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CD14, a myeloid cell-surface receptor and soluble plasma protein, binds LPS and other microbial molecules and initiates the innate immune response to bacterial invasion. The blood concentration of soluble CD14 (sCD14) increases during the systemic response to infection. Although high sCD14 blood levels have correlated with increased risk of dying from severe sepsis, sCD14 can diminish cell responses to LPS. We show here that in human serum, sCD14 increases the rate at which cell-bound LPS is released from the monocyte surface and binds to plasma lipoproteins. This enhanced rate of LPS efflux is associated with a significant reduction in the ability of monocytes to produce cytokines in response to LPS. Serum from septic patients reduced the LPS-monocyte interaction by as much as tenfold, and depletion of sCD14 from the serum restored LPS-monocyte binding and release kinetics to near normal levels. In serum from septic patients, monocyte-bound LPS also moved more rapidly into lipoproteins, which completely neutralized the biologic activity of the LPS that bound to them. In human plasma, sCD14 thus diminishes monocyte responses to LPS by transferring cell-bound LPS to lipoproteins. Stress-related increases in plasma sCD14 levels may help prevent inflammatory responses within the blood.


Introduction

LPS (endotoxin) is one of the most potent of the known bacterial signal molecules. Sensitive detection of its presence provides animals with an early warning of bacterial invasion and mobilizes the immune response. In many individuals, however, an exaggerated response to endotoxin can be harmful, leading to severe sepsis, organ failure, and death. Plasma LPS binding protein (LBP) and the LPS binding receptor, membrane CD14, orchestrate sensitive responses to LPS (1) by facilitating its interaction with the LPS signaling receptor, Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4; ref. 2), which is expressed on phagocytes and other LPS-responsive cells. The extreme sensitivity of the LPS recognition mechanism and the potentially damaging consequences of the ensuing host reaction underscore the need for effective mechanisms for controlling cell responses to LPS and removing it from the circulation and tissues.

Important factors in blood limit the interaction of LPS with circulating leukocytes. When pure LPS or bacterial outer membrane fragments are injected into the bloodstream, a large fraction of the LPS is cleared by the liver within 10 minutes (3–5), whereas most of the remaining LPS binds rapidly to lipoproteins, which neutralize its biologic activity (6, 7). LBP can transfer purified LPS to HDL particles (8); we recently found that LBP can also extract native LPS from bacterial membranes and transfer it to HDL (9). Numerous in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that HDL and other plasma lipoproteins play a major role in decreasing LPS-induced host responses and lethality (7, 10, 11).

LPS binding and recognition by leukocytes and LPS sequestration by lipoproteins were previously thought to be independent and essentially irreversible pathways of LPS traffic within the circulation. However, we recently found evidence that LPS can move from leukocyte surfaces to plasma lipoproteins and that this efflux of LPS decreases cell responses (12). We have now asked how the LPS-monocyte interaction is regulated within the blood during human responses to infection. We report that in the presence of undiluted plasma, LPS associates only transiently with monocytes and that the extent and duration of the LPS-cell interaction are regulated largely by membrane CD14 (mCD14). Septis-induced increases in plasma sCD14 can dramatically limit the amount of LPS that remains bound to monocytes and substantially reduce cytokine responses. We suggest that sCD14-mediated LPS efflux from cells is a previously unrecognized mechanism for controlling reactions to LPS within the bloodstream.
Methods

Cells and reagents. Human monocytic THP-1 cells were transformed with human CD14 cDNA and cultured as described previously (13). PBMCs were separated from heparinized blood of normal human donors by centrifugation over Histopaque 1077 (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Recombinant human LPS binding protein (rLBP) was obtained from P. Tobias (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA) (13). RPMI 1640, Cellgro Complete serum-free medium, and G418 were from Mediatech (Herndon, Virginia, USA). Other reagents were from Sigma Chemical Co. unless otherwise indicated.

