In women with obesity, excess gestational weight gain (≥270 g/week) occurs in 2 out of 3 pregnancies and contributes to metabolic impairments in both mother and baby. To improve obstetrical care, objectively assessed information on energy balance is urgently needed. The objective of this study was to characterize determinants of gestational weight gain in women with obesity.

This was a prospective, observational study of pregnant women with obesity. The primary outcome was energy intake calculated by the energy intake-balance method. Energy expenditure was measured by doubly labeled water and whole-room indirect calorimetry and body composition as a 3-compartment model by air displacement plethysmography and isotope dilution in early (13–16 weeks) and late (35–37 weeks) pregnancy.

In pregnant women with obesity (n = 54), recommended weight gain (n = 8, 15%) during the second and third trimesters was achieved when energy intake was 125 ± 52 kcal/d less than energy expenditure. In contrast, women with excess weight gain (67%) consumed 186 ± 29 kcal/d more than they expended (P < 0.001). Energy balance affected maternal adiposity (recommended: −2.5 ± 0.8 kg fat mass; excess: +2.2 ± 0.5; inadequate: −4.5 ± [...]
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BACKGROUND. In women with obesity, excess gestational weight gain (≥270 g/week) occurs in 2 out of 3 pregnancies and contributes to metabolic impairments in both mother and baby. To improve obstetrical care, objectively assessed information on energy balance is urgently needed. The objective of this study was to characterize determinants of gestational weight gain in women with obesity.

METHODS. This was a prospective, observational study of pregnant women with obesity. The primary outcome was energy intake calculated by the energy intake-balance method. Energy expenditure was measured by doubly labeled water and whole-room indirect calorimetry and body composition as a 3-compartment model by air displacement plethysmography and isotope dilution in early (13–16 weeks) and late (35–37 weeks) pregnancy.

RESULTS. In pregnant women with obesity (n = 54), recommended weight gain (n = 8, 15%) during the second and third trimesters was achieved when energy intake was 125 ± 52 kcal/d less than energy expenditure. In contrast, women with excess weight gain (67%) consumed 186 ± 29 kcal/d more than they expended (P < 0.001). Energy balance affected maternal adiposity (recommended: –2.5 ± 0.8 kg fat mass; excess: +2.2 ± 0.5; inadequate: –4.5 ± 0.5; P < 0.001) but not fetal growth. Weight gain was not related to demographics, activity, metabolic biomarkers, or diet quality. We estimated that energy intake requirements for recommended weight gain during the second and third trimesters were not increased as compared with energy requirements early in pregnancy (34 ± 53 kcal/d, P = 0.83).

CONCLUSION. We here provide what we believe are the first evidence-based recommendations for energy intake in pregnant women with obesity. Contrary to current recommendations, energy intake should not exceed energy expenditure.
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Results

Of the 72 women enrolled, 60 completed the late pregnancy assessment and 54 had complete data to analyze the primary outcome, energy intake (Figure 1). Women who did not have complete data were more likely to be African American, were heavier (111.2 kg vs. 96.1 kg, 41.0 kg/m² vs. 35.8 kg/m²), and had higher HbA1c values (5.6% vs. 5.4%) as compared with those included in this study. Throughout the second and third trimesters, the mean rate of weight gain of the study population was $369 \pm 29$ grams per week (range –50 to +796 g/week), resulting in $7.8 \pm 0.6$ kg total weight gain.

Applying the 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines for gestational weight gain in women with obesity, 8 women (15%) gained...
weight as recommended (REC), 36 women (67%) gained excess weight (EXS), and 10 (19%) had inadequate (INA) weight gain (Figure 2A). The groups did not differ by demographic characteristics (Table 1), but the INA group had more severe obesity ($P = 0.002$, Table 1) and was more insulin resistant compared with the EXS group ($P = 0.008$, Supplemental Table 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI130341DS1). In a sensitivity analysis, we excluded the 2 most obese patients (BMI: 57.1, 46.0, both INA group). The differences in obesity and insulin resistance early in pregnancy disappeared, whereas primary outcomes were unchanged. Pregnancy outcomes, delivery outcomes, and infant size at birth were not different among the weight gain groups (Table 1).

