Distinct interferon signatures and cytokine patterns define additional systemic autoinflammatory diseases

Adriana A. de Jesus, … , Scott W. Canna, Raphaela Goldbach-Mansky

_J Clin Invest._ 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI129301](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI129301).

Undifferentiated systemic autoinflammatory diseases (USAIDs) present diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. Chronic interferon (IFN) signaling and cytokine dysregulation may identify diseases with available targeted treatments.

Sixty-six consecutively referred USAID patients underwent screening for the presence of an interferon signature using a standardized type-I IFN-response-gene score (IRG-S), cytokine profiling, and genetic evaluation by next-generation sequencing.

Thirty-six USAID patients (55%) had elevated IRG-S. Neutrophilic panniculitis (40% vs. 0%), basal ganglia calcifications (46% vs. 0%), interstitial lung disease (47% vs. 5%), and myositis (60% vs. 10%) were more prevalent in patients with elevated IRG-S. Moderate IRG-S elevation and highly elevated serum IL-18 distinguished 8 patients with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) and recurrent macrophage activation syndrome (MAS). Among patients with panniculitis and progressive cytopenias, 2 patients were compound heterozygous for potentially novel _LRBA_ mutations, 4 patients harbored potentially novel splice variants in _IKBKG_ (which encodes NF-κB essential modulator [NEMO]), and 6 patients had de novo frameshift mutations in _SAMD9L_. Of additional 12 patients with elevated IRG-S and CANDLE-, SAVI- or Aicardi-Goutières syndrome–like (AGS-like) phenotypes, 5 patients carried mutations in either _SAMHD1, TREX1, PSMB8_, or _PSMG2_. Two patients had anti-MDA5 autoantibody–positive juvenile dermatomyositis, and […]
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BACKGROUND. Undifferentiated systemic autoinflammatory diseases (USAIDs) present diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. Chronic interferon (IFN) signaling and cytokine dysregulation may identify diseases with available targeted treatments.

METHODS. Sixty-six consecutively referred USAID patients underwent underwent screening for the presence of an interferon signature using a standardized type-I IFN-response–gene score (IRG-S), cytokine profiling, and genetic evaluation by next-generation sequencing.

RESULTS. Thirty-six USAID patients (55%) had elevated IRG-S. Neutrophilic panniculitis (40% vs. 0%), basal ganglia calcifications (46% vs. 0%), interstitial lung disease (47% vs. 5%), and myositis (60% vs. 10%) were more prevalent in patients with elevated IRG-S. Moderate IRG-S elevation and highly elevated serum IL-18 distinguished 8 patients with pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) and recurrent macrophage activation syndrome (MAS). Among patients with panniculitis and progressive cytopenias, 2 patients were compound heterozygous for potentially novel LRBA mutations, 4 patients harbored potentially novel splice variants in IKBKG (which encodes NF-kB essential modulator [NEMO]), and 6 patients had de novo frameshift mutations in SAMD9L. Of additional 12 patients with elevated IRG-S and CANDLE-, SAVI- or Aicardi-Goutières syndrome–like (AGS-like) phenotypes, 5 patients carried mutations in either SAMHD1, TREX1, PSMB8, or PSMB9. Two patients had anti-MDA5 autoantibody–positive juvenile dermatomyositis, and 7 could not be classified. Patients with LRBA, IKBKG, and SAMD9L mutations showed a pattern of IRG elevation that suggests prominent NF-kB activation different from the canonical interferonopathies CANDLE, SAVI, and AGS.

CONCLUSIONS. In patients with elevated IRG-S, we identified characteristic clinical features and 3 additional autoinflammatory diseases: IL-18–mediated PAP and recurrent MAS (IL-18PAP-MAS), NEMO deleted exon 5–autoinflammatory syndrome (NEMO-NDAS), and SAMD9L-associated autoinflammatory disease (SAMD9L-SAAD). The IRG-S expands the diagnostic armamentarium in evaluating USAIDs and points to different pathways regulating IRG expression.
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gain-of-function mutations in STING1/TMEM173 lead to chronic predominantly IFN-β production, and PRAAS-causing loss-of-function mutations in proteasome genes cause an elevated IFN signature that is independent of nucleic acids and viral sensor activation and points to additional intracellular mechanisms that trigger an IFN signature.

A link between autoinflammation and type-II IFN (IFN-γ) was recently established via the discovery of gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4 (12, 13). Patients demonstrate chronically and extremely high levels of serum IL-18, as well as evidence for IFN-γ activity during flares (14). Highly elevated serum IL-18 levels emerged as risk factors for developing macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) and have been associated with the development of MAS in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis and adult-onset Still’s disease (15, 16). The disease-causing NLRC4 mutations have associated the NLRC4 inflammasome but not the other inflammasomes with very high IL-18 activation (15). Mutations in XIAP (17) and CDC42 (18) are other monogenic autoinflammatory syndromes with high IL-18 levels that predispose to the development of MAS, thus providing genetically defined disease models to study the role of IL-18 in MAS. The presence of free IL-18 in these patients with ultrahigh serum IL-18 levels may modify the synergy with IL-12 in promoting the production of the type-II IFN, IFN-γ (19), which emerges as the critical factor in leading to the hyperinflammatory state of MAS (14).

Emerging data from interventional studies targeting the type-I IFN and IL-18/type-II IFN pathways have shown benefit in patients with these diseases and treatment also might benefit patients who currently have no genetic diagnosis but evidence of immune dysregulation in the respective inflammatory pathways. Patients with chronically elevated IFN signatures may clinically respond to treatments that block type-I IFN signaling (11). Likewise, patients with high serum IL-18 levels and an MAS predisposition might respond to treatments that target IL-18 (20) and/or the type-II IFN, IFN-γ (21).

Comprehensive clinical phenotyping of undifferentiated systemic autoinflammatory disease (USAID) patients and screening for an IFN signature by using a standardized type-I IFN response gene score (IRG-S), a targeted cytokine profile, and by genomic evaluation, led to the identification of 3 additional autoinflammatory diseases, IL-18PAP-MAS, NEMO-NDAS, and SAMD9L-SAAD (in red); and 2 patients had LRBA deficiency. Three patients had CANDLE, 1 AGS, and 2 had anti-MDA5 autoantibody positive juvenile dermatomyositis. Seven patients with an elevated IRG-S and 7 patients with negative IRG-S could not be further classified. *No monogenic candidate gene. **Negative–IRG-S patients were classified as CRMO/CRMO-like (n = 5), CAPS/CAPS-like (n = 4), periodic fever syndrome (n = 6), Still’s-like disease and MAS (n = 3); 7 patients could not be classified. ***Two patients (G1-P5 and G4-P6) only had one sample, 1 patient (G4-P3) had a bone marrow transplant (BMT) and no pre-BMT sample available, and 1 patient (G1-P3) had 3 negative samples.

