T cell heterogeneity is highly relevant to allergic disorders. We resolved the heterogeneity of human tissue CD3+ T cells during allergic inflammation, focusing on a tissue-specific allergic disease, eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE). We investigated 1088 single T cells derived from patients with a spectrum of disease activity. Eight disparate tissue T cell subtypes (designated T1–T8) were identified, with T7 and T8 enriched in the diseased tissue. The phenotypes of T7 and T8 resemble putative Treg (FOXP3+) and effector Th2-like (GATA3+) cells, respectively. Prodigious levels of IL-5 and IL-13 were confined to HPGDS+ CRTH2+IL-17RB+FFAR3+CD4+ T8 effector Th2 cells. EoE severity closely paralleled a lipid/fatty acid–induced activation node highlighted by the expression of the short-chain fatty acid receptor FFAR3. Ligands for FFAR3 induced Th2 cytokine production from human and murine T cells, including in an in vivo allergy model. Therefore, we elucidated the defining characteristics of tissue-residing CD3+ T cells in EoE, a specific enrichment of CD4+ Treg and effector Th2 cells, confinement of type 2 cytokine production to the CD4+ effector population, a highly likely role for FFAR3 in amplifying local Th2 responses in EoE, and a resource to further dissect tissue lymphocytes and allergic responses.
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T cell heterogeneity is highly relevant to allergic disorders. We resolved the heterogeneity of human tissue CD3+ T cells during allergic inflammation, focusing on a tissue-specific allergic disease, eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE). We investigated 1088 single T cells derived from patients with a spectrum of disease activity. Eight disparate tissue T cell subtypes (designated T1–T8) were identified, with T7 and T8 enriched in the diseased tissue. The phenotypes of T7 and T8 resemble putative Treg (FOXP3+) and effector Th2-like (GATA3+) cells, respectively. Prodigious levels of IL-5 and IL-13 were confined to HPGDS+ CRTH2+IL-17RB+FFAR3+CD4+ T8 effector Th2 cells. EoE severity closely paralleled a lipid/fatty acid–induced activation node highlighted by the expression of the short-chain fatty acid receptor FFAR3. Ligands for FFAR3 induced Th2 cytokine production from human and murine T cells, including in an in vivo allergy model. Therefore, we elucidated the defining characteristics of tissue-residing CD3+ T cells in EoE, a specific enrichment of CD4+ Treg and effector Th2 cells, confinement of type 2 cytokine production to the CD4+ effector population, a highly likely role for FFAR3 in amplifying local Th2 responses in EoE, and a resource to further dissect tissue lymphocytes and allergic responses.

Introduction
Substantial heterogeneity of CD3+ T cells exist, starting grossly with CD4+ and CD8+ cells, which are involved in helper and cytotoxic responses, respectively. CD4+ T helper (Th) cells, as the orchestrators of adaptive immunity, are divided into cells that secrete discrete families of cytokines such as Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells (1). Imbalanced levels or activity of these cells results in substantial consequences regarding susceptibility to infections, cancer, allergy, and autoinflammatory diseases; thus, there is considerable regulatory control of T cell responses, largely mediated by Tregs. Most studies focused on characterizing these cells in humans have been conducted on circulating cells, despite that most T cell effector function occurs in the tissue.

Herein, we applied single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to profile 1088 human T cells residing in the tissues of subjects with healthy and allergic states to reveal the diversity of transcriptional phenotypes that can be adopted by tissue T cells. We focused on analyzing a model, tissue-specific, allergic disease termed eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE), as this is a food antigen–driven disease involving adaptive T cell memory (2). EoE is dependent on cytokines likely derived from T cells (e.g., IL-13), as evidenced by recent successful clinical trials with anti–IL-13 and anti–IL-4Rα antibody therapy (3–5). Importantly, human tissue samples enriched in T cells were readily available via endoscopy, providing an opportunity to probe human tissue T cells at the single-cell level (Figure 1A). To fill the gaps in understanding the functionality of tissue lymphocytes, we concentrated on several driving queries, including (a) what is the heterogeneity of tissue CD3+ T cells, (b) how distinct are tissue CD3+ T cells from their circulating counterparts, (c) do any EoE-specific T cell subpopulations exist and how do they contribute to pathogenesis, and (d) are there any natural agents from food source/microbiota that could regulate the pathogenesis of disease-specific tissue T cells?

Results
Building the human experimental single-cell platforms. We first probed single-cell suspensions from human endoscopic biopsies by FACS, focusing on CD45/CD3 double-positive cells and comparing them to cells obtained from autologous blood (Figure 1, A and B). iNKT cells (defined by invariant TCR Vα24-Jα18) and B cells (defined by CD19) did not represent a substantial population of cells from this mucosal tissue (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI125917DS1). T cells (µB) markedly dominated over δγ T cells in cellularity, at both the protein (Supplemental Figure 1C) and mRNA (Supplemental Figure 1D) levels. The total number of T
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CD25 events were found to be overrepresented in tissue compared with the typical CD4+ T cell dominance in the circulation (Figure 2B).

CD25/IL-2Raα events were found to be overrepresented in tissue samples with active EoE compared with samples with inflammation in remission or lack of EoE presence/history (Figure 2C, P < 0.01, 2-way ANOVA/Bonferroni posttesting). Although CD25 expression was significantly augmented in tissue CD4+ cells compared with their blood counterparts (Figure 2C, P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA), no statistical difference of CD25 expression was found within the circulating CD4+ T cell compartment in the context of the inflammation activity (2-way ANOVA/Bonferroni posttesting). As a control, CD25 expression was barely observed in CD8+ T cells (Figure 2C). A robust correlation between CD25+CD4+ events and eosinophil levels was seen in the tissue but not the circulation (Figure 2D, P < 0.001, Spearman r = 0.65 for tissue correlation). CD25, the IL-2 receptor α chain, is a component of activated T cells as well as a feature of Tregs. The CD25+ cells identified were positive for the transcription factor FOXP3 (Figure 2E), consistent with being tissue residential Tregs. Similar to circulating Tregs, these tissue CD25+ cells exhibited a CD127hi, CD45RO+, and CTLA4+ phenotype, suggesting that they resemble memory effector Tregs (Figure 2E).

