector CD8+ T cells lose activating histone marks and gain suppressive H3K27me3 at adjacent loci (25, 26, 28). Naive immune cell activation also causes changes in chromatin accessibility that largely correlate with changes in gene expression (29–36). Yet exceptions exist, exemplified by Fasl and Prf1, which are highly inducible upon CD8+ T cell activation but show no significant changes in chromatin accessibility at adjacent loci (35). Assessing the function of an open chromatin region necessitates examination of the collective view of transcription factors bound to the region and long-distance interactions. In Tregs, for instance, Foxp3 gene induction causes appearance of very few de novo enhancers, but Foxp3 binding to preexisting open sites in Foxp3+ CD4+ T cells, exemplifying the importance of enhancer usage by transcription factors (37). LPS stimulation of macrophages induces a tolerance program that silences proinflammatory genes, including IL6. However, antimicrobial genes like CNLP remain inducible (38). Distinct chromatin accessibility and histone modification patterns on both classes of genes determine their readiness to be re-expressed. TLR4 engagement precedes the appearance of H3K4me1, H3K4me2, and H3K27ac peaks at de novo (or latent) enhancers; these are maintained and enable enhanced expression of target genes upon secondary stimulation (39–41). Interestingly, the tissue environment also shapes a specific chromatin signature in tissue-resident macrophages (42).

Regulatory elements can be located far upstream of a gene’s transcriptional start site and must be brought into physical proximity with the gene promoter by chromatin looping to impact gene expression. Interaction between regulatory elements is believed to facilitate the recruitment of transcriptional machinery; hence chromatin looping is another epigenetic mechanism regulating gene expression (43). A classic example of such regulation within the immune system is the recombination of antigen receptor–coding genes (44). Furthermore, chromatin looping regulates expression of various cytokines in activated T cells, e.g., the type 2 cytokine locus comprising Il4, Il5, and Il13 (45, 46), as well as Ifng (32), Tnfa (47), and Il21 (48). Likewise, LPS stimulation of macrophages induces DNA loops at the IL1/IL36/IL37 gene cluster (49) or osteopontin (Spp1) locus (50). More recent genome-wide studies of chromatin conformation in hematopoietic cells revealed cell type–specific interactome signatures (51–53); hence processes including monocyte differentiation toward macrophages (54), B cell proliferation, and terminal differentiation of innate and adaptive immune cells (55–57). The tissue environment also shapes a specific chromatin signature in tissue-resident macrophages (42).
germline cells (66). Similarly, global hypomethylation does not induce genome-wide activation of transcriptome in primordial germ cells (67). DNA methylation can also occur in non-CpG context (5mCH, where H represents A, C, or T) in almost all human tissues (68–70). 5mCH is present in globally high levels in naive T cells (71), yet its function is largely unknown. For decades, DNA methylation was considered a very stable modification. With the discovery of ten-eleven translocation (\( \text{TET} \)) DNA dioxygenases, which actively remove methyl groups from CpG sites by converting 5mC to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (72) and further to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxycytosine (73, 74), and the recent development of next-generation sequencing–based technologies allowing genome-wide studies of DNA methylation at the single-cell level, it emerged that the DNA methylation fingerprint is reversible and dynamic (75). DNA methylation's important role in immune cell development and activation (76) makes it a potential therapeutic target for treatment of inflammation-driven diseases. Deleting or pharmacologically inhibiting DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) using 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine decreases inflammation in models of atherosclerosis and obesity (77–79). DNMT3B targets the promoter of \( \text{PPARG} \), a key transcription factor for alternative acti-

DNA methylation (the presence of 5-methylcytosine [5mC]), which in vertebrates affects mainly palindromic CpG sites, can also change a gene transcription, e.g., by affecting binding of methylation-sensitive transcription factors (63, 64). It is broadly accepted that DNA methylation is a suppressive mark, yet its role is still not fully understood. Depending on its location within the genome, tissue-specific distribution, and cellular context, it mediates transcriptional repression but has also been linked to gene activation (65). For example, “atypical” promoters enriched in 5mC and H3K4me3 but driving transcription were found in cell activation (29, 55), and T cell development (56) and differentiation (57) are accompanied by genome-wide reorganization of chromatin loops. Much remains unknown about chromatin interaction dynamics in different biological processes, including injury. New technologies are emerging to address these questions, including high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (HiC; ref. 58), next-generation Capture-C (NG Capture-C; ref. 59), chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing (ChiA-PET; ref. 60), protein-centric chromatin conformation method (Hi-ChIP; ref. 61), and transposase-mediated analysis of chromatin looping (Trac-looping; ref. 62).

