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ABSTRACT

Background: In women with obesity, excess gestational weight gain (≥270 g/week) occurs in two out of three pregnancies and contributes to metabolic impairments in both mother and baby. To improve obstetrical care, objectively assessed information on energy balance is urgently needed. The objective of this study was to characterize determinants of gestational weight gain in women with obesity.

Methods: This was a prospective, observational study of pregnant women with obesity. The primary outcome was energy intake calculated by the energy intake-balance method. Energy expenditure was measured by doubly-labeled water and whole-room indirect calorimetry and body composition as 3-compartment model by air displacement plethysmography and isotope dilution in early (13-16 weeks) and late pregnancy (35-37 weeks).

Results: In pregnant women with obesity (n=54), recommended weight gain (n=8, 15%) during the second and third trimesters was achieved when energy intake was 125±52 kcal/d less than energy expenditure. In contrast, women with excess weight gain (67%) consumed 186±29 kcal/d more than they expended (P<0.001). Energy balance affected maternal adiposity (recommended: -2.5±0.8 kg fat mass, excess: +2.2±0.5, inadequate: -4.5±0.5, P<0.001), but not fetal growth. Weight gain was not related to demographics, activity, metabolic biomarkers, or diet quality. We estimated that energy intake requirements for recommended weight gain during the second and third trimesters were not increased as compared to energy requirements early in pregnancy (34±53 kcal/d, P=0.83).
Conclusions: We here provide the first evidence-based recommendations for energy intake in pregnant women with obesity. Contrary to current recommendations, energy intake should not exceed energy expenditure.

This study was funded by the National Institutes of Health (R01DK099175; Redman, U54GM104940 and P30DK072476; Core support).

Trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01954342
INTRODUCTION

According to the 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, women entering pregnancy with obesity should limit total weight gain to 5-9 kg (1). Despite these recommendations, excess gestational weight gain occurs in two out of every three pregnancies among women with obesity (2, 3), increasing the risks of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in both the mother and baby (4, 5). Thus, for women with obesity, effective weight gain management in pregnancy is an unmet need in clinical practice.

Lifestyle modification therapy is considered the first line strategy for controlling gestational weight gain. To date, more than 6,300 patients with overweight and obesity have participated in effectiveness trials evaluating lifestyle interventions (LIMIT (6), DALI (7, 8), UPBEAT (9), RADIEL (10), LIFE-Moms (11)). Only half of these studies report a significant reduction in the incidence of excess gestational weight gain (7, 11), and overall, reductions in total weight gain were modest and averaged 0.5 kilograms (6-11). Furthermore, very few studies demonstrated downstream improvements in maternal and infant outcomes at birth (6, 8, 11). The low efficacy of lifestyle intervention trials is generally attributed to poor patient adherence, yet we and others (6, 8-11) hypothesize that most interventions are of insufficient intensity. Consequently, they produce only small perturbations in energy balance (intake minus expenditure) and hence small changes in weight gain.

To date, the Institute of Medicine recommends that all women increase energy intake 340 to 450 kcal/d during the second and third trimesters (1). These recommendations for dietary energy intake (1, 12, 13) have been based on studies in women without obesity (14-16). In recognition of the different needs for the patient with obesity, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists state that women with obesity “may need fewer extra calories”, but do not provide
specific guidance (12). Studies of gestational weight gain in women with obesity attempt to inform clinical guidance for energy intake, diet quality and physical activity but employ subjective self-reported assessments, which are prone to recall bias (17, 18). Energy intake recommendations for pregnant women with obesity are therefore either extrapolated from women without obesity or based on subjective measures, but not based on appropriate evidence.

Thus, to improve clinical guidance for obstetrical care, we obtained objective assessments to characterize the physiological and behavioral determinants of gestational weight gain in women with obesity.

RESULTS

Of the 72 women enrolled, 60 completed the late pregnancy assessment and 54 had complete data to analyze the primary outcome, energy intake (Figure 1). Women who did not have complete data were more likely to be African-American, were heavier (111.2 kg vs 96.1 kg, 41.0 vs 35.8 kg/m²), and had higher HbA1c-values (5.6% vs 5.4%) as compared to those included in this study. Throughout the second and third trimesters, the mean rate of weight gain of the study population was 369±29 grams per week (range, -50 to +796 g/week), resulting in 7.8±0.6 kg total weight gain.