Bulk CD3+ RNA-seq identifies specific gene cohorts related to tissue and allergic inflammation. Regardless of disease status, there were 331 unique genes significantly differentially expressed between autologous blood and tissue CD3+ T cells using stringent criteria (FDR-adjusted P < 0.05, fold change >10, moderated 2-tailed t test) (Figure 3A; full gene list in Supplemental Table 1 and full pathway analysis in Supplemental Table 2). We found functional nodes involved in leukocyte migration, defensive response to gastrointestinal stimuli, and regulated exocytosis (Supplemental Figure 2A). Likewise, the FDR-adjusted P value-prioritized approach indicated functional nodes enriched in gastrointestinal anatomical structure development, multi-cellular communication, and immune response to stimuli (Supplemental Figure 2B). A cohort of 150 genes that were different between normal and active disease tissue lymphocytes was identified, with 147 of the genes being upregulated in allergic compared with control tissue T cells (Figure 3B, and Supplemental Table 3, FDR-adjusted P < 0.05, fold change >10, moderated 2-tailed t test). Functional prediction analysis of these 150 disease-associated genes indicated enrichment of pathways involved in granulocyte degranulation, activation, migration, and immune exocytosis (Supplemental Figure 3A and Supplemental Table 3). Representative Th2-related genes are shown across all conditions (Figure 3C). Because the lymphocyte transcript signature did not completely normalize following remission (Figure 3B, and Supplemental Figure 2C), remission tissue lymphocytes were genetically compared with active inflammation and normal tissue lymphocytes for genes potentially accounting for EoE relapse. With genome-wide Mann-Whitney tests, a cohort of 217 genes was found to be dysregulated between remission and active inflammatory disease and a cohort of 333 genes was dysregulated between remission and normal lymphocytes (Supplemental Figure 2C, FDR-adjusted P < 0.05, fold change >2, and Supplemental Table 4, A and B).

The flow cytometry finding of the overabundance of CD25+ T cells in allergic tissue (Figure 2, C–E) was substantiated by bulk RNA-seq of CD3+ T cells. The major Th2 cytokines, namely IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, were robustly expressed by tissue lymphocytes present in EoE, with the gene of the eosinophil-directed cytokine IL-5 being the most dysregulated (Figure 3C). IL5 demonstrated a 1500-fold increase in cells from active EoE compared with control tissue. Of note, the gene of the classical Th2 marker IL-17RB
Figure 2. FACS analysis of CD3+ T cells in blood and tissue. (A) The number of CD4+, CD8+, and pan CD3+ T cells isolated from a single biopsy were enumerated from 4 different cohorts: normal (N, n = 9), complete remission (CR, n = 6), partial remission (PR, n = 12), and active inflammation (A, n = 13). Two-way ANOVA, EoE factor: P < 0.001; CD4/CD8 factor: P < 0.001; interaction, nonsignificant. (B) With the same 4 disease cohorts, the CD4/CD8 ratio was quantified from autologous blood and tissue samples. Two-way ANOVA, EoE factor nonsignificant; tissue/blood factor: P < 0.001; interaction, nonsignificant. (C) The percentages of CD25+ events were quantified by normalizing to gated CD4+ or CD8+ events. CD25/CD4+ 2-way ANOVA, EoE factor: P < 0.001; tissue/blood factor: P < 0.001; interaction, P < 0.001 Bonferroni test: P < 0.05, normal controls (N) versus PR; P < 0.001, N versus A. (D) The percentages of CD25+ CD4+ cells was correlated with tissue eosinophilia, but not autologous blood eosinophilia, with the P value and Spearman r shown. (E) Tissue and autologous blood CD3+CD4+ events (blue) plotted in the context of multiple proteins of interest, with CD25+ events labelled in red. Two-way ANOVA was used for multi-comparisons in C, D and E. Box and whisker plots are shown indicating mean and minimum/maximum values.

ScRNA-seq identifies 8 principal tissue T cell subtypes. We utilized the C1 Fluidigm system to cumulatively capture 1088 CD3+/CD45+ sorted live T cells from 17 subjects with active EoE (11 with active inflammation and 6 with resolved inflammation) and 5 normal control individuals (clinical and demographic parameters are summarized in Supplemental Table 5). The work flow is schematically summarized in Supplemental Figure 4A. Live cells were selected by FACS sorting of CD3+CD45+ and each single T cell was examined microscopically after it was loaded onto the C1 Fluidigm chip. Each cell was targeted for 2 million pair-ended reads. Alignment to hg19 human genome annotation (GRCh37 assembly) yielded 45,987 unique aligned entities. During quality control measures, only one duplet was found, and it was excluded from the analysis, resulting in a total pool of 1088 CD3+ tissue lymphocytes. By the random C1 Fluidigm capture, we obtained 584, 265, and 239 tissue lymphocytes from subjects with active EoE, inactive EoE, and control subjects, respectively, which is consistent with the increased T cell cellularity differences observed by FACS (Figure 2A). Next, an unsupervised approach to define tissue T cell subtypes was performed by principal components analysis (PCA) to screen for those genes whose expression most contributed to the observed variations among different subgroups. Briefly, a summation of the top contributing genes in each unique cluster/component was assembled into a collective cohort of 1114 genes contributing to the interclass variations in tissue lymphocytes present in EoE (Supplemental Figure 4A, Supplemental Table 6). These genes formed the basis for identifying 8 unique T cell populations, designated T1–T8 (Figure 4A–E). A heatmap of the select cohort of genes that differentiates T1–T8 is shown (Supplemental Figure 4B). No global changes in transcriptional levels were a function of T1–T8 classification, EoE disease activity, or disease severity (as assessed by tissue eosinophilia) (Supplemental Figure 5A) despite the vast heterogeneity and local T cluster–specific activation described later. The composition of CD4 and CD8 (including both moieties of the CD8 dimer) was analyzed by 3D reconstruction and showed dichotomy between CD4 and CD8α/B (Supplemental Figure 5B), with the CD4 component being highly enriched in the active inflammatory disease group. Though most CD8 cells were CD8αβ, CD8αα (likely marking natural intraepithelial lymphocytes) was more frequent than CD8ββ. There was no detectable difference in disease activity as a function of CD8α/CD8β composition, except for the disease-specific enrichment of the CD4 component (Supplemental Figure 5B). We observed a scarce proportion of CD4/CD8 double-positive events particularly in active inflammatory disease, consistent with a surface phenotype of activated memory CD4+ T cells (12). Additionally, CD4 CD8α double-negative CD3+ cells...
were detectable independent of disease activity by FACS (Figure 1B) and scRNA-seq (Supplemental Figure 11). A consistent portion of all T cell clusters (T1–T8) exhibited a classical tissue resident memory (TRM) surface phenotype of CD69+CD103+ (Supplemental Figure 6). Collectively, we identified T1–T6 cells largely as CD8+ cells and T7–T8 cells largely as CD4+ cells.