DNA methylation (the presence of 5-methylcytosine [5mC]), which in vertebrates affects mainly palindromic CpG sites, can also change a gene transcription, e.g., by affecting binding of methylation-sensitive transcription factors (63, 64). It is broadly accepted that DNA methylation is a suppressive mark, yet its role is still not fully understood. Depending on its location within the genome, tissue-specific distribution, and cellular context, it mediates transcriptional repression but has also been linked to gene activation (65). For example, “atypical” promoters enriched in 5mC and H3K4me3 but driving transcription were found in cell activation (29, 55), and T cell development (56) and differentiation (57) are accompanied by genome-wide reorganization of chromatin loops. Much remains unknown about chromatin interaction dynamics in different biological processes, including injury. New technologies are emerging to address these questions, including high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (HiC; ref. 58), next-generation Capture-C (NG Capture-C; ref. 59), chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing (ChiA-PET; ref. 60), protein-centric chromatin conformation method (Hi-ChIP; ref. 61), and transposase-mediated analysis of chromatin looping (Trac-looping; ref. 62).

Figure 2. Epigenetic events in immune cells during tissue injury. (A) Possible modes of epigenetic regulation to tune immune cell function. On the left, mechanisms of repressing transcription such as closed chromatin, DNA methylation, repressive histone marks, or inhibiting long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are depicted. On the right, a locus with active transcription is depicted, including loss of DNA methylation, open chromatin structure including accessible enhancer and promoter sequences, activating histone modifications, and activating transcription factors. Different histone marks are presented as colored dots. (B) During immune cell activation, epigenetic regulation leads to activation of prior silent genes, while some homeostatic genes are turned off. Epigenetic events during homeostasis and activation of immune cells have been experimentally addressed, while elucidation of these processes during repair and resolution still needs to be resolved.
ncRNAs belong to a still-growing list of newly recognized gene regulators. These regulatory RNAs are not translated into proteins, range in size, are transcribed from different genomic locations, and hold a variety of functions. Based on these characteristics, they are segregated into different classes (91, 92). The best-characterized class of ncRNAs are short (<200 bp) microRNAs (miRNAs) that silence gene expression by either inducing degradation of target mRNA or blocking its translation (93–95). Long noncoding RNA (lncRNA; >200 bp) can also silence gene expression at the transcriptional level by affecting chromatin structure (92). This is achieved by directing de novo DNA methylation (96) or histone modification patterns (97), or mediating chromatin looping (98).

Immune cell differentiation and activation are accompanied by huge changes in ncRNA expression (99–101); e.g., TLR2 stimulation of macrophages induces the long intergenic ncRNA lincRNA-Cox2, which regulates IL-6 and CCL5 expression (102), or downregulates linc1992 (or THRIL), which affects TNF-α expression (103). TLR4 stimulation induces high levels of lnc-IL7R, and PPARG suppression and thus a cellular proinflammatory state (79). Similarly, TET deficiency drives increased IL-6 and IL-1β expression in activated macrophages, which leads to impaired resolution of inflammation (80–83), suggesting a role of DNA methylation in macrophage activation. Transition from naive B and T cells to effector and memory cells also involves DNA methylation as loci responsible for effector functions progressively lose this mark (84–88). Furthermore, in γδT cells, DNA methylation status of the Ifng locus controls the ability to produce high amounts of IFN-γ (89), whereas in Tregs, it plays a crucial role in maintaining cell identity by regulating Foxp3 expression necessary for Treg development and function (90).