sCD14 preparation. Recombinant human sCD14 (rsCD14) was generated in baculovirus-infected Hi-5 cells. rsCD14 with a C-terminal 6-histidine tag was purified on nickel-NTA-agarose and dialyzed against PBS (14). The sCD14-TEV-Fc fusion protein was made by cloning the coding sequence for the Fc fragment of human IgG by PCR from the plasmid PVL1392:Fc (a gift from C.F. Ware, La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology, San Diego, California, USA). The oligonucleotides corresponding to the cleavage site for the Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease (Life Technologies Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA) were synthesized by Operon Technologies (Alameda, California, USA). The TEV and Fc coding sequences were inserted into the plasmid Pfast cloning the coding sequence for the Fc fragment of human IgG by PCR from the plasmid PVL1392:Fc (14). The sCD14-TEV-Fc fusion protein was made by cloning the coding sequence for the Fc fragment of human IgG by PCR from the plasmid PVL1392:Fc (a gift from C.F. Ware, La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology, San Diego, California, USA). The oligonucleotides corresponding to the cleavage site for the Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease (Life Technologies Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA) were synthesized by Operon Technologies (Alameda, California, USA). The TEV and Fc coding sequences were inserted into the plasmid Pfast containing a gene for the 323 amino acids of human CD14. The resulting plasmid was used to make recombinant baculovirus as described in the Bac-to-Bac protocol (Life Technologies Inc.). The protein made in Hi-5 cells was purified on a Protein-G column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA) and dialyzed against PBS. rsCD14 without epitope tags was prepared by digesting rsCD14-TEV-IgFc with TEV protease after the protease reagent mixtures had been treated with END-X (Associates of Cape Cod, Falmouth, Massachusetts, USA) to remove residual endotoxin. Control digests were prepared by omitting rsCD14.

Experimental subjects and serum preparation. Peripheral venous blood was drawn from normal volunteers and from patients who had experienced blunt trauma or burns, most of whom had developed infections as a result of their injuries. We analyzed 27 serum samples from these patients: 11 samples from nine trauma patients and 16 samples from 11 burn patients. Clinical classifications were made according to established criteria (15). All the patients met the clinical criteria for one or more stages of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). Eight samples were from patients who had no evidence of infection at 1–4 days after injury (i.e., SIRS). The other 19 samples were from patients who had become infected at 3–46 days after injury; six were classified as sepsis, and 13 were classified as severe sepsis. Three of the patients classified as severe sepsis had septic shock. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. For convenience, the term “sepsis serum” is used to refer to serum obtained from patients with sepsis or severe sepsis. Serum aliquots were stored at –70°C. Freezing did not alter the ability of the serum to promote release of cell-bound LPS.

LPS preparation, cell binding, and release. Escherichia coli LCD25 ([3H]LPS [1.5 × 10^6 dpm/µg]) was biosynthetically labeled and isolated as described elsewhere (16). Autoradiographs of Western blots of the [3H]LPS preparation show that the radioactivity migrated with LPS on the gel. [3H]LPS binding assays were performed as described (17) by suspending 3.5 × 10^5 CD14-transfected THP-1 cells or 2.5 × 10^6 PBMCs in 45 µl of serum buffered with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) in microcentrifuge tubes. The binding reaction was begun by adding 5 µl of partially disaggregated [3H]LPS (13) (50 ng/ml final concentration) and incubating at 37°C with occasional mixing to keep the cells in suspension. Total cell-associated [3H]LPS and protease-sensitive [3H]LPS on the cell-surface were measured (13). [3H]LPS release assays were performed as described previously (12). Briefly, [3H]LPS was bound to the cells by incubating them for 5 minutes at 37°C with [3H]LPS-sCD14 complexes (50 ng LPS per milliliter); this enables transfer of LPS to mCD14. The unbound complexes were washed away, the [3H]LPS-loaded cells were incubated in serum for the indicated times, and the cell-associated [3H]LPS and released [3H]LPS were measured after centrifugation to pellet the cells.