Figure 1. Study overview, patient allocation and diagnosis. All patients were screened for elevation of an IFN-response-gene score (IRG-S) except for 1 patient (G4-P5), who was diagnosed postmortem based on WES with SAMD9L-SAAD. Patients were characterized based on presence or absence of an elevated IRG-S. All patients underwent clinical phenotyping, cytokine analyses, and genetic testing (WES/WGS or Sanger sequencing). Negative–IRG-S patients were clinically grouped (see supplement). Positive–IRG-S patients were grouped as CANDLE-like, SAVI-like, and AGS-like disease. Cytokine analyses and genetic analyses allowed for the characterization of patients with 3 additional diseases: IL18PAP-MAS, NEMO-NDAS, and SAMD9L-SAAD (in red); and 2 patients had LRBA deficiency. Three patients had CANDLE, 1 AGS, and 2 had anti-MDA5 autoantibody positive juvenile dermatomyositis. Seven patients with an elevated IRG-S and 7 patients with negative IRG-S could not be further classified. *No monogenic candidate gene. **Negative–IRG-S patients were classified as CRMO/CRMO-like (n = 5), CAPS/CAPS-like (n = 4), periodic fever syndrome (n = 6), Still’s-like disease and MAS (n = 3); 7 patients could not be classified. ***Two patients (G1-P5 and G4-P6) only had one sample, 1 patient (G4-P3) had a bone marrow transplant (BMT) and no pre-BMT sample available, and 1 patient (G1-P3) had 3 negative samples.
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of patients with an elevated IRG-S during disease flares (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI129301DS1). Clinical and laboratory features varied in patients with and without elevated IRG-S and a significantly earlier disease onset was observed in patients with elevated IRG-S (Figure 2, B–G, Table 1, and Supplemental Table 1). Panniculitis was present in 22 patients (54%) included in disease groups with an elevated IRG-S, compared with no patient with a normal IRG-S ($P < 0.0001$). Similarly, basal ganglia calcifications were present in 12 out of 26 patients (46%) with elevated IRG-S who had either CTs or MRIs compared with none of 14 patients with normal IRG-S ($P < 0.01$). Other clinical features that were more frequent in patients with elevated IRG-S included interstitial lung disease (47% vs. 5% in patients with normal IRG-S), myositis (60% vs. 10% with normal IRG-S), arterial hypertension (30% vs. 4% with normal IRG-S), and liver enzyme elevation (61% vs. 12% with normal IRG-S) (Table 1).
Table 1. Clinical features of genetically defined type-I interferonopathies and noninterferonopathies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Feature</th>
<th>With IFN signature affected/no. patients evaluated (%) n = 41¹</th>
<th>Without IFN signature affected/no. patients evaluated (%) n = 25</th>
<th>Fisher's exact test P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of disease onset (median [IQR]), months²</td>
<td>6 (0–15)</td>
<td>24 (1.5–57)</td>
<td>0.009e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of disease onset &lt; 2 yr</td>
<td>31/41 (75)</td>
<td>12/25 (48)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24/41 (58)</td>
<td>11/25 (44)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>21/41 (51)</td>
<td>21/25 (84)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality²</td>
<td>8/41 (19.5)</td>
<td>0/25 (0)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>37/39</td>
<td>17/25</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panniculitis with or without lipodystrophy</td>
<td>22/41 (54)</td>
<td>0/25 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustulosis</td>
<td>2/41 (5)</td>
<td>3/25 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyoderma gangrenosum</td>
<td>1/41 (2.4)</td>
<td>1/25 (4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal ganglia calcifications</td>
<td>12/26 (46)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelinating disease³</td>
<td>7/25 (28)</td>
<td>1/14 (7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aseptic meningitis²</td>
<td>3/28 (11)</td>
<td>1/22 (4.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial lung disease with or without clubbing⁶</td>
<td>18/38 (47)</td>
<td>1/19 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myositis⁴</td>
<td>21/35 (60)</td>
<td>2/19 (10)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aseptic osteomyelitis</td>
<td>1/40 (2.5)</td>
<td>6/19 (32)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin vasculitis</td>
<td>10/40 (25)</td>
<td>2/25 (8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>2/37 (5.4)</td>
<td>1/25 (4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>10/33 (30)</td>
<td>1/25 (4)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular calcification</td>
<td>5/33 (15)</td>
<td>0/19 (0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaminitis</td>
<td>23/38 (61)</td>
<td>3/25 (12)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal liver biopsy¹</td>
<td>7/38 (16)</td>
<td>1/25 (4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>11/39 (28)</td>
<td>2/25 (8)</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹For clinical phenotyping, 4 patients (G1-P3, G1-P5, G4-P3, and G4-P6) were negative and 1 patient (G4-P5) did not have an IFN-response-gene score (IRG-S) tested but were later added to the respective groups when clinical or genetic diagnosis was made. The final number of subjects in the group of patients with a diagnosis related to a “positive” IRG-S (with IFN signature) was 41, and 25 patients were listed with “negative” IRG-S (without IFN signature). ²Two patients in this group had disease onset above 18 years old and were excluded from the analysis. ³One patient with SAMD9L-associated autoinflammatory disease (SAMD9L-SAAD) was diagnosed postmortem (G4-P5). ⁴Patients with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). ⁵Patients with lumbar puncture. ⁶Patients with chest computed tomography. ⁷Myositis was defined as elevated creatine kinase or aldolase and/or abnormal muscle MRI. ⁸Undifferentiated interferonopathy (UIFN) group: histiocytic infiltration (n = 1) (G1-P3); granulomatous hepatitis (n = 2) (G2-P1 and G3-P1); autoimmune hepatitis with liver fibrosis (n = 1) (G2-P2); nodular regenerative hyperplasia (n = 1) (G5-P3); ballooning and necrosis of hepatocytes (n = 2) (G6-P1 and G6-P2); and periportal fibrosis (n = 1) (G6-P1). Noninterferonopathy (Non-IFN) group: liver fibrosis and vanishing bile duct disease (n = 1) (GNS-P5). Features with P values in bold were significantly different between patients with and without IFN signatures.