Immune cell differentiation and activation are accompanied by huge changes in ncRNA expression (99–101); e.g., TLR2 stimulation of macrophages induces the long intergenic ncRNA lincRNA-Cox2, which regulates IL-6 and CCL5 expression (102), or downregulates linc1992 (or THRIL), which affects TNF-α expression (103). TLR4 stimulation induces high levels of lnc-IL7R,
which activates the endothelial cell adhesion molecules VCAM-1 and E-selectin by reducing repressive H3K27me3 promoter marks (104). NeST ncRNA interacts with the WDR5 subunit of histone H3K4 methylating complexes and induces IFN-γ expression by modifying chromatin at IFN-γ’s gene locus in CD8+ T cells (105). In CD4+ T cells, linc-R-Ccr-5’AS is important for Th2 cell migration to the lung (100). Although ncRNAs are very abundant, their role during immune responses is only beginning to be understood.

Once acquired, epigenetic changes persist throughout cell divisions, enabling the maintenance of cell identity (106). However, responsiveness to environmental cues and reversibility of epigenetic landscapes permit plasticity in transcriptional programs of already differentiated cells. These features position epigenetic mechanisms as ideal molecular instruments for controlling immune cell activity, which must remain specialized in function but able to adjust response according to various environmental exposures. Unsurprisingly, genome-wide changes in DNA methylation, histone modifications, chromatin accessibility, ncRNA expression, and long-range chromatin interactions accompany immune cell development throughout hematopoiesis (56, 107, 108) as well as activation. While the role of epigenetics during development, differentiation, and activation is well accepted (Figure 2B), much less is known regarding the resolution and repair phase of immune responses, for which we will highlight some recent findings in cells of the innate and adaptive immune systems.

Epigenetic reprogramming in innate immune cells during injury

Tissue injury sensed by the immune system produces a concerted action of different immune cells. Tissue-resident immune cells are first responders to the insult, inducing proinflammatory signals to attract further circulating immune cells, such as monocytes and neutrophils. When the insult is cleared, inflammation must be countered, proinflammatory cells eliminated, further leukocyte recruitment abrogated, and tissue repair mechanisms supported — actions facilitated by specialized pro-resolving mediators (SPMs) that act on immune cells and surrounding tissues to restore homeostasis (109). Failed resolution of damage and the associated acute inflammatory reaction lead to abscess formation, fibrosis, or chronic low-grade inflammation and consequently further tissue damage (110). Macrophages not only initiate immune reactions in response to injury, but are equally important for their resolution, e.g., via production of antiinflammatory SPMs (111). While the epigenomic landscape of different immune cell subsets and environments during homeostasis and their changes following activation are well studied, the molecular determinants of the retraction phase leading to resolution and repair are less explored. As tissue-resident macrophages critically impact local homeostasis (112, 113), signals present in their microenvironment, such as metabolites, mechanical signals, apoptotic bodies, and cytokines, prime distinct transcriptional and epigenomic programs in different tissues, thereby imparting specific responses to danger signals (42, 113–116). For example, an NaCl-rich environment alters H3K4me3 at multiple loci in macrophages, promoting a proinflammatory phenotype by facilitating increased NO release upon LPS stimulation (117), as well as a decreased antiinflammatory phenotype through silencing of the Il4 and Il13 loci (118) — collectively delaying wound repair under high-salt conditions.

Stroke is one example of tissue injury for which the impact of epigenetic regulation is already addressed (119). Dynamic global changes in DNA methylation are associated with atherosclerosis progression (120) and stroke outcome (121). More specifically, studies have linked stroke incidence or outcome to the regulation of genes involved in platelet conglomeration (TRAF, PPMLA; refs. 122, 123); cell adhesion and thereby leukocyte recruitment during acute inflammation (LINE-1; ref. 124); and angiogenesis induction during repair (THBS1; refs. 125–128). Yet, contradictory reports concerning DNA methylation’s impact in different stroke subtypes indicate a need for more in-depth analysis of different pathological settings, discrimination of epigenetic changes on the gene level, and, more importantly, study of cell type–specific alterations (119). As histone H3 acetylation is greatly reduced upon ischemic stroke, many studies found that inhibition of histone deacetylation was favorable for stroke outcome: it suppressed microglia activation, induced neuroprotective proteins (e.g., IGF-1 or Hsp70), and favored functional recovery (reviewed in ref. 119). Albeit encouraging, detailed knowledge concerning the influence of the different histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors on different members of the HDAC family and their specific effects in different cell types remains incomplete.