Peptide IV (0.6 mM), a peptide that binds and inactivates complement factor C3 (18), was added to the serum samples 10 minutes before the assay was begun. This treatment prevented the cell loss and membrane permeability that were observed when THP-1 cells were incubated in many of the serum samples from injured or infected patients. After each LPS binding or release assay, the cells were counted and membrane permeability was measured by trypan blue exclusion (12). No significant cell loss was observed, and cell viability was 90% or greater. Peptide IV did not alter LPS binding or release from the cells; similar results were obtained in these assays when 10 mM EDTA replaced Peptide IV as a complement inhibitor.

Lipoprotein isolation and analysis. The total lipoprotein fraction (LP) of serum was isolated by ultracentrifugal flotation in KBr (d < 1.21 g/ml) (12). Free LPS and LPS-sCD14 complexes did not float with LP-lipoprotein complexes. In some experiments, the lipoproteins were concentrated by recentrifugation and dialyzed against cold 0.9% NaCl containing 0.25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). [3H]LPS in the KBr fractions was measured by liquid scintillation counting as described previously (12). Total (esterified and unesterified) cholesterol and choline-containing phospholipids were measured using kits from Sigma Chemical Co. and Wako Chemicals USA Inc. (Richmond, Virginia, USA), respectively. HDL cholesterol and phospholipids were measured by precipitating the LDLs (e.g., LDL and VLDL) with phosphotungstic acid reagent (Paramax HDL Cholesterol Precipitating Reagent; Dade International Inc.,
were incubated (for 5 hours at 37°C in 5% CO2). A total heparinized whole blood containing 0–4 ng/ml of LPS at the blood-to-serum ratio were 1.6 ng TNF-α and 6.1 ng IL-6 per milliliter in the undiluted serum. Under these conditions, the cytokine response was derived from the LPS-loaded PBMCs, rather than from LPS transfer to naive monocytes in the blood. Response assays using free LPS were performed in the same manner except that the PBMCs were omitted and free LPS (0.03 ng/ml) was added to the undiluted blood. Stimulation of whole blood with recombinant human IL-1β (rIL-1β; Becton Dickinson Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA) was performed by adding 10 ng of rIL-1β per milliliter of blood and measuring IL-6.

The effects of immunodepletion of serum sCD14 on responses of LPS-loaded PBMCs were determined by measuring cell-associated [3H]LPS measured before adding the PBMCs to the blood indicated that the blood/PBMC mixture contained approximately 7 pg cell-associated LPS per milliliter. Given that this concentration of free LPS was insufficient to stimulate a significant response in whole blood, we assume that most or all of the cytokine response was derived from the LPS-loaded PBMCs, rather than from LPS transfer to naive monocytes in the blood. Response assays using free LPS were performed in the same manner except that the PBMCs were omitted and free LPS was added in the undiluted blood. Stimulation of whole blood with recombinant human IL-1β (rIL-1β; Becton Dickinson Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA) was performed by adding 10 ng of rIL-1β per milliliter of blood and measuring IL-6.

The LBP ELISA reagents and method were from P. Tobias (12). Other serum proteins were measured by commercial ELISA kits (the sCD14 kit was from R&D Systems Inc., Minneapolis, USA; the TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 kits were from BD Pharmingen). ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparison test was performed using Prism 3.0 (Graph Pad Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA). Pearson correlation coefficient (r) for XY pairs were also computed by Prism 3.0.

**Results**

**LPS binds transiently to monocytes in the presence of undiluted serum.** LPS interacts with monocytes and plasma lipoproteins along the pathways shown schematically.