On laboratory evaluation (Supplemental Table 2), lymphopenia (with low B cell and NK cell counts) and thrombocytopenia were present in 24%–31% of patients with elevated IRG-S compared with none with normal IRG-S; patients with high IRG-S were more frequently anemic (36% vs. 8%). Antinuclear antibodies were present in patients with and without an elevated IRG-S (33% vs. 11%, P = nonsignificant) but were more prevalent in patients with an elevated IRG-S; anti-dsDNA antibodies and anti-neutrophil antibodies (anti-PR3 and anti-MPO) were low positive in 1 or 2 patients with an elevated IRG-S each. In contrast, autoantibodies against endothelial lipoproteins (anti-cardiolipin, lupus anticoagulant) were equally positive in patients with and without elevated IRG-S. Serum IgA and IgM levels were higher in patients with elevated IRG-S (Supplemental Table 2).

Mortality within the study period was high in patients with positive IRG-S: 8 out of 41 (19.5%) expired within the study period (2014 to 2018); all patients with normal IRG-S are alive (Table 1). The IRG-S elevation was thought to be associated with active disease, although absence of infection could not always be excluded as a contributing factor to the increased IRG-S.

Of the 41 patients in the disease groups with elevated IRG-S, 18 were diagnosed with additional diseases based on clinical phenotype, cytokine and/or genetic analyses, and are described in groups 1 to 4 (G1–G4). Eight patients with PAP and recurrent MAS were grouped into G1. Twelve patients with CANDLE-like features had relatively lower IRG-S with disease flares than patients with CANDLE and were later genetically classified with distinct diseases: LRBA deficiency (G2, n = 2), NEMO-NDAS (G3, n = 4), and SAMD9L-SAAD (G4, n = 6). Several patients with high IRG-S resembled AGS (G5, n = 4) and one of them had a known mutation in SAMHD1. Two patients with high IRG-S, interstitial lung disease, soft tissue calcifications, and myositis had elevated anti-MDA5 autoantibodies, consistent with anti-MDA5 autoantibody–positive juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM) (G6, n = 2). Of the
remaining patients in the disease groups with positive IRG-S, 3 had mutation-positive CANDLE and 3 had CANDLE-like features and were grouped in group 7 (G7, n = 6). Of the 3 CANDLE patients, 1 had a known mutation (n = 1), and 1 had a potentially novel mutation (n = 1) in the CANDLE-causing gene PSMB8. The third patient was compound heterozygous for mutations in a potentially novel CANDLE-causing gene, PSMG2 (n = 1). Two patients with SAVI-like features did not have disease-causing mutations and are described in G8 (SAVI-like) (n = 2) (see Supplemental Methods for definition of disease categories, Supplemental Methods A). Seven patients, each with clinical features unlike any other patient, could not be grouped or classified (G9, n = 7).

We assessed 48 cytokines in 53 patients with available serum samples (Supplemental Figure 1). The median IP-10 levels were significantly higher in patients with an elevated IRG-S (n = 20) compared with those with a normal IRG-S (n = 25) (7,369 [range 404.1–25,884] vs. 622.1 [191.4–3,185] pg/mL, P < 0.0001). Other cytokines that were significantly elevated include MIG/CXCL9 (2,890 [range 441.9–43,205] vs. 737.5 [83.4–1,272] pg/mL, P = 0.0001), SCF/KITLG (38.9 [4.3–209.8] vs. 20.9 [3.5–107.2] pg/mL, P = 0.0156), GROu/CXCL1 (251.6 [74.9–1,696] vs. 161.7 [24.9–314.8] pg/mL, P = 0.0043), and TRAIL (94.8 [18.1–633.3] vs. 47.9 [range 4.9–290.0] pg/mL, P = 0.0277).

Highly elevated serum IL-18 levels, PAP, and recurrent MAS characterize a defined clinical syndrome. Eight patients with a history of recurrent MAS, PAP, and nail clubbing (Figure 3, A–C) had ultra-high elevations of serum IL-18 levels (Figure 3D). However, whole-exome sequencing (WES) did not reveal definitive candidate mutations and no patient had NLRC4 mutations. The distinct clinical presentation with PAP, the very high IL-18 levels (Figure 3E), an IL-18/CXCL9 ratio similar to patients with NLRC4-MAS (14) (Figure 3F), and a cytokine signature previously associated with recurrent MAS clinically suggest a previously unrecognized disease, that is subsequently referred to as IL-18-associated PAP and MAS syndrome (IL-18PAP-MAS). These patients are combined in G1. Of the 8 patients, 7 had active disease at the time of assessment and 6 had an elevated IRG-S with active disease, although elevations were significantly lower than in CANDLE and SAVI (P = 0.0002 and P = 0.0003, respectively) (Figure 4A). In 1 patient with inactive disease and a normal C-reactive protein (CRP) at the time of blood draw, serum IL-18 levels had dropped from 430,423 pg/mL during active disease to 2,506 pg/mL (normal <500 pg/mL) and the IRG-S was normal. This patient was included in G1 due to the clinical phenotype of IL-18PAP-MAS and the ultrahigh IL-18 levels at the time of active disease. Supplemental Table 3 includes the clinical features and treatments for the IL-18PAP-MAS group.

