Precision oncology has emerged as a major focus of cancer therapeutics. This strategy is based on the hope that therapy tailored to the specific molecular features of a patient’s cancer will yield superior results, compared with the traditional “one-size-fits-all” therapeutic approach (1). Similarly, modern immunotherapeutic approaches aim to take advantage of establishing a tumor-specific immune response that will eradicate tumor cells within an individual patient and provide lasting antitumor immune memory (2). Despite promising advances in precision oncology, hypoxia (defined here as partial pressure of oxygen [pO2] <10 mmHg) remains a significant barrier to therapeutic efficacy. Small molecule–targeted agents are susceptible to cellular drug resistance mechanisms, including mechanisms driven by hypoxia (3, 4). Spatial and temporal variation in tumor hypoxia (5) may contribute to the heterogeneity in treatment response to precision oncology drug combinations (1). Hypoxia influences efficacy of immunotherapy via several mechanisms, including both innate and adaptive immunity. For example, hypoxia promotes polarization of macrophages toward the immunosuppressive M2 phenotype (6) and affects NK cell function by reducing expression of the activating receptor NKG2D (7). With respect to the adaptive immune system, hypoxia disturbs the balance between effector T cells and immunosuppressive regulatory T cells (8). Hypoxia also interferes with immune checkpoint balance by decreasing MHC class I expression by tumor cells (9), increasing programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) […]
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cision oncology has emerged as a major focus of cancer therapeutics. This strategy is based on the hope that therapy tailored to the specific molecular features of a patient’s cancer will yield superior results, compared with the traditional “one-size-fits-all” therapeutic approach (1). Similarly, modern immunotherapeutic approaches aim to take advantage of establishing a tumor-specific immune response that will eradicate tumor cells within an individual patient and provide lasting antitumor immune memory (2).

Despite promising advances in precision oncology, hypoxia (defined here as partial pressure of oxygen [pO2] <10 mmHg) remains a significant barrier to therapeutic efficacy. Small molecule–targeted agents are susceptible to cellular drug resistance mechanisms, including mechanisms driven by hypoxia (3, 4). Spatial and temporal variation in tumor hypoxia (5) may contribute to the heterogeneity in treatment response to precision oncology drug combinations (1).

Hypoxia influences efficacy of immunotherapy via several mechanisms, including both innate and adaptive immunity. For example, hypoxia promotes polarization of macrophages toward the immunosuppressive M2 phenotype (6) and affects NK cell function by reducing expression of the activating receptor NKG2D (7). With respect to the adaptive immune system, hypoxia disturbs the balance between effector T cells and immunosuppressive regulatory T cells (8). Hypoxia also interferes with immune checkpoint balance by decreasing MHC class I expression by tumor cells (9), increasing programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression via the HIF-1 pathway, and promoting secretion of immunosuppressive cytokotoxic T lymphocyte antigen–1 (CTLA-4) from tumor stromal cells (10, 11). Recently it was reported that selective killing of hypoxic cells by TH-302 (evofosfamide) combined with checkpoint blockade greatly enhances immunoreactivity and antitumor effects in several immunotherapy-resistant prostate tumor cell lines (12).

Despite evidence that hypoxia is a confounding factor for both precision therapy and immunotherapy, we were unable to find any precision therapy trials in the NIH ClinicalTrials.gov website that considered hypoxia as a secondary endpoint. Further, only one immunotherapy trial is examining whether hypoxia reduces immunotherapy efficacy to programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and CTLA-4 blockade with nivolumab and ipilimumab, respectively (NCT03003637). In this trial, 18F-HX4 PET is used to guide tumor biopsies of hypoxic and normoxic regions for assessment of T cell infiltrate and effector function before and after immunotherapy. A second trial, NCT03098160, is examining treatment responses when the hypoxic cytotoxic evofosfamide is combined with the CTLA-4 checkpoint inhibitor ipilimumab; however, hypoxia is not assessed in this trial. NCT03575598 will examine effects of immunotherapy with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, sitravatinib, and PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in patients with head and neck cancer. Changes in hypoxia prior to and after immunotherapy will be assessed using 18F-AZA PET. With the recent validation of conventional MRI to assess tumor oxygenation status, a trial is underway to monitor hypoxia in head and neck cancer (NCT03646747), but this trial does not involve immunotherapy. The lack of broader attention to hypoxia may be due to the perception that hypoxia is challenging and/or expensive to measure, while also being difficult to reduce effectively with currently available therapies.

It has been recognized since the 1960s that hypoxia is a potential cause for treatment failure after radiotherapy. Accordingly, many clinical trials have used a range of methods to reduce hypoxia as a means to improve radiotherapeutic or chemoradiotherapeutic outcome. In a meta-analysis, Overgaard and Horsman concluded that data from head and neck cancer trials provided the only clear evidence that reducing hypoxia affected radiotherapy outcome (13). Importantly, hypoxia was not an entry criterion for any of these trials; the trial designs did not account for the possibility that normoxic tumors would not benefit from the hypoxia modification method. The failure of prior hypoxia modification trials with radiotherapy suggests that precision therapeutics and immunotherapy would not benefit from such strategies either.

However, the requirements for hypoxia modification for precision therapeutics and immunotherapy are very different from those for radiotherapy. Hypoxia modification to improve radiotherapy efficacy is only required during the time that treatment is given, because oxygen is required to create stable adducts in damaged DNA that are not easily repaired. In contrast, hypoxia reduction would have to occur chronically over weeks to months of treatment for both precision therapeutics (with daily oral therapy) and immunotherapy with antibodies that block checkpoints from actively inhibiting immune reactivity. These differences in requirement for hypoxia modification open up alternative methods to reduce hypoxia that were not broadly tested with radiotherapy.

We hypothesize that the most efficacious methods to modulate hypoxia in precision therapeutics and immunotherapy trials would be to promote improved microvascular network function, com-
Combined with treatments that increase oxygen availability. In a recent review, we considered a range of strategies that improve drug delivery (14). Many of these methods also have the potential to improve oxygen delivery. First, excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) production combined with high tumor and stromal cell density results in high tissue pressure in tumors that reduces drug delivery. Second, methods that reduce ECM pressure enable reperfusion of vasculature that is collapsed by tissue pressure, thus improving perfusion and oxygen delivery (14) (Figure 1, A and B). Several trials are underway using recombinant humanized multiply pegylated hyaluronidase (PEGPH20) to degrade ECM to increase delivery of small and large molecule ther-
apart from reducing O₂ consumption rate, as reducing O₂ consumption in tumors. Hatfield et al. demonstrated that chronic breathing of 60% O₂ enhanced immune reactivity in mice by downregulating immunosuppressive extracellular adenosine, followed by several downstream immunomodulatory effects (16). While this approach appeared very promising preclinically, differences in the P₅₀ of murine versus human hemoglobin lead us to predict that this approach will be less efficient in improving oxygen delivery to human tumors (Figure 1E). An alternative strategy would be to reduce O₂ consumption rate, as reducing O₂ consumption rate reduces hypoxia several fold more efficiently than breathing hyperoxic gases (17). Metformin, a drug for treating type 2 diabetes, is an example that works increasing oxygen delivery to human tumors (15). Third, regular exercise improves tumor perfusion and reduces hypoxia in preclinical models (14).

In summary, we have provided a rationale for considering the assessment of hypoxia and its modification for precision medicine and immunotherapy trials. As we have discussed previously, conduct of such studies should include methods to assess the extent of hypoxia at baseline and during treatment, in order to quantify the extent of hypoxia amelioration that is achieved (19). The degree of hypoxia reduction will likely relate to the efficacy of the therapy delivered.
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