Applying the 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines for gestational weight gain in women with obesity, eight women (15%) gained weight as recommended (REC), 36 women (67%) gained excess weight (EXS), and ten (19%) had inadequate (INA) weight gain (Figure 2A). The groups did not differ by demographic characteristics (Table 1), but the INA group had more severe obesity (P=0.002, Table 1) and was more insulin resistant (P=0.008, Table S1) compared to the EXS group. In a sensitivity analysis, we excluded the two most obese patients (BMI: 57.1, 46.0, both INA group), the differences in obesity and insulin resistance early in pregnancy disappeared,
whereas primary outcomes were unchanged. Pregnancy outcomes, delivery outcomes, and infant size at birth were not different between the weight gain groups (Table 1).

**Recommended Weight Gain**

At a rate of weight gain of 218±90 g/week, women with recommended weight gain increased body mass by 4.5±0.2 kg during the observation period. While these women accumulated 7.0±0.8 kg of fat-free mass (Figure 2B), which includes fetal growth (2.7±0.1 kg), the total weight gain was also the result of losing fat mass (-2.5±0.8 kg).

In Table 2, results of the primary outcomes – energy intake, energy expenditure and energy deposition – are summarized. Energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters was calculated as the sum of energy expenditure across pregnancy (calculated as mean of total daily energy expenditure early and late in pregnancy), and energy deposition, i.e. changes in fat mass and fat-free mass. Therefore, energy intake is the mean energy intake per day between 14.9±0.1 weeks and 35.9±0.1 weeks of pregnancy. In the REC group, daily energy intake was 2698±99 kcal/d, and energy expenditure was 2824±105 kcal/d (Table 2, Figure 3A). Therefore, women with recommended weight gain maintained a negative energy balance, i.e. intake minus expenditure, of -125±52 kcal/d during pregnancy (Figure 3B).

**Excess Weight Gain**

The EXS group gained 10.3±0.6 kg body weight during the observation period (P<0.0001). Compared to the REC group, the difference in total weight gain was not due to the accumulation of fat-free mass, including fetal growth, but to gains in fat mass (2.2±0.5 kg, P<0.001, Figure 1B). For the EXS group the energy intake and energy expenditure throughout the second and third trimester were not significantly different from the REC group (P=0.16 and P=0.48, respectively,
Table 2 and Figure 2A). However, energy balance was positive (186±29 kcal/d) and significantly different from the REC group (P<0.001, Figure 2B).

**Inadequate Weight Gain**

In the INA group, women only gained 1.5±0.5 kg (P=0.03). While fat-free mass accumulation and fetal growth were comparable with the REC and EXS groups, the INA group lost fat mass (-4.5±0.5 kg, P=0.10, Figure 1B). Energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters was not different from energy intake in the REC group (P=0.51). Energy balance was negative, but the difference to the REC group was not significant (-262±32 kcal/d, P=0.08, Figure 2B).

**Physiologic and Behavioral Determinants of Gestational Weight Gain**

The difference in energy balance between the groups was not accounted for by differences in physical activity (Table S2). We observed a smaller increase in sleeping energy expenditure in women with excess and inadequate weight gain as compared to women with recommended weight gain (both P<0.01, Table S1). Physiological and behavioral factors including insulin, thyroid hormones, sympathetic nervous system activity and gut hormones, diet quality, and eating behavior constructs including mindful eating and food cravings, were not different between the weight gain groups (Tables S1-4).

