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Irreversible T cell exhaustion limits the efficacy of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) blockade. We observed that dual CD40-TLR4 stimulation within a single tumor restored PD-1 sensitivity and that this regimen triggered a systemic tumor-specific CD8+ T cell response. This approach effectively treated established tumors in diverse syngeneic cancer models, and the systemic effect was dependent on the injected tumor, indicating that treated tumors were converted into necessary components of this strategy. Strikingly, this approach was associated with the absence of exhausted PD-1+ T cells in treated and distant tumors, while sparing the intervening draining lymph node and spleen. Furthermore, patients with transcription changes like those induced by this therapy experienced improved progression-free survival with anti–PD-1 treatment. Dual CD40-TLR4 activation within a single tumor is thus an approach for overcoming resistance to PD-1 blockade that is unique in its ability to cause the loss of exhausted T cells within tumors while sparing nonmalignant tissues.

Introduction

Immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) has improved outcomes for patients with diverse cancers, yet most patients with common cancers still do not show a clinical response. Features of malignant cells (1) as well as the immune infiltrate (2) play an important role in mediating resistance. In the latter category, T cell exhaustion acts as a key barrier to effective immunotherapy (3). Here, we propose that antigen-presenting cell (APC) activation status within a single tumor can impact tumor-associated T cell exhaustion systemically.

We sought to overcome the hurdle imposed by T cell exhaustion through local APC activation using low-dose intratumoral therapy. We hypothesized that such an approach would augment the activity of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) blockade for 2 reasons. First, because activating APCs exposed to tumor antigens may prime new waves of tumor-specific T cells; and second, because PD-1 has been shown to regulate CD28 signaling in T cells (4, 5), which is triggered by the costimulatory ligands CD80 and CD86 on activated APCs (6).

Using syngeneic murine models, we identified an intratumoral treatment approach using dual CD40-TLR4 stimulation that can overcome tumor-associated T cell exhaustion. Remarkably, this approach eliminated phenotypically exhausted CD8+ T cells at both treated and distant (so-called abscopal; refs. 7, 8) tumors, while sparing lymphoid organs such as the intervening draining lymph node (DLN) and spleen.

Results

Defined factors control local and distant tumors. To investigate the possibility of a causal relationship between APC activation, particularly CD86 expression, and an antitumor immune response, we used monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL), a low-toxicity TLR4...
agonist that induces APC activation in human and murine APCs (9, 10). We used C57BL/6 bone marrow–derived myeloid cells to determine whether MPL induces CD86 expression and phagocytosis in vitro in the CD11c+ cell population (Figure 1A). We next examined whether these effects were maintained in tumors in vivo using the syngeneic B16F10 melanoma model. We found that MPL retains the ability to augment phagocytosis, as it induced the uptake of intratumorally implanted latex particles (11) by endogenous CD11c+ phagocytic cells (Figure 1B). However, MPL did not retain the ability to activate APCs in the tumor microenvironment (Figure 1B). Given the potent role that CD40 can play in APC activation (12) and its ability to sensitize DCs to TLR stimulation (13), we tested the effect of an agonistic CD40 mAb on APC activation in vivo when administered intratumorally. We found that anti-CD40 induced the activation of CD11c+ cells following intratumoral administration (Figure 1B) in this system.

Hypothesizing that intratumorally administered agents mediating phagocytosis and APC activation would trigger a systemic antitumor immune response, we used a bilateral tumor approach (Figure 1C). This allowed us to distinguish the impact of therapy on the treated tumor from that on the distant tumor in animals bearing established, concurrently implanted tumors. We found that the combination of MPL and anti-CD40 eradicated or delayed the growth of treated and distant tumors, respectively (Figure 1D), and that this combination conferred greater antitumor activity than did either anti-CD40 or MPL monotherapy at both the treated and distant tumors (Figure 1E). To assess the possibility that MPL or anti-CD40 was directly cytotoxic, we asked whether these agents directly affect B16F10 viability in vitro. In contrast to oncolytic agents used for in situ vaccination (14), we found that neither MPL nor anti-CD40 demonstrated direct cytolytic activity (Figure 1F).

