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The gut microbiome is a key regulator of bone health that affects postnatal skeletal development and skeletal involution. Alterations in microbiota composition and host responses to the microbiota contribute to pathological bone loss, while changes in microbiota composition that prevent, or reverse, bone loss may be achieved by nutritional supplements with prebiotics and probiotics. One mechanism whereby microbes influence organs of the body is through the production of metabolites that diffuse from the gut into the systemic circulation. Recently, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), which are generated by fermentation of complex carbohydrates, have emerged as key regulatory metabolites produced by the gut microbiota. This Review will focus on the effects of SCFAs on the musculoskeletal system and discuss the mechanisms whereby SCFAs regulate bone cells.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common skeletal disease that leads to bone fractures and disability stemming from insufficient skeletal development leading to low peak bone density by age 30, and/or accelerated bone loss thereafter. Skeletal involution is determined by the process of bone remodeling, which involves the continuous removal of packets of old bone by the resorptive action of osteoclasts, and their replacement by new bone formed by osteoblasts (1, 2). Menopause, aging, inflammation, and hyperparathyroidism are common causes of osteoporosis that induce progressive loss of bone mineral density (BMD) by increasing osteoclastic bone resorption, or by decreasing osteoblast activity and lifespan.

Osteoporosis often remains untreated as a result of the cost and adverse side effects of approved drugs (3–6), underscoring the critical need for the development of inexpensive and safe interventions. To this end, recent investigations have focused on establishing the role of the gut microbiome in the development of osteoporosis, and in the efficacy of probiotics or prebiotics as novel approaches for its treatment. The notion that the gut microbiome is a BMD regulator in health and disease is supported by an established correlation in humans between microbiome diversity and osteoporosis (6). Moreover, animal studies have revealed that BMD is altered by the alteration of the gut microbiome, as it occurs in mice raised in germ-free (GF) conditions (7–9), and in mice treated with antibiotics (10–12).

Probiotics are defined as viable microorganisms that confer health benefits when administered in adequate quantities (13), while prebiotics are nondigestible fermentable food ingredients that promote the growth of beneficial microbes and/or promote beneficial changes in the activity of the microbiome (14). One mechanism whereby prebiotics and probiotics elicit positive health influences is by inducing modifications in the composition of the microbiota. These modifications, such as the expansion of Clostridia and Bacteroides, result in enhanced generation of metabolites that exert critical biological activities both in the gut and systemically. Indeed, metabolites produced in the gut by the microbiota provide an essential means for the gut microbiota to regulate anatomically distant organs. The term “postbiotics” is now used to refer to such metabolites. In addition to metabolites, structural components shed by bacteria may lead to distant effects on the organs of the body. For example, constituents of the bacterial cell wall such as peptidoglycan and lipopolysaccharide stimulate bone resorption (15).

Gut-derived bacterial metabolites regulate distant organs

The gut microbiome harbors hundreds of bacterial genera that reside in the luminal stream or adhere to the gut mucosa. The intestinal microbiome affords the host enhanced energy extraction from foodstuff, regulatory effects on epithelial growth, the exclusion of colonization by pathogens, and many other benefits (16). In addition, the gut microbiome is essential for efficient immune system maturation, as well as cytoprotection against exogenous insults. The gut microbiota produces metabolites that account for anatomically distant biological effects. Indole derivatives were among the first bacterial metabolites to be described to influence intestinal immunity (17, 18). In addition, trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), an amine oxide present in food or generated within the human intestine by the microbiota from choline and carnitine, was found to negatively affect the vascular system and kidneys (19). 4-Ethylphenol sulfate, a metabolite produced by intestinal saprophytes, was shown to regulate human behavior and has been implicated in autism (20). Insulin-like growth factor...
olites initially emerged as powerful immune cell controllers, and more recently have been recognized as pivotal regulators of bone resorption and bone formation.