**Figure 1**

LPS binds transiently to monocytes in the presence of undiluted serum. See Results.
LBP mediates LPS binding to cells (Figure 1, A; reviewed in ref. 1) and to plasma lipoproteins (Figure 1, F; ref. 9). In diluted serum, these mechanisms direct added LPS largely to mCD14 expressed on cells, whereas in undiluted serum, LPS binds only transiently to the cells (17) (Figure 2a). LPS-cell binding in the presence of LBP is rapid in either circumstance and proceeds by direct binding to mCD14 (Figure 1, A) or indirectly via sCD14 (Figure 1, B and C). We recently found that there is also a mechanism for removing LPS from monocyte surfaces (12). Cell-bound LPS moves into plasma lipoproteins either directly from mCD14 (Figure 1, D) or indirectly via sCD14 (Figure 1, C and E) by mechanisms that do not involve LBP. LPS monomers can rapidly and reversibly move between mCD14 and sCD14 so that an equilibrium is established between the LPS that is bound to each form of CD14. The rate of LPS release from monocytes is greatly enhanced by undiluted serum or by rsCD14 if lipoproteins are present (12). Thus, the transient binding of LPS to monocytes in undiluted serum may be explained both (a) by the sequestration of free LPS by lipoproteins and other LPS-binding components of serum (Figure 1, F) and (b) by the release of cell-bound LPS (Figure 1, C–E). LPS binding to lipoproteins is essentially irreversible. In the experiments reported here, we studied the role of sCD14 in these processes and the impact of elevated sCD14 levels in serum derived from septic patients.

Sepsis serum decreases the amount and duration of LPS binding to monocytes. The fraction of cell-associated LPS that remains on the cell surface can be quantitated by virtue of its susceptibility to removal by proteinase K (13). The heavy dashed line in Figure 2a shows that when LPS was added to cells that were suspended in undiluted normal human serum, the amount of cell-surface [3H]LPS increased rapidly, peaked at approximately 5 minutes, and then slowly declined. After 90 minutes, the cell-bound LPS approached a plateau at which relatively little LPS remained on the cell surface. The continuous lines show comparable data from 23 serum samples from 18 patients. The data show that whereas LPS bound transiently to the cells in normal serum, the amount and duration of LPS binding were reduced much more in the patient serum.

To assess the LPS-monocyte interaction as a function of both time and the amount of LPS bound, we integrated the areas under the curves in Figure 2a. We refer to this measurement as the “total exposure” of cells to LPS. In patient serum, the total LPS exposure was as low as 11% of that measured in normal serum. The peak binding (5 minutes) and total exposure of the cells to LPS were correlated with the patients’ clinical status in Figure 2, b and c. As shown in Figure 2b, the amount of LPS-cell binding observed in 5 minutes was decreased only in sera from patients with severe sepsis. The total exposure of the cells to LPS (Figure 2c), however, was significantly decreased in all clinical groups.

The cells internalized relatively small amounts of LPS. The protease-protected (presumably internalized)
Because the total exposure of cells to LPS is a function of both LPS binding and the release of cell-bound LPS, we next measured the release of [3H]LPS from cells that were preloaded with [3H]LPS and then incubated in the same serum samples. As shown in Figure 3a, patient serum enhanced LPS release. In some cases, almost all of the cell-associated LPS was released within 15–45 minutes. The analysis of all 27 samples showed that LPS release was significantly increased in all clinical groups when measured at either 5 minutes (data not shown) or 15 minutes (Figure 3b). Differences between the normal and patient groups were not due to cell loss, cell permeability, or day-to-day variations in either the LPS binding or release assay. An aliquot of serum from the same normal individual was analyzed each time an assay was performed, and the results did not deviate from the normal ranges shown in Figures 2a and 3a. Loss of mCD14 from the cells does not appear to play a role in the rapid phase of LPS release from the cells, as flow cytometric analysis showed that mCD14 density was unchanged after incubating LPS-loaded THP-1 cells with normal (n = 1) or patient (n = 10) serum for 15 minutes (data not shown).

Sepsis serum accelerates the binding and neutralization of released LPS by plasma lipoproteins. To determine whether cell-associated LPS binds to lipoproteins in sepsis serum, we separated the total lipoproteins from the serum-containing supernatants of [3H]LPS-loaded cells by ultracentrifugal flotation (12). We found that in serum from septic patients, cell-associated LPS was both released from the cells more rapidly and bound to lipoproteins more rapidly than in normal serum. The percent of the total cell-associated [3H]LPS released into the serum in 10 minutes was 73% ± 10% (mean ± SD, n = 4 patients) and 27% ± 3% (n = 6 normal donors). The fractions of the released [3H]LPS that had bound to lipoproteins were 84% ± 10% (n = 4 patients) and 62% ± 4% (n = 6 normal donors). After 45 minutes, 88% and 82% of the released [3H]LPS had bound to lipoproteins in patient and normal sera, respectively. These data were surprising in view of the fact that HDL, the major acceptor for cell-associated LPS in normal plasma (12), declines to low levels during sepsis (19, 20). Our measurements confirmed that both HDL cholesterol (8 ± 6 mg/dL [mean ± SD, n = 27]) and HDL phospholipid levels (36 ± 12 mg/dL) in the patient serum were lower than those in normal serum (47 ± 8 mg cholesterol/dL and 101 ± 16 mg phospholipid/dL; n = 11).