Identification of 2 overrepresented T cell subpopulations. We reduced the dimensionality of the genetic variation of the T1–T8 clusters to a 2D plot using t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 4A) (13). Most notably, clusters T7 and T8 segregated distinctively from T1–T6 (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 6A). T8 was dramatically overrepresented in EoE tissue, followed by T7 (Figure 4A). The levels of T7 and T8 as a function of total CD3+ T cells were 11% and 6.4% in subjects with active EoE, 3% and 0.4% in subjects with EoE remission, and 4.6% and 0% in control individuals, respectively. The composition of T1–T8 as a function of the 3 EoE disease states is presented in Figure 4B. A heat diagram that depicts the single-cell presence for each of the 8 clusters broken down as a function of inflammatory disease activity is shown in Supplemental Figure 4C. Importantly, the overall cellularity increase in allergic inflammation was highlighted by T7 and T8, which had a pronounced overrepresentation in patients with active EoE (Figure 4, A and B and Supplemental Figure 4C).
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Figure 4. scRNA-seq identification of 8 lymphocyte subpopulations. (A) Left panel: with t-SNE dimensionality reduction, the T1–T8 clusters were 2D plotted with each tissue T cell subclass color coded as indicated. Right panel: a 2D plot was color coded in the context of patients with and without EoE (non-EoE group includes NL and EoE remission). (B) With the large rectangle representing all single T cells isolated from NL, EoE remission, and active EoE subjects, the cellularity presence of T1–T8 subclasses was proportionally graphed within the disease status bin that was set to the equal area as 100%. (C) To emphasize the expression profiles of T7 and T8, a heatmap was selectively generated focusing on the T7-specific Treg genes and the T8-specific Th2-functionality genes, as well as those genes common to both clusters. Four patterns were identified on the basis of differential expression. Patterns I, II, III, and IV were enriched with distinct T clusters—I with T7+T8, II with T7 only, III with ubiquitous T clusters but T8 enriched, and IV with T8 only. (D) A volcano plot comparing top differentially expressed genes between T7 and T8, with key significant genes labeled. Colorized entities represent passing the filter of FDR-adjusted $P < 0.05$ and fold change $>16$ with red-blue gradient on FDR-adjusted $P$ values. (E) A series of radar plots based on relevant functional gene sets was generated on logarithm scale to depict the expression characteristics of T1–T8 restricted to Th2 cell markers and cytokines, Treg properties, migration molecule expression related to chemotaxis and adhesion, and T cytotoxic cells properties, respectively. T7 (blue line) and T8 (red line) are shown in bold to emphasize the 2 disease-associated clusters.
Defining the immunological identity of T7 and T8. With the T1–T8 defined by PCA (Figure 4A and Supplemental Table 6), we next contrasted the signatures of the disease-specific T7 and T8 alongside T1–T6 (T7+T8 vs. T1–T6, Figure 4C), further substratifying the genes into 4 cohorts with distinct patterns. Patterns I, II, III, and IV were enriched with distinct T clusters: I with T7+T8, II with T7 only, III with ubiquitous T clusters but T8 enriched, and IV with T8 only. T7 and T8 were the only 2 CD4-enriched clusters, with T7 having a Treg phenotype (CD4+ MAF+ FOXP3+ CTLA4+ IL-10+) and T8 expressing uniquely high levels of Th2 cytokines, GATA3, and Th2 signature markers (Figure 4, C–E). All T clusters were found to be highly positive for KLRB1 (CD161), with the highest levels expressed in T7 and T8 (Figure 4E), which is consistent with a recent finding that allergen-specific T effector cells are marked by Th2 cytokines and CD161 (14).

Radar scanning identified closeness of genes of major cytotoxic T cell components (Figure 4E), such as perforin, granzyme, and interferon-γ, and cytotoxic T cells to T1–T6 cells. T8 was identified as the only bona fide effector Th2 cell population (Th2 properties closeness), and T7 was identified as Treg cells with certain features shared by T8, such as high levels of ICOS and CTLA4 (Treg activity closeness). Notably, CD25 (IL2RA) was also shared by T7 and T8 (Figure 4, C–E), with a much higher level in T7, which is consistent with classical Tregs having high CD25 levels and activated T cells having intermediate levels. Focusing on markers of cellular trafficking (migration closeness), T8 had high levels of CXCR4 (15) but not CCR6 (16) or CCR8 (17). CCR6 was uniquely expressed by T7, and T7 and T8 coexpressed high levels of CXCR4, suggesting the role of CCR4 in pathogenic Th2 cell homing during allergic inflammation. Interestingly, T8 was specifically enriched by CD294/CRTH2/PTGDR2 (18) and a homing integrin α4 (ITGA4), implying the potential to block the migration and function of the T8 cells with CRTH2 antagonists (19) and anti-integrin α4 (natalizumab, ref. 20, or vedolizumab, ref. 21). Within T7 and T8, CD103 was almost never expressed by CD25+ cells (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B), suggesting that activated T7 and T8 cells are newly recruited to the inflamed tissue from the circulation (6).

T7 cells exhibited gene expression consistent with a memory Treg (22) signature of CD4+ FOXP3+ IL-10– CTLA4– IL-2A (CD25)+ IL-1R1/R2+ (Figure 4, C–E), consistent with the overabundance of CD25+ FOXP3+ cells by flow cytometry (Figure 2, C–E). These cells unexpectedly expressed modest levels of TGFB1 (Supplemental Figure 8) despite high levels of IL10 (Supplemental Figure 12). Accordingly, T8 expressed IL10RA and IL10RB (Figure 4D), implying that T7 secretes IL-10 to suppress T8 and to help B cells class switch to IgG4 (23). Indeed, B cell class switching was one of the functional nodes exhibiting closeness with T7 (Supplemental Figure 9A). Importantly, at the single-cell level, the T8 gene expression profile suggested that T8 was largely CD4+ and predominantly GATA3+ IL-4+ IL-5+ IL-13+ HPGDS+ IL-17RB+ CD40LG+ NFAT1+ NFIB+ AHR+ NR3C1+ PPARγ, uncovering the cellular source of the vast amounts of Th2-associated cytokines (Figure 3C). Notably, these sets of Th2 transcript signatures were completely absent in T1–T6 (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure 4C), indicating that EoE was causally associated with a subset of overabundant tissue lymphocytes, identified as T7 (Treg) and T8 (effector Th2).

Transcriptome comparison of T7 and T8. Genome-wide expression profiles of T7 and T8 (RPKM > 2.0 in any sample, FDR-adjusted P value < 0.05 by Mann-Whitney, fold change >16.0, T8 vs. T7) identified 427 genes with differential expression between these 2 disease-specific T cell clusters; of these 427 differentially expressed genes, 395 were higher in T8 and 32 were higher in T7 (Supplemental Table 7). A volcano plot comparing the transcriptome of T7 and T8 illustrated 2 cohorts of critical genes specific to T7 and T8, with the more upregulated genes highlighting the superactivated state of T8 (Figure 4D). Besides the leading difference of transcription factors (FOXP3 versus GATA3), the differential genes between T7 and T8 were highlighted by genes that encoded for Th2 cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-5, IL-13), inhibitory/activation markers (e.g., SLAMF1, CD40LG), inhibitory cytokines (e.g., IL10), IL-1 receptor family members (e.g., IL1R1, IL1R2), acute activation proteins (e.g., JUN), classical Th2 markers (e.g., PTGDR2[CRTH2], IL17RB [IL25R], IL1RL1 [ST2L], HPGDS), and the SCFA receptor FFAR3.