Sources and mechanisms of SCFA production
Diet affects the diversity of the gut microbiota and thus by extension also influences the metabolic activity of the microbiome (26–28). A dietary element that plays a pivotal role in shaping the composition of the microbiome is vegetable fiber. This substance is regarded to be as essential as vitamins and other nutrients for organismal health. However, it is estimated that the current average consumption of fibers among adults in the United States is half the recommended amount of 30 g per day to be consumed as part of a healthy diet (29). Many of the beneficial health effects of fibers are due to metabolites generated by their digestion. Among these metabolites are SCFAs, which are derived from bacterial fermentation of complex nondigestible carbohydrates present in the diet. Amino acids and lactate catabolism also contribute to SCFA production, especially production of acetate and propionate (30, 31). SCFAs bolster the gut epithelium and coerce a tolerogenic immune environment. (i) SCFAs act as a major and preferred energy source to the colonic epithelium. (ii) SCFAs signal via GPR43/109a to induce inflammasome activation, culminating in IL-18 secretion, which functions in gut barrier homeostasis. (iii) SCFAs modulate NF-κB signaling via HDAC inhibition, thereby inhibiting secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. (iv) SCFAs inhibit recruitment and activation of macrophages and neutrophils through a reduction in proinflammatory cytokine production. (v) SCFAs induce a tolerogenic dendritic cell phenotype by inducing the secretion of IL-10 and retinoic acid. IL-10 inhibits effector T cell function, while retinoic acid binds to the retinoic acid receptor in naive T cells to induce their differentiation into Tregs. (vi) SCFAs induce Treg differentiation through HDAC inhibition, which inhibits the activity of effector T cells, thus establishing a tolerogenic immune environment.
SCFAs (20 mmol/kg) (51). The concentrations of SCFAs in human blood are lower: e.g., 375 μmol/L in the portal blood, 150 μmol/L in the hepatic blood, and 80 μmol/L in the peripheral blood (12, 52). In mice, concentrations of SCFAs are quite variable, ranging from 0.1 mmol/g to 40 mmol/g in intestinal samples (53–60). The gut microbiota regulates the level of expression of enzymes involved in SCFA metabolism (61). The type and amount of SCFA produced by the gut microbiota also depends on the type of ingestible nondigestible vegetable fibers, the duration of intestinal transit, and the composition and activity of the gut microbiota. Acetate is generated by many types of bacteria, while propionate and butyrate are only produced by a limited number of bacteria (62–64).

For example, Akkermansia muciniphila generate propionic acid from the digestion of the mucus layer of the intestine (63). Butyrate is produced by few bacterial species in the microbiome, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium rectale, Eubacterium hallii, and Ruminococcus bromii being representative examples (65). SCFAs are, first of all, an important source of energy, both for the microorganisms themselves and for the host. SCFAs provide approximately 10% of the energy requirement of humans consuming a Western-style diet (66). SCFAs are rapidly absorbed through the colonic mucosa, where butyrate is a critical source of energy for colonocytes. Propionate also provides energy to colonocytes, as well as to liver cells that utilize it for glucose formation, whereas acetate serves as a critical carbon source for lipid synthesis (67).

SCFAs affect immune function and other biological systems

SCFAs affect the immune system by modifying gene expression profiles (68, 69), cell chemotaxis (70, 71), differentiation (54–56), proliferation (72–74), and apoptosis (75, 76). In addition, SCFAs inhibit histone deacetylases (HDACs) and stimulate histone acetyltransferase (53, 56, 77, 78) (Figure 1). Ligand binding to cell surface receptors or transmembrane transport by specialized transporters is critical for SCFA activities. SCFAs bind to four receptors, the free fatty acid receptors GPR43 and GPR41 (also known as FFAR2 and FFAR3) (79), the niacin/butyrate receptor GPR109a (also known as HCA2) (80), and the olfactory receptor Olfr78 (81). These receptors show distinct binding affinities for specific types of SCFAs, as well as different patterns of expression. An essential high-affinity SCFA transporter expressed in the intestine is Slc5a8. Accordingly, mice lacking Slc5a8 develop colitis and colon cancer (82), while GPR43 activation prevents colonic inflammation and carcinogenesis (83).