Similarly, histone methylation status and transcriptome regulation by differential miRNA production have been addressed in the context of stroke. While some altered epigenetic marks were generally described, studies have not yet addressed immune cells in particular, as descriptions remain on the tissue level (119). Recent results from the miRNA field address this issue; e.g., miR-155 and miR-210 induce a proinflammatory signature in microglia (129), and a miR-155 antagonist proved neuroprotective by reducing inflammation and infarct size in a mouse model for ischemic stroke (130). Conversely, miR-let-7a downregulates proinflammatory IL-6 and iNOS and upregulates factors involved in counteracting inflammation and supporting resolution, e.g., IL-4, IL-10, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (131). In fact, many miRNAs have been identified as regulating transcriptional programs during the different phases of acute inflammation, resolution, and repair upon injury (132).

Aside from ischemic insult in stroke, epigenetic regulation of immune cells throughout injury has been addressed in several other settings: A global increase in DNMT1 expression and DNA methylation in PBMCs was suggested as a biomarker for screening individuals at risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease (133). During lung inflammation, cardiolipin induced HDAC3-mediated deacetylation of the Il10 promoter, thereby inhibiting resolution (134). A similar role was noted in atherosclerosis, in which HDAC3 is upregulated in macrophages from ruptured atherosclerotic lesions and associated with inflammatory macrophages (135). Analysis of myeloid-specific Hdac3-knockout mice revealed this deacetylase as a positive regulator of arterial inflammation: deficient mice showed plaque stabilization resulting from reduced lipid accumulation and increased fibrosis via TGF-β in an atherosclerosis model (135). Resolution of arthritis is promoted by HDAC2’s interaction with Tet2, leading to deacetylation and thus repression of the Il6 locus (82). HDAC3 inhibition also proved beneficial in spinal cord injury (136).
General targeting of DNA methylation or histone acetylation may pose risk for adverse effects due to systemic modulation of immune cell function and effects on other cell types. Targeting miRNAs that regulate a narrower subset of genes appears to be a more concise approach, though the pharmacokinetic properties of miRNA agonists remain challenging and are currently being addressed. Collectively, cell type–specific spatial and temporal clarifications of molecular processes and determinants of the inflammatory cascade upon injury are crucial for developing novel therapies, particularly when targeting epigenetic mechanisms.

Epigenetic modifications in macrophages remain on the verge of being unraveled. Their changes in the context of ontogeny and the influence of environment under homeostasis demonstrate their ample plasticity (112, 113). In contrast to simplistic monovalent in vitro stimulations, a future challenge will be integrating the effects of simultaneous exposure to all signals present in the tissue (114). Exploring spatial and temporal features of cell type–specific epigenetic mechanisms during injury will be necessary to elucidate regulatory mechanisms in the involved cell types. Albeit technically challenging, the continuous fast-paced progress in epigenomic techniques will enable these kinds of studies soon.

Resolution of the acute inflammatory reaction upon injury involves a plethora of soluble mediators within the affected tissue microenvironment as well as many different cell types whose capacity to respond to these mediators determines the outcome. Deciphering the layers of epigenetic regulation acting on responsive receptors, signaling cascades, and effectors will be valuable for identifying repair-promoting therapies.

Since single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq) methods became available, the elucidation of heterogeneity within different tissue-resident immune cell populations has become a lively field of investigation. Understanding of mechanisms at play during injury, documentation of the different immune cells present, and distinguishing of subsets (e.g., resident vs. infiltrating myeloid cells) are pivotal. Notably, changes in the tissue microenvironment during injury diversify the immune cell populations present: infiltrating cells may acquire markers of tissue-resident cells, and detailed knowledge of immune cell populations present during homeostasis and injury will be necessary to address ongoing changes during this pathological process. Proper stratification is necessary to address the different contributions of different subpopulations to injury, particularly with regard to tissue repair, as well as to study underlying molecular mechanisms such as epigenetic modifications.

