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Gut-derived GIP activates central Rap1 to impair neural leptin sensitivity during overnutrition
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Introduction
The hypothalamus is a critical site that controls energy balance. Excess caloric intake provokes hypothalamic activation of multiple inflammatory and stress response pathways, such as IκB kinase-β/NF-κB (IKKβ/NF-κB) signaling (1), TLR4 signaling (2), unfolded protein response (UPR) signaling (3), and exchange protein directly activated by cAMP (EPAC)/Rap1 GTPase (EPAC/Rap1) signaling (4). Aberrant activation of these key hypothalamic intrinsic pathways likely impedes neural actions of leptin and central regulation of food intake and body weight, ultimately leading to obesity. Here, we aimed to identify a physiological signal that arises from excess caloric intake and negatively controls hypothalamic leptin action. The gut-derived hormone glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, also known as gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP), is a well-established incretin hormone (5–8) that directly acts on β cells to stimulate insulin secretion. GIP has also emerged as a critical player in the control of energy balance under conditions of nutrient excess (9). Circulating levels of GIP are elevated during obesity and after consumption of fat or sugar (5–8). Genetic and pharmacological inhibition of GIP and its receptor protects against high-fat diet-induced (HFD-induced) body weight gain (9–14). Furthermore, GWAS have identified GIP receptor (Gipr) variants that correlate with obesity (15, 16). Interestingly, both GIPR agonism and antagonism improve body weight in obese animals and humans (17–21). Thus, it is of particular interest to elucidate GIPR sites of action and mechanisms mediating its effects on obesity.