The role of SCFAs in the immune system has been extensively reviewed elsewhere (53, 71, 84); some of the main discoveries are outlined herein. The intestinal epithelium acts as a barrier to prevent the passage of intraluminal entities such as foreign antigens, microbiota, and their toxins into subepithelial compartments. Its second function is to act as a selective filter that allows the translocation of essential dietary nutrients, electrolytes, and water from the intestinal lumen into the circulation. Disrupted intestinal barrier function is associated with development of inflammatory diseases. One cause of impaired epithelial barrier integrity is low butyrate levels, which commonly occur as a result of microbiome dysbiosis (70). In addition, efficient intestinal barrier function can be restored upon supplementation of butyrate to the diet (85). Butyrate enhances intestinal barrier function by inducing the expression of tight junction proteins such as claudin-1 (4). Furthermore, the interaction of SCFAs with intestinal epithelial cells or immune cells of the gut mucosa leads to essential anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects. Among them is the enhanced production of antimicrobial peptides by intestinal mac-
The most studied immunomodulatory effect of SCFAs is their capacity to induce the differentiation and proliferation of regulatory T cells (Tregs) (56, 90, 91). These cells are essential for the maintenance of immune tolerance and a symbiotic relationship between the host and the microbiome. Tregs suppress conventional T cells through a variety of mechanisms, including the production and secretion of the immunosuppressive cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β (92, 93).

Several lines of evidence support the notion that SCFAs produced by the microbiome are critical for intestinal Treg expansion, including the observation that GF mice have fewer Tregs than conventionally raised mice, and reports that nutritional supplementation with SCFAs alone is sufficient to increase Treg numbers in the intestine of GF mice (56). Even modest alterations of the microbiota composition may lead to decreased production of Tregs due to lower levels of SCFA generation, as attested by the finding of a paucity of Tregs in mice with dysbiosis compared with mice with normal gut microbiota (94).

Butyrate and propionate are potent inducers of Tregs, whereas acetate modulates B cell function (95). In one study, propionate was more potent than acetate and butyrate, and was found to be sensed by Tregs via the fatty acid receptor GPR43 (56). Another report identified butyrate as the most potent inducer of Tregs (91). Interestingly, oral administration of SCFAs following antibiotic treatment to mice showed that a combination of propionate and butyrate expands the Treg population in the spleen, whereas a combination of propionate and acetate expands Treg numbers in the intestine (90).

SCFAs support the development of peripheral Tregs in the intestine through multiple mechanisms. A key effect of SCFAs is to increase the differentiation of naive CD4+ T cells into Tregs (84, 86), and NLRP3 inflammasome activation following SCFA receptor binding on intestinal epithelial cells (87). Additional effects of SCFAs on macrophages include the capacity to downregulate LPS-induced proinflammatory mediators such as nitric oxide, IL-6, and IL-12. These effects are independent of TLR signaling or SCFA receptor signaling but are rather due to inhibition of HDACs within immune cells by butyrate (88). Intestinal neutrophils are also regulated by SCFAs. Some of these effects, such as the enhancement of neutrophil migration via a GPR43-dependent mechanism, contribute to infection resolution in gut tissue (70), while others, like the inhibition of phagocytosis and blockade of the killing of Candida albicans, appear to be counterproductive (89). In these studies, SCFAs decreased the generation of IL-12, IFN-γ, and various chemokines, whereas SCFAs increased production of the antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10 (69, 83).
via intrinsic epigenetic upregulation of the Foxp3 gene in T cells. This effect, which is surface receptor–independent (87), is mediated by increased histone H3 acetylation of the promoter for Cnsl and Cns1 gene loci (91). Another effect of SCFAs is to increase the proliferation of mature Tregs (56). This effect was reported to be GPR43–dependent in one study (56) and GPR43–independent in another study (91). Additional effects of SCFAs, especially butyrate, on Treg maturation are mediated by dendritic cells (DCs) (90), which express both GPR109a and GPR43 (56, 83). Since GPR43 is expressed at high levels by myeloid cells (91), it is likely that GPR43 mediates the effects of SCFAs on DCs. Butyrate and propionate (but not acetate) prevent the development of DCs via inhibition of histone acetyltransferase (82). Butyrate prevents DC maturation by upregulating antiinflammatory genes (96). This effect results in an increased capacity of DCs to support Treg differentiation. Butyrate and propionate induce DCs to promote the formation of IL-10–producing Tregs and inhibit the generation of IFN-γ effector T cells (83). Altogether, these reports highlight the sensitive responses of immune cells within gut mucosa and beyond to varying concentrations of SCFAs.