Genetic analyses of 12 patients with CANDLE-like clinical phenotypes including panniculitis, but also progressive B cell lymphopenia, and low/intermediate IRG-S revealed potentially novel mutations in LRBA, potentially novel splice variants in IKBKG/NEMO, and truncating mutations in SAMDL9. Twelve patients with CANDLE-like phenotypes who were negative for known CANDLE-causing mutations had low/intermediate IRG-S and all developed progressive cytopenias. WES identified 2 patients with potentially novel or very

---

**Table 2. Diagnoses of patients with elevated type-1 IFN score (IRG-S), including genetic and immune evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease group</th>
<th>Number of patients in group (n = 41)</th>
<th>Disease name</th>
<th>Number of patients with monogenic disease-causing mutation</th>
<th>Presumed genetic mechanism</th>
<th>Possible candidate/modifying genes</th>
<th>Diagnostic approach to a clinical diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
<td>IL-18 PAP-MAS</td>
<td>n = 0*</td>
<td>poly/oligogenic</td>
<td>none/possible modifying genes</td>
<td>Clinical phenotype and cytokine analysis (high IL-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>n = 2</td>
<td>LRBA deficiency</td>
<td>n = 2*</td>
<td>LRBA compound heterozygous, recessive</td>
<td>monogenic/modifying genes</td>
<td>Genetic testing (WES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>n = 4</td>
<td>NEMO-NDAS</td>
<td>n = 4</td>
<td>IKBKG encoding NEMO, novel splice site mutations, X-linked</td>
<td>monogenic/none</td>
<td>Genetic testing (WGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>SAMD9L-SAAD</td>
<td>n = 6*</td>
<td>SAMD9L, de novo frameshift mutations, autosomal dominant</td>
<td>monogenic/none</td>
<td>Genetic testing (WES), trio analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>n = 4</td>
<td>AGS/AGS-like</td>
<td>n = 1</td>
<td>SAMHD1, recessive</td>
<td>1 candidate gene/NA</td>
<td>Genetic testing (WGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>n = 2</td>
<td>MYD88T plus positive anti-MDAS autoantibody</td>
<td>n = 0</td>
<td>not known</td>
<td>none/possible modifying genes</td>
<td>Clinical phenotype and autoantibody testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>CANDLE/CANDLE-like with panniculitis</td>
<td>n = 4*</td>
<td>PSMB8 recessive (n = 2); PSMG2 recessive (n = 1); TREAT de novo, somatic (n = 1)</td>
<td>2 candidate genes/NA</td>
<td>Genetic testing (WES), trio analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 8</td>
<td>n = 2</td>
<td>SAVI-like</td>
<td>n = 0</td>
<td>not known</td>
<td>none/no modifying genes</td>
<td>Clinical phenotyping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 9</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>n = 1</td>
<td>variable, not one disease</td>
<td>possible candidates/NA</td>
<td>Clinical phenotyping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One patient was diagnosed with myeloid leukemia after treatment for MAS that included etoposide. *Both patients have modifying genes that may influence their phenotype. *One patient was included postmortem because of a disease-causing SAMD9L mutation that was identical to that in 2 other patients. *Three patients have potentially novel proteasome mutations and have CANDLE/PRAAS, and 1 patient had a known PSMB8 mutation, p.T75M.

*See Supplemental Table 7 for best-candidate genes.
rare compound heterozygous LRBA mutations (22). One of the LRBA-deficiency patients (G2-P2) had severe lipoatrophy, hepatomegaly, and type 2 insulin-resistant diabetes (DM type 2). A de novo mutation in IRS-1 (c.3632T>G; p.L1211R), encoding the insulin receptor substrate 1 previously associated with DM type 2 (23, 24), may have contributed to this phenotype but was not formally evaluated. Upon leptin replacement, the patient’s profound DM type 2 and hepatosplenomegaly resolved (25). The patient subsequently developed transverse myelitis and septic arthritis and underwent a bone marrow transplant. The other patient (G2-P1) had recurrent neutrophilic panniculitis in predominantly lower extremities and granulomatous hepatitis (Supplemental Table 4). Both patients were combined in G2 based on their biallelic LRBA mutations, reduced surface expression of CTLA4, and reduced number of regulatory T cells, consistent with previous findings in patients with LRBA deficiency (26) (data not shown).

One patient with lymphohistiocytic panniculitis and chorioretinitis (G3-P1) had conical teeth reminiscent of the ectodermal dysplasia found in NEMO deficiency syndrome, which prompted targeted sequencing of IKBKG (the causative gene in NEMO deficiency), the gamma subunit of the IKK complex that regulates NF-κB activation. This patient, 2 other males, and 1 girl with panniculitis, progressive B cell lymphopenia, and hypogammaglobulinemia all had de novo splice-site variants that lead to deletion of exon 5 of IKBKG (G3-P1 to G3-P4) and are listed under G3 (Supplemental Table 4). Genetic evaluation of 6 other patients revealed 3 tightly clustered de novo frameshift mutations in SAMD9L. All patients were clinically diagnosed as CANDLE due to the prominent neutrophilic panniculitis that was clinically and histologically indistinguishable from CANDLE. However, 4 patients also had early-onset, severe interstitial lung disease, a clinical feature that is not seen in CANDLE (Figure 4B). These patients had lower IRG-S in the context of high CRPs than CANDLE patients and developed progressive isolated B cell and NK cell cytopenias (Supplemental Table 4). One patient, who succumbed from respiratory failure at the age of 2 months, was included postmortem; she and 2 other patients had the identical de novo frameshift mutations in SAMD9L. Two
The highly similar clinical presentation, progressive B cell lymphopenia, and genetic findings (frameshift mutations all within 13 amino acids of each other, absent from public databases, and in a conserved protein domain) support their classification as a previously unrecognized autoinflammatory disease, referred to as SAMD9L-SAAD (G4) (Figure 4, A–C, and Supplemental Table 4).

Potentially novel and known mutations in PRAAS/CANDLE- and AGS-causing genes characterize patients with high IRG-S and suggestive clinical features. Of 4 patients summarized in G5 with neurologic disease manifestations, 2 had spastic quadriplegia and were wheelchair bound (G5-P2 and G5-P3); patient 2 expired in the context of a presumed infection. One patient (G5-P1) who suffered a stroke at the age of 7 years had Moya-Moya–like vasculopathy and was later found to have a previously described (27) homozygous SAMHD1 deletion. Patient G5-P3 had spastic quadriplegia and peripheral vasculitis. Her father had a very high IRG-S and extensive basal ganglia calcifications.
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Figure 4. IFN score by disease group and clinical and genetic characteristics of SAMD9L-mediated autoinflammatory disease (SAMD9L-SAAD). (A) Twenty-eight–gene IFN scores by disease group. Nonparametric tests were used for multiple comparisons (Kruskal-Wallis) or individual group comparisons (Mann-Whitney). Each patient in groups 1 to 9 (G1 to G9) is represented by a different symbol shape. Depicted in the graph are the statistical significances (Kruskal-Wallis test) from the comparisons of each group (healthy controls [HC], NOMID, G1 to C4 combined, G5, G6, G7, G8, G9, and Non-IFN groups) with CANDLE and SAVI patients combined. *P = 0.0259; ****P < 0.0001; NS, not significant. Bars and error lines indicate median and interquartile range, respectively; dotted line indicates the 28-gene IFN score cutoff (48.9) previously described (34). For the control groups, HC and NOMID, the same symbol is represented for different individuals, as only 1 sample per patient is included. For all other disease groups including CANDLE and SAVI, each patient is represented by a different symbol. (B) Clinical manifestations of SAM9L-associated autoinflammatory disease (SAMD9L-SAAD) include nodular panniculitis and lipoatrophy (patient 1), interstitial lung disease (patient 6), and basal ganglia calcifications (patient 5). (C) SAM9L domains and variant localization. SAM (blue), sterile α motif domain; Alba/PPR (orange/yellow), DNA-binding domain; SIR2 (light blue), silent mating-type information regulator 2; P-loop NTPase (green), P-loop–containing NTP hydrolase; TPR (purple), tetratricopeptide repeat domain; OB (gray), oligonucleotide-binding fold domain; AA, amino acid; P1–P6, patient 1 to patient 6. Variants identified in SAM9L-SAAD are in red, variants associated with ataxia-pancytopenia syndrome (APS) are in blue, and variants associated with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML) are in green. Variants associated with either APS or MDS/AML are shown in purple.