Results and Discussion
First, we confirmed Gipr expression in the brain (22) (Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI126107DS1). To examine the potential role of brain GIPR, we assessed the direct impact of acute inhibition of brain GIPR on obesity by centrally infusing the neutralizing monoclonal antibody Gipg013, which is a highly specific and potent antagonist of GIPR with a fully characterized mode of action (23). Remarkably, central administration (i.c.v.) of Gipg013 significantly reduced the body weight of HFD-induced obese mice (Figure 1A), whereas no effect was observed in mice treated with an isotype control antibody. Food intake (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 2A), and fat mass (Figure 1C) were also significantly reduced in Gipg013-treated obese mice. Blood glucose and serum levels of leptin and insulin were decreased in HFD-induced obese mice treated with Gipg013 (Supplemental Figure 2B). The body weight-lowering effect of Gipg013 is probably attributable to reduced
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brain acts through leptin signaling. These central effects of GIPR antagonism are different from those in GIPR deficiency in ob/ob mice (9) or obese mice treated peripherally with a GIPR antagonistic antibody (21). The differences might be due to distinct sites of actions of GIPR (e.g., the CNS vs. the periphery). In line with this, brain infusion of Gipg013 significantly decreased expression of the leptin signaling inhibitor Socs3 (Figure 1, J and K). Although peripheral GIPR antagonism was reported to potentiate a weight-lowering effect of GLP-1 agonists (21), we did not detect an enhanced effect of central Gipg013 and liraglutide on weight loss (Figure 1, L–N), suggesting that GLP-1 is probably not involved in the process. Because central inhibition of GIPR resulted in a leptin-dependent antiobesity effect, we investigated the role of GIPR in leptin food intake, because energy expenditure did not differ between Gipg013- and control IgG-treated obese mice (Supplemental Figure 3). In contrast, in normal chow-fed lean mice, central Gipg013 administration did not reduce body weight, food intake, or fat mass (Figure 1, D–F), indicating that the effects are specific to diet-induced obesity. In agreement with a recent study (21), peripheral administration of Gipg013 did not reduce weight from the baseline but merely prevented weight gain in HFD-induced obese mice (Supplemental Figure 2, C–F). These data collectively indicate a key role of central GIPR signaling in diet-induced obesity. Central administration of Gipg013 into leptin-deficient ob/ob mice, another mouse model of obesity, did not induce any improvement in energy balance (Figure 1, G–I), suggesting that Gipg013 in the brain acts through leptin signaling. These central effects of GIPR antagonism are different from those in GIPR deficiency in ob/ob mice (9) or obese mice treated peripherally with a GIPR antagonistic antibody (21). The differences might be due to distinct sites of actions of GIPR (e.g., the CNS vs. the periphery). In line with this, brain infusion of Gipg013 significantly decreased expression of the leptin signaling inhibitor Socs3 (Figure 1, J and K). Although peripheral GIPR antagonism was reported to potentiate a weight-lowering effect of GLP-1 agonists (21), we did not detect an enhanced effect of central Gipg013 and liraglutide on weight loss (Figure 1, L–N), suggesting that GLP-1 is probably not involved in the process. Because central inhibition of GIPR resulted in a leptin-dependent antiobesity effect, we investigated the role of GIPR in leptin...
To directly test whether activation of GIPR in the brain negatively regulates hypothalamic leptin actions, we performed a stereotaxic injection of GIP into the lateral ventricle of lean C57BL/6J mice and assessed central leptin sensitivity. We found that i.c.v. infusion of GIP blunted the anorectic response to exogenous leptin (Figure 2C) as well as leptin-dependent hypothalamic phosphorylation of STAT3 (p-STAT3), a critical mediator of leptin actions. Importantly, we did not observe these inhibitory effects of GIP in mice lacking Gipr (Supplemental Figure 4, B and C), demonstrating that GIP acts through its receptor to blunt leptin-dependent effects. Consistently, GIP increased the hypothalamic levels of Socs3 (Figure 2F). In addition, GIP pretreatment completely blunted leptin-induced neural activation of pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons, which are known to mediate leptin-induced actions in diet-induced obesity by assessing the response of Gipr-deficient mice (Gipr-KO) (9) and WT mice to exogenously administered leptin. Under normocaloric conditions, central injection of leptin resulted in significantly reduced body weight and suppressed food intake in both Gipr-KO and WT mice (Figure 2A). In contrast, under HFD conditions, WT mice did not exhibit these responses to leptin injection. Body weight and food intake were measured 24 hours after leptin injection. n = 11 for groups without GIP treatment, n = 9 for GIP (30 pmol) treatment, and n = 4 for GIP (300 pmol) treatment. Each data point represents the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, and ****P < 0.0001 compared with control mice, by 2-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (A–D and G); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, and ****P < 0.0001 compared with control mice on day 6 (A and B) and on day 3 (C), by 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test; and *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001 compared with control, by t test (E and F). Data represent the mean ± SEM of 2 different experiments.
Figure 3. Rap1 mediates the effects of centrally administered GIP. (A) Organotypic brain slices were incubated with GIP (0.5 μM, 6 h) and then stimulated with leptin (120 nM, 60 min). Images show p-STAT3 immunostaining of fixed slices. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B) GIP inhibited leptin-induced p-STAT3 in a dose- and time-dependent manner (n = 3–14). (C and D) Brain slices were incubated with GIP (0.5 μM), with or without 50 μM ESI-05 (C) or 10 μM PKI114–22 (D) for 6 hours and then stimulated with 120 nM leptin for 60 minutes. Representative images and quantification of hypothalamic p-STAT3 (n = 3–5) are shown. Scale bars: 100 μm. (E) Lean mice were i.c.v. administered GIP (3 nmol) for 2 hours. Left: Western blot images of active Rap1, total Rap1, and β-actin (n = 6). Middle: Quantification is shown from 3 independent experiments (n = 17–18). Right: Graph shows Rap1 activity in the brains of lean and obese mice treated with Gipg013 or control IgG (n = 7–10). (F and G) Rap1ΔCNS or control mice (n = 7–9) were i.c.v. injected with GIP (3 nmol/day) or vehicle and then i.c.v. injected with leptin (5 μg/day) 4 hours later. (F) Body weight change was measured daily. (G) Relative mRNA expression of the indicated genes in the hypothalamus of GIP- or vehicle-treated Rap1ΔCNS mice. Each data point represents the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001, by 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (B–E and G); **P < 0.01, by t test (E); and **P < 0.01, by 2-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (F). ARC, arcuate nucleus; 3V, third ventricle.
anorectic responses, whereas leptin depolarized neurons expressing both POMC and the leptin receptor in control slices (Figure 2E and Supplemental Figure 5). Altogether, these data suggest that GIP drives neuronal leptin resistance.