An unsupervised comparison of T7 and T8 versus all other clusters was performed with the goal of deciphering the biological functions of T7 and T8. Significant gene lists of T7 and T8 were filtered by fold change (>4.0, T7+T8 vs. all others) followed by functional interrogation. Briefly, T7 was largely enriched in negative regulation of immune responses and cytokine biosynthesis, T cell selection, IgG isotype switching, regulation of CD4+ αβ T cell lineage commitment, T cell costimulation and activation, and positive regulation of IL-2 synthesis, with positive regulation of T cell immune responses also being identified (Supplemental Figure 9A). T8 was primarily enriched in Th2 cytokine production and exocytosis; T cell selection, activation, and differentiation; response to hypoxia; and a superactivated state due to inputs from NFκB, MAPK, and TLR pathways, as well as stimulation from lipid and calcium (Supplemental Figure 9B). The full functional nodes (screened by FDR-adjusted P < 0.05, Fisher test–based) for defining T7 and T8 are presented in Supplemental Tables 8 and 9, respectively.

Classical transcription factor analysis of T7 and T8. T8 expressed high levels of the key Th2 transcription factor GATA3, T7 expressed the highest levels of FOXP3, and T8 and T7 expressed equally high levels of MAF. Specifically, high levels of PPARγ, AHR, NR3C1 (the bona fide glucocorticoid receptor), NFκB1, NFAT3, NFAT5, and JUN were enriched in T8 (Figure 4, C–E), suggesting that T8 cells are acutely activated effectors that are particularly sensitive to lipids, including fatty acids, arachidonic acids, and aryl carbons (Supplemental Figure 9B). GATA3 was expressed at the highest levels in T8 but also was present in T7 cells. In contrast, FOXP3 was expressed in T7 and completely absent in T8 cells (Supplemental Figure 10, A–C). Expression of FOXP3 and GATA3 inversely correlated in T7 with the presence of the double-positive cells (Supplemental Figure 10, A and B). Notably, GATA3+ FOXP3+ CD4+ T cells have been found in mice with impaired oral tolerance and enhanced allergic responses (24–26). The cumulative value (Sigma/2) for FOXP3 was highest in T7 and substantially lower in all other T cluster populations, whereas the cumulative value (Sigma/2) for GATA3 was highest in T8 but also present in all other populations (Supplemental Figure 10C). Flow cytometry demonstrated the presence and dichotomy of FOXP3+ and GATA3+ events in EoE biopsy tissue confined to the CD4 compartment and the clear presence of double-positive cells (Supplemental Figure 10D).
T7 cells expressed markers not typically pertaining to Tregs, such as CD40LG, IFNG, TNFA, and IL17A. These results at least partially support the idea that Tregs, by acquiring GATA3 expression during EoE allergic inflammation, may lose their immune-inhibitory properties and generate a transitional Th population that has an impaired potential of suppressive function and may exhibit characteristics of effector Th2 cells.

**Th2 cytokine single-cell topology at mRNA levels.** At both mRNA and protein levels, we aimed to uncover the Boolean pattern of Th2 cytokine–producer (defined by detectable RPKMs on any Th2 cytokine transcript) approximately 2 times higher than the aforementioned 6.4% of T8 (defined as effector Th2 cells) by PCA in allergic tissue. Importantly, Th2 cytokine producers were barely detected in normal and remission tissue (Figure 5A), indicating the specific control of gene expression in the 5q31-33 locus at the single-cell level. Despite encoding a Th1 cytokine, IFNG was abundantly expressed by tissue lymphocytes across all disease states (active and remission). IFNG was expressed primarily in T2–T5 cells, rarely in T6–T7 cells, and hardly ever in T8 cells (Supplemental Figure 8). Notably, occasional IFNG-expressing Tregs were present in T7 cells; this finding contrasted with the IFNG-negative phenotype in T8 effector Th2 cells (Supplemental Figure 8), suggesting a role of IFN-γ in the Treg/T7 cell heterogeneity and instability previously shown (29).

Among the 584 EoE single cells randomly collected from 11 subjects with active EoE, there were 5.5% IL-5–producing, 11.1% IL-13–producing, and 1.7% IL-4–producing Th2 cells (with overlapping spectrums, Figure 5, A–C). IL-5/IL-13 and IL-13/IL-4 double producers were 25% and 4% of all Th2 cytokine–producing capable cells isolated from tissue with active EoE, respectively. Beyond the double producers, we also identified 10% of the Th2 cytokine–producing capable cells as robust, triple-cytokine producers (Figure 5B). Human blood Th2 cells gain IL-4 capacity earlier than IL-5, which is deemed a terminal event during human Th2 differentiation (27). Relatedly, we did not detect the cellular presence of any single IL-4–producing cell, nor IL-5/IL-4–double-positive cells. We explored the relationships of all single, double, and triple producers (Figure 5B). Nearly all cytokine-producing cells expressed IL-13, with approximately a quarter also expressing IL-5. Of note, no Th2 cytokines were expressed in the T7 population or in the T1–T6 populations (Figure 4, C–E).

The CD4+ T cells doubled in concentration during active EoE compared with controls, and Th2 cytokine expression (IL4, IL5, and IL13) was primarily confined to the CD4 compartment (Supplemental Figure 11). There was complete dichotomy between IFNG and Th2 cytokine expression; few cells expressing IFNG expressed IL4, IL5, or IL13 (Figure 5C). IFNG was the most abundant cytokine produced by tissue lymphocytes, reaching 84.7% of total T helper cytokine reads (Figure 5D), followed by IL13 and IL5 (11.3% and 3.4%, respectively). IL4 constituted less than 1% of total cytokine load, suggesting that IL4 is critical only in the early induction phase of human Th2 inflammation. Interestingly, IL10, which encodes a key negative regulatory cytokine, was expressed
also detected in a small branch of CD4 + T cells, although CD8 + T at the protein level by FACS (Figure 2, C–E). IL-10 protein was ease (Supplemental Figure 12D), a finding that we corroborated TGFB1 among allergic tissue. Focusing on T7 (Treg) cells, β cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β argues for the role of Tregs in synthesizing the antiinflammatory expression was present in the other T clusters, primarily in T7 (Supplemental Figure 12A), and expression of IL10 was mutually exclusive with that of Th2 cytokine genes at the single-cell level (Supplemental Figure 12E). Classical theory at the single-cell level (Supplemental Figure 12A), with T7 having the highest and T8 having the lowest expression. The lack of IL10 in T8 is consistent with T8 being the Th2 cytokine source and IL-10 being a suppressive cytokine; indeed, IL10 expression was present in the other T clusters, which was robustly produced by all T cell clusters more than expected (Supplemental Figure 12D), a finding that we corroborated at the protein level by FACS (Figure 2, C-E). IL-10 protein was also detected in a small branch of CD4+ T cells, although CD8+ T cells also produce it (Supplemental Figure 12B). The difference in observed abundance in IL-10 levels (mRNA vs. protein) suggests that IL-10 may be regulated posttranscriptionally in vivo. Finally, TGFB1 and FOXP3 do not overlap with IL10 at the single-cell level, which was robustly produced by all T cell clusters more than expected (Supplemental Figure 12, A and D–F); thus, these data suggest that IL-10 and TGFB-β are differentially regulated at different levels in tissue Tregs.