patients with SAMD9L mutations (G4-P1 and G4-P4) had elevated IRG-S. Of the other 3 patients tested, 2 (G4-P3 and G4-P5) had negative IRG-S and the third patient (G4-P2) had 4 samples tested, 3 with negative IRG-S, and 1 sample, obtained during a rhinovirus induced disease flare, had an elevated IRG-S. Two patients received bone marrow transplants: G4-P3 had received a sibling-matched bone marrow transplant at the age of 20 months and, a second patient (G4-P6) received an allogeneic bone marrow transplant at the age of 9 months.
during workup of “muscle weakness” and was subsequently included as a patient (G5-P4).

Two patients who suffered from digital ulcerations, interstitial lung disease, and myositis were initially thought to have an autoinflammatory disease, but the presence of anti-MDA5 autoantibodies was consistent with a diagnosis of anti-MDA5 autoantibody-positive JDM. No obvious candidate gene was identified, and these patients (G6-P1 and G6-P2) were grouped together in G6.

Six patients with CANDLE-like features, who had no cytopenias but high IRG-S, were included in G7. Of these, 3 had disease-causing CANDLE mutations (G7-P4 through G7-P6). Patient G7-P4 was homozygous for a known PSMB8 mutation (p.T75M). Patient G7-P5 was compound heterozygous for 2 potentially novel PSMB8 mutations (p.S118P and p.Q55*) and patient G7-P6 harbored potentially novel compound heterozygous mutations in the proteasome assembly gene, PSMG2 (PAC2), that were recently confirmed to be pathogenic (28). Patient G7-P3 had severe localized panniculitis, localized lipatrophy, and basal ganglia calcifications without white matter disease, and harbored a de novo somatic mutation (~26% allele fraction) in TREX1 that was previously associated with autosomal dominant AGS1 as a germline mutation (29). Four G7 patients (G7-P3, G7-P4, G7-P5, and G7-P6) were treated with JAK inhibitors with partial or good responses. Patient G7-P4 died due to severe pulmonary hypertension, which developed before initiation of JAK inhibitor treatment (30). Two siblings, G7-P1 and G7-P2, have no genetic diagnosis. G8 includes 2 patients with SAVI-like disease (G8-P1 and G8-P2) who presented with chilblains lesions and systemic inflammation but carried no obvious candidate mutations (Table 2 and Supplemental Table 5).

G9 includes 7 patients with elevated IRG-S who could not be grouped on a clinical, immunological, or genetic basis (Supplemental Table 6).

Patients with negative–IFN-S can be grouped according to clinical phenotype, genotype, and cytokine profile. The findings of the 25 patients with negative IRG-S are described in Supplemental Table 7; these patients could be classified into 4 subgroups (GN1 to GN-4). Five patients had chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO) (GN1, n = 5). GN1-P2 and GN1-P5 had exclusive jaw involvement and the others had long bone and spine involvement; no unifying genetic hypothesis was found. Four patients had cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome–like (CAPS-like) disease (GN2, n = 4). Interestingly, all had a rare variant of unknown significance in NLRP3 that was inherited from a parent. All patients in GN2 had complete or partial responses to IL-1–blocking treatment, strengthening the concept that selected low-penetrance variants may predispose to the development of CAPS-like disease (31). No unifying genetic hypothesis was found for 6 patients with periodic fever syndromes (GN3, n = 6). Three patients with systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis–like (sJIA-like) disease and MAS (GN4, n = 3) had all high serum IL-18 levels between 9,878 pg/mL and 24,200 pg/mL and IL-18/CXCL9 ratios greater than 1 that have previously been associated with increased risk for the development of MAS (15). Seven patients could not be grouped (GN5, n = 7).

Distinct gene expression patterns in 3 NF-κB–coregulated IRGs distinguish disease groups with both NF-κB and IFN signaling for poten-
tial leveraging of the diversity in IRG regulation for diagnostic purposes. Though all disease groups had elevated IRG-S compared with negative controls (healthy controls and NOMID), the mean IRG-S elevation was significantly lower in patients with IL-18PAP-MAS (G1), LRBA deficiency (G2), NEMO-NDAS (G3), and SAMD9L-SAAD (G4). Normalized gene expression for 25 of the 28 IRGs in our assay was significantly higher in CANDLE, SAVI, and disease groups G5–G8 compared with groups G1–G4 except for normalized gene expression in 3 genes, CXCL10, GBP1, and SOCS1, which was equal or higher in groups G1–G4 compared with CANDLE and SAVI (Supplemental Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 3A).