Given the potent role that CD40 can play in APC activation (12) and its ability to sensitize DCs to TLR stimulation (13), we tested the effect of an agonistic CD40 mAb on APC activation in vivo when administered intratumorally. We found that anti-CD40 induced the activation of CD11c+ cells following intratumoral administration (Figure 1B) in this system.

Hypothesizing that intratumorally administered agents mediating phagocytosis and APC activation would trigger a systemic antitumor immune response, we used a bilateral tumor approach (Figure 1C). This allowed us to distinguish the impact of therapy on the treated tumor from that on the distant tumor in animals bearing established, concurrently implanted tumors. We found that the combination of MPL and anti-CD40 eradicated or delayed the growth of treated and distant tumors, respectively (Figure 1D), and that this combination conferred greater antitumor activity than did either anti-CD40 or MPL monotherapy at both the treated and distant tumors (Figure 1E). To assess the possibility that MPL or anti-CD40 was directly cytotoxic, we asked whether these agents directly affect B16F10 viability in vitro. In contrast to oncolytic agents used for in situ vaccination (14), we found that neither MPL nor anti-CD40 demonstrated direct cytolytic activity (Figure 1F). Given the potential of activated APCs to prime antitumor T cells, we next asked whether the addition of anti-PD-1 treatment would augment treatment efficacy in this PD-1–resistant (15, 16) model. We found that addition of anti–PD-1 improved tumor control at both the treated and distant tumors (Figure 1G).

**Figure 1.** Agents that promote phagocytosis and APC activation, but not direct tumor cell lysis, control local and distant tumors. (A) Bone marrow–derived myeloid cells were treated with MPL or vehicle for 16 hours and incubated with FITC-labeled latex beads. Flow cytometry was performed to determine the fraction of CD11c+ cells that phagocytosed FITC-labeled beads and the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD86 expression (n = 3 – 5/group). (B) C57BL/6 mice were implanted intradermally with 5 × 10⁵ B16F10 cells. On day 8, FITC-labeled latex beads were coinjected intratumorally with vehicle, MPL, or anti-CD40. Twenty-four hours later, the CD11c+ cell population was analyzed in tumors (left) for phagocytosis (n = 5/group) and in DLNs (right) for CD86 expression (n = 4/group). (C) Treatment schedule: intratumoral biweekly treatments, with or without intraperitoneal anti–PD-1, were started once bilateral tumors were established; treatment was continued for 4 weeks. (D) Individual growth curves of treated and distant tumors in animals treated with MPL and anti-CD40 (n = 10/group). (E) Average tumor growth curves comparing MPL and anti-CD40 with constituent monotherapies (n = 10/group). (F) Viability of B16F10 cells treated in vitro with MPL, anti-CD40, or gemcitabine for 72 hours. (G) Growth of treated and distant tumors upon addition of anti–PD-1 (n = 10/group). *P ≤ 0.0, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, and ****P ≤ 0.0001, by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
and anti-PD-1 (CMP) regimen mediates antitumor activity, we analyzed distant tumors after 1 week of treatment and found that CMP-treated, but not isotype-treated, animals developed lymphocytic infiltrates deep within the tumor (Figure 2A). This corresponded with an increased fraction of CD8+ T cells and greater proliferation within this population (Figure 2A). The distant tumors continued to be enriched for CD8+ T cells after 3 weeks of treatment, and this enrichment became more pronounced by 6 weeks (Figure 2B), at which point only the treated animals were alive.

Given the evidence of a proliferative CD8+ T cell infiltrates within abscopal tumors, we next asked whether this cell population was necessary for treatment efficacy. We found that CMP efficacy was lost in Rag1−/− mice lacking mature lymphocytes (Figure 2C) and mice depleted of CD8+, but not CD4+, T cells (Figure 2D). Given the necessity of CD8+ T cells in mediating treatment efficacy, we next used the MHC-deficient B16 cell line B78H1 (17) to confirm MHC-dependent killing of abscopal tumor cells. Mice were implanted with B16 at the treated site and B78H1 at the distant site. While local B16 tumors regressed, we observed that treatment efficacy was indeed lost at the distant B78H1 tumors (Figure 2E and Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI128562DS1).