To determine whether lipoproteins in the patients’ sera can neutralize the stimulatory activity of LPS, we pooled serum samples from nine patients, incubated the serum with [3H]LPS, and isolated the LPS-lipoprotein complexes by ultracentrifugal flotation. We found that the LPS-lipoprotein complexes had almost no ability to stimulate IL-6 or TNF-α release from normal human monocytes; LPS-lipoprotein complexes added at 50 ng LPS per milliliter produced responses that were 5–10% of the maximal responses produced by 0.1 ng/ml of free LPS (data not shown). Similar results
were also obtained when LPS-lipoprotein complexes were isolated from individual samples from three patients; these LPS-lipoprotein complexes had no ability to stimulate TNF-α and IL-6 production in whole blood when added at concentrations that have maximal activity for free LPS (data not shown).

Release of cell-bound LPS correlates with serum soluble CD14 levels. Whereas SIRS patients without infection had normal or slightly elevated levels of sCD14 (Figure 4a), high levels of sCD14 were observed in serum from patients with sepsis or severe sepsis. When serum sCD14 concentrations were plotted against the percent of cell-associated [3H]LPS released during the first 15 minutes of exposure to serum (Figure 4b), linear regression analysis revealed a significant correlation ($r = 0.7339$, $P < 0.0001$, $n = 40$). Similar results were obtained when the sCD14 levels were plotted against the percentage of cell-associated LPS released in 5 minutes (data not shown). sCD14 levels correlated inversely with total LPS exposure (Figure 4c) ($r = 0.7152$, $P < 0.0001$, $n = 32$) and with the amount of LPS that bound to the cells in 5 minutes (data not shown) ($r = 0.7714$, $P < 0.0001$, $n = 36$). We also found that other acute-phase proteins (SAA, LBP, and sPLA2) correlated significantly with LPS efflux and inversely with LPS binding and total exposure (data not shown).

Elevated levels of sCD14 decrease the LPS-monocyte interaction by enhancing LPS release. Having previously demonstrated that sCD14 promotes the release of cell-bound LPS in normal plasma (12), we sought to determine the effect of the high sCD14 concentrations found in sepsis serum. Immunodepletion of sCD14 from normal serum (shown by dashed lines in Figure 5a) increased the total exposure of cells to LPS; the amount of LPS bound increased somewhat, and the rate of LPS efflux decreased. Normal LPS exposure was restored by adding back rsCD14 to the depleted serum. sCD14 depletion produced a greater increase in LPS exposure in sepsis serum (Figure 5a, solid lines). Increasing the sCD14 concentration in normal control serum to the high levels seen in sepsis serum decreased exposure of cells to LPS (data not shown).

We also tested the effect of sCD14 depletion on the release of LPS from LPS-loaded cells as in Figure 3a. As

### Table 1
Depletion of endogenous sCD14 in serum increases monocyte responses to LPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sCD14</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
<th>IL-1β</th>
<th>IL-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBMC + free LPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>100% ± 2% (12)</td>
<td>100% ± 5% (8)</td>
<td>100% ± 5% (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD14-depleted</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>154% ± 19% (12)</td>
<td>276% ± 24% (8)</td>
<td>221% ± 21% (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsCD14 added</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>94% ± 9% (6)</td>
<td>230% ± 28% (5)</td>
<td>136% ± 20% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBMC + free LPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>100% ± 3% (9)</td>
<td>100% ± 9% (9)</td>
<td>100% ± 14% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD14-depleted</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>148% ± 13% (9)</td>
<td>271% ± 52% (9)</td>
<td>189% ± 24% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsCD14 added</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>77% ± 9% (6)</td>
<td>83% ± 21% (6)</td>
<td>118% ± 19% (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As