Epigenetic reprogramming of lymphocytes during injury
Although less is understood about the role of epigenetic mechanisms within lymphocytes during later phases of injury, particularly the repair and resolution phases, lymphocytes’ roles during wound healing are well appreciated (137, 138). T cells are clearly present at sites of injury (139–141), and depending on the tissue and T cell subsets, they can have positive or negative effects on tissue regeneration. Generally, γδ T cells, innate-like lymphocytes (ILCs), and Tregs positively influence tissue repair, while helper and cytotoxic T cells can delay fracture healing by secreting IFN-γ and TNF-α cytokines, which inhibit osteogenesis (142, 143). On the other hand, recent studies show a protective role of T cells in CNS injury (144), acute kidney injury (145), myocardial infarction (146), and muscle repair (137). Type 1 T helper (Th1) cells promote inflammation, whereas Th2 cells have antiinflammatory effects and contribute to recovery from injury. Differentiation of naive T cells toward these subsets requires T cell receptor engagement followed by epigenetic mechanisms. Effector cells deficient in the H3K27me3 methyltransferase EZH2 do not protect from Toxoplasma gondii infection and can drive autoimmune colitis (147). Conversely, during renal or bone marrow transplantation, EZH2 inhibition ameliorates allograft rejection and reduces injury by suppressing cytokine production and inducing alloreactive T cell apoptosis (148, 149). It remains unclear which receptors are involved in injury-induced T cell activation, especially during sterile inflammation such as ischemia wherein cognate antigen is absent. T cell activation at injury sites unlikely resembles naïve T cell differentiation or encompasses similar epigenetic changes, which has started to be addressed only recently (150). Cytotoxic Tc17 and helper Th17 cells induced by Staphylococcus epidermidis fight the infection by producing IL-17 but also possess wound healing potential due to their poised type 2 immunity program. They have the capacity to produce high levels of the type 2 cytokines IL-5 and IL-13, as they hold opened chromatin for these gene loci and low levels of the corresponding transcripts (150). Collectively, Tc17 cells generated during S. epidermidis colonization improve skin wound healing in an IL-13–dependent manner (150). It is therefore possible that T cells, especially tissue-resident ones, acquire poised epigenetic signatures upon encounter with an antigen, allowing for the appropriate cytokine production upon injury.

γδT cells constitute a major lymphocyte population in mucosal tissues and skin. Upon cutaneous damage, they are one of the first cellular components mobilized in response to stress signals, secreting soluble factors that attract further inflammatory cells but also directly stimulating epithelial cell proliferation by mitogens such as the keratinocyte growth factors IGF-1 (151), FGF-7, and FGF-10 (152, 153). Lung-resident γδ T cells produce IL-22, which prevents fibrosis (154), and γδ T cells in bone secrete IL-17A, accelerating osteogenesis during fracture healing (155). Whether γδ T cells produce IFN-γ or IL-17 is largely decided during thymic development. Very few γδ T cells leave the thymus as non–pre-programmed cells with the potential to be instructed by peripheral environmental cues (156). The capability to produce either IFN-γ or IL-17A, IL-17F, and IL-22 is encoded in the chromatin structure. IFN-γ–producing CD27− γδ T cells show enrichment of suppressive H3K27me3 marks at the Il17a, Il17f, and Il22 loci and enrichment of H3K4me2 at the Ifng locus, while IL-17–producing CD27+ γδ T cells possess Il17a, Il17f, and Il22 loci in a poised state with H3K27me3 and H3K4me2 present (157). Although one may conclude that epigenetic reprogramming of γδ T cells in the periphery is limited, regulating γδ T cell function during injury can still be an important process that needs further investigation in a spatiotemporal fashion during all phases of injury to better understand the role of these important immune cells during injury.

