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Airway epithelium–shifted mast cell infiltration regulates asthmatic inflammation via IL-33 signaling


Asthma is a heterogeneous syndrome that has been subdivided into physiologic phenotypes and molecular endotypes. The most specific phenotypic manifestation of asthma is indirect airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR), and a prominent molecular endotype is the presence of type 2 inflammation. The underlying basis for type 2 inflammation and its relationship to AHR are incompletely understood. We assessed the expression of type 2 cytokines in the airways of subjects with and without asthma who were extensively characterized for AHR. Using quantitative morphometry of the airway wall, we identified a shift in mast cells from the submucosa to the airway epithelium specifically associated with both type 2 inflammation and indirect AHR. Using ex vivo modeling of primary airway epithelial cells in organotypic coculture with mast cells, we show that epithelial-derived IL-33 uniquely induced type 2 cytokines in mast cells, which regulated the expression of epithelial IL-33 in a feed-forward loop. This feed-forward loop was accentuated in epithelial cells derived from subjects with asthma. These results demonstrate that type 2 inflammation and indirect AHR in asthma are related to a shift in mast cell infiltration to the airway epithelium, and that mast cells cooperate with epithelial cells through IL-33 signaling to regulate type 2 inflammation.

Introduction

Asthma is a heterogeneous disorder with features of airway inflammation, reversible airflow obstruction, and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR). Indirect AHR is a particularly important pathophysiologic finding because it is a highly specific feature of asthma not seen in other diseases (1, 2), and because it is associated with the persistence of asthma (3). In contrast to direct AHR, in which an exogenous stimulus for bronchoconstriction is administered, indirect AHR is the result of the endogenous release of mediators from the airways. Direct AHR is present in other airway disorders and is related to changes in the airway smooth muscle (4), as well as baseline lung function and airway geometry (1). Despite the specificity of indirect AHR, the basis of this fundamental aspect of asthma is incompletely understood. Indirect AHR can be measured by tests such as the dry air exercise challenge test to assess exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB), as well as osmotic challenge tests (2). Indirect AHR occurs across all levels of asthma severity, and is not related to baseline lung function (5). There is a general link between airway inflammation and indirect AHR, but the basis of this association is incompletely understood.

To better understand the basis for specific manifestations of asthma, the concept of molecular endotypes has been developed. The link between a molecular endotype and physical phenotype is critical because of the important implications for tailoring asthma therapy for a specific manifestation of asthma, and for developing novel targets that can lead to more specific and effective therapies. A major molecular endotype found in some individuals with asthma is “Th2-high,” originally defined by the expression of genes in the epithelium that reflect the effects of IL-13 (6). In human studies, the expression of these IL-13–induced genes in the airway epithelium was also accompanied by the expression of mast cell granule proteins, suggesting a link between mast cells and Th2-high asthma. Previous work has also shown that immunostaining for the Th2-type cytokine IL-4 can be localized to mast cells and eosinophils in the airways (7). In a genome-wide expression study of airway cells, we found that mast cell genes were higher in subjects with indirect AHR, suggesting an endotype-phenotype association (8). Subsequent work showed that the expression of selected mast cell genes in the epithelium and the number of epithelial mast cells were higher in subjects with greater indirect AHR (9). Although these studies suggest a relationship between type 2 inflammation and indirect AHR, this association has not been thoroughly investigated in humans. Furthermore, the mechanisms by which mast cells interact with the epithelium to regulate type 2 inflammation are incompletely understood.

Recent studies have revealed that cytokines such as IL-25, IL-33, and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) that are highly...
expressed in the airway epithelium are implicated in human asthma by genome-wide association studies (10). Furthermore, models of asthma indicate that these cytokines serve as key central regulators of type 2-polarized inflammation (11-14), and that innate cells, including recruited macrophages, type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s), and mast cells, are targets of these epithelial derived cytokines. Several recent studies have identified alterations in the number of recruited macrophages and ILC2s in asthma (15-19), but the potential role of mast cells has received less attention. Animal models using inhaled antigens suggest that mast cells play a key role in the development of experimental asthma (20-22), but the possibility that mast cells act as central regulators of type 2 inflammation has not previously been shown.

Using a recently developed metric of type 2 inflammation in the airways (23), we examined the expression of type 2 cytokines in a cohort of subjects with and without asthma who were extensively characterized for features of AHR. Although type 2 inflammation persists to varying degrees in many individuals treated with inhaled or systemic corticosteroids (24-28), type 2 inflammation is often steroid responsive (4, 29, 30); therefore, the present study was specifically conducted in subjects who were not using any corticosteroid or other immune-modulating therapies at the time of sample collection and phenotypic characterization. We used quantitative morphometry in the form of design-based stereology to provide an unbiased assessment of the density of mast cells, and specifically assessed the number of these cells relative to the surface area of the basal lamina in each compartment as well as the volume density of these cells in the epithelial and submucosal compartments (31, 32). These results revealed two novel observations. First, submucosal mast cells, which were abundant in healthy controls, are shifted from the submucosal compartment to the epithelium in asthma, and this shift is strongly associated with type 2 inflammation. Second, the physical phenotype that was most closely associated with type 2 inflammation was indirect AHR. Based on these findings, we used an ex vivo model of primary airway epithelial cells in organotypic coculture with mast cells, leading to the identification of a mast cell-dependent feed-forward loop mediated through IL-33, in which IL-33-activated mast cells increase the expression of epithelial IL-33, which in turn serves as a unique signal upregulating the production of type 2 cytokines in mast cells. This feed-forward loop is dependent on mast cells but is not a consequence of IL-13 signalling. Using primary airway epithelial cells from children with and without asthma, we found that the amplification of epithelial IL-33 expression by IL-33-primed mast cells is accentuated in epithelial cells from children with asthma. These results provide clear evidence implicating a shift in the location of mast cells to the epithelium in humans and identify intraepithelial mast cells as regulators of type 2 inflammation and airway dysfunction in asthma.