Since endogenous GIP is produced in K cells in the upper gut and GIP levels are reported to be elevated in diet-induced obesity, reaching 20–100 pM (9, 14, 24, 25), we next determined whether increasing the peripheral levels of GIP inhibits neural leptin actions. We administered GIP through i.p. infusions into lean C57BL/6J mice for 3 days and assessed central leptin sensitivity. Peripheral injection of GIP, at a dose to achieve physiological levels similar to those observed in obese animals (Supplemental Figure 6A), markedly blunted anorectic responses to exogenously administered leptin (Figure 2G). Insulin, leptin, and glucose levels were not significantly altered after 3 days of GIP infusion (Supplemental Figure 6, B and C). Given the growing evidence that peripherally injected GIP can reach the brain (refs. 26–28 and Supplemental Figure 7), these data demonstrate that central effects of leptin are partially blunted by peripheral administration of GIP.

Next, we sought to identify an intracellular mediator of GIP action in the brain in ex vivo brain slices. Since GIPR couples to cAMP-related signaling (5–8), we examined the involvement of protein kinase A (PKA) and EPAC, two key downstream components of the cAMP pathway. As previously shown (29), leptin robustly induced hypothalamic p-STAT3 levels in brain slices (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 8A). In contrast, leptin-induced hypothalamic p-STAT3 levels were blunted in the slices pretreated with a native GIP peptide in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 3, A and B). An inactive GIP peptide (GIP$_{3-42}$) failed to show an inhibitory effect (Supplemental Figure 8C). GIP also increased SOCS3 protein levels with ex vivo (Supplemental Figure 8B). We found that the inhibitory effect of GIP was completely blocked with either ESI-05, an EPAC2-specific inhibitor (Figure 3C), or esi-09, a specific inhibitor for both EPAC1 and EPAC2 (data not shown), but the inhibitory effect of GIP was not affected by the PKA inhibitor PKi14–22 (Figure 3D) or H89 (Supplemental Figure 8D), suggesting that the process is EPAC mediated. Consistently, in ex vivo brain slices, we further observed GIP increases in the amount of the active GTP-bound form of the small GTPase Rap1, which is the direct target of EPAC (Supplemental Figure 8E) or after i.c.v. injection of GIP into lean mice (Figure 3E). In contrast, Gipg013 treatment resulted in a decrease in active Rap1 (Figure 3E). Because nuclear Rap1 was previously shown to sufficiently drive leptin resistance and be causally related to HFD-induced obesity (4), we reasoned that Rap1 could be a mediator of GIP signaling in the brain. To conclusively test this, we centrally injected GIP into mice with Rap1 deficiency in the forebrain, including multiple hypothalamic nuclei (Rap1$^{cNS}$) (4, 30), or into control mice. Remarkably, we found that Rap1$^{cNS}$ mice were protected from GIP-mediated leptin resistance and hypothalamic induction of SOCS3 expression, whereas their littermate controls clearly developed GIP-dependent leptin resistance (Figure 3, F and G). Thus, these data indicate that GIP and its receptor are necessary and sufficient for Rap1 activation in the brain and, moreover, that Rap1 activation is required to elicit GIP-induced leptin resistance.

In summary, we have identified a gut-brain axis that involves GIP action on hypothalamic metabolic signaling to drive leptin resistance in obesity. The results suggest that elevated circulating GIP levels in obesity (9, 14, 24, 25) drive both activation of brain Rap1 and neural leptin resistance (Supplemental Figure 9). Our model also reveals what to our knowledge is a unique and previously unidentified molecular pathway linking the GIPR to obesity via EPAC/Rap1 signaling in the brain (Supplemental Figure 9), which further illuminates a functional link between 2 previously unrelated obesity susceptibility genes, Gipr (16, 31) and Rapgef3 (EPAC1) (31).

Methods

Detailed methods are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Study approval. All procedures for the use of the mice followed protocols approved by the IACUCs of the Baylor College of Medicine and AstraZeneca.
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