To assess for persistent immunity, the cured animals treated initially with CMP were reimplanted with B16F10 in the contralateral flank 90 days after treatment. All such animals fully resisted tumor reimplantation, despite the absence of ongoing therapy, in a subset of animals (Figure 2F).

We next examined the effect of CMP treatment on APCs to identify a cell population that may be interacting with the CD8+ T cells controlling tumor growth. We found that the CD11c+ immune cell population, an important category of APCs within the tumor microenvironment (18), became activated within 3 hours of treatment and that this activation persisted for 24 hours within the tumor (Figure 2G). As this occurred, we observed a delayed wave of CD11c+ activation in the DLN that was evident 24 and 48 hours after treatment (Figure 2G). Strikingly, during these waves of activation, the CD11c+ cell population was reduced within the tumor and concurrently accumulated within the DLN (Figure 2H). This pattern of CD11c+ cell activation in the tumor followed by its activation in the DLN, together with the depletion of this cell population in the tumor and concurrent accumulation in the DLN, is consistent with nodal trafficking of activated APCs (19).

Given these findings in the CD11c+ cell population and the necessity of CD8+ T cells for tumor control, we asked whether BATF3+ DCs were necessary for treatment efficacy, as these DCs efficiently cross-present antigens to CD8+ T cells (20–22). Using animals deficient for BATF3+ DCs (20), we found that treatment efficacy was indeed lost in this system (Figure 2I), confirming the necessity of this DC population for treatment efficacy.

**CMP acts on tumor-specific T cells.** We next sought to determine whether CMP induced a tumor-specific T cell response, as would be expected if BATF3+ DCs exposed to tumor antigens were priming naive CD8+ T cells. We performed an IFN-γ enzyme-linked immune absorbent spot (ELISpot) assay on CD8+ T cells sorted from treated animals 1 week after treatment and found that CMP selectively increased the response to B16F10 relative to syngeneic control tumor cells (Figure 3A). Next, we adoptively transferred Pmel-1 CD8+ T cells, specific to the melanoma differentiation antigen gp100, derived from T cell receptor–transgenic (TCR-transgenic) mice (23) into B16F10 tumor–bearing animals. As expected, we found that treatment induced proliferation in this B16F10-targeting T cell population (Figure 3B). To further assess the functional impact of CMP treatment, we used an ex vivo killing assay (24), in which CD8+ T cell were isolated from isotype- or CMP-treated animals. Since T cell quantities were held constant ex vivo, we were able to determine whether the cytolytic capacity of individual T cells was augmented by treatment and found that CMP enhanced the ability of individual T cells to lyse B16F10 tumor cells (Figure 3C). To determine whether CMP induces the selective expansion of endogenous T cells specific to the tumor and assess the contribution of individual treatment components, we used combinatorial encoding of MHC multimers (25). This system, capable of detecting rare endogenous T cells specific to an antigen of interest, revealed that CMP markedly expanded endogenous gp100-specific T cells that were nearly undetectable at baseline (Figure 3D).

Having determined that CMP therapy augments the tumor-specific T cell response in a B16F10 model, we next asked whether this therapy is effective in other syngeneic models and found that it was. We observed activity in bilateral syngeneic models of colorectal, hepatocellular, and bladder cancer (Figure 4A) applying the same bilateral tumor approach used for the B16F10 tumors (Figure 1C). We next used the orthotopic, syngeneic KPC pancreatic cancer model, in which anti-CD40 monotherapy has had little to no efficacy (26). In animals bearing established KPC tumors, we found that intravenous administration was effective (without a dose increase compared with intratumoral treatment) and even induced cures in nearly half of the tested mice, whereas PD-1 monotherapy was not significantly more effective than isotype control antibody treatment (Figure 4B).

**Treatment efficacy is lost in unmatched bilateral syngeneic tumors.** Having seen efficacy in diverse tumor models, we returned to the bilateral system, but now with unmatched syngeneic tumors at each flank (Figure 4C). Importantly, since we previously found that distant B16F10 tumors are sensitive to CMP treatment when the treated tumor is also B16F10, we used distant B16F10 tumors for all unmatched bilateral tumor experiments. This would allow us to exclude the possibility that the injected agents control distant tumors by simple diffusion and direct contact. The syngeneic tumor cell lines Hep-55.1C and MC38 (hepatocellular and colorectal carcinoma lines, respectively) were used as the treated tumors and were implanted concurrently with the distant B16F10 tumors. Consistent with tumor-specific cell–mediated killing, these experiments revealed that when different syngeneic tumors were used as the treated tumor, distant B16F10 tumors were not controlled with CMP relative to PD-1 blockade alone (Figure 4C), indicating that the treated tumor itself is a necessary component of this therapy.