Results

Individuals with indirect AHR in asthma have a higher type 2 gene signature in sputum cells. In previous work, the combined expression of IL4, IL5, and IL13 in induced sputum cells was demonstrated to classify subjects into Th2-high and Th2-low subtypes, providing a minimally invasive means of assessing this key molecular endotype (23). Here we used this approach to examine the relationships between type 2 inflammation, airway physiology, and histologic manifestations of asthma using a cohort of subjects with asthma and without (healthy controls). Subjects were extensively characterized both phenotypically and for tissue infiltration with mast cells. Samples and pulmonary function data were collected in the absence of corticosteroid or other immune-modulating therapies (Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI126402DS1).

RNA of sufficient quantity and quality from the induced sputum cell pellet was available from a total of 33 subjects, including 25 subjects with asthma. To assess type 2 gene expression in this cohort, the expression levels of IL4, IL5, and IL13 were centered, scaled, and combined into the summary type 2 gene mean (T2GM) metric (23). Subjects were classified as “type 2–high” if the T2GM value was 2 standard deviations from the mean of the healthy control population in the present population. Overall, the T2GM did not significantly differ between healthy controls and subjects with asthma (P = 0.12). Substantial heterogeneity was observed in this metric in subjects with asthma, with 14 of the 25 subjects with asthma (56%) being classified as type 2–high (T2-high). In contrast, none of the healthy controls were classified as T2-high. AHR to methacholine was present in all of the subjects with asthma but not in the healthy controls (Supplemental Figure 1A). However, there was significant phenotypic variability in the response to dry air exercise challenge, which resulted in significant airway narrowing (>10%) in 57% of the asthma population (classified as EIB+) (Supplemental Figure 1B). Although these 2 measures of asthma were well correlated, there was a substantial range of response with both aspects of AHR (Supplemental Figure 1C). We found that the T2GM varied by asthma phenotype; specifically, the EIB+ asthma group had a higher T2GM than both the healthy controls (P = 0.04) and the EIB– asthma group (P = 0.04; Figure 1A). The scaled expression of IL5 was highest in the EIB+ asthma group relative to both the healthy controls (P = 0.02) and the EIB– asthma group (P = 0.02; Figure 1B). The scaled expression of IL4 trended toward higher in the EIB+ group relative to the EIB– group (P = 0.07; Supplemental Figure 2A), while the expression of IL13 was higher in the EIB+ group relative to the healthy controls (P = 0.03; Supplemental Figure 2B). In contrast to the expression of the type 2 cytokines, the expression of the type 1 cytokine IFN-γ (IFNG; Supplemental Figure 2C) and arginase 2 (ARG2; Supplemental Figure 2D) was not different in either asthma phenotype. Of the type 2 cytokines, the T2GM was most highly correlated with the expression of IL13 (r² = 0.87, P < 0.0001; Figure 1C) and was also highly correlated with the expression of IL5 (r² = 0.84, P < 0.0001), but was less correlated with IL4 (r² = 0.75, P < 0.0001), suggesting that IL13 and IL5 are the dominant parameters determining the observed relationships with the T2GM. These results indicate that type 2 inflammation is common but not universally present among subjects with asthma even in the absence of controller therapies, and suggest that the T2-high endotype is related to indirect AHR manifested by EIB.

The T2GM in the airways is associated with airflow obstruction and indirect AHR in human asthma. The relationship between type 2 inflammation and airway physiology is not well established. Therefore, we sought to understand the relationship between type 2 inflammation measured by the T2GM and detailed mea-
measurements of lung function and AHR in this cohort. We found that there was no clear relationship with baseline lung function measured by the forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) percent predicted (% predicted) ($r^2 = 0.08, P = 0.12; Figure 2A) or the forced vital capacity (FVC) % predicted ($r^2 = 0.05, P = 0.22). However, the T2GM was significantly associated with airflow obstruction reflected in the FEV1/FVC ratio ($r^2 = 0.22, P = 0.006; Figure 2B), and restricting the analysis to subjects with asthma showed the same relationship between T2GM and FEV1/FVC ratio ($r^2 = 0.20, P = 0.03) but neither the FEV1 nor FVC % predicted. Direct AHR measured by methacholine challenge was close to a significant association with the T2GM in the full population ($r^2 = 0.10, P = 0.07; Figure 2C), but not when restricted to the subjects with asthma ($r^2 = 0.07, P = 0.21). In contrast, across the full population, there was a significant association between the T2GM and the severity of indirect AHR measured by the maximum fall in FEV1 after dry air exercise challenge ($r^2 = 0.27, P = 0.002) and in the area under the FEV1-time curve in the first 30 minutes after exercise (AUC30; $r^2 = 0.28, P = 0.002; Figure 2D). This relationship between the T2GM and indirect AHR remained when the analysis was restricted to the subjects with asthma (AUC30, $r^2 = 0.25, P = 0.01). Examining these same associations with each of the T2GM cytokines individually demonstrates that both FEV1/FVC and severity of EIB (AUC30) were associated most strongly with IL5 expression, and secondarily with IL5 expression, but less so with IL4 expression (Supplemental Table 2).