sCD14 levels were reduced by passing normal serum over agarose beads to which an anti-CD14 mAb was covalently bound. LPS-loaded autologous PBMC were incubated for 5 hours with undiluted serum that was passed over control beads (control), sCD14-depleted serum, and sCD14-depleted serum to which rsCD14 was added. Cytokines released into the serum were measured by ELISA. In other experiments, autologous PBMCs were mixed with free LPS (0.03 ng/ml) in serum that was prepared as described in the text. PBMC incubated in sera without LPS did not produce measurable levels of cytokines (data not shown). Mean ± SEM is shown as percent of control for $n$ determinations in 3 (IL-1β, IL-6) or 4 (TNF-α) separate experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, and ***P < 0.01, reflecting significant differences between sCD14-depleted and Control sera determined by ANOVA.
shown in Figure 5b, sCD14 depletion decreased LPS release from cells in both normal and sepsis/SIRS serum; this activity was restored by adding rsCD14. sCD14 levels (mg/ml) were 1.7, 1.4, 1.7, and 1.5 in the normal control samples, 3.6, 2.1, 2.1, and 1.6 in the patients’ control samples, and ≤0.02 in the immunodepleted samples. sCD14-depleted sera from the sepsis and SIRS patients still possessed a greater ability to promote LPS release from cells than did CD14-depleted normal sera. These results suggest that one or more unidentified factors in the sepsis/SIRS serum can also enhance LPS release from cells.

We next increased sCD14 levels in normal serum by adding rsCD14 and measured [3H]LPS transfer from monocytes to serum lipoproteins during a 10-minute incubation. As shown in Figure 5c, the release of cell-bound LPS increased with increasing sCD14 concentration, whereas the fraction of the released LPS that bound to lipoproteins remained constant (see legend to Figure 5). The data therefore suggest that increasing the sCD14 concentration increases the rate of transfer of LPS from cells to lipoproteins principally by increasing LPS release from cells.

**Physiological concentrations of sCD14 downregulate responses in LPS-loaded monocytes and to free LPS in blood.** To determine whether concentrations of sCD14 equivalent to those observed in septic plasma could diminish responses in LPS-loaded cells, we incubated LPS-loaded normal PBMCs in autologous whole blood to which was added increasing amounts of rsCD14. As shown in Figure 6, increasing the sCD14 concentration by only 1 µg/ml (endogenous + exogenous sCD14 = 2.5 µg/ml) produced a significant inhibitory effect. Inhibition appeared to be LPS specific, as the rsCD14 did not inhibit the ability of rIL-1β to stimulate IL-6 production in whole blood (data not shown). Removing the C-terminal histidine tag from the rsCD14 did not diminish its inhibitory activity. rsCD14 also inhibited the ability of free LPS to stimulate responses in whole blood. Free LPS was added to whole blood (0.03–0.1 ng LPS per milliliter) that contained either 0 or 4 µg/ml rsCD14, and the mixtures were incubated and analyzed as described in Figure 6. The presence of the rsCD14 reduced the levels of IL-1β to 52% ± 2% of control, IL-6 to 47% ± 6% of control, and TNF-α to 66% ± 5% of control (mean ± SEM of three experiments).

Likewise, reducing the endogenous sCD14 concentration of normal serum by immunodepletion increased the cytokine responses of monocytes that were incubated in the undiluted serum whether the monocytes were preloaded with LPS or incubated in serum containing free LPS (Table 1). Restoring normal sCD14 concentrations in the depleted sera by adding rsCD14 decreased the cell responses. These data suggest that even normal concentrations of sCD14 have an inhibitory effect on LPS-induced cytokine production in the blood.