Though mastocytosis typically accompanies allergic inflammation including EoE, there was barely any molecular evidence for Th9 cells, as indicated by the absence of IL9 expression in T1-T8 (Supplemental Figure 8); likewise, no substantial IL6 and IL3 expression was observed in any T cell compartment. IL17A+ cells were primarily confined to the CD8 populations, though a portion of T7 was also IL17A+. However, we did not observe any association with disease activity (data not shown). TGFB1 levels were detected to a moderate degree in CD8+ T1-T6, were the highest in T7, and were not detected in T8. IL2 was produced by T1-T7 at variable levels, whereas the IL-2 receptor (IL2RA/CD25) was mainly expressed by T7 and T8 (Figure 4, C-E and Supplemental Figure 8), consistent with FACS data that showed the increase of CD4+CD25+ events in active disease (Figure 2, C-E). Mild to moderate levels of IL17A were found across T1-T3 and T7 clusters but not in T4, T6, and T8 (Supplemental Figure 8).

Th2 cytokine single-cell topology at the protein level. A methodologic limitation of scRNA-seq is that it is focused on mRNA transcripts rather than protein levels. Therefore, we aimed to define Th2 cytokine production topology by tissue effector T cells using FACS. We first showed that the Th2 cytokine profiles are present at the protein level, thereby confirming 4 critical findings from our scRNA-seq experiments: (a) Th2 cytokine producers are dramatically enriched in allergic tissue compared with autologous blood (Figure 6A); (b) IL-13 is the dominant tissue cytokine compared with IL-4 and IL-5 (Figure 6B); (c) single T cells producing more than 1 Th2 cytokine are present (Figure 6B); and (d) the robust correlation of Th2 cytokines with disease activities is observed in the tissue, but not in the autologous blood T cell counterpart (Figure 6A).

We also aimed to elucidate the composition and developmental pathway of tissue CD3+ T cells in active EoE to identify the cytokine production framework. All active EoE flow cytometry files (n = 13, >50,000 CD3+ events collectively) were pooled for a SPADE (spanning-tree progression analysis of density-normalized events) analysis (30). A semiautomatic tree-building algorithm analyzed the developmental status of 5 parameters (CD8, IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, and IFN-γ), generating the tree based on the levels and relationship of the 5 leads without supervision. The first annotation resulted in a first-degree bifurcation of tissue lymphocyte 1 (TL1) and TL2 (Figure 6B), clearly and solely driven by the differential expression of CD4/CD8. The CD3+ T cell tree is shown with a heat diagram focused on 6 major cytokines of interest (Figure 6B). Focusing on in situ T effector differentiation, IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 were produced by a small group of terminally differentiated T cells with largely overlapping but slightly different composition, among which IL-13 had the most robust production, potentially explaining its cellular and molecular dominance among Th2 cytokines (mRNA level: Figure 4, C-E, Figure 5, A–C, and Supplemental Figure 11; protein level: Figure 6). In the active disease tissue, all Th2 cytokines were produced by the TL1 arm with CD4+ identity (Figure 6B). Consistent with the scRNA-seq data (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 11), IFN-γ was robustly produced and exhibited a complete dichotomy from Th2 cytokines. Both TL1/CD4+ and TL2/CD8+ are capable of producing IFN-γ with CD8+ cell molecular dominance (Figure 6B). IL-2, predominantly produced by CD4+ cells, was synthesized from a distinct branch of TL1/CD4+ cells. These CD4+ cells transiently expressed IL-2 and were also capable of producing TNF-α and IFN-γ at a later stage without any overlap with the Th2 branches. In contrast to Th2 cytokines, the differentiation topology of TNF-α and IFN-γ is featured by robust coproduction by both CD4 and CD8 with a CD8 dominance, explaining the abundance of these 2 cytokines at the molecular and cellular levels (Figure 6B).

Assessing tissue TCR repertoires. We hypothesized that allergen-driven T cells would demonstrate some degree of TCR sharing and that this may be reflected in oligoclonality, especially as food allergens are primarily restricted to a limited group of approximately 8 allergic food types. Unexpectedly, we found no evidence for this; instead, we found a trend for increased diversity among TCR clonal repertoires in patients with active EoE as shown by the increased Shannon entropy (Figure 7A, P < 0.05). We found that patients with active disease had the most diversified TCR clonality, as their repertoires had the most clonotypes that occurred only once or twice (n ≤ 2) (Figure 7B). We examined TCR CDR3 length in order to gain insight into the maturation of T cell clonal responses across differentiation stages (31). The CDR3 sequences of TCR-β in patients with disease remission were signifi- cantly shorter than those of patients with active EoE and of normal controls (P < 0.05, Figure 7C). In contrast, the TCR-β CDR3 lengths from normal controls and patients with EoE were not signifi- cantly different from each other. It has been previously shown that TCR-β CDR3 lengths reflected the T cell differentiation state in that longer CDR3 sequences were associated with a more naive-like phenotype and shorter CDR3 sequences were associated with a more effector memory-like phenotype (32). TCR-β length nega- tively correlated with the degree of clonotype sharing among
individuals (termed “publicity”) and how close the TCR-β was to the unmutated germline sequence. Thus, these data suggest that T cell clones from patients with disease remission were in a more differentiated state than those from patients with active disease and from normal controls. Moreover, we observed no sharing of TCR clonotypes among samples regardless of clinical designation. When classifying TCR clonotypes according to their T cluster designation, we found that though there was a degree of sharing of clonotypes among the T1–T6 clusters, there were no overlapping clonotypes between the T7 and T8 clusters nor between T7/T8 and the T1–T6 clusters (Figure 7D). The diversities (oligoclonality) of T7 and T8 were not different from T1–T6 despite being clonotypically distinct. These findings indicate that the T7 and T8 clusters are clonotypically distinct from T1–T6 and from each other, implying that they may have unique allergen-specific properties mediated by their TCR interaction with their cognate food allergen.