These results demonstrate that type 2 inflammation reflected in the T2GM is most closely associated with the degree of indirect AHR.

The T2GM in the airways is significantly related to the expression of certain mast cell genes in the airways. Mast cells with a unique pattern of expression of proteases were identified in asthma, and the mast cell genes tryptase (TPSAB1) and carboxypeptidase A3 (CPA3) but not chymase (CMA1) were found to be increased in subjects with EIB (Supplemental Figure 3A–C), consistent with previous work (8, 9). Here we assessed the relationship between these mast cell genes and a direct measure of type 2 gene expression in the airways. We found that the T2GM was highly correlated with the expression of TPSAB1 ($r^2 = 0.46, P < 0.0001; Figure 3A) and CPA3 ($r^2 = 0.56, P < 0.0001; Figure 3B) in induced sputum cells, and not correlated with CMA1 ($r^2 = 0.00, P = 0.77; Figure 3C). Similar significant associations were identified when the analysis was restricted to subjects with asthma (data not shown).

The expression of these mast cell genes was also assessed by copy number analysis of RNA isolated from epithelial brushings obtained at the time of research bronchoscopy. We found that the T2GM in induced sputum was associated with the number of copies of TPSAB1 ($r^2 = 0.22, P = 0.006; Supplemental Figure 3D) and CPA3 ($r^2 = 0.31, P = 0.0007; Supplemental Figure 3E) in induced sputum cells and not with CMA1 ($r^2 = 0.06, P = 0.32; Supplemental Figure 3F). These results identify a close association between type 2 inflammation and the expression of a specific set of mast cell genes in airway cells and the airway epithelium, confirming the close relationship between mast cell gene expression in the airways and type 2 inflammation.

Figure 1. Type 2 gene expression in induced sputum is specifically elevated in EIB+ asthma. (A) The T2GM was elevated in EIB+ asthma subjects (n = 14) compared with EIB– asthma subjects (n = 11) and healthy controls (n = 8). (B) Of the 3 type 2 genes, expression of IL5 showed the most significant difference among the groups. Shown are means and SDs. Analyses are by 1-way ANOVA with correction for multiple comparisons. (C) IL13 expression was most highly associated with the T2GM by linear regression (95% confidence intervals are shown).

Figure 2. Sputum type 2 gene expression correlates with airway obstruction and the severity of Indirect AHR. (A and B) The T2GM did not correlate with FEV1 % predicted (A) but did correlate with the FEV1/FVC ratio (B). (C and D) The T2GM trended toward an association with severity of direct AHR (C) and was significant in association with indirect AHR (D). Associations were assessed by linear regression; shown are regression lines and 95% confidence intervals.
A shift in mast cells from the submucosal to the epithelial compartment occurs in association with indirect AHR. We used quantitative morphometry in the form of design-based stereology on endobronchial biopsies to further delineate the density of mast cells in different tissue compartments. We used 2 complementary stereology approaches to quantify the location of mast cells in the airway wall. For the primary analysis, we quantified the number of mast cells relative to the reference surface area of the basal lamina to provide an assessment of the number mast cells above or below the basal lamina. For the second analysis, we used the physical dissector to assess the density of mast cells in each reference space of the epithelial volume, and the submucosal volume (see an illustrated presentation of these methods in Supplemental Figure 4). These complementary unbiased assessments were designed to determine whether there is a specific shift in the location of mast cells in asthma from below the basal lamina in the submucosa to the epithelial compartment. The quantity of mast cells in the epithelium relative to the surface area of basal lamina was increased in asthma ($P = 0.02$; Figure 4A). This difference was driven by the EIB+ asthma group, which had more intraepithelial mast cells per surface area of the basal lamina than both the EIB– asthma group ($P = 0.02$) and the healthy controls ($P = 0.002$; Figure 4B). The EIB group did not differ from the healthy control group ($P = 0.47$). An association between the severity of EIB measured by the AUC30 and the quantity of mast cells in the epithelium relative to the surface area of basal lamina was also observed ($P = 0.05$; Figure 4C). In contrast, the number of mast cells in the submucosa relative to the surface area of the basal lamina was lower in asthma compared with healthy controls ($P = 0.006$; Figure 4D); there was no difference in the number of mast cells below the basal lamina comparing subjects with and without EIB ($P = 0.60$; Figure 4E) or comparing the number of submucosal mast cells and severity of EIB ($P = 0.20$; Figure 4F). In comparing the density of mast cells in each space relative to the volume of that space, we found the same relationships between epithelial mast cell infiltration and indirect AHR (Supplemental Figure 5, A–C), and observed a similar trend toward a reduction in the density of mast cells per submucosal volume in asthma, though these results did not reach statistical significance (Supplemental Figure 5, D and E).