**Discussion**

These studies produced three significant findings. First, whereas LPS binds transiently to monocytes in the presence of normal serum, the LPS-monocyte interaction is substantially decreased by factors in the serum of patients with SIRS, sepsis, and severe sepsis. Our data suggest that the amount and duration of the LPS-monocyte interaction decrease principally because of elevated

---

**Figure 5**

sCD14 modulates LPS binding to, and release from, monocytes. (a) Time course of [3H]LPS binding to THP-1 cells in undiluted serum from a normal donor (dashed lines) or a patient with severe sepsis (solid lines) after immunodepleting the serum with anti-CD14-agarose (sCD14–), treating the serum with control beads (control), or adding rsCD14 back to sCD14-depleted serum (sCD14– + rsCD14). Numbers in parentheses are sCD14 concentrations in micrograms per milliliter. The experiment was repeated with similar results. (b) The release of [3H]LPS from THP-1 cells was measured after incubating the cells for 15 minutes with undiluted normal or sepsis/SIRS serum that was immunodepleted of sCD14 using anti-CD14-magnetic beads (sCD14–) or treated with nonimmune control antibody–magnetic beads (control) as described (12). sCD14 concentrations were restored to their original levels by adding rsCD14 to the sCD14-depleted sera (sCD14–depleted + rsCD14). Means ± SD of four experiments are shown. Serum from four normal donors, two severe sepsis patients, and two SIRS patients was analyzed. (c) The release of [3H]LPS from THP-1 cells was measured after incubation for 10 minutes at 37°C in undiluted normal serum containing increasing concentrations of rsCD14. The endogenous serum sCD14 was 1.5 µg/ml. The percentages of the released [3H]LPS that was bound to lipoproteins in the total lipoprotein fraction (d < 1.21 g/ml) were 67% ± 1%, 68% ± 1%, and 66% ± 1% (mean ± range of duplicate determinations) at sCD14 concentrations of 1.5, 4, and 6.5 µg/ml, respectively.
rsCD14 inhibits responses of LPS-loaded monocytes in whole human blood. Normal PBMCs were loaded with LPS by incubating the cells with LPS-sCD14 complexes (0.3 ng LPS per milliliter) for 5 minutes at 37°C. The cells were washed to remove unbound LPS, and they were added to normal whole heparinized blood that contained the indicated concentrations of endogenous sCD14 and rsCD14. The mixtures were incubated for 5 hours, and cytokines (IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6) were measured in the plasma by ELISA. The cytokine levels are expressed as the percent of the mean level of cytokine released in blood that contained no rsCD14 (percent of control). Each point represents the mean ± SEM of five or seven determinations from five independent experiments using blood from two normal donors.
Our data strongly suggests that both on and off events in LPS-monoocyte interactions control cellular responses. In support of this hypothesis, we previously showed that plasma lipoproteins substantially reduce responses in monocytes that have already bound LPS (12), and we show here that responses are further reduced by scCD14. The actions of both lipoproteins and scCD14 in these assays appear to be LPS specific because they do not inhibit responses to IL-1β. Reports from other laboratories are consistent with this hypothesis. Dedrick and Conlan (31) found that prolonged exposure of whole blood to LPS is required for maximal and prolonged cell responses. Other in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that LPS stimulation of leukocytes can be attenuated by agents that interfere with LPS-cell interactions even when they are administered after LPS. These include bacterial permeability-increasing protein (31), Limulus antitilipopolysaccharide factor (32), antibodies to CD14 (33), and reconstituted HDL (34).

During systemic responses to stress, blood leukocyte responses to LPS decrease. Although other mechanisms play very important roles in this attenuation, our results indicate that plasma can substantially reduce LPS-cell interactions. The ability of plasma constituents to regulate the amount and duration of LPS binding to cells suggests that these changes may help prevent systemic leukocyte activation during sepsis. Systemic leukocyte activation is generally thought to be detrimental to the host because it may provoke coagulopathy, organ failure, and shock. Controlling LPS-induced responses by circulating leukocytes may thus decrease systemic LPS toxicity while allowing inflammation to proceed at local tissue sites of microbial invasion.
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