Uncontrolled inflammation after tissue damage can impair tissue healing and regeneration, as happens in chronic ischemic heart failure (158, 159). Tregs at the injury site can ease resolution of inflammation by facilitating repair of many tissues, including bone.
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Integrated view on injury including repair and resolution

During the body’s reaction toward an injury, immune cells act in a system-like fashion, involving many different immune cell types throughout the process (3, 175, 176). Different dimensions of interactions must be considered. On the population level, genetic variation can impact molecular mechanisms involved in repair and resolution processes (Figure 3). In this context, the analysis of quantitative trait loci (QTL), particularly expression QTL and epigenetic QTL, can shed light on an individual’s genetic and environmental susceptibility to dysregulation in different phases of the injury response (177). Furthermore, each individual’s exposure to a myriad of environmental stimuli throughout life results in epigenetic modifications that add another important layer on top of the genetic code explaining disease susceptibility and outcome. Because every immune cell type reacts in a time-resolved fashion during the different phases after injury, single-cell technologies that define transcriptional and epigenetic changes are becoming more and more important (178-180). Furthermore, because epigenetic regulation occurs on several levels, multi-omics approaches are required to simultaneously interrogate major regulatory epigenetic and transcriptional mechanisms during injury, repair, and resolution (Figure 3 and refs. 181, 182). So far, single-cell methods such as scATAC-Seq (183), scDNase-Seq (184), and scMNase-Seq (185) have not been applied to address the processes during repair and resolution of injuries. Unsurprisingly, we are far from being able to assess population, individual, organ, tissue, single cells, time, and multi-omics dimensions to develop an integrated view of the pathological processes.

Using myocardial infarction (MI) as a very prominent example of injury, as the world’s leading cause of death (186), we will now illustrate how an integrated view might be envisioned for future research. Recent work has clearly established the interplay between local immune cells, particularly myocardial tissue macrophages, and immigrating immune cells such as neutrophils and monocytes during MI (175). On the genetic level, over 50 loci identified in the last decade are associated with increased risk for coronary artery disease (CAD) and MI (187). The large majority of these loci are located in noncoding parts of the genome, making epigenetic regulation of transcription rather than protein structure more likely to be influenced. A very prominent susceptibility locus for CAD/MI at chromosome 9p21 was initially linked to the two closest protein-coding genes, CDKN2A and CDKN2B (188). However, more recent studies illustrate the requirement to infer causality from genetic variation studies (189–191). It is now established that the risk and nonrisk alleles at this locus code for different isoforms of the lncRNA ANRIL, resulting in differential epigenetic functionality of this lncRNA and ultimately modulating the expression of multiple genes (192).

Several epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, are linked to aberrant cardiac wound healing (193, 194), although current knowledge is mostly based on studies assessing epigenetic changes on the tissue level rather than the cell type level. For example, patients with end-stage heart failure show global reduction of DNA methylation in CpG islands, and some of these epigenetic changes are associated with dysregulated expression of genes implicated in angiogenesis (195). Similarly, alterations in histone methylation were observed in human heart failure (196), yet these studies do not address epigenetic changes in individual immune cell subsets involved in heart injuries. Indeed, very little is known about epigenetic changes in immune cell subsets during heart failure or other heart injuries. Treating rats with the DNMT inhibitor 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (DAC) accelerates resolution of experimental lung injury at least partially via a salutary effect on Tregs. DAC treatment increases Treg numbers and function in the wounded lung (168). Clearly, further work is necessary to precisely understand which epigenetic mechanisms operate in Tregs and therefore might be targeted therapeutically to foster tissue repair and resolution.

Presence of ILCs also appears beneficial for restoring tissue homeostasis after injury (169). Depletion of type 2 ILCs, which are responsive to IL-33, impaired wound healing in the lung after influenza infection (170) and in corneal tissues after corneal epithelial abrasion (171). ILCs acquire specific chromatin modifications during development, rendering stimulation-inducible genes poised for expression. Neither in vitro nor in vivo stimulation seems to affect chromatin accessibility in ILCs (172, 173). However, the microbiome influences the epigenetic landscape of different ILC subgroups (173). Clearly, the role of epigenetic changes within individual ILC populations in response to tissue damage remains to be determined.