**Effects of SCFAs on osteoclasts and bone resorption**

Osteoclasts are multinucleated cells that are responsible for physiological and pathological bone resorption. SCFAs blunt osteoclast differentiation (97), and inhibition of HDAC activity is one mechanism whereby this occurs (98–100). For example, differentiation of primary bone marrow (BM) cells into osteoclasts is suppressed by butyrate and by trichostatin A, a known HDAC inhibitor (101). Treatment with the newer HDAC inhibitor depsipeptide FR901228 confirmed the antosteoclastic properties of butyrate, suggesting a novel role for HDAC inhibitors as antiinflammatory agents (102). Two further studies highlighted the antosteoclastic effects of butyrate, and to a lesser extent propionate, on osteoclast differentiation using mice and human primary cultured cells (103, 104). Suppression of osteoclast differentiation is most potent when SCFAs or HDAC inhibitors are added at early time points during osteoclast differentiation (53, 55, 101).

Mice lacking FFR1 (GPR40), a receptor that binds mid- to long-chain fatty acids, were protected against bone loss through suppression of osteoclastogenesis (105). This report led to further investigations by our laboratory on the potential of fiber–rich diets (prebiotic), bacterial transfer (probiotic), or nutritional supplementation with SCFAs (postbiotic) on bone metabolism under steady-state and bone waste–inducing conditions. We reported beneficial effects of all three approaches on bone metabolism (55). We also showed reduced osteoclast numbers in C57BL/6 mice and in osteoporotic mice following propionate and butyrate treatment (55). These observations correlated with significantly reduced markers for bone resorption.

Earlier publications highlighted the potential of Tregs to attenuate osteoporosis (106), and to increase systemic BMD by directly suppressing osteoclast differentiation (107–109) in a CTLA4/CD80/86 cell–cell contact–inducing and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase–inducing manner (110) (Figure 2). Considering the extensive body of literature reporting on the Treg–inducing potential of SCFAs (56, 90, 94), it was unexpected to find increased systemic bone densities in Rag1–/– (T and B cell–deficient) mice following propionate and butyrate treatment. The direct osteoclast-suppressing effects of propionate and butyrate — contrary to the indirect mechanism via expansion of Tregs — were shown to be independent of the receptors GPR41 and GPR43, and rather occurred via a shift in cellular metabolism toward increased glycolysis at early time points during osteoclast differentiation. Blocking glycolysis during this time window rescued the antiosteoclastogenic potential of propionate and butyrate in vitro experiments (55). To test whether these findings could be exploited as a novel strategy for the treatment of pathological bone loss, we further investigated the impact of SCFAs on ovariectomy–induced bone loss. In line with the capacity of propionate and butyrate to induce metabolic shifts toward increased glycolysis in osteoclast precursors, these SCFAs effectively prevented ovariectomy–induced bone loss. Moreover, propionate and, even more potently, butyrate prevented the ovariectomy–induced increase in osteoclast formation and bone resorption. By contrast, markers of bone formation remained unchanged. Interestingly, while high-fiber diets in steady-state wild-type mice increased bone mass, no positive effects on bone volume were observed when ovariectomized mice were fed a high-fiber diet (55). Studies are in progress to confirm the antiosteoclastogenic activity of SCFAs in humans.