To specifically characterize the shift in mast cells between the submucosa and epithelial compartments, we generated a ratio of mast cells in the epithelium relative to the submucosa using both quantitative metrics. We found that the ratio of the number of mast cells per area of the basal lamina in the epithelium relative to the number in the submucosa (MC #/BL area ratio) was increased in asthma ($P = 0.006$; Figure 4G) and that this increase was specifically driven by the EIB+ asthma group relative to the EIB– asthma group ($P = 0.009$; Figure 4H) and the healthy controls ($P = 0.0007$). Further, there was a strong association between this ratio of mast cells and the severity of indirect AHR ($r^2 = 0.29$, $P = 0.0007$; Figure 4I). Similarly, the density of mast cells in the epithelium relative to the density of mast cells in the submucosa (MC volume density ratio) was increased in asthma ($P = 0.02$; Supplemental Figure 5G) in a manner that was driven by the EIB+ asthma group ($P = 0.002$; Supplemental Figure 5H) and was associated with the severity of indirect AHR ($r^2 = 0.31$, $P = 0.0005$; Supplemental Figure 5I). These results are also illustrated in Supplemental Figure 6, which shows representative images of endobronchial biopsies from each of the groups showing the shift in the location of mast cells between the submucosa and epithelium in asthma.
Taken together, these results specifically demonstrate that in healthy individuals, mast cells are located predominantly in the submucosa, while these cells are shifted to the epithelial compartment in asthma. This finding further suggests the migration of mast cells from the submucosa to the epithelium in asthma and demonstrates that a shift in the location of mast cells from a predominantly submucosal location to the airway epithelium is the major alteration in asthma, and is closely associated with airway dysfunction in the form of indirect AHR.

The T2GM in induced sputum is a marker of mast cell infiltration of the airway epithelium. Type 2 inflammation is often viewed as a marker of eosinophilic inflammation. More recently, however, innate immune cells, including type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s), have emerged as a key source of IL-5 and IL-13 (33–35). Here we observed that type 2 inflammation reflected by the T2GM was closely associated with the quantity of mast cells in the airway epithelium relative to the basal lamina area ($r^2 = 0.35$, $P = 0.002$; Figure 5A), but not the quantity of mast cells in the submucosa relative to the basal lamina area ($r^2 = 0.04$, $P = 0.34$; Figure 5B). This finding specifically demonstrates that a shift in the location of mast cells is associated with type 2 inflammation, as the ratio of the quantity of mast cells above and below the basal lamina was strongly associated with the T2GM ($r^2 = 0.40$, $P = 0.009$), as was the volume density ratio of mast cells in the epithelium relative to the submucosa ($r^2 = 0.60$, $P < 0.0001$; Figure 5C). This shift in the volume density ratio of mast cells was closely correlated with the expression of each type 2 gene, IL4 ($r^2 = 0.52$, $P < 0.0001$), IL5 ($r^2 = 0.41$, $P = 0.0008$), and IL13 ($r^2 = 0.55$, $P < 0.0001$).

To assess the relationship between the T2GM and the concentration of induced sputum eosinophils, we examined the relationship between the T2GM and the concentration of induced sputum eosinophils. We found a modest association between the T2GM and the concentration of induced sputum eosinophils ($r^2 = 0.16$, $P = 0.02$; Figure 5D), and no association with percentage of induced sputum eosinophils. The associations with each type 2 gene and the concentration of induced sputum eosinophils were modest: IL4 ($r^2 = 0.21$, $P = 0.007$), IL5 ($r^2 = 0.21$, $P = 0.05$), and IL13 ($r^2 = 0.09$, $P = 0.09$). These results demonstrate that type 2 inflammation is more closely related to a shift in the location of mast cells to the airway epithelium as compared with induced sputum eosinophilia in asthma.

The epithelial-derived cytokine IL-33 plays a unique role in the activation of type 2 gene expression in human mast cells. Because of the observed association of intraepithelial mast cells with...
type 2 inflammation, we examined the regulation of mast cell type 2 gene expression by the epithelial-derived cytokines IL-33, IL-25, and TSLP. We investigated expression in primary human cord blood-derived mast cells (CBMCs), and also in the LAD2 human mast cell line (36) and the LUVA human mast cell line (37) to serve as technical replicates because of limitations in the ability to generate sufficient quantities of CBMCs for some of the complex ex vivo experiments. In CBMCs, we found that IL-33 induced the expression of IL5 and IL13 (P < 0.0001) but not IL4 (P = 0.81). In contrast, IL-25, TSLP, house dust mite (HDM) extract, and IL-13 did not individually induce the expression of type 2 cytokines (Figure 6A). In LAD2 cells, we similarly found that only IL-33 stimulation induced the expression of the type 2 cytokines IL5 (P < 0.0001) and IL13 (P = 0.0009) with minimal induction of IL4 (P = 0.65; Supplemental Figure 7A). In LUVA cells IL-33 induced expression of IL13 but not IL4 or IL5 (Supplemental Figure 7B). Using the LAD2 cell line, we found that the addition of IL-25 or TSLP to IL-33 partially attenuated the IL-33–induced expression of type 2 cytokines (Supplemental Figure 7A). Finally, we examined the release of IL-5 and IL-13 protein and found that LUVA mast cells treated with IL-33 released large quantities of IL-13 and modest amounts of IL-5 after 24 and 48 hours in culture (P < 0.0001; Figure 6B).