**CMP is associated with the absence of exhausted T cells selectively within tumors.** To further characterize the systemic impact of treatment, we conducted RNA profiling of distant tumors 1 week after starting CMP treatment. We observed transcriptional changes...
Figure 2. CMP combination therapy augments APC activation and nodal accumulation followed by a systemic CD8+ T cell response. (A) Using the bilateral tumor model, distant tumors from isotype- (Control) and CMP-treated (Trx) animals were assessed by H&E staining after 1 week of treatment (scale bars: 50 μm) and by flow cytometry to quantify CD8+ T cell infiltrates and the fraction of this cell population expressing Ki67 (n = 4/group). (B) Distant tumors were analyzed by immunofluorescence (IF) at 3 and 6 weeks for CD4 (green), FoxP3 (yellow), and CD8 (red) cell populations (scale bars: 50 μm). Quantification of the CD8+ fraction of DAPI+ cells in IF images (n = 3–10/group). N/A, no remaining live animals. (C) Growth of treated and distant tumors from WT or Rag1–/– C57BL/6 animals (n = 10/group). (D) Growth of treated and distant tumors from mice depleted of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. Peripheral blood was collected to confirm the absence of corresponding cell populations (n = 10/group). (E) Tumor growth in mice bearing treated WT B16F10 and distant B78H10 tumors (n = 9–10/group). (F) Mice previously cured of unilateral B16F10 tumors with CMP treatment and age-matched naive controls were implanted with tumors on day 90 (n = 8–10/group). Adjacent panel shows fur depigmentation at the site of the initial cured tumor (green arrowhead) and at the site of post-treatment tumor reimplantation (red arrowhead). (G) CD69 expression in the CD11chi cell population in the treated tumor and DLN (n = 4/group). (H) Fraction of CD11chi cells among live CD45+ cells in the treated tumor and DLN (n = 4/group). (I) Tumor growth of WT or Batf3–/– C57BL/6 animals bearing B16F10 tumors treated with isotype or CMP (n = 10/group). *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, and ****P ≤ 0.0001, by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.