Accumulated research has revealed that the source, concentration, and amino acid balance of dietary protein are additional factors that positively contribute to the composition, structure, and function of the gut microbiome. Therefore, in a first-in-human trial registered in the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00017277), we combined protein supplementation with a high-fiber diet, and observed enhanced production of Tregs and decreased bone resorption in subjects receiving supplements. This dietary combination strongly improved the acceptance and willingness of patients to consume the protein supplementation. Thus, increases in SCFA levels that occur as a result of pre-, pro-, or postbiotic dietary supplementation may serve as an inexpensive, safe, and effective intervention for both the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.

**Effects of SCFAs on osteoblasts and bone formation**

Physiological stimuli and pharmacological agents that increase bone formation typically act by increasing osteoblastogenesis, increasing osteoblast lifespan, or a combination of both. Activation of Wnt signaling in osteoblasts plays an essential role in increasing osteoblastogenesis and decreasing osteoblast apoptosis (111, 112). Wnt signaling is indeed critical for bone mass acquisition and skeletal involution (113). Attesting to the relevance of Wnt signaling for skeletal health and disease, activating mutations of the Wnt signaling coreceptor complex result in a high–bone mass phenotype (114), while inactivating mutations are responsible for low bone mass and the early onset of osteoporosis (115). Activation of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway results from increased production of Wnt ligands that bind to and activate the Wnt coreceptor complex, or from diminished production of Wnt signaling inhibitors such as Dkk1 (116) and sclerostin (117). Emerging reports have described skeletal effect of SCFAs, including investigations showing that butyrate promotes the osteogen-
ic differentiation of stromal cells (118), and mineralized nodule formation (119). Moreover, dietary supplementation with oligosaccharides that increase SCFA generation also increased BMD (120). On the other hand, SCFAs supplementation is reported to decrease bone volume without altering bone turnover rates in mice treated with antibiotics (21). These discoveries prompted a need to examine the effects of SCFAs on bone volume in mice with normal gut microbiota (Figure 3).

Tregs are suppressive CD4+ T cells that reside preferentially on the endosteal surfaces of bone (121); they are capable of suppressing osteoclastogenesis (107–109) and promoting osteoblast differentiation (122, 123) and are required for parathyroid hormone–stimulated bone formation (124). The fact that SCFAs promote the differentiation of naïve CD4+ cells into Tregs (56, 90, 91) suggests that SCFAs and probiotics that increase the production of SCFAs, such as *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (LGG), may act through a pathway linking SCFAs, Tregs, and bone formation. This notion was the subject of a recent report from our laboratory in which we showed that dietary supplementation with LGG for 4 weeks altered the composition of the intestinal microbiota (60). The most relevant change was an increase in the number of Clostridia, which are known to induce the production of SCFAs. Indeed, LGG treatment increased intestinal and circulating butyrate, a finding confirming the capacity of butyrate to diffuse from the intestine to distant organs. Butyrate and LGG were equally capable of stimulating bone formation and increasing trabecular bone volume without affecting cortical bone. This is surprising for several reasons: First, the data provide robust evidence that LGG, and probably all lactobacilli-containing probiotics, are capable of favorably altering postnatal skeletal development in young animals. This has not been consistently observed in previous studies with other probiotics (35). Second, the data indicate that the skeletal effects of LGG in eugonadic mice are mediated by butyrate, raising the possibility that butyrate and perhaps other SCFAs may represent a novel therapeutic approach for osteoporosis or optimization of skeletal development in children. Third, the result of this investigation and a previous study in ovariectomized mice (36) provide evidence that LGG exerts skeletal effects through multiple mechanisms that are dependent on the physiological status of the host. In contrast to eugonadic mice, in which the prevailing regulatory event is metabolic activity mediated by SCFAs (60), in sex steroid–deficient mice, LGG exerts a bone-sparing effect due to a positive modulatory effect on gut permeability and gut inflammation (36). These differences may be related to the fact that sex steroid–deficient mice have a higher rate of bone turnover, a higher inflammatory state, and increased gut permeability as compared with eugonadic mice. Fourth, it should be underlined that in both sex steroid–deficient mice and eugonadic mice, the positive skeletal effects of LGG were limited to the trabecular compartment. These findings underscore the fact that the cortical envelope of the skeleton is regulated differently compared with the trabecular compartment.