In an organotypic cell culture system, mast cells regulate the epithelial expression of IL-33 in a feed-forward loop. Because of the unique role of IL-33 in the induction of type 2 cytokines in mast cells, we examined the interaction between mast cells and the expression of key cytokines in the epithelium. We used an ex vivo model of differentiated primary epithelial cells in organotypic culture in which human mast cells were placed in the basolateral fluid for 48 hours either with or without treatment of the apical surface with HDM extract, modeling the function of intraepithelial mast cells. The mast cells were either primed with IL-33 for 4 hours or not prior to coculture. We found that coculturing epithelial cells with LAD2 mast cells that were primed with IL-33 before coculture led to a marked amplification of epithelial IL33 expression (P = 0.02; Figure 7A). It is notable that HDM treatment, which is known to cause an increase in IL-33 release as are other proteolytic allergens (38–40), caused a further amplification of epithelial IL33 expression over IL-33 priming alone (P = 0.007), suggesting that persistent IL-33 activation of mast cells occurs in this coculture model. We found the same results using LUVA mast cells in coculture, both the significant increase in epithelial IL33 expression in coculture with IL-33–primed LUVA mast cells (P = 0.0004) and the amplification by treatment of epithelial cells with HDM extract (P = 0.02; Figure 7B). Finally, we confirmed these results using primary human CBMCs, including the effects of priming and the further amplification of epithelial IL33 following HDM stimulation of the apical surface (P = 0.01; Figure 7C). These results demonstrate that IL-33–primed mast cells regulate the epithelial expression of IL33 and suggest that further release of IL-33 in response to HDM extract amplifies this feed-forward loop. Providing further evidence of this feed-forward loop, we found that the levels of IL-33 protein were significantly elevated in the basolateral media only when IL-33–primed mast cells were added to the coculture system in our model of coculture with HDM-treated epithelial cells differentiated in organotypic culture (P < 0.0001; Figure 7D). Although we can-
not directly ascertain the source of IL-33 protein in this experiment definitively, we found that the levels of IL-33 protein were not measurable when IL-33–primed mast cells were washed, transferred to a new well, and similarly treated with HDM in the absence of the epithelium, so we believe the production is from the epithelium. Further, although mast cell production of IL-33 has been described (41), we have found that IL-33 priming of mast cells does not lead to an increase in the expression of IL-33 by mast cells (data not shown).

**Feed-forward amplification of epithelial IL-33 gene expression by mast cells is mediated by IL-33, and is not a consequence of IL-13 signaling.** To examine whether IL-33 activation of mast cells is critical for the amplification of epithelial IL-33 expression rather than a more general feature of mast cell activation, we compared priming of mast cells with IL-33 to priming with more general stimuli of mast cell activation, including IgE-mediated degranulation following passive sensitization as well as phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate–mediated (PMA-mediated) activation. Furthermore, to exclude the possibility that the cells were being activated in an autocrine manner, we also primed with unstimulated mast cell supernatant as a control condition. We found that while IL-33–primed LAD2 mast cells amplified epithelial IL-33 production either with or without HDM treatment of the epithelial surface, activating mast cells by IgE-mediated degranulation or PMA stimulation did not alter epithelial IL33 expression (Supplemental Figure 8A). We observed the same results with LUVA mast cells (Supplemental Figure 8B). We also found no evidence that autocrine activation by a mast cell–derived product was involved in the regulation of epithelial IL-33.

**Figure 7. Mast cells regulate the epithelial expression of IL33 in a feed-forward loop.** In a coculture system with differentiated epithelial cells cocultured with or without mast cells for 48 hours, priming of the mast cells with IL-33 significantly amplified the epithelial expression of IL33. Stimulation of the epithelial surface with HDM further amplified IL33 expression. Similar results were identified for LAD2 mast cells (n = 3 per condition) (A), LUVA mast cells (n = 3 per condition) (B), and primary CBMCs (n = 2 per condition) (C). (D) IL-33 protein was detected in the basolateral media only when IL-33–primed mast cells were added to the coculture system in which HDM was added to the apical surface (n = 4 per condition). IL-33 protein was not detectable when IL-33–primed mast cells that were similarly exposed to HDM were cultured in the absence of the epithelium. Differences between multiple conditions were assessed by 1-way ANOVA with correction for multiple comparisons. Shown are mean values and SEM bars.