**Energy Intake for Recommended Gestational Weight Gain**

Using our data, we developed a linear regression equation to estimate the change in energy intake from early pregnancy to the second and third trimesters of pregnancy required to achieve recommended gestational weight gain. This approach assumed that energy intake requirements early in pregnancy were equivalent to total daily energy expenditure (1, 13, 14) and energy intake requirements during the second and third trimesters equal energy intake in women with
recommended weight gain. Thus, the change in energy intake was calculated as difference between measured energy intake during pregnancy and total daily energy expenditure early in pregnancy. Using an observed gestational weight gain (GWG) as independent variable, the change in energy intake (Change EI) can be estimated as:

\[
\text{Change EI[kcal/d]} = 1.178 \pm 0.108 \times \text{GWG[g/week]} - 225 \pm 46, \ R^2 = 0.70.
\]

The change in energy intake (Change EI) is relative to energy intake and energy expenditure in early pregnancy and GWG is rate of gestational weight gain during second and third trimester (Figure 4). To achieve the recommended rate of weight gain suggested by the Institute of Medicine for women with obesity (170 to 270 grams per week), the change in energy intake during the second and third trimester would need to be between -25±46 kcal/d to +93±46 kcal/d. In Figure 4B, we estimated changes in energy intake for published weight gain data in lifestyle intervention studies. Our model of estimated energy intake showed that patients in the intervention groups consumed 196±20 kcal/d more than early pregnancy energy requirements (range: +78 to +310 kcal/d). Control groups in these respective studies consumed higher energy intakes (334±32 kcal/d) during the same time period (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to provide evidence-based recommendations for energy intake in pregnant women with obesity, which are in contrast to current recommendations by the Institute of Medicine. To deliver such recommendations, we describe phenotypic, behavioral and metabolic characteristics and determinants of gestational weight gain exclusively in women with obesity using a comprehensive battery of objective measures pertinent to body composition and energy balance phenotyping. The proportion of women with recommended, excess and inadequate weight
gain in our study is consistent with epidemiological data in that two-thirds of women had excess gestational weight gain (2). Our study has four major findings that inform management of pregnant women with obesity: 1. The recommended rate of weight gain was achieved by the gain of fat-free mass alone (e.g. fetus, uterus, blood volume, breast tissue). 2. Dietary intake must not exceed energy expenditure during pregnancy and weight gain as recommended by the Institute of Medicine is achieved if energy intake is maintained, but not increased from early pregnancy throughout the second and third trimester. The increased energy demand of pregnancy and by the infant is compensated by the mobilization of maternal fat mass. 3. Energy imbalance determines weight gain, without influence of diet, physical activity or metabolic biomarkers. (4) Weight maintenance during obese pregnancy, as suggested by recent epidemiological studies, requires ~10% energy deficit.

First, the Institute of Medicine weight gain recommendations were achieved by the accumulation of fat-free mass alone. The gain in fat-free mass, which includes tissue growth (e.g. fetus, placenta, breast, and uterus) and fluid expansion (e.g. blood volume, intracellular, and extracellular fluid) (19) was similar between the weight gain groups. Energy balance was not associated with non-fat tissue accumulation, and therefore we hypothesize that interventions designed to modify energy balance would not affect their accumulation. To infer causality from this association requires evidence from prospective intervention study. In contrast, fat mass gain was variable and thus more likely modifiable by interventions. Achieving the recommended rate of weight gain required that fat mass was reduced.

Second, the recommended rate of weight gain in obese pregnancies was accomplished when the daily energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters did not exceed energy expenditure. Our data suggests that pregnant women with obesity should not consume additional energy when
pregnant. The energy requirement for fetal development was compensated by mobilization of maternal fat mass with no adverse effects in maternal or fetal outcomes observed. This observation challenges current advice by the Institute of Medicine and American College of Obstetrician and Gynecologists for women with obesity, which is to consume an additional 200-300 kcal/d during the second and third trimesters for optimal weight gain (1, 12). Consuming surplus energy throughout the second and third trimesters, even in these small amounts (~200 kcal/d) produced excess gestational weight gain. Dietary needs should be estimated on a per patient basis using an energy requirement model specific for women with obesity early in pregnancy or simply advise patients to not increase dietary energy intake (20).