Strong experimental evidence supports the notion that the capacity of butyrate to stimulate bone formation is due to an increase in the number of Tregs in the BM. In fact, studies where the expansion of Tregs was prevented by injection of anti-CD25 antibody revealed that butyrate is unable to induce bone formation and increase bone mass if Tregs are absent (60). This was confirmed using DEREG mice, a knockin strain expressing the human diphtheria receptor in Tregs. Treatment of DEREG mice with diphtheria toxin causes the ablation of Tregs. Likewise, butyrate is unable to induce bone formation and increase bone mass in DEREG mice treated with a dose of diphtheria toxin. Since there was no evidence of increased inflammation, these experiments excluded the possibility that Treg depletion blocked the bone anabolic activity of butyrate by inducing inflammation. Partial Treg blockade also prevented the increase in Wnt10b production by CD8+ T cells induced by butyrate. This finding is noteworthy because Wnt10b is a key activator of Wnt signaling in stromal cells and osteoblasts. Wnt10b increases osteoblast proliferation (115), differentiation (125, 126), and survival (127–129), and regulates the production of osteoprotegerin (130). In humans, Wnt10b is a predictor of bone mass (131), while in mice Wnt10b is essential for bone mass acquisition at baseline conditions (132, 133), and its deficiency results in age-dependent bone loss (134). The function of Wnt10b as an endogenous Wnt ligand operating in bone is further supported by the observation that heterozygous Wnt10b−/− mice exhibit a significant reduction of trabecular bone (134). Moreover, the probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* prevents diabetes-induced bone loss by upregulating Wnt10b (135), while the specific pool of Wnt10b produced by CD8+ T cells is a critical inducer of bone formation in response to PTH (136–138).

The discovery that an increase in the number of Tregs in the BM affects the expression of Wnt10b by CD8+ T cells raises the question of the involved mechanism. The Wnt10b gene promoter region harbors three DNA-binding motifs for NFAT transcription factors located adjacent to binding sites for SMADs, the TGF-β signaling proteins. This organization suggests that Wnt10b transcription may be regulated by the binding of NFAT/SMAD dimers to the Wnt10b promoter. Indeed, one of these binding sites, located between –705 bp and –272 bp in the Wnt10b promoter, was found to be critical for Wnt10b transcription induced by LGG or butyrate (60). In the context of T cell activation, the preferred partner of NFAT is AP-1, not SMADs (139, 140). By silencing CD28 signaling in CD8+ T cells, Tregs lower the production of AP-1 and favor the binding of NFAT to SMADs (141). Accordingly, butyrate increased the binding of NFAT1 and SMAD3 to the Wnt10b promoter, but only when the number of Tregs was increased. In summary, Tregs promote the assembly of an NFAT1-SMAD3 transcription complex in CD8+ cells, which drives the expression of Wnt10b.

**SCFAs and PTH: mechanistic similarities and evolutionary considerations**

PTH is a calcitropic hormone critical for skeletal development. Similarly to butyrate, PTH stimulates bone formation and induces bone anabolism via the Treg/Wnt10b/Wnt signaling pathway (125, 142, 143). BM CD8+ T cells respond to PTH and butyrate by releasing Wnt10b, while silencing of Wnt10b expression by CD8+ T cells blocks the capacity of PTH and butyrate to stimulate bone formation and increase bone volume (60, 136–138). Moreover, PTH and butyrate increase the production of Wnt10b by CD8+ T cells by expanding Tregs (60, 124).