We hypothesized that the differential expression of these genes may be due to regulation by different transcription factors (TFs). We therefore assessed TF binding sites (TFBSs) in the 28 genes in our IFN score. As expected, all 28 genes have TFBSs for STAT1 and other TFs activated by type-I IFNs. The 3 genes with relatively high expression compared with CANDLE and SAVI in patient groups G1–G4 have additional TFBSs for NF-κB1 (CXCL10, GBP1, and SOCS1) and/or NF-κB2 (CXCL10) (Supplemental Figure 3B), thus suggesting that NF-κB–dependent activation of these IRGs may be relatively high in patients in G1–G4 compared with STAT1–dependent activation, which differs in patients with CANDLE and SAVI. To validate a role of NF-κB in driving their expression, we used whole blood RNA-seq data to correlate the expression level of the 3 genes (CXCL10, GBP1, and SOCS1) with that of 11 genes that have NF-κB but no STAT1 TFBSs, by calculating summary Z scores, a “3:gene NF-κB/STAT1 score,” and an “11-gene NF-κB–only validation score” (Supplemental Methods and Supplemental Figures 3 and 4). The 3-gene NF-κB/STAT1 score did not correlate with the 11-gene NF-κB–only validation score in healthy controls who have no IFN score elevation nor in CANDLE and SAVI, where we expected predominant type-I IFN/STAT1 inflammation. However, the 11-gene NF-κB–only validation score highly correlated with the 3-gene NF-κB/STAT1 score in patients with LRBA deficiency (G2, r = 0.82, P = 0.02), NEMO-NDAS (G3, r = 0.72, P = 0.03), and SAMD9L-SAAD (G4, r = 0.99, P = 0.002) (Supplemental Figure 5). A “25-gene STAT1–only score” of genes expressing only STAT1 but no NF-κB TFBSs did not correlate with the 11-gene NF-κB–only validation score in any disease group with elevated IRG-S. Interestingly, in the IL-18PAP-MAS patients, neither the 3-gene NF-κB/STAT1 score nor the 25-gene STAT1–only score correlated with the 11-gene NF-κB–only score (G1) (Supplemental Figure 5B). TFs that are activated by recombinant IL-18 (including FOŚ, ATF2, JUN, and ATFT3) (32) were present in the regulatory domains of many of the IRGs in the 28-gene IRG-S (data not shown). In support of the notion that free IL-18 may coregulate the expression of IRGs in patients with IL-18PAP-MAS, we correlated total serum IL-18 levels, which correlates with free IL-18 levels (15), with the IRG-S and found that only serum levels of IL-18 but not IL-18BP and CXCL9 correlated weakly with the 28-gene IRG-S (r = 0.3, P = 0.06; Supplemental Figure 6A).

A ratio of the 3-gene NF-κB/STAT1 score and the 25-gene STAT1–only score (3:25 ratio) to reflect the relative contribution of NF-κB versus STAT1/IFN–mediated inflammation was very low in CANDLE, SAVI, AGS, AGS-like disease (G5), anti-MDA5 autoantibody–positive JDM (G6), CANDLE/CANDLE-like disease (G7), and SAVI-like disease (G8) (Figure 5A) as well as in childhood systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and other JDM (Supplemental Figure 7). A ratio less than 0.07 or greater than 0.15 distinguished the canonical interferonopathies (SAVI or CANDLE) and patients with LRBA deficiency (G2) and with NEMO-NDAS (G3) from healthy controls and NOMID patients, respectively (Figure 5B). The 3:25 ratio was normal in IL-18PAP-MAS. Although most of the 28 IRGs assessed in the IFN score are strongly triggered by type I IFNs, 9 genes are also significantly activated by type II IFN (IFN-γ). Interestingly, a ratio of IFN-γ and IFN-α–regulated genes over all genes was higher in the IL-18PAP-MAS than in CANDLE and SAVI (Supplemental Figure 6B).

**Preliminary outcomes in patients who received treatment with a JAK inhibitor.** Although this study was not conducted to collect treatment outcomes, we report preliminary observations to increase available data on these very rare diseases to improve management (Supplemental Table 8). A total of 15 patients have received treatment with JAK inhibitors. Five patients received baricitinib in the context of a compassionate-use study (NCT01724580), and the other 10 patients received a JAK inhibitor through commercial sources: 7 received tofacitinib, 2 ruxolitinib, and 1 baricitinib (Supplemental Table 8). Two patients expired during the period of this study. One patient had anti-MDA5–antibody JDM and received ruxolitinib while on a ventilator for worsening lung disease. The other patient, a CANDLE patient, had severe primary pulmonary hypertension before starting tofacitinib (30). One patient with a psoriasiform dermatitis and scarring skin fibrosis had no response to 9 months of treatment and discontinued baricitinib treatment (G9-P1). Two additional CANDLE patients (G7-P5 and G7-P6) (28) and 1 patient with CANDLE-like disease and a somatic TREX1 mutation (G7-P3) had complete responses to JAK inhibition and continue on treatment. One patient with AGS5 (G5-P1) was previously reported (33) and has stable disease on baricitinib. Two patients with AGS-like disease had partial to minimal responses to baricitinib and tofacitinib (G5-P3 and G5-P4), respectively, with ongoing significant steroid requirement in 1 patient (G5-P3). Another patient with anti-MDA5 antibody–positive JDM (G6-P1) had a partial response to low dose of tofacitinib with progressive soft tissue and cardiac calcifications. Of the patients in G1–G4 who were treated with a JAK inhibitor, the patient with IL-18PAP-MAS had a partial response with no progression of lung disease and decreasing serum IL-18 levels (G1-P7), and the patient with LRBA deficiency has had partial responses with resolving cytopenias and rashes, and lower steroid requirements (G2-P1). One patient with NEMO-NDAS (G3-P4) had a suboptimal response and discontinued tofacitinib. Finally, 1 patient with SAMD9L-SAAD (G4-P1) had a partial response with ongoing skin disease and steroid requirements. Based on our findings, we suggest disease criteria to diagnose patients with and without an elevated IRG-S (Supplemental Table 9).

**Discussion** Mendelian autoinflammatory interferonopathies present with chronic elevation of an IRG-S (summarized in ref. 34). Clinical benefit in patients treated with JAK inhibitors who have CAN- DLE (11), SAVI (11, 35, 36), or AGS (37, 38) are encouraging, and the correlation of treatment responses with suppression of the IRG-S (34) suggests that the IRG-S is useful in diagnosis and as
a biomarker in monitoring treatment responses. In this study, a strategy for screening patients with undifferentiated autoinflammatory diseases that included assessment of IRG-S, cytokine profiling, clinical phenotyping, and genomics led to characterization of additional disease groups of patients with both chronic and temporary IRG-S elevations, and to the development of a ratio of differentially regulated IRGs that were included in a 28-gene score that distinguishes the additional conditions from the canonical interferonopathies, CANDLE, SAVI, and AGS.