Third, no differences in physical activity, metabolic biomarkers, dietary intake and eating behavior constructs were observed between the Institute of Medicine weight gain groups. Our study was not powered to detect differences in these factors and could be prone to type 1 error. Nevertheless, this suggests that the impact of a lifestyle modification intervention in pregnancy depends on its ability to modify energy balance. By our calculations such trials aimed to achieve a reduction in energy intake of 7-37% in the second and third trimester (21-25). Importantly, the achieved differences in energy intake between the intervention and control groups, as estimated by the regression equation, were still small. Estimates of energy intake based on observed weight gain from published lifestyle intervention studies showed that interventions reduced energy intake by -140 kcal/d (~5%) in comparison to the control groups. Recommended weight gain was only achieved by two studies and of note our model estimates that women in these interventions increased energy intake during pregnancy by less than 100 kcal/d (23, 24).

Past trials prescribing energy intake targets achieved the largest effect sizes for modifying weight gain for women with obesity (21-25). Of the studies modifying energy intake, most (21-24) but
not all (25) reduced one or more adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, the studies were powered
to detect intervention effects on weight gain, and hence the sample sizes were often too small to
demonstrate intervention efficacy for improvements in other pregnancy outcomes. Conversely,
those trials powered for pregnancy outcomes did not target energy intake or energy balance per se
and thereby achieved only modest reductions in energy intake and weight gain and failed to modify
adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes (6, 8-11). Appropriately powered studies designed to
improve weight gain and pregnancy outcomes through evidence-based energy intake targets
remain to be undertaken for women with obesity.

Finally, our study suggests that lifestyle interventions designed to achieve weight maintenance
throughout pregnancy (<2.5 kg) require a 9% energy deficit. This finding is relevant to translate
new recommendations from epidemiological studies which suggest that weight maintenance may
be required to improve outcomes for pregnant women with obesity (26, 27). Advocating weight
maintenance for pregnant women with obesity is however too premature until long-term effects
on offspring development have been determined.

This study excels for its rigorous, objective methods; however, the use of such approaches is
limited to small, extensively phenotyped cohorts. Thus, our study is unique in its ability to explain
how the current recommendations for weight gain can be achieved, and to demonstrate that excess
gestational weight gain in women with obesity is due to differences in energy balance, which was
not associated with differences or changes in metabolic determinants. The sample size is however
too small to exclude the possibility of false-negative findings in this analysis related to pregnancy,
delivery and fetal outcomes, or effect-modification by covariates, including demographic,
behavioral or metabolic factors. The next step is to evaluate the implementation of these new
energy intake targets in appropriately powered studies and to understand the effects of maternal
energy restriction on outcomes for women with obesity and their babies. Strategies to induce an energy gap may include attenuating the observed declines in physical activity and diet quality (28). Using objective measures of energy balance, this study challenges current practice and argues that women with obesity should not be advised to consume additional energy during pregnancy as currently recommended. Preserving physical activity and improving diet quality may offer additional strategies to achieve current gestational weight gain recommendations, but only if an energy deficit of ~100 kcal/d is achieved. More stringent recommendations for weight gain during obese pregnancy (<2.5 kg) would require deficits of ~10%.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and Subjects

MomEE (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01954342) was a prospective, observational cohort study conducted between February 2015 and January 2017 at Pennington Biomedical Research Center in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Women were followed prospectively across pregnancy with outcome assessments measured in specialized clinic visits between 13-16 weeks and 35-37 weeks to encompass the second and third trimester of gestation. Gestational weight gain per week was calculated and using the 2009 Institute of Medicine criteria (1), women were classified as having recommended (REC, 170-270 g/week), excess (EXS, ≥270 g/week), or inadequate (INA, <170 g/week) weight gain. The primary outcome was daily energy intake throughout the second and third trimester objectively assessed by the energy intake-balance method (29). Energy intake was calculated as the sum of mean energy expenditure across pregnancy (doubly labeled water) and energy deposition in fat and fat-free
tissues (3-compartment model by plethysmography and isotope dilution) measured across the same observation period (29, 30). Secondary outcomes were changes in physical activity by accelerometry (31), energy expenditure during sleep by whole-body calorimetry (32), metabolic biomarkers, dietary intake by remote food photography (33, 34), and eating behavior constructs by validated questionnaires. Pregnancy, delivery, and infant outcomes were obtained from prenatal and delivery records.