The evolutionary advantage of the mechanistic convergence between the skeletal effects of SCFAs and those of PTH remains unknown, but it is tempting to speculate that it may be related to
SCFA supplementation is emerging as a novel postbiotic treatment modality for optimizing postnatal skeletal development and preventing pathological bone loss. Pre- and probiotics also act, in part, by generating SCFAs that positively affect the skeleton (60, 146–148). In addition, these interventions suppress inflammation (149), regulate the immune responses in the host (41, 150), buttress a weak gut epithelial barrier (151, 152), and promote epithelial development and restitutional responses following injury (153–155). Robust evidence demonstrates that probiotics prevent the bone loss induced by ovariectomy, a model of postmenopausal osteoporosis (32–34, 36), prevent the bone loss induced by periodontal disease (156) and diabetes (135), and are beneficial for skeletal health in intact animals (35, 60, 157–159). Moreover, increasing evidence indicates that probiotics positively affect skeletal health in humans. Early trials showed that ingestion of kefir fermented milk for 6 months caused an increase in BMD in men (45), while treatment with Lactobacillus casei shirota improved distal radius fracture healing in elderly men and women (160). Another trial with a multispecies probiotic showed a significant reduction in bone turnover, but no significant changes in BMD, perhaps because the trial duration was only 6 months (42). A 1-year-long trial in older women revealed evidence of a favorable change in bone mass in response to probiotic supplementation (43), and in a study in Japanese women, the probiotic Bacillus subtilis C-3102 increased total hip bone BMD by decreasing bone resorption (44).

Prebiotics, which are predominantly nondigestible substances that act as food for the gut microbiota, are found in a variety of foodstuffs, such as artichoke, garlic, leek, dandelion greens, banana, onion, and chicory (161). Prebiotics include nondigestible oligosaccharides and soybean oligosaccharides. In many cases, a substantial amount of the food must be consumed to acquire enough prebiotic for activity, and therefore prebiotics, such as inulin, have been developed into soft chew, capsule, tablet, and shake forms (161). Prebiotics prevent ovariectomy-induced bone loss in rats (162) and increase BMD in healthy animals (37, 38, 163). In humans, prebiotics increase BMD in adolescents (164) and decrease bone turnover in postmenopausal women (165). The mechanism of action of prebiotics in bone is complex, but emerging evidence has shown that bacterial metabolic pathways, including those that function in the generation of SCFAs, are involved (148).

Conclusions and future directions
SCFAs exert complex effects in bone remodeling that suggest novel therapeutic opportunities for SCFAs in the treatment of metabolic bone disorders. In addition, nutritional supplementation with prebiotics and probiotics that increase SCFA production may represent an effective, safe, and inexpensive modality to prevent and treat osteoporosis. Additional studies will be required to identify the pre- and probiotic formulations that maximize SCFA production. While current efforts are focused on the identification of bacterial strains that produce maximal skeletal effects, it is entirely possible that the number of bacteria surviving passage through gastric acid, rather than bacterial species, will emerge as a key factor for probiotic efficacy. In animal models SCFAs have been shown to blunt osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption and stimulate bone formation. The antiresorptive activity of SCFAs is T cell–independent (55), while the bone anabolic activity of SCFAs is dependent on Tregs and CD8+ T cells (60). The factors that determine whether SCFAs act primarily as antiresorptive agents or as anabolic agents are unknown. However, the composition of the microbiota, the source and age of the treated mice, and the duration of the treatment are certainly relevant factors, highlighting the fact that it is essential to account for reciprocal host-microbiome interactions in experimental science. Efforts to understand the factors that determine the bone cell response to SCFAs will be an important subject for future research. Most of the evidence linking microbiota-produced metabolites to bone derives from animal studies. It will be critical to confirm these observations in humans and thereafter conduct clinical trials with emerging postbiotic agents.

It is estimated that metabolites of bacterial origin account for about 10% of circulating metabolites (166). We predict that rapid progress in metabolomic and other emerging technologies will lead to the discovery of several metabolites critical for the regulation of bone turnover and the maintenance of bone health. Novel immune-metabolic pathways are likely to be identified that will provide innovative therapeutic opportunities for metabolic bone diseases.
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