We next examined TCR-β V-J usage as a proxy for clonotype usage as a function of disease activity because no shared clones across disease states were found at the sequence level. This analytic approach extended beyond simply examining individual clonotype sequences because in the absence of a large number of sequenced T cells for each patient/sample, paired TCR-β V-J usage may reflect overall clonotype selection without being constrained by exact sequence comparisons. PCA (Figure 7E) revealed that TCR-β V-J usage by clonotypes in patients with remission was largely segregated from that of patients with active disease and from normal controls, indicating that the remission T cells were fundamentally clonotypically different. In the same PCA, TCR-β
transcriptomic findings that they were 2 distinct subsets in terms of T cell differentiation and also suggested that a different set of epitopes was responsible for stimulating them. TCR-β V-J usage was relatively independent of sequencing depth. Thus, the fact that the TCR-β V-J usage differed between remission and active inflammation, as well as normal control, suggested that T cells in remission might recognize a different set of antigens than those in active inflammation and in normal control samples, and that the difference in the remission tissue may reflect an active role of TCR clonality in the process of disease remission and relapse.

Transcription factor topological screening identifies enrichment of lipid metabolism in type 2 cytokine–producing cells. We examined 1391 manually curated human transcription factors for their expression levels as a function of the T1–T8 categorization (Supplemental Figure 13A) (35). This analysis resulted in notably distinct transcription factor profiles within each single-cell T cluster, with only a small group of transcription factors common to all CD3+ T cell subtypes. Focusing on the active EoE-relevant T7 and T8, we identified T7- and T8-selective transcription factors (Supplemental Figure 13B). T8 was enriched in transcription factors involved in lipid metabolism and fatty acid response (Supplemental Figure 13C) based on expressions of NRF1, NCCR2, AHR, NCOA1,

V4-J2, TCR-β V20-J2, and TCR-β V2-J1 pairs were enriched in the remission sector despite their distinct CDR3 sequences, whereas TCR-β V9-J2 and TCR-β V19-J2 pairs were enriched in the active disease and normal states (Figure 7F). V-J usage has been shown to be associated with the antigenicity of a given TCR (33). The uniqueness of the V-J usage during disease remission likely indicates recognition of different epitopes during this disease stage.

Taken together, single-cell TCR sequence analysis revealed that food allergen–driven T cells, at least in the context of this study, were likely driven by diverse antigenic stimulation. With respect to the greater diversity of TCR clonal repertoires in the active disease samples, a similar finding has been reported in TCR-β repertoires in patients with celiac disease (34). Similarly, with normal regarded as the baseline diversity, the implication is that T cells in patients with active disease are potentially responding to a larger set of food-derived antigens than those in normal and remission individuals. A caveat, however, is that apparently higher diversity may arise from a lack of sampling depth, given that tissue-resident CD3+CD45+ T cells were much more abundant in the patients with active disease, but that the absolute number of cells sequenced remained largely unchanged. Our finding that the T7 and T8 clusters were clonotypically distinct reinforced the transcriptomic findings that they were 2 distinct subsets in terms of T cell differentiation and also suggested that a different set of epitopes was responsible for stimulating them. TCR-β V-J usage was relatively independent of sequencing depth. Thus, the fact that the TCR-β V-J usage differed between remission and active inflammation, as well as normal control, suggested that T cells in remission might recognize a different set of antigens than those in active inflammation and in normal control samples, and that the difference in the remission tissue may reflect an active role of TCR clonality in the process of disease remission and relapse.

Transcription factor topological screening identifies enrichment of lipid metabolism in type 2 cytokine–producing cells. We examined 1391 manually curated human transcription factors for their expression levels as a function of the T1–T8 categorization (Supplemental Figure 13A) (35). This analysis resulted in notably distinct transcription factor profiles within each single-cell T cluster, with only a small group of transcription factors common to all CD3+ T cell subtypes. Focusing on the active EoE-relevant T7 and T8, we identified T7- and T8-selective transcription factors (Supplemental Figure 13B). T8 was enriched in transcription factors involved in lipid metabolism and fatty acid response (Supplemental Figure 13C) based on expressions of NRF1, NCCR2, AHR, NCOA1,
NRIH4, RXRA, the glucocorticoid receptor [NRC3I], 2 estrogen receptors [ESSRA and ESR1], and 3 members of the PPAR family [PPARG, PPARA, and PPARGC1A] (Supplemental Figure 13D). A Pearson correlation study of the expression patterns of the 1391 human transcription factors across T1–T8 indicated that T1–T5 are more related to each other and that T6, T7, and T8 differ from each other and from T1–T5 (Supplemental Figure 13E) (35).

Identification of the FFAR3/SCFA relationship with eosinophilia and IL-5. A cohort of 865 genes significantly correlated with levels of tissue eosinophils, a measure of EoE disease severity (Pearson correlation P value < 0.05, Supplemental Table 10; selected group shown in Supplemental Figure 10E). The gene cohort involves a classical Th2 marker PTGDR2 (CRTH2) ranked number 1 (P = 2.6 × 10^{−5}) and a SCFA receptor FFAR3 ranked number 5 (P = 0.0002), followed by those genes encoding IL-25R (IL17RB), as well as HPGDS, IL-5, IL-13, IL-4, EGLN3, CFLAR-AS, LIF, SOCS1, TIGIT, CACNA1D, and CCL1. These data link eosinophilia with a series of mechanistically expected (e.g., CRTH2, HPGDS, SOCS1) and unexpected genes and pathways (e.g., EGLN3, CFLAR-AS, LIF, TIGIT, CACNA1D, CCL1, and FFAR3). In light of these findings, the expression of FFAR3 robustly correlated with IL5 at the single-cell level (Figure 8A, Spearman r = 0.53, P < 0.00001).