Seventy-two pregnant women with obesity (BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ at $\leq 15$ weeks of gestation) were enrolled. Participants were required to be 18-40 years old and pregnant with a singleton gestation confirmed by ultrasound ($\geq$six weeks gestation). To study women with obesity who were otherwise healthy, participants were excluded for smoking, alcohol intake, drug use (prescription or recreational), hypertension (>160/110 mmHg), diabetes (HbA1c $\geq 6.5$%), severe anemia (Hb<8 g/dL and/or Hct <24%), and for factors with the possibility of abnormally affecting gestational weight gain or adherence to the study protocol (e.g. pre-eclampsia, bed rest prescription) (20). The primary care obstetric provider and medical investigator provided medical clearance for participation. Women did not receive specific advice on diet or activity but were informed about the Institute of Medicine guidelines on healthy gestational weight gain.

**Primary Outcomes**

Full descriptions of the study procedures have been previously described (20, 30, 34-36). In brief, at each assessment, participants presented to the research center for two outpatient visits and an overnight stay in a metabolic chamber (32). Body weight was measured fasted in a gown (with gown weight subtracted), and rate of gestational weight gain was calculated as change in measured body weight from early to late pregnancy, expressed in grams per week. Body fat mass was calculated using body weight, body volume by plethysmography (BODPOD®, COSMED,
Concord, CA) and body water (mean estimate of using zero-intercepts of $^2$H and $^{18}$O-isotopes) (37). Fat-free mass was calculated as body weight minus fat mass. Energy deposition was calculated assuming that one kilogram of fat is equivalent to 9,500 kcal and one kilogram of fat-free mass is equivalent to 771 kcal (29, 38). Free-living energy expenditure (TDEE) was measured over seven days by doubly labeled water (1.25g of 10% enriched $H_2^{18}O$ and 0.10g of 99.9% enriched $^2H_2O$ per kg body weight) (20). Free-living energy expenditure (TDEE) across the observation period was calculated as the mean of the TDEE measured in early and late pregnancy. The primary outcome energy intake was calculated as sum of energy deposition and the mean energy expenditure across pregnancy.

**Secondary Outcomes**

Energy metabolism was measured in the metabolic chamber. After participants ate a standard dinner at 1900h providing 30% of the estimated daily energy requirements (29) as 30% fat, 55% carbohydrate, and 15% protein, questionnaires were completed. Lights (including electronic screens) were off between 2230h and 0600h the next morning. Sleeping energy expenditure was the mean expenditure between 0200-0500h when activity (measured by infrared sensors) was <1% per minute, extrapolated to 24-hours (20). Adaptive thermogenesis is the difference between measured sleeping energy expenditure and the adjusted value. Upon waking, participants emptied their bladder and laid awake and supine on the bed for a 30-minute measurement of resting metabolic rate. Physical activity was assessed as physical activity level calculated as free-living energy expenditure divided by resting metabolic rate as well as mean amplitude deviation by accelerometry (ActiGraph GT3X+, Pensacola, FL) (31). Participants wore the accelerometer on the non-dominant wrist during the seven-day doubly labeled water assessment. Simultaneously diet quality was assessed using a validated SmartIntake® smartphone application (33, 34). Eating
behavior constructs were assessed using validated questionnaires, as described (39). Fetal weight was estimated by 3D-ultrasound with measurements of head circumference, biparietal diameter, abdominal circumference, and femoral length and volume (40), obtained by the same sonographer.

**Estimate of Change in Energy Intake for Gestational Weight Gain**

To estimate the change in energy intake needed for a certain gestational weight gain, we used data from the cohort to generate a linear regression equation to estimate the energy intake from energy deposition, i.e. gestational weight gain; Change EI[kcal/d] = 1.178±0.108*GWG[g/week] - 225±46, R² = 0.70. In our cohort, we estimated change in energy intake as energy intake during pregnancy minus early pregnancy energy expenditure, assuming energy balance in early pregnancy, and gestational weight gain per week, as previously described (‘Design and Subjects’). Using the regression equation, gestational weight gain reported in previously published lifestyle intervention trials was used to compute the change in energy intake during pregnancy (6, 8-11, 21-25, 41-44).