Since recent work found that IL-33–stimulated mast cells induce IL-13–mediated genes in the epithelium (42), we examined the dependence on IL-33 and IL-13 after priming LAD2 mast cells with IL-33 using blocking antibodies in our coculture system. We found that the amplification of epithelial IL33 by IL-33–primed mast cells could be inhibited by blockade of IL-33 during coculture (P = 0.003; Figure 8A). The further amplification of epithelial IL33 with both IL-33–primed mast cells and HDM stimulation of the epithelial cells was significantly attenuated via IL-33 blockade (P < 0.0001; Figure 8A). Our prior results demonstrate that HDM leads to a persistent increase in IL33 expression, and these studies further confirm the dependence on persistent IL-33 activation in this feed-forward loop that regulates epithelial IL33 expression through mast cells. As we have shown that IL-33 strongly induces IL13 expression and release in mast cells, these results suggest that the effects on the epithelium may be mediated by IL-13, so we...
treated epithelial cells in organotypic culture directly with either IL-33 or IL-13, and found that while IL-33 induced the expression of IL33 in the epithelium (P = 0.0002) as has been noted in other cells (43), IL-13 itself did not induce the expression of IL33 (Figure 8B). When epithelial cells were treated with HDM, there was an increase in IL33 expression as seen in earlier experiments (P = 0.02). In this context, the addition of IL-33 to HDM-treated epithelial cells resulted in a further increase in epithelial IL33 expression (P < 0.00001), while treatment with IL-13 significantly decreased the expression of IL33 (P = 0.003; Figure 8B), indicating that IL-13 serves as a negative regulator of this system. As this result might suggest that IL-13 acts indirectly on the epithelium through mast cells, we primed mast cells with either IL-13 or IL-33 and found that while IL-33–primed mast cells increased epithelial IL33, IL-13–primed mast cells did not (P = 0.03 without and P < 0.00001 with HDM treatment of the epithelium; Figure 8C). These results demonstrate that the feed-forward loop leading to enhanced epithelial IL33 expression is dependent on mast cells and the persistent effects of IL-33 in coculture, which leads to enhanced expression of type 2 cytokines; however, IL-13 itself is not the mediator of this effect, and actually decreases the HDM-mediated increase in epithelial IL33 expression, suggesting a counterregulatory system.

**Feed-forward amplification of epithelial IL33 expression is accentuated in primary epithelial cells derived from children with childhood-onset asthma.** Although most studies have not identified a major increase in epithelial IL33 gene expression in asthma during stable disease, and similarly we did not see an increase in IL33 expression in epithelial brushing in our cohort (data not shown) (9), there is evidence of increased IL33 protein production and altered expression of a recently described splice variant suggesting complex regulation (9, 44, 45). Further, previous work has demonstrated that IL-33 production is largely from basal cells rather than the ciliated cells that predominate in epithelial brushings (45). To further translate our findings to asthma, we compared the effects of LUVA mast cell coculture and IL-33 priming on primary airway epithelial cells isolated from children with asthma compared with epithelial cells isolated from healthy nonatopic children. We found the same pattern of amplification of epithelial IL33 expression by coculture with IL-33–primed LUVA mast cells, but there was a greater effect in the epithelial cells isolated from children with asthma compared with epithelial cells isolated from healthy nonatopic children (P = 0.006, overall difference in phenotype, control vs. asthma; Figure 9 and Supplemental Table 3). The accentuated effects of priming the mast cells with IL-33 either with or without HDM stimulation showed a notable trend toward increased effect of mast cells on epithelial cells derived from children with asthma (P = 0.1, control vs. asthma). These results indicate that the epithelial–mast cell feed-forward loop regulating the epithelial expression of IL33 is amplified in subjects with asthma compared with healthy controls, both an overall difference in the epithelial response to coculture with mast cells, and specifically a heightened response to IL-33 acting through mast cells.

**Discussion**

The present study reveals a clear relationship between type 2 gene expression, the location of mast cells within the airway wall, and features of indirect AHR in asthma. These findings are of key importance as AHR is central to the pathophysiology of asthma, and indirect AHR is a specific phenotypic manifestation of asthma (1, 2). Although indirect AHR has been related to general features of inflammation (46), our study specifically defines the underlying alterations in the airway wall that are associated with this phenotype. Specifically, we demonstrate that increased type 2 gene expression in the airways is associated with both indirect AHR and increased mast cell gene expression in the airways, as well as a shift in the location of mast cells from the submucosal to the epithelial compartment. These results suggest that type 2 inflammation is directly related to mast cell infiltration of the epithelium and to a specific mast cell subtype with strong expression of tryptase and carboxypeptidase A3.

In further ex vivo experimentation, we demonstrate that IL-33 has a unique role in the induction of type 2 cytokines in mast cells, and that mast cells primed with IL-33 regulate the epithelial expression of IL-33 in a feed-forward loop, indicating the presence of a mast cell–derived product that enhances epithelial IL33 expression. This mast cell–dependent feed-forward loop is augmented by exposure to HDM allergen, which provides a source of IL-33 to perpetuate the feed-forward loop through mast cells. Critically, we found that this feed-forward loop is accentuated in primary airway epithelial cells from children with asthma relative to healthy controls, which indicates that this feed-forward amplification between IL-33 and type 2 inflammation through mast cells is enhanced by a disease-related factor present in epithelial cells from children with asthma. These results reveal a fundamental basis for type 2 inflammation in the human airway and suggest that a break in this feed-forward loop might attenuate airway type 2 inflammation.

Type 2 inflammation can be characterized directly by the expression of the classical type 2 cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 as we did in the present study. It can also be characterized indirectly based on the effects of this inflammation on the airway epithelium. In particular, IL-13 has been demonstrated to have important epithelial effects by inducing the epithelial-derived genes...
The typical mucosal mast cell that expresses tryptase alone (MC_T) in inflammation and indirect AHR in asthma.

