Commentary

Neoantigen-targeted therapies have typically been based upon personalized neoantigen-specific vaccines; however, in this issue of JCI, van der Lee et al. describe the development of a potential cellular immunotherapy targeting a “public” neoantigen derived from nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1), which is mutated in approximately 30% of acute myeloid leukemias (AMLs). The authors use reverse immunology to predict, and biochemically confirm, NPM1-derived neoepitopes (ΔNPM1) and then generate high-avidity T cell clones and retrovirally transduced T cell populations that kill NPM1-mutated AML. This study provides a general approach to adoptive cellular therapy that can be applied to targeting other tumors with public neoantigens.
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Neoantigen-targeted therapies have typically been based upon personalized neoantigen-specific vaccines; however, in this issue of JCI, van der Lee et al. describe the development of a potential cellular immunotherapy targeting a “public” neoantigen derived from nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1), which is mutated in approximately 30% of acute myeloid leukemias (AMLs). The authors use reverse immunology to predict, and biochemically confirm, NPM1-derived neoepitopes (ANPM1) and then generate high-avidity T cell clones and retrovirally transduced T cell populations that kill NPM1-mutated AML. This study provides a general approach to adoptive cellular therapy that can be applied to targeting other tumors with public neoantigens.

Several different neoantigen-targeting approaches have been undertaken in clinical contexts. One of the most successful strategies that likely involves neoantigen targeting is immune checkpoint inhibition, primarily against PD-1, to allow chronically antigen-exposed, immunologically exhausted, neoantigen-specific T cells to regain effector function (4). While not specific neoantigen-targeting strategies, anti–PD-1 therapies have changed treatment strategies in many cancers, with their greatest efficacy observed in tumors with high mutational burdens, which suggests their efficacy involves enhancing T cell responses to multiple neoantigens (5–7). For tumors with low mutational burdens, other strategies, primarily neoantigen-specific ones, are needed.

Neoantigen-specific immunotherapies have also been developed as single- or multi-epitope vaccines with many formulations that provide truly personalized targeted immunotherapies (8–10). While immune responses to neoantigen vaccines are well described, there are still significant gaps in our understanding of which mutations lead to neoantigens that can elicit robust immune responses following vaccination and lead to clinically relevant outcomes. At this time, it is not clear whether there will be a readily available general solution for determining the hierarchy of neoantigen-specific T cell responses in individual patients, as responses are likely to derive from a combination of tumor-intrinsic features, such as HLA type, neoantigen gene expression, and intratumor heterogeneity as well as the patient’s underlying T cell repertoire.

Neoantigen targeting adoptive cellular therapy (ACT), an alternative to neoantigen vaccines, offers a narrower, but in many ways, more controlled series of immunotherapy parameters that can be manipulated to create high-potency therapeutics. Because ACT requires the complex and expensive genetic modification of T cells, neoantigen selection is crucial, so that a therapy can be provided to the maximum number of patients. Optimal neoantigens for ACT should be developed from genes that are highly expressed in tumors and have common, recurrent (i.e., “public”) driver mutations, which should ensure that the neoantigen will be present in multiple patients and in all intratumor subclones. An additional feature for selection of neoantigens for ACT targeting is that they be presented on the cell surface by HLA molecules that are common in the human population.

Development of a neoantigen-specific cellular therapy

In this issue, van der Lee et al. report the development of a T cell therapy against a neoantigen that has all of the properties that make it an ideal immunotherapy target (11). Roughly one-third of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cases contain a driver mutation in the nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1) gene (12, 13). The most common mutation is a 4 base-pair insertion into exon 12 of the gene, which leads to a frame shift resulting in a mutant protein that is 4 amino acids longer than the wild-type and whose last 11 amino acids are translated in an alternate reading frame. The C terminus of wild-type NPM1 contains a nuclear localization signal, which is lost in mutated NPM1, leading to increased cytosolic
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notherapeutic. van der Lee and colleagues immunoprecipitated peptide/HLA complexes from primary AML samples and used targeted mass spectrometry to directly compare the fragmentation spectra from the AML samples to those of the synthetic peptides that were predicted to be potential neoantigens.

van der Lee et al. generated T cell clones against the two mass spectrometry–validated epitopes (ΔNPM1) predicted to bind HLA-A*02:01. Interestingly, they were unable to generate any ΔNPM1 neoantigen–specific T cell clones from AML patients using their standard approach of peptide/HLA magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) preenrichment, followed by single-cell FACS-based cloning (16). To generate a T cell clone with high avidity toward either neoantigen, they used the same approach on HLA-*A02:01-expressing, healthy donor buffy coats. The T cell receptor (TCR) sequences from these clones were inserted into retroviral vectors, and T cells containing the transgenic neoantigen TCR were produced. These cells had high affinity to the neoantigen/HLA complex and demonstrated cytotoxicity against NPM1-mutated AML both in vitro and in vivo.

Concluding remarks

This study demonstrates a clean method for identifying public neoantigens and generating immunotherapeutics. The general approach of (a) computational prediction of peptide epitopes, (b) targeted mass spectrometric confirmation of epitope presentation, and (c) generation of high-avid-
ity, high-specificity T cell clones could be applied to any public somatic mutations, such as the G12D mutation in KRAS commonly seen in pancreatic cancer (17), the L265P mutation in MYD88 seen in Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia (18), and the Braf V600E mutation seen in melanoma (19), to mention a few.

The work by van der Lee and colleagues lays a clear blueprint for the development of neoantigen-specific T cell-based immunotherapies, and their work also raises several issues that should be investigated in future studies. Conceptually, neoantigens can be derived from multiple genetic perturbations. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) are the most common and most extensively studied source of neoantigens; however, other genetic events, such as insertions (as evidenced by NPM1), gene fusions (e.g., BCR-ABL), and aberrant mRNA splicing could lead to novel proteins and peptide epitopes. While it is tempting to focus on the more common and easier to characterize SNV-derived neoantigens, van der Lee and colleagues’ work suggests that non–SNV-derived neoantigens are valuable targets as well. It is in fact conceivable, that non–SNV-derived neoantigens may be able to elicit stronger immune responses due to their greater divergence from wild-type sequences. Further investigation needs to be performed to fully elicit what properties, e.g., mutant/wild-type sequence disparity and T cell repertoire, are associated with highly immunogenic neoantigens.

Interestingly, van der Lee et al. were unable to generate ΔNPM1 neoantigen-specific T cells from NPM1-mutated, HLA-A*02:01-expressing AML patients, while neoantigen-specific T cells have been generated from patients with other cancers (20). It is possible that AML can induce deletion of high-avidity ΔNPM1-specific T cells through processes such as activation-induced cell death. Studies investigating mechanisms of tumor immune evasion through both T cell exhaustion/senescence and T cell deletion would greatly improve our understanding of the different mechanisms of tumor immune evasion and their association with different tumor types or microenvironments.
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