**Statistics**

**Power Calculation:** Using our previous assessment of energy intake in a predominantly non-obese cohort (38), we conducted an a-priori sample size analysis which assumed: β≥0.80, α=0.05, a 700 kcal/d SD for energy intake and that the proportion of women with excess gestational weight gain would be 66% (1). Accordingly, a minimum of 51 subjects was required to detect a difference in energy intake of ±520 kcal/d. To achieve sufficient sample size considering miscarriages (n=3), pre-term deliveries (<37 weeks, n=3), and attrition (n=5), 72 women were enrolled.

**Statistical Analysis:** Differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate. With change from early pregnancy values as the
outcome, differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group and the initial observation (i.e. between 13-16 weeks gestation) used as a covariate. Additional covariates tested included maternal age, race, parity and fetal sex; but inclusion of these did not change the outcomes of the analysis. Final models are therefore presented without these additional covariates. Only if a main effect of the weight gain groups was significant, post-hoc comparisons were assessed. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Reported P-values in the manuscript refer to the pairwise post-hoc comparison with the group with recommended weight gain (REC), unless indicated otherwise. Analyses were carried out using SAS, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Finally, a sensitivity analysis was performed with the two women with the highest BMI (46.0 and 57.1 kg/m²) removed. While the difference in weight and BMI noted for the INA group in early pregnancy was no longer significant, the results of the primary outcomes remained unchanged.
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Table 1. Subject Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal Demographics</th>
<th>INA (n=10)</th>
<th>REC (n=8)</th>
<th>EXS (n=36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>29.2±1.3</td>
<td>25±1.7</td>
<td>27.7±0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity, n (0, 1, ≥2)</td>
<td>3, 3, 4</td>
<td>4, 2, 2</td>
<td>16, 14, 6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (African-American, White, Others)</td>
<td>6, 3, 1</td>
<td>4, 3, 1</td>
<td>12, 22, 2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (1, 2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>1, 4, 2, 3</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 0</td>
<td>6, 11, 10, 9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Income, Poverty-to-Income-Ratio</td>
<td>3.5±0.9</td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Anthropometrics, Screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Age, weeks</td>
<td>10.2±0.6</td>
<td>12.2±0.6</td>
<td>11.5±0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Height, m</td>
<td>1.65±0.03</td>
<td>1.68±0.02</td>
<td>1.62±0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight, kg</td>
<td>110.1±7.3</td>
<td>97.4±5.8</td>
<td>91.9±1.9</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-Mass-Index, kg/m²</td>
<td>40.6±2.5</td>
<td>34.6±1.6</td>
<td>34.8±0.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity Class, n (1, 2, 3)</td>
<td>3, 2, 5</td>
<td>5, 2, 1</td>
<td>17, 17, 2</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, n</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Hypertension, n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Weight Gain, kg</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>4.5±0.2</td>
<td>10.3±0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Age, Delivery, weeks</td>
<td>39.5±0.4</td>
<td>38.8±0.3</td>
<td>39.6±0.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Type, n (0, 1, 2)</td>
<td>2, 7, 1</td>
<td>3, 4, 1</td>
<td>9, 18, 9</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery Type, n (1, 2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>5, 0, 3, 2</td>
<td>5, 0, 0, 3</td>
<td>20, 0, 7, 9</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-elective Cesarean Section, n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Dystocia, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR 1 min, n (≤7, 8, 9)</td>
<td>0, 5, 4</td>
<td>1, 4, 3</td>
<td>1, 16, 17</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR 5 min, n (≤7, 8, 9)</td>
<td>0, 0, 9</td>
<td>1, 0, 7</td>
<td>0, 2, 32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Weight, g</td>
<td>3475±104</td>
<td>3541±190</td>
<td>3439±81</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth Length, cm</td>
<td>50.9±0.5</td>
<td>51.2±1.3</td>
<td>50.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Size, n (SGA, AGA, LGA)</td>
<td>0, 9, 1</td>
<td>0, 6, 2</td>
<td>1, 28, 7</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (INA, <170g/week), recommended (REC, ≥170 and <270 g/week), and excessive (EXS, ≥270g/week). Education is categorized into Highschool (1); 1-3yrs college (2); college degree (3); post-graduate work (4), Labor Types: no labor (0); spontaneous/augmented (1); induced (2), Delivery Types: spontaneous vaginal (1); operative vaginal (2); caesarean section with labor (3); caesarean section without labor (4), LGA, large for gestational age, SGA, small for gestational age. P presents the statistical significance of the group comparison, tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate (continuous variables) or using Chi-square tests (categorical variables). P≤0.05 is considered statistically significant; shared letters indicate no significant differences between groups in posthoc comparison.
Table 2. Energy Intake, Energy Expenditure and Energy Deposition in Pregnant Women with Obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INA (n=10)</th>
<th>REC (n=8)</th>
<th>EXS (n=36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Intake, kcal/d</td>
<td>2581±132a</td>
<td>2698±99ab</td>
<td>2908±64b</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEE, Early Pregnancy, kcal/d</td>
<td>2719±142</td>
<td>2664±119</td>
<td>2563±53</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEE, Late Pregnancy, kcal/d</td>
<td>2966±156</td>
<td>2984±121</td>
<td>2882±54</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Pregnancy TDEE, kcal/d</td>
<td>2842±137</td>
<td>2824±105</td>
<td>2722±48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Deposition, kcal/d</td>
<td>-262±32a</td>
<td>-125±52a</td>
<td>186±29b</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as Mean±SEM. According to 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (INA, 170g/week), recommended (REC, ≥170 and <270 g/week), and excessive (EXS, ≥270g/week). Energy intake is calculated as Mean Pregnancy TDEE + Energy Deposition, in which Energy Expenditure is calculated as mean TDEE in early (14.9±0.1 weeks) and late pregnancy (35.9±0.1 weeks), and Energy Deposition is calculated based on the changes in fat mass (9500 kcal/kg) and fat-free mass (771 kcal/kg). TDEE, Total daily energy expenditure. P presents the statistical significance of the group comparison, tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate. P≤0.05 is considered statistically significant; shared letters indicate no significant differences between groups in post-hoc comparison.
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Figure 2. Rate and Composition of Weight Gain