Identification of a clinically relevant FFAR3/SCFA Th2-promoting pathway. FFAR3, conserved from rodents to humans, encodes a G protein–coupled receptor for SCFAs, with C2–C5 (named after the number of carbon atoms, ref. 36) being putative FFAR3 ligands. We first substratified all T cells within the context of EoE and found that FFAR3 is dysregulated in a pattern very similar to that of the Th2 cytokines (Figure 8B), with active disease exhibiting the high-

Figure 8. FFAR3 induction and Th2-enhancing effects of butyrate. (A) A magnified view in the upper panel emphasizing the IL5-correlating genes specifically present in the T8 cluster, with FFAR3 tracking with IL5 expression shown in the lower panel (orange, IL5 producers; blue, FFAR3+ T cells). (B) Upper panel: the single-cell expression patterns of FFAR3 across 3 disease activity states (N, normal; R, remission; A, active EoE), with each data point representing 1 of the 1088 tissue T cells. Lower panel: with 3 days of Th2 differentiation (anti–CD3-CD28 [activated] with or without IL-4), human blood CD4+ T cells isolated from patients with EoE upregulated FFAR3 transcript induced by αCD3-CD28 (activation) plus IL-4 but not αCD3-CD28 (activation) alone. (C) IL5 transcript production by human Jurkat cells following short-chain fatty acid exposures (C2, C3, and C4 each at 10 mM for 24 hours). (D) Jurkat cells express FFAR3 on the cell surface by immunofluorescence and FACS. Original magnification, ×400. (E) In a murine asthma model, eGFP-IL4 reporter mice were aspergillus allergen–challenged intranasally with and without C4 (1 mg coadministration). IL5–eGFP expression in CD4+ cells was analyzed by FACS. (F and G) Lung tissue CD4+ lymphocytes were assayed for Th1 and Th2 cytokine production by FACS. (H) The bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF) cells were quantified for major leukocyte populations. EOS, eosinophils; PMN, polymorphonuclear neutrophils; LYM, lymphocytes; MΦ, macrophages. All scatter plots are presented as mean ± SEM, and all experiments were repeated at least 3 times (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; 2-tailed t test).
We aimed to substantiate the relationship between FFAR3-SCFA by assessing the effect of C4 exposure in both human primary T cells as well as murine T cells, as the SCFA-FFAR3 axis is conserved in mice (37). For the murine system, splenocytes were CD4-purified and subsequently treated by 2 parallel paradigms to examine the effects of C4 during naive T cell Th2 differentiation and after Th2 differentiation (schematically depicted in Supplemental Figure 14A, top panel). Th2 cell differentiation was achieved by stimulating splenocytes with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of anti–IFN-γ and IL-4; anti-IL-4 was used as a negative control in some experiments. Subsequently, the major Th1/Th2 cytokines were measured by both intracellular FACS and quantitative RT-PCR. By FACS, intracellular IL-13 protein was robustly enhanced by C4 exposure during (Supplemental Figure 14A, paradigm I, left) and after Th2 induction (Supplemental Figure 14A, paradigm II, right), with strong trends for augmentation of IL-4 and IL-5. Intriguingly, TNF-α was also robustly enhanced by C4 in both systems, corroborating its potential role as a Th2 inflammatory adjuvant. In contrast to Th2 cytokines, C4 had little enhancing effect on IFN-γ and IL-2 production (Supplemental Figure 14A). Moreover, C4’s enhancement on Th2 cytokines was dose dependent and IL-4 dependent, as replacing IL-4 with anti-IL-4 during Th2 induction diminished the Th2-enhancing effect of C4 (Supplemental Figure 14A). Following C4 stimulation after Th2 induction (paradigm II), IL-13 was also significantly boosted together with TNF-α, demonstrating a role of C4 on differentiat-ed Th2 cells. In the same experiment, mRNA expression largely showed the same effect by C4; C4 specifically boosted Th2 cytokine expression levels (Supplemental Figure 14B), and this boost was partially abolished in the anti–IL-4 paradigm. Extending the system, peripheral blood–derived, CD4-purified human primary T cells were subjected to Th2 induction (as mentioned for murine T cells) in the presence and absence of C4. C4 induced IL-13 in a dose-dependent fashion as indicated by 2-way ANOVA analysis (Supplemental Figure 14C).

To examine whether the SCFA-induced Th2 augmentation was a conserved function in vivo, we induced allergic airway inflammation in IL-4 reporter mice (4get, ref. 38) in the absence and presence of C4. We found that mice cotreated with C4 had significantly higher intracellular IL-4 levels, an indicator for augmented Th2 characteristics, in the asthmatic compared with the control lung (Figure 8E). Moreover, by focusing on CD44+–acti-vated effector T cells isolated from the lung tissue and assessed by intracellular FACS, we demonstrated that C4 coadministration significantly increased IL-5 and IL-13 production by lung tissue T cells and evidenced a trend of decreased IFN-γ production, in addition to boosting IL-4 production (Figure 8, F and G). Addi-

We report a number of key findings, including (a) the presence of substantial CD3+ T cell heterogeneity, identifying 8 different cell populations (T1–T8 clusters); (b) identifying 2 populations of cells that were increased in patients with EoE (T7 and T8 clusters); (c) determining that these 2 populations of cells represented bona fide memory effector Th2 cells and a population of cells that resembled Tregs; (d) determining that these 2 populations of cells represented a substantial fraction of total CD3+ T cells in the tissue (11% and 6% of tissue CD3+ T cells for T7 and T8, respectively), which was unexpectedly high given that the cells were isolated from patients without immediate allergen exposure at the time of procurement; (e) determining that a substantial percentage (~30%) of CD4+ T effectors in active disease produced Th2 cytokines, which was remarkable given that prior studies had reported this level of cytokine expression only following multiple rounds of antigen stimulation in prolonged culture (27) and that tissue lymphocytes were directly subjected to RNA isolation right after isolation without being synchronized or stimulated ex vivo; (f) determining that the Treg-like cells and Th2 cells were transcriptionally related but distinguished by a series of TFs, with absolute dichotomy of FOXP3 expression; (g) elucidating the specific genes that distin-
guished these cells—Treg-like cells were characterized as CD4+ FOX3+IL-10+CTLA4+IL-2A(CD25)+IL-1R1/R2 and Th2 cells as CD4+GATA3+IL-4+IL-5+IL-13+HPGDS+CRTTH2+IL-17Rb+CD40LG+CD154+NFAT1+NFox+B1AHR+NR3C1+PPARG+ by gene expression; (h) demonstrating that most Th2 cells robustly expressed IL-13, with the single-cell topology demonstrating IL-5 and IL-4 coexpression at mRNA and protein levels; (i) determining the properties of the TCR sequence at the single-cell level, providing evidence that EoE was not driven by a restricted class of TCR clones, at least in the context of the model disease (EoE) and the relatively low number of cells being examined; and finally (j) identifying evidence that effector Th2 cells were particularly sensitive and activated by a lipid signaling pathway, with a prominent role for SCFAs that can enhance Th2 cytokine production by tissue T cells likely via FFAR3.