Type 2 cell location is closely associated with both phenotype and endo-mast cell distribution we observed is not confounded by cortico-

Based on our study design, we can be confident that the altered mast cell distribution is not due to corticosteroids or the quantification method. We can conclude that mast cell location is closely associated with both phenotype and endotype, with intraepithelial mast cells playing a key role in type 2 inflammation and indirect AHR in asthma.

Our study further supports a change in the mast cell type from the typical mucosal mast cell that expresses tryptase alone (MC_T) to a distinct mast cell subtype in asthma that expresses both tryptase and carboxypeptidase A3 (MC_T/CPA3). These MC_T/CPA3 cells are also distinct from the typical submucosal mast cells (MC_e) that also express chymase in addition to other granule proteases (59).

Although our laboratory (8) and others (54, 60) have previously described this alteration in mast cell gene expression, our results here further demonstrate that these proteases are tightly linked to the expression of type 2 cytokines in the airways, and although we do not have samples to assess the expression of mast cell genes in the submucosa, these results suggest that mast cells migrating to the epithelium may lose expression of chymase. These findings could be of importance because tryptase cleavage of IL-33 proteolytically activates the cytokine for binding to the ST2 receptor while chymase cleaves IL-33 in a manner that leads to the inactivation of IL-33 (61–64). The distinct mast cell populations could serve both to amplify type 2 inflammation at the mucosal surface and to inactivate IL-33 in the submucosa to prevent the unwarranted activation of innate cells. Such a mechanism could be protective in the context of helminth infection, but detrimental in the context of allergy and asthma (65).

Although the mast cell–mediated increase in epithelial IL-33 was clearly dependent on IL-33 during the priming stage and during co-culture, and IL-33 markedly induced type 2 cytokines in mast cells, our results demonstrate clearly that IL-13, acting either on the epithelium or in an autocrine manner on mast cells, is not the factor leading to the increase in epithelial IL-33. In contrast, we found that IL-13 decreases IL33 expression in the epithelium, suggesting that this is an endogenous mechanism to restrain IL33 expression. We found that IL-33 itself is partially responsible for the increase in IL33 expression in the epithelium; however, we have not yet identified the mast cell factors responsible for the increase in epithelial IL-33. This remains an important open question that could lead to important insight into novel therapeutic approaches to type 2 inflammation. It could be that another IL-1 family protein such as IL-1β (66, 67) may explain our finding. Considering this mechanism, the increase in epithelial IL-33 mediated through this feed-forward loop observed in the primary epithelial cells from children with asthma could be due in part to an increased expression of ST2 in their primary epithelial cells, as has been described in tissue sections from severe asthma (68). This accentuation of the feed-forward loop in epithelial cells derived from individuals with asthma could also be due to the consequence of an increase in basal epithelial cells, which serve as the major source of IL-33 (45, 69, 70). Alternatively, a separate signaling pathway may be responsible for this effect. Further investigation of the molecular differences of the epithelial cells in relevant asthma populations is needed to fully understand this feed-forward loop.

In summary, our results reveal a clear relationship linking the expression of type 2 cytokines in the airway, a shift in the location of mast cells from the submucosa to the epithelium, and indirect AHR. Furthermore, intraepithelial mast cells with a specific granule expression profile that are structurally within the epithelium rather than the submucosa drive this association. Using ex vivo modeling, our results identify a novel feed-forward loop dependent on IL-33 and mast cells that leads to the amplification of epithelial IL-33 expression and type 2 cytokines in mast cells. As indirect AHR is a central and specific manifestation of asthma, these findings pro-
vide important phenotype-endotype definition to the basis of type 2 inflammation in human asthma that can inform investigations of targeted therapeutic strategies to modulate type 2 inflammation.

Methods

Additional detailed experimental methods are provided in Supplemental Methods online.

Adult study subjects. We used endobronchial biopsies, epithelial brushings, and induced sputum from a repository collected at the University of Washington designed to examine differences between mild to moderate asthmatics with and without EIB and nonasthmatic controls (9). Subjects with asthma had a physician diagnosis of asthma for at least 1 year, and used only an inhaled β2-agonist for asthma treatment during the study. A methacholine challenge with a PC_{20} (concentration of methacholine needed to produce a 20% fall in FEV₁) of less than 4 mg/mL was used to confirm the diagnosis of asthma. A dry air exercise challenge was conducted at least 2 days after the methacholine challenge. Based on the results of the exercise challenge, participants with asthma were characterized as EIB⁺ if they had a fall in FEV₁ of at least 10% following exercise challenge and EIB⁻ if they had a ≤7% fall in FEV₁ following exercise challenge (56, 71). Control subjects without asthma were enrolled who had an FEV₁ of at least 80% predicted, a negative methacholine challenge (PC_{20} ≥ 8 mg/mL), a negative dry air exercise challenge test (<7% fall in FEV₁ following exercise), and no more than 1 positive skin prick test from a panel of 14 Aeroallergens. None of the subjects had a history of smoking cigarettes within the prior year or had 7 or more pack-years total of smoking.