Discussion

Having previously described the abscopal effect in the setting of radiotherapy (34) and oncolytic viral therapy (35, 36), we now show that in situ vaccination with defined nononcolytic factors can potentiate PD-1 blockade. To our knowledge, CMP is the first treatment shown to induce the absence of terminally exhausted T cells in local and distant tumors while sparing intervening lymphoid organs. At baseline, exhaustion of antitumor T cells results from the chronic TCR stimulation of a relatively small number of T cells interacting with tumor antigens. It is tempting to speculate that by expanding this pool of T cells, CMP prevents the chronic TCR stimulation that would otherwise occur. This would potentially result in the absence of exhaustion among antitumor T cells (a population enriched within tumors), whereas exhaustion among a subset of other T cells would persist in lymphoid organs. Future studies will be needed to determine the specificity of the PD-1hi T cell populations in lymphoid organs and whether they indeed represent functionally exhausted T cells. The cause of the more robust tumor control at the treated tumor compared with the distant tumor, a finding with multiple in situ vaccines (36, 37), remains unclear and is currently being investigated in our laboratory.
Figure 3. CMP stimulates tumor-specific CD8+ T cells. (A) B16F10 tumor-bearing mice were treated for 1 week with CMP or isotype control. CD8+ T cells were purified from spleens and restimulated with irradiated ID8 or B16F10 stimulator cells. Shown are IFN-γ+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) in CMP and isotype samples for both tumor cell types (n = 3–5/group). (B) One week after tumor challenge, mice bearing B16F10 tumors were adoptively transferred with 2 × 10^6 CellTrace Violet–labeled (CTV-labeled) Pmel-1 CD8+ T cells purified from naive TCR-transgenic mice. A single treatment with CMP or isotype was administered on day 8, and LNs were harvested on day 11 to assess proliferation. Quantification of undiluted cells is shown in the CTV dilution histograms (n = 4–5/group), which are representative of 2 experiments. (C) CD8+ T cells were purified from distant tumors of animals treated for 1 week with CMP and used for an ex vivo collagen-fibrin gel–based killing assay. CD8+ T cells were coincubated with B16 tumor cells, and tumor cell numbers were assessed using a clonogenic assay to determine the proportion of B16 cells killed and the killing constant. (D) Tumor-bearing mice were sacrificed after 1 week of CMP treatment, and DLNs were stained with H2-Db MHC class I multimers bearing peptides from the melanoma differentiation antigen gp100. Quantification of endogenous gp100-specific CD8+ T cells relative to the total CD8+ T cell population is shown in representative plots (n = 3–5/group). **P ≤ 0.01 and ****P ≤ 0.0001, by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
Figure 4. CMP is active across tumor models but inactive in unmatched distant tumors. (A) Average growth curves of animals bearing bilateral MC38, MB49, and Hep-55.1c tumors \((n = 10/\text{group})\). (B) Kaplan-Meier curves show survival rates of C57BL/6 animals bearing established orthotopic KPC pancreatic tumors treated intravenously with anti-CD40 and MPL \((n = 10/\text{group})\). (C) Bilateral tumor model with distant B16F10 tumors and either MC38, Hep55.1c, or B16F10 (positive control) as the treated tumor \((n = 10/\text{group})\). *\(P \leq 0.05\), **\(P \leq 0.01\), ***\(P \leq 0.001\), and ****\(P \leq 0.0001\), by unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test.
The use of defined factors further clarifies the minimal elements necessary to induce an abscopal effect. It has been suggested that directly inducing antigen release with radiation, cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, oncolytic viruses, and other lytic factors is critical for initiating a systemic immune response with local modalities (38). In contrast to these approaches, CMP does not directly induce antigen release. Our results thus suggest that baseline antigen release, perhaps resulting from pretreatment turnover of malignant cells, may obviate the need for intervention to release antigen. Furthermore, oncolytic modalities are associated with the introduction of diverse damage- and pathogen-associated molecular patterns. These inflammatory molecules stimulate various pattern recognition receptors. The question of which receptors are strictly necessary for inducing a systemic immune response with such modalities had thus been left open.

From a clinical perspective, CMP treatment holds significant therapeutic potential for several reasons. First, toxicity may be limited, given both the low-dose intratumoral treatment and the absence of exhausted T cells selectively within tumors. Second, each of the agents in this regimen has already been used in humans (39, 40). Third, by relying on factors with well-defined targets, CMP may avoid unanticipated off-target effects. And last, by using defined factors, CMP would reduce the likelihood of limitations associated with pathogen-based (e.g., viral and bacterial) approaches including neutralizing immunity (41).

While we did not conduct a systematic study to rule out toxicity associated with treatment, no discernable toxicity was observed in the treated animals apart from fur depigmentation. Unlike other forms of therapeutic anticancer vaccination, in situ vaccination circumvents the need to identify and synthesize antigens specific to individual patients’ tumors. In principle, when the tumor itself is used as the source of antigen for a therapeutic vaccine, treatment can be expedited, and evolving sets of tumor antigens that arise during treatment can be targeted as they emerge.

Future studies will be needed to fully elucidate how other intratumoral therapies, including other TLR agonists, may impact T cell exhaustion and therapeutic efficacy in distant tumors. Models of metastatic seeding (e.g., to the liver and lung) would be of particular interest to address therapeutic efficacy in tissues with disparate immune microenvironments. Novel TLR9 agonists, for example, are thought to induce a systemic effect by activating plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) (42–44). Whether the pDCs trigger an immune response through direct antigen presentation versus the secretion of type 1 IFN alone and how this may impact T cell exhaustion in distant tumors are questions of significant interest. On the basis of the results described here, we are currently preparing prospective clinical trials to test the CMP regimen in patients with various solid malignancies.