Compared with patients with a negative IRG-S, which included patients with osteomyelitis, CAPS-like disease, periodic fever syndromes, and sJIA-like disease with MAS flares and high IL-18 levels, most of which responded to IL-1–blocking treatments, patients with elevated IRG-S had more severe disease and higher mortality, thus underlining the unmet need to find better treatments.

The characterization of patients with clinical phenotypes that resemble CANDLE/PRAAS, who had overall lower IRG-S and developed progressive B cell cytopenias and/or hypogammaglobulinemia, led to the recognition of 3 distinct, monogenic conditions that underline the importance of assessing these mutations in patients suspected to have CANDLE/PRAAS and who are negative for mutations in genes that encode proteasome components. Two patients with CANDLE/PRAAS-like phenotypes had loss-of-function mutations in LRBA causing LRBA deficiency (39). Both patients (G2-P1 and G2-P2) had panleukocytosis and lower CTLA4 surface expression on CD4+ T cells than controls (data not shown), which confirm that the mutations impact CTLA4 cycling (26). However, both patients carry other mutations that may affect IFN signaling (Supplemental Table 4). It therefore remains to be determined whether the IFN signature is a characteristic part of the immune dysregulation of patients with LRBA deficiency (22) or is associated with other rare variants in those patients. Patient G2-P1 is partially responding to JAK inhibition with concomitant decrease in IRG-S after previously unsatisfactory responses to sirolimus and abatacept (Supplemental Table 4), suggesting a possible pathogenic role of increased IFN signaling.

Four patients harbor potentially novel splice variants in IKBKG/NEMO, the gamma subunit of the IκB kinase complex that activates NF-κB. In contrast with patients deficient in the NEMO protein who exhibit immunodeficiency, patients with the NEMO spliced mutant who lack only exon 5 (NEMOΔexon5) do not present with severe immunodeficiency but with systemic inflammation panleukocytosis and elevated IRG-S. Hanson et al. recently explored the molecular mechanisms that coactivate NF-κB and IRGs in these patients and called the disease NEMO-NDAS and found that NEMOΔexon5 stabilizes the TKB1/IKKi complex and facilitates IKF3 phosphorylation, IFNB1 transcription, and concomitant NF-κB activation. These evaluations provide a potential mechanistic explanation for the type-I IFN–associated “autoinflammatory” clinical features and the concomitant NF-κB nuclear translocation in this previously unrecognized inflammatory syndrome (40).

A severe perinatal-onset inflammatory disease was seen in 6 patients with frameshift mutations in SAMD9L that is phenotypically distinct from 2 diseases previously associated with heterozygous missense mutations in SAMD9L (41–44). One of the diseases, pancytopenia and ataxia syndrome (41, 44), presents later in life with concomitant cerebellar atrophy and risk of myelodysplasia. Other somatic and selective germline SAMD9L mutations can cause pediatric early-onset myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) (43). The 6 patients reported here harbor potentially novel, de novo frameshift mutations that are in close proximity to each other. The mutations cause immune dysregulation characterized by nodular panniculitis similar to CANDLE/PRAAS, and early-onset interstitial lung disease in most patients (unlike CANDLE/PRAAS), and severe infection-associated myelosuppression/ cytopenias. Genetic reversion that is described in pancytopenia and ataxia syndrome (41) and in pediatric MDS (43) was also seen in 1 patient before bone marrow transplant. The inflammatory disease manifestations suggest that these heterozygous SAMD9L frameshift mutations confer a gain of function through mechanisms that need to be further investigated. Furthermore, the positive outcome of bone marrow transplantation in 2 of the 6 patients, and the high mortality in patients who have severe concomitant lung disease, point to the importance of distinguishing these patients from CANDLE by making the diagnosis of SAMD9L-SAAD early.

Eight patients uniformly presented with recurrent PAP-like episodes and developed PAP/lipoid pneumonia and clubbing of their distal phalanges. They were diagnosed clinically as having a previously unrecognized disease that is referred to as IL-18PAP-MAS. These patients’ histological findings of PAP are distinct from the interstitial fibrosis of SAVI, and are not seen in patients with NLRC4-associated MAS despite comparably high serum IL-18 levels (12, 15). Similarly, elevated IL-18 levels are also seen in some patients with sJIA and adult Still’s disease, and are now recognized as factors predisposing to the development of MAS (15, 17, 45–47). Some of these patients are included in a publication that highlights a larger spectrum of sJIA patients who develop a heterogeneous spectrum of lung diseases that include PAP and interstitial lung fibrosis and are referred to as sJIA-LD (48). Patients with IL-18PAP-MAS share a cytokine signature linked to high expression of IL-18 and originally described in NLRC4-MAS (12), which includes hematopoietic growth factors/cytokines like M-CSF, SCF, and IL-3. Interestingly, humanized mice with transgenic expression of human SCF, IL-3, and GM-CSF develop spontaneous MAS directly mediated by myeloid cells (49), raising questions whether IL-18 promotes the production of these growth factors. These mechanisms may synergize with the known function of the role of IL-18 as IFN-γ–stimulating factor in infections and may provide further potential mechanisms by which IL-18 confers MAS susceptibility. The presence of PAP suggests alveolar macrophage dysfunction in clearing surfactant from the alveoli, as is seen in patients with genetic or autoimmune GM-CSF deficiency (50). Whether treatment aimed at blocking IL-18, or IFN-γ signaling, or treatments aimed at modifying phagocytosis and processing of surfactant by alveolar macrophages are viable treatment strategies needs further exploration. Genetic analyses have so far not been conclusive in the IL-18PAP-MAS patients nor the other sJIA-LD patients (48).