One of the significant contributions of this study is the identifi-
cation of 8 T cell subclasses, in particular, the disease-associated CD4+ populations (T7 and T8) whose concentrations increased in active disease. Our data indicated that EoE was largely a CD4+–driven disorder. Function wise, it is notable that T7 cells have properties of inhibitory cells but are apparently ineffective in the setting of this strong tissue allergic inflammatory response. Notably, these apparent Tregs express several unexpected mark-
ers such as CD154, IFNG, and IL17A, suggesting that they may be...
antigen-experienced and contributory to the disease pathogenesis, rather than suppressive. It also remains possible that these Treg-like cells may be transitional toward T8 cells, consistent with recent proposals about Treg reprogramming (39, 40). It is plausible to speculate that these Treg-like T7 cells may be initially recruited for negative immune regulation. Within the Th2 milieu, they might start the transition to Th2 cells, a linear transitional process initially demonstrated in the murine system (25). The strong local IgG4 response seen in EoE (41) may be mediated in part by these Treg-like cells via production of IgG4-promoting IL-10. It is notable that EoE also develops in the setting of oral immunotherapy for the treatment of food anaphylaxis (42), as Treg and IgG4 responses are also seen in this setting (23).

The importance of the FFAR3-SCFA axis in human allergic inflammation is an intriguing finding. Human intestinal epithelium, including the esophagus (43), is enriched with commensal microbiota, and SCFA (C2-C5) is a major metabolic product (44) of this microbiota. Recent data demonstrated that the esophagus is colonized by microbiota known to be butyrate producers (45), mainly comprising several genera of Clostridium under the Firmicutes phylum (46), especially Clostridium cluster IV and XIVa. Notably, C4-producing Firmicutes were 1 of the 4 main phyla in the esophagus of EoE and control patients (47). As a dietary supplement, C4 has been purposed as a protective antiinflammatory agent. IL-5 is the key pathogenic cytokine in allergic inflammation, and now humanized anti–IL-5 and anti–IL-5RA antibodies are approved for the treatment of severe asthma with an eosinophilic phenotype (48). Notably, FFAR3 was a top gene tracking with IL5 expression and tissue eosinophilia, and FFAR3 ligands exacerbated the severity of a murine asthma model. We propose that FFAR3 induction serves as a positive feedback loop in obtaining the full IL-5– and IL-13–producing capacity of differentiating effector Th2 cells in the tissue, resulting in a potentiated pro-Th2 inflammatory response following allergen exposure. The mechanistic pathway of IL-4 → FFAR3 → Th2 cytokines may be critical to enhance differentiation efficiency and serve as a Th2 amplifier when a robust Th2 response is needed. Our findings add to the growing literature linking SCFA with modulating the immune system (49) and are consistent with earlier work showing that butyrate induced IL-5 by altering histone acetylation in the IL5 promoter of Jurkat cells (50). At the same time, butyrate has also been shown to inhibit Th2 cytokine production by type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s) (51) and to induce Treg inhibitory cell function via FFAR2 (52). This could be potentially explained by distinct roles of butyrate in different anatomical regions, as well as differences in the activity of butyrate when administered by different routes.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, single-cell transcriptome analysis may be influenced by the lack of synchronization of “firing timing/pattern” of each cell (53). Second, TRMs are known for their inaccessibility, with only a small portion of the cell (<20%) being able to be recovered (54), which draws into question their representability. Third, this study was mainly focused on T7 and T8 due to their disease association, yet the other populations of T cells, T1–T6, deserve further scrutiny. Notably, the RPKM-based normalization method may underestimate the potency of the T8 effector Th2 cells, as they could potentially be more well-stocked than they appear on the heat diagram.

In addition, understanding the heterogeneity of the cells in the T7 and T8 clusters, such as the presence of Th2A cells (14), has not yet been undertaken. Limited by the biopsy size and availability, we were not able to perform functional suppression assays between T7 and T8, nor have we yet to use allergen tetramers to determine antigen specificity (14, 55). Finally, although the effect of butyrate is relatively specific for IL-5, its activity may be mediated at least in part by its ability to directly inhibit HDAC activity (15).

In conclusion, we have identified the involvement of 2 prominent populations of CD4+ T cells, Th2 effector cells and Treg-like cells, in the pathobiology of EoE. We determined that Th2 cytokine production is largely confined to the CD4+ Th2 cell population, which represented approximately 30% of CD4+ cells, and that such cells expressed IL-13 robustly and IL-5 and IL-4 to a lesser extent. It is notable that this represents a large enrichment of Th2 cells compared with that of the peripheral blood. We are intrigued by the finding that Th2 cytokines were readily detectable without stimulation, as prior studies have found substantially lower levels and typically require in vitro stimulation to detect substantial cytokine production. We attribute the remarkable enrichment in cytokine production to the unique focus on tissue-residing cells. We are intrigued by the enrichment of the unique population of Treg-like cells, which appear to be a hallmark of EoE and ineffective at appropriately suppressing the adaptive response. We propose that this population may shift the balance from a classic type 2–associated, IL-4–mediated IgE allergic response to the chronic type 2 IL-5/IL-13–driven and IgG4-associated immune response seen in EoE. Finally, we generated evidence for a prominent role of lipid-induced cellular activation and signaling in Th2 cells and identified a role of SCFAs and their receptor FFAR3 in propagating Th2 cell–associated responses. Our findings challenge conventional wisdom that SCFAs are immunosuppressive. Since SCFAs are frequently encountered in the diet and are used in health-promoting food supplements, our findings deserve further attention. Though we are just beginning to dissect the significance of FFAR3 and the vast amount of single-cell data uncovered by our study, we hope that our reported findings will impact the understanding of the mechanisms modulating T cell fate in health and disease, and that further manipulating these cells will create better modalities to restore homeostasis.

Methods
See Supplemental Materials and Methods for additional information.

Study approval. Samples were obtained following informed consent, under the auspices of the IRB of the Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC, 2016-0123). All human subject recruitments complied with relevant ethical regulations following our protocol approved by CCHMC’s IRB. Written informed consent was received from participants prior to inclusion in the study.

Endoscopic biopsy processing. A single biopsy from the distal esophagus was collected into RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, kept on ice, and transported to the research laboratory within 30 minutes for processing. The biopsy was then transferred into EDTA buffer for 15 minutes at 37°C, washed once with PBS, minced, and then subjected to collagenase A digestion for 30 minutes. The resulting suspension was diluted with ice-cold PBS, passed through a 19-gauge needle, filtered through 2 layers of gauze, and washed with ice-cold PBS.
Bioinformatic pipelines. All individual scRNA-seq raw FASTQ files (75 bp times 2, pair-ended) were aligned with the hg19 reference genome (UCSC). Transcript abundance was estimated using the Kallisto version (0.42.5) (56) against the UCSC (Genocode v2) transcriptome. Subsequent analyses were carried out by Strand NGS and Genespring GX 12. The large data sets (NGS) have been deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus database with access number GSE126250.
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