Methods

Cell lines and tumor challenge experiments. Female C57BL/6 WT and Rag1−/− mice aged 6 to 8 weeks were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Batf3−/− mice were a gift from L. Deng (MSKCC, New York, New York, USA), and Pmel-1 TCR-transgenic mice were a gift from N. Restifo (NCI, Bethesda, Maryland, USA).

The cell lines B16F10, LLC, and Hep-55.1C were obtained from ATCC, and the MC38 line was obtained from the NCI. All cell lines were confirmed to be mycoplasma negative by the MSKCC Antibody and Bioresource Core facility. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 1× nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine, and penicillin with streptomycin (complete RPMI media). KPC tumor cells (1 × 106) were surgically implanted orthotopically into the tail of the pancreas 8 days prior to initial treatment, other tumors were implanted by intradermal injection of 5 × 105 cells into the flanks. Prior to treatment, mice were randomized and then treated twice weekly for 4 weeks. Tumors were measured twice weekly and monitored for at least 90 days after tumor challenge.

In vivo reagent and treatments. Therapeutic in vivo mAbs anti-PD-1 (RMP1-14) and anti-CD40 (FGK45), corresponding IgG isotype controls (2A3), and depleting mAbs anti-CD4 (GK1.5) and anti-CD8α (2.43) were purchased from Bio X Cell. RMP1-14 (250 μg) and 2A3 (20 μg) were administered intraperitoneally twice weekly. FGK45 (25 μg), MPL (5 μg), and 2A3 (20 μg) were administered concurrently intratumorally twice weekly. MPL (Sigma-Aldrich) was reconstituted as previously described (45). Depleting mAbs GK1.5 (560 μg) and 2.43 (400 μg) were administered intraperitoneally twice weekly beginning 1 day prior to treatment initiation and continued for the duration of the experiment.

In vivo phagocytosis. B16F10 tumor-bearing mice were injected intratumorally with the treatments described, together with 2 × 104 FITC-conjugated 1-μm latex particles (Polysciences) as previously described (11). Twenty-four hours after treatment, DLNs were harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry.

In vitro studies. For in vitro phagocytosis experiments, mice were sacrificed and bone marrow from tibiae and femurs was harvested and cultured in the presence of 20 ng/μL GM-CSF in T cell growth medium (complete RPMI media with 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol). On day 3, the supernatant was discarded and replaced with fresh media and GM-CSF. On day 6, the supernatant was discarded, and adher-
ent cells were physically dissociated. The cells were then plated with FITC-conjugated 1-μm latex particles (Polysciences) and treated with either isotype, MPL (5 μg), or anti-CD40 (20 μg) for 24 hours before analysis by flow cytometry.

For cell viability studies, when B16F10 cells reached 40% confluence, media were replaced with fresh media containing 20 μg/mL of either MPL, anti-CD40, or gemicitabine. After a 72-hour incubation, cells were assayed with the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) as previously described (46).

Immune cell isolation and flow cytometry. Immune cells were isolated and subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry as previously described (47). Briefly, tumors and LNs were harvested by dissection from sacrificed mice and mechanically homogenized into single-cell suspensions filtered through 100-μm nylon filters (BD Biosciences) into cold RPMI supplemented with 7.5% FCS. Cell suspensions were then washed once with cold RPMI. Spleens were processed in the same way with additional RBC lysis using ACK Lysing Buffer (Lonza). All samples were resuspended in PBS with 0.5% (v/v) FCS.

Samples were preincubated with anti-CD16/32 mAb (Fc block, clone 2.4G, BD Biosciences) for 15 minutes at 4°C to prevent non-specific Fc receptor binding. For surface markers, samples were then stained for 30 minutes at 4°C with various combinations of fluochrome-conjugated antibodies: anti–CD45–Pacific Orange (clone 30-F11, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific); anti–CD8–APC-Cy7 (clone 53-6.7, BD Biosciences); anti–CD4–AF700 (clone RM4-5, BD Biosciences); anti–CD11c–AF700 (clone N418, eBioscience); anti–CD86–PE (clone GL1, eBioscience); anti–PD-1–FITC (RMP1-30, eBioscience); anti–Tim-3–BV480 (clone 5D12, BD Biosciences); anti–Lag-3–APC (clone C9B7W, BioLegend); and anti–2B4–PerCP-Cy5.5 (clone 2B4, BioLegend).