Interestingly, the magnitude and pattern of the IRG elevation differed between these 4 conditions (LRBA deficiency, NEMO-NDAS, SAMD9L-SAAD, and IL-18PAP-MAS) versus CANDLE and SAVI. The expression of 3 IRGs that contain both NF-κB and STAT1 TFBSs (CXCL10, GBP1, and SOCS1) correlated with IFN-independent NF-κB–induced gene expression in LRBA defi-
ciency and NEMO-NDAS, and in SAMD9L-SAAD during flares when IRG-S was elevated, suggesting a relatively large effect of NF-κB signaling, compared with the canonical interferonopathies (CANDLE, SAVI, and AGS) and some autoimmune interferonopathies. Although preliminary, our data suggest that patients with IL-18PAP-MAS, LRBA deficiency, NEMO-NDAS, and SAMD9L-SAAD may have more variable responses to JAK inhibition than CANDLE patients, consistent with the observation that the inflammatory response is complex. The partial response to JAK inhibition would be expected in this scenario and may suggest treatment combinations that target the IFN-mediated and NF-κB-mediated dysregulation. In the IL-18PAP-MAS patients, IRGs induced by IFN-α and IFN-γ relative to those induced by predominantly IFN-α were higher in IL-18PAP-MAS than in the other diseases. The pattern of IRGs did not correlate with NF-κB-induced genes, but correlated weakly with the patients’ highly elevated total serum IL-18 levels (often >200,000 pg/mL, whereas normal levels are <500 pg/mL) (15). As any of the IRGs in the 28-gene IRG-S have TFs in their respective regulatory domains that are activated by recombinant IL-18, the correlation of IL-18 levels with the IRG-S suggests that free IL-18 may coregulate the expression of IRGs in patients with IL-18PAP-MAS. IL-18 induces IFN-γ (51), which emerges as a critical downstream mediator of the pathogenesis of MAS (15). Whether and how free IL-18 drives the IRG-S, directly via coregulating expression of IRGs or via promoting IFN-γ needs to be evaluated. Pathomechanisms underlying the promising results with JAK inhibition in MAS and hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (52–54) may be partially attributable to IFN-γ receptor signaling blockade (21), but treatments targeting the IL-18/IFN-γ axis are promising (55).

Overall, this study establishes the value of screening for an interferon signature and for high serum IL-18 levels and expands the diagnostic armamentarium that supports the challenging evaluation of patients with undifferentiated autoimmune disorders. The diagnosis of IL-18PAP-MAS, NEMO-NDAS, SAMD9L-SAAD, and LRBA deficiency needs to be considered in patients presenting with elevated IRG-S and clinically suggestive features. A high ratio of 3:25 differentially regulated IRGs identified NEMO-NDAS, LRBA deficiency, and a normal ratio was seen in the SAMD9L-SAAD patients. These diseases mimic CANDLE/PRAAS clinically but higher 3:25 ratios suggest a relatively increased NF-κB signaling distinct from CANDLE/PRAAS and SAVI. Future investigations are necessary to determine whether the 3:25 ratio will be useful in predicting response to IFN-targeted monotherapy, versus the need for additional treatments.

Methods

Patients and healthy controls

Between 2014 and 2017, 66 consecutive patients with presumed undifferentiated autoinflammatory diseases who had no genetic diagnosis upon referral were included in an institutional review board-approved (IRB-approved) natural history study (NCT02974595). All clinical investigations were conducted according to Declaration of Helsinki principles. Written informed consent was obtained from the subjects or their parents either at the NIH or by phone consent. Blood samples were collected from patients and their unaffected family members when available. Healthy controls were recruited through the same study, NCT02974595, and were siblings or family members who have no mutations. For some assays, anonymous healthy blood donors were used.

Clinical, immunological, and genetic evaluation

We assessed 57 patients at the NIH (including physical exam, clinically indicated imaging, and a chest CT) and immunologically (T, B, NK cell count, immunoglobulins, and rheumatologic work up). Five patients passed away before an NIH visit could be arranged, and in 4 patients logistical problems precluded travel to the NIH. Samples for genetic testing were obtained from all 66 patients either at the NIH or were sent under NCT02974595. WES was performed in 19 trios, 1 duo, and 4 singletons, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) in 36 trios and 1 duo; 5 patients had Sanger sequencing of candidate genes performed only. Confirmatory targeted (Sanger) sequencing of candidate genes detected by WES or WGS was performed. Next-generation sequencing data are registered in NCBI’s dbGaP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/) under accession number phs001946.v1.p1.

Cytokine analysis

Serum was collected from 53 of 66 patients and 5 healthy controls. Cytokine concentrations of 48 analytes were measured using the Bio-Plex system (Bio-Rad) in 2 batches. Cytokine analysis was done in 28 of the 36 patients with elevated IFN signature (presumed undifferentiated interferonopathy [UIFN]). The first batch included 45 patients, 20 with UIFN and 25 without UIFN, and 5 healthy controls, and the second batch included 8 patients with an UIFN. Due to a ceiling effect of high serum IL-18 levels in the Bio-Plex system, all samples with levels greater than 10,000 pg/mL were re-run in a dedicated ELISA assay, as previously described (15). All patients with a history of MAS had serum measurements that included IL-18, IL-18BP, and CXCL9 (MIG) as described in Weiss et al. (15).

NanoString 28-gene IRG-S

At least 1 IRG-S was obtained for 65 of 66 patients. It was not obtained from 1 patient with a SAMD9L mutation (G4-P5). As previously reported, total RNA was extracted from blood samples collected in Paxgene tubes (Qiagen). Gene expression of selected IRGs was determined by NanoString (NanoString Technologies) and an IRG-S was calculated as previously described based on healthy control data (34).

Within the 28 IRGs of the NanoString IRG-S, 3 IRGs were identified as having an NFKB1 and/or an NFKB2 TFBS. For validation and correlation of a 3-gene subscore of IRGs with STAT1 and NF-κB1 and NF-κB2 TFBSs with other genes preferentially activated by NF-κB1 and NF-κB2 that lack STAT1 binding sites, please refer to Supplemental Methods D, Supplemental Figure 3B, and Supplemental Figure 4, A and B.

Evaluation of the 3-gene to 25-gene ratio (3:25 ratio) in the various diseases. A ratio of the sum of the normalized counts of the 3 NanoString ISGs with NF-κB binding sites (CXCL10, GBP1, and SOCS1) and the sum of the normalized counts of the 25 other genes was generated and compared between diseases.

Statistics

Descriptive analyses were performed; no adjustments for multiple comparisons were made. For normally distributed data, parametric tests (unpaired 2-tailed t tests for group comparison, i.e., cytokine...
analysis) were used. Nonparametric tests were used for not normally distributed data (Kruskal-Wallis for multiple comparisons and Mann-Whitney test for group comparison). Pearson’s correlation was used for correlations of the 3-, 11-, and 25-gene subscores. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated comparing the 3-gene/25-gene ratio in CANDLE and SAVI versus the various disease groups (G1-G9) to healthy controls and NOMID samples using the Wilson-Brown method; a confidence interval of 95% is reported. P values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant for all tests performed. All statistical analyses described above were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.00 for Mac OS.
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