Panel A. Gestational weight gain is shown as grams per week for each individual participant. According to 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (in grey, INA, <170 g/week, n=10), recommended (in white, REC, ≥170 and <270 g/week, n=8), and excessive (in black, EXS, ≥270 g/week, n=36). The highlighted box shows the weight gain recommendations.

Panel B. Data shown represent change from early (14.9±0.1 weeks) to late pregnancy (35.9±0.1 weeks) and are presented for each individual and as mean±SEM for fat free mass, fetal size and fat mass, as observed in kg. Differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate.
Figure 3. Energy Intake, Energy Expenditure and Energy Balance

Panel A. Energy intake (energy intake-balance method) and energy expenditure (doubly labeled water method) are presented for each individual and as mean±SEM for three groups of women classified according gestational weight gain as inadequate (in grey, INA, <170 g/week, n=10), recommended (in white, REC, ≥170 and <270 g/week, n=8), and excessive (in black, EXS, ≥270 g/week, n=36) according to 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines.

Panel B. Individual and mean±SEM energy balance are presented as the difference in intake and expenditure for the three categories of gestational weight gain. Differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate.
**Figure 4. Change in Energy Intake Estimates for Gestational Weight Gain**

Association between gestational weight gain and change in energy intake (Change EI), calculated as calculated energy intake during pregnancy minus measured baseline total daily energy expenditure for each individual in the present study (Panel A, n=54), and for intervention groups in lifestyle intervention studies for pregnant women with obesity (Panel B, by first author). The association is defined by measured data in this study and defined as Change EI[kcal/d] = 1.178±0.108*GWG[g/week] -225±46, R²=0.70, in which Change EI is change in energy intake relative to early pregnancy total daily energy expenditure and GWG is gestational weight gain during the second and third trimester. The regression line is presented with 95% confidence intervals. REC, recommended gestational weight gain (170-270 grams per week).