Collectively, while the role of lymphocytes during injury is becoming more explicit, the epigenetic events occurring during later phases of the immune response to tissue damage are largely unknown, particularly regarding epigenetic reprogramming of lymphocytes. Based on the few data available, it is tempting to speculate that cells involved in tissue repair including γδ T cells, Tc17 cells, and ILCs acquire their healing potential through reprogramming at the chromatin level before the injury happens. It is also critical to address the role of epigenetic mechanisms during the late repair and resolution phases. First attempts to look into the role of epigenetics in this process remain very limited. Although epigenetic inhibitors have already been tested as potential strategies for improving wound healing processes (148, 149, 174), a better understanding of epigenetic events involved in tissue repair is necessary to develop precision medicine strategies.
tion of repair mechanisms within adult and neonatal mammalian hearts suggested that both adult and neonatal immune cell compartments express a proliferative gene expression network following MI. In contrast, adult cardiomyocytes are unable to reactivate the network of proliferative genes expressed in neonatal cardiomyocytes following MI (199). An integrated view on transcriptional regulation and genome-wide chromatin accessibility landscapes in cardiomyocytes after MI reveals that loci required for entering the cell cycle are characterized by a closed chromatin landscape. This allows neonatal cells to repair MI without leading to scar formation, which is the major option for resolving the injury in adults. While this study reveals important epigenetic and transcriptional regulatory mechanisms influencing post-MI repair and resolution mechanisms on the cell type level, we must consider further heterogeneity within individual cell types during all phases of injury. scRNA-Seq technologies are well suited to address such heterogeneity, even in a spatiotemporal fashion (179, 180). Applied to MI, functionally defined cellular states within the myeloid compartment are recognized in infarcted tissue (200). Combined with fate-mapping technologies, immunofluorescence studies, and temporal kinetics of individual subpopulations, a picture of differential functionality within the myeloid cell compartment during the different post-MI phases evolves. The earliest phases involve functional changes in local tissue macrophages, leading to an influx of neutrophils and monocytes that promote an inflammatory reaction. These cells are replaced at later time points by subsets characterized by classical repair mechanisms (178, 200). Particularly surprising within these studies is the functional heterogeneity of immune cells during the different phases of an injury such as MI. Understanding these distinct functional states within the myeloid compartment allows targeting of particular subpopulations to manipulate the natural history of the disease (180, 200). For example, depleting resident macrophages after infarct worsens cardiac function, indicating that protecting these cells from depletion might be a potential therapeutic concept. Clearly, we must further evaluate the predicted functionality of all the newly identified functional states and link these transcriptional changes to epigenetic regulation that also might be targeted therapeutically.

**Outlook and summary**

Epigenetic regulatory mechanisms are very dynamic and confer numerous options for any single cell to react to changes in its microenvironment, be they homeostatic or pathophysiological. Injuries, with their different phases, require well-orchestrated epigenetic changes in all immune cells involved in the process to allow for a proper restoration of tissue homeostasis following the acute inflammation upon injury. As we have only begun to understand the many different epigenetic mechanisms that exist, it is not surprising that our picture of epigenetics’ role in later phases of injuries, namely repair and resolution, remains mostly incomplete. Profound knowledge about the different tissue-resident and patrolling immune cell populations and subsets present under homeostatic conditions is a prerequisite to understand pathophysiological processes. Single-cell technologies are currently paving the way to decipher and describe immune cell populations at unprecedented levels, enabling isolation and characterization of rare cells on an epigenetic level. Technological limitations of many epigenetic assays concerning the quantity of necessary input material have prohibited detailed studies of these processes during injury. Yet we strongly believe that it will be rather rewarding to complete these studies, as the decision between tissue resolution after injury versus chronic low-grade inflammation, development of fibrosis, or generation of abscesses as second-best options is certainly affected and modulated by distinct epigenetic regulatory mechanisms. The conditions and factors present in injured tissue are influential, but it is also becoming clear that genetic variability, age, and environmental influences can leave their epigenetic mark and influence injury susceptibility and outcome. Revealing these specific epigenetic switches in defined immune cell subsets will bring us closer to targeted therapies for many of the pathophysiological conditions following injuries, and these epigenetically motivated therapies might form the basis of precision medicine for related chronic illnesses.
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