For intracellular stain, cells were permeabilized using a FoxP3 Fixation and Permeabilization Kit (eBioscience) and stained with various combinations of the following antibodies: anti–Ki67–PE/Dazzle 594 (clone 16A8, BioLegend); anti–Eomes–PE (clone Dan11mag, eBioscience); anti–IFN-γ–V450 (clone XMG1.2, BD Biosciences); and anti–granzyme B–PE-Cy7 (clone NGZB, eBioscience). All antibodies were purchased from BD, eBioscience, or Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For intracellular cytokine staining, mouse immune cells were restimulated with 500 ng/mL PMA and 1 μg/mL ionomycin in T cell growth medium (complete RPMI media with 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol) at 37°C. After 1 hour, 1× GolgiStop and 1× GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences) were added and incubated for an additional 4–6 hours at 37°C. Fc blockade, surface staining, and intracellular staining were then performed as described above.

Stained cells were acquired using an LSR II Flow Cytometer or LSRFortessa X-50 and BD FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). The data were further analyzed with FlowJo software (version 10.4). Debris and doublets were excluded on the basis of forward and side scatter measurements. Dead cells were excluded using Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 506 (eBioscience).
Transcriptome profiling and gene set enrichment analysis. Bilateral B16F10 tumor-bearing B57BL/6 mice were treated as described above. Four animals per treatment group were sacrificed at 24 hours or 7 days. Bilateral tumors, DNs, and spleens were harvested and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organs were then thawed, and total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Expression profiling was performed using Affymetrix Clarion S and analyzed using the Transcriptome Analysis Console as previously described (48, 49). All original transcriptomics data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO:GSE130027).

Gene set enrichment was performed using GAGE (48, 49) on the robust multichip average normalized transcript expression values to identify significant gene set enrichment from immunologic signatures in the Molecular Signatures Database (48, 49) at a FDR of less than 0.05.

IFN-γ ELISPOT assay. Splenocytes were harvested from B16F10 tumor-bearing mice 1 week after initial treatment, and a single-cell suspension was generated as described above. CD8+ T cells were isolated using a CD8+ T Cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. IFN-γ-producing T cells were quantified as previously described (47). Briefly, the Mouse Immunospot IFN-γ Single Color ELISPOT system was used (Cellular Technology Limited). For in vitro restimulation, 1 × 10^6 CD8+ T cells were cultured with 1 × 10^4 irradiated (60 Gy) B16F10 target cells for 16 hours. Irradiated syngeneic ID8 ovarian carcinoma target cells were used as negative control targets to assess specificity. IFN-γ spots were quantified using a Immunospot S6 Micro Analyzer and Immunospot Professional Software (both from Cellular Technology Limited).

Killing assays. For clonogenic ex vivo killing assays (42), a 48-well tissue culture plate was filled sequentially with 5 μL PBS containing 0.1 U thrombin, 1 mg/mL human fibrinogen in 100 μL PBS, 1 mg/mL rat tail collagen I, 10% FCS, and B16F10 cells, with or without magnetic-activated cell-sorting–purified (MACS-purified) CD8+ T cells at a 100:1 ratio for splenic CD8+ T cells and 10:1 for tumor CD8+ T cells. The plates were incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C in a 95% air and 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere to allow the fibrin to gel. Gels were overlaid with 1 mL RPMI media with 50 mg/mL U thrombin, 1 mg/mL human fibrinogen in 100 μL PBS, and 1 mg/mL rat tail collagen I, 10% FCS, and B16F10 cells, with or without magnetic-activated cell-sorting–purified (MACS-purified) CD8+ T cells at a 100:1 ratio for splenic CD8+ T cells and 10:1 for tumor CD8+ T cells. The plates were incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C in a 95% air and 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere to allow the fibrin to gel. Gels were overlaid with 1 mL complete RPMI media with 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol and incubated at 37°C in a 95% air and 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Twenty-four hours later, the gels were lysed by sequential collagenase (2.5 mg/mL) and trypsin (2.5 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) digestion. The lysed gels were then diluted, and the recovered melanoma cells were plated in 6-well plates for colony formation. After 7 days in culture, the plates were fixed with formaldehyde and stained with 2% methylene blue, and colonies were counted manually. Target cell killing was calculated using the equation: 1 − (melanoma + T cells)/(melanoma alone). The killing coefficient k was calculated by applying the following equation: \( b = b_0 e^{(kp - g)} \), in which b is the tumor concentration at any time, \( b_0 \) is the initial tumor concentration, p is the T cell concentration, k is the first-order rate constant for T cell killing of tumor, and g is the second-order rate constant for T cell killing of tumor.