Either epithelial brushings or endobronchial biopsy samples were available from 10 controls, 12 EIB⁻ asthmatics, and 19 EIB⁺ asthmatics. Endobronchial biopsy tissue was inadequate for stereology assessment in 1 control, 2 EIB⁺ asthmatics, and 1 EIB⁻ asthmatic. Insufficient RNA was available from the epithelial brushings for the PCR analysis in 1 control, 2 EIB⁺ asthmatics, and 2 EIB⁻ asthmatics.

Induced sputum and research bronchoscopy. Induction of sputum was conducted with 3% saline via an ultrasonic nebulizer for 20 minutes (55). Research bronchoscopy was conducted 2-10 days after induction of sputum in accordance with established guidelines (72). During bronchoscopy, 4 epithelial brushings were obtained from second- to fifth-generation airways of the left lower lobe and lingua using a 3-mm nylon cytology brush. Four to six endobronchial biopsies were obtained from second- to fifth-generation carina of the right lower and middle lobes using a 1.8-mm forceps. The biopsies were fixed in methanol Carnoy’s solution before embedding in paraffin.

Quantitative PCR and T2 gene mean. Real-time PCR analysis of induced sputum cells was conducted using TaqMan-based quantitative PCR methods (23). RNA from induced sputum cells from 37 asthmatic participants and 15 healthy control subjects was analyzed for expression of 8 genes relevant to airway inflammation: IL4, IL5, IL13, IFNG, CMAI, TPSABI, CPA3, and ARG2. The expression of 4 housekeeping genes, GAPDH, PPIA, YWHAZ, and PSMB2, was also measured.

Real-time PCR analysis of mast cell genes in epithelial brushings was conducted using TaqMan primer probe sets from Applied Biosystems with quantification relative to a standard curve of the gene copy number (73). Quantities of the specific transcripts of TPSABI, CPA3, and CMAI were determined by comparison of Ct values observed in each sample with Ct values obtained from standard curve generated using a dilution series of plasmid DNA containing a single copy of the gene of interest.
washed with PBS and allowed to incubate for a total of 48 hours. RNA was isolated from the epithelial cells after 48 hours, and in some cases the basolateral fluid was collected for cytokine analysis. In our primary model, we compared IL-33 priming to no stimulus before transfer into coculture. In additional experiments, we compared priming with IL-33 for 4 hours versus treatment of mast cells on the plate prior to transfer with HDM, PMA, LAD2 supernatant, and LUVA supernatant, and passive sensitization with IgE followed by IgE cross-linking with the CRA1 antibody (IgE/CRA1) (9).

Pediatric study subjects and primary bronchial epithelial cell culture. Children ages 6–18 years who were undergoing an elective surgical procedure requiring endotracheal intubation and general anesthesia were recruited for this study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for children with atopic asthma included a 1-year history of physician-diagnosed asthma; use of a short-acting β-agonist at least twice a month or daily use of an inhaled corticosteroid or leukotriene receptor antagonist; birth at 36 weeks or more of gestation; and 1 or more of the following atopic features: history of positive skin prick test or positive serum-specific IgE for a common Aeroallergen, elevated serum total IgE, history of physician-diagnosed allergic rhinitis, and history of physician-diagnosed atopic dermatitis. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for healthy children included birth at 36 weeks or more of gestation, lack of atopy and asthma by the above definitions, lack of any other clinical diagnosis of lung disease, and lack of a family history of asthma.

During the elective surgery, 3 epithelial brushings were obtained, and BECs were established in primary culture and cryopreserved as previously described (76, 77). A blood sample at the time of surgery was used to measure total serum IgE and allergen-specific IgE to dust mites (Dermatophagoides farinae and Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus), cat epithelium, dog epithelium, Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus fumigatus, and timothy grass. A clinical follow-up visit was performed within 2 months of elective surgery and collection of BECs from subjects, at which time spirometry was conducted before and after the administration of a bronchodilator, and the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) was assessed (78).

Phenotyped primary epithelial cell–mast cell coculture model. Pediatric BECs differentiated in organotypic cultures were cocultured with unprimed LUVA mast cells or with LUVA cells that were first primed with IL-33 for 4 hours as described previously except that the epithelial cells were differentiated in Pneumacult-ALI Medium (Stemcell Technologies). Following coculture of BECs and LUVA cells for 48 hours, RNA was extracted from BECs, and IL33 expression was determined as described above.

Statistics. For comparisons among groups, P values were calculated as appropriate for the data—Fisher’s exact test for categorical data, a 2-tailed Student’s t test for 2-group comparisons for normally distributed continuous data, and a Mann-Whitney U test for 2-group comparisons for non-normally distributed continuous data. A 1-way ANOVA with correction for multiple comparisons using the 2-stage step-up method of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli was used for multiple-group comparisons of normally distributed continuous data, and a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc test for multiple-group comparisons of non-normally distributed continuous data. A 2-way ANOVA was used to assess differences between the responses of epithelial cells from the different groups to coculture with mast cells. Associations between continuous variables were assessed by linear regression. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. In adults, written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to inclusion in the study, and the University of Washington Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol. In children, parental informed consent and assent from the child were obtained prior to inclusion in the study, and the Seattle Children’s Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.
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