Adaptive transfer. CD8+ T cells were purified from spleens and LNs from Pmel-1 TCR-transgenic mice (23) using magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec) for positive selection as described above. Transgenic CD8+ T cells were then loaded with CellTrace Violet (CTV) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. On day 7 after tumor challenge, 2 × 10^6 CTV-labeled Pmel-1 CD8+ T cells were administered by tail-vein injection into the mice. A single treatment with CMP or isotype control was administered on day 8, and LNs were harvested on day 11. Proliferation was then assessed by flow cytometry.

Multidimensional encoding of MHC multimers. Murine gp100 peptide (EGSRNQDWL, AnaSpec) was exchanged onto H2-Db monomers (courtesy of the NIH Tetramer Facility at Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) complexed with UV-cleavable peptides as previously described (50). Briefly, excess gp100 peptide was plated with UV-cleavable monomers. The monomers were destabilized by the cleavage but rescued by the presence of gp100 peptide. Monomers bearing gp100 were then conjugated via streptavidin-biotin interaction to 1 of 2 distinct fluorophores (BV786 and BB515, BD Biosciences).

Distant tumors were harvested from tumor-bearing mice after 1 week of treatment. Samples were stained with 1 μg/mL multimer at 37°C for 15 minutes. Cells were rinsed with PBS with 0.5% (v/v) FCS, followed by surface staining with and 100 ng/mL BV805-labeled anti-CD8+ mAbs (BD Bioscience) for 30 minutes at 4°C. Analysis by flow cytometry on an LSR II (BD Bioscience) was performed, and the data obtained were analyzed using FlowJo software (version 10.4). Live CD8+ T cells that stained positive for both fluorophores were considered specific for gp100.

Differential gene expression and survival analyses. To correlate transcriptomic changes derived from preclinical models to patient samples, we first conducted differential gene expression analysis of our microarray data between replicates in the distant tumor at 1 week with CMP versus isotype (\( n = 4 \)) using limma (version 3.38.2) (51). Genes differentially expressed at a FDR \( P < 0.1 \) and a log fold-change of more than 0 (i.e., increased in CMP) were converted to human Entrez IDs using clusterProfiler (version 3.8.1) (52). This yielded a final gene set of 73 genes (Supplemental Table 1) for evaluation of patients with pretherapy RNA-Seq data from the Riaz et al. anti-PD-1 cohort (\( n = 51 \)) (33).

Distant tumors were harvested from tumor-bearing mice after 1 week of treatment. Samples were stained with 1 μg/mL multimer at 37°C for 15 minutes. Cells were rinsed with PBS with 0.5% (v/v) FCS, followed by surface staining with and 100 ng/mL BV805-labeled anti-CD8+ mAbs (BD Bioscience) for 30 minutes at 4°C. Analysis by flow cytometry on an LSR II (BD Bioscience) was performed, and the data obtained were analyzed using FlowJo software (version 10.4). Live CD8+ T cells that stained positive for both fluorophores were considered specific for gp100.

Statistics. Data were analyzed for statistical significance with an unpaired, 2-tailed Student’s t test when comparing the means of 2 independent groups. All data represent the mean ± SEM. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In experiments with multiple t test correction, P values were adjusted using the Holm-Sidak method. Progression-free survival of nivolumab-treated patients described here (33) was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. All survival data were analyzed by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. All experiments were repeated 2–4 times.

Study approval. All mouse procedures were conducted in accordance with protocols and guidelines established at the MSKCC, and all mouse procedures and experiments were approved by the IACUC of MSKCC. Mice were maintained according to NIH animal care guidelines, under a protocol approved by the IACUC of the MSKCC.
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