**Recommended weight gain.** At a rate of weight gain of $218 \pm 90$ g/week, women with recommended weight gain increased body energy intake and energy expenditure throughout the second and third trimesters were not significantly different from the REC group ($P = 0.16$ and $P = 0.48$, respectively, Table 2 and Figure 2A). However, energy balance was positive ($186 \pm 29$ kcal/d) and significantly different from the REC group ($P < 0.0001$, Figure 2B).

**Excess weight gain.** The EXS group gained 10.3 ± 0.6 kg body weight during the observation period ($P < 0.0001$). Compared with the REC group, the difference in total weight gain was not due to the accumulation of fat-free mass, including fetal growth, but to gains in fat mass ($2.2 \pm 0.5$ kg, $P < 0.001$, Figure 2B). For the EXS group, the energy intake and expenditure were comparable with the REC and EXS groups, the INA group lost fat mass ($-2.5 \pm 0.8$ kg).

In Table 2, results of the primary outcomes — energy intake, energy expenditure and energy deposition — are summarized. Energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters was calculated as the sum of energy expenditure across pregnancy (calculated as mean of total daily energy expenditure early and late in pregnancy), and energy deposition, i.e. changes in fat mass and fat-free mass. Therefore, energy intake is the mean energy intake per day between 14.9 ± 0.1 weeks and 35.9 ± 0.1 weeks of pregnancy. In the REC group, daily energy intake was $2698 \pm 99$ kcal/d and energy expenditure was $2824 \pm 105$ kcal/d (Table 2 and Figure 2A). Therefore, women with recommended weight gain maintained a negative energy balance, i.e. intake minus expenditure, of $-125 \pm 52$ kcal/d during pregnancy (Figure 2B).

According to the 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (INA, $<170$ g/week), recommended (REC, $\geq170$ and $<270$ g/week), and excessive (EXS, $\geq270$ g/week). Education is categorized into high school (1); 1–3 years of college (2); college degree (3); postgraduate work (4). Labor types are no labor (0); spontaneous/augmented (1); induced (2). Delivery types are spontaneous vaginal (1); operative vaginal (2); caesarean section with labor (3); caesarean section without labor (4). LGA, large for gestational age, SGA, small for gestational age. $P \leq 0.05$ is considered statistically significant. $P$ values in bold are significant. $A^*B^*$Shared letters indicate no significant differences between groups in post hoc comparison.

**Table 1. Subject characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal demographics</th>
<th>INA ($n = 10$)</th>
<th>REC ($n = 8$)</th>
<th>EXS ($n = 36$)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>29.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>25 ± 1.7</td>
<td>27.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity, $n$ (0, 1, 2)</td>
<td>3, 3.4</td>
<td>4, 2.2</td>
<td>16, 14.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, $n$ (African American, White, Others)</td>
<td>6, 3.1</td>
<td>4, 3.1</td>
<td>12, 22.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, $n$ (1, 2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>1, 4.2, 3</td>
<td>1.3, 4.0</td>
<td>6, 11.10, 9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income, poverty-to-income ratio</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal anthropometrics, screening</th>
<th>Gestational age, weeks</th>
<th>Body height, m</th>
<th>Body weight, kg</th>
<th>Body mass index, kg/m²</th>
<th>Obesity class, $n$ (1, 2, 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INA ($n = 10$)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.03</td>
<td>110.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>40.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>3, 2, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC ($n = 8$)</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.02</td>
<td>97.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td>34.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5, 2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXS ($n = 36$)</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.01</td>
<td>91.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>34.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>20, 0, 7, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Delivery outcomes | Gestational weight gain, kg | Gestational age, delivery, weeks | Anemia, $n$ | Labor type, $n$ (0, 1, 2) | Delivery type, $n$ (1, 2, 3, 4) | Nonelective cesarean section, $n$ | Shoulder dystocia, $n$ | APGAR 1 min, $n$ (≤7, 8, 9) | APGAR 5 min, $n$ (≤7, 8, 9) | Infant outcomes | Birth weight, g | Birth length, cm | Infant size, $n$ (SGA, AGA, LGA) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| INA ($n = 10$)   | 1.5 ± 0.5                   | 39.5 ± 0.4                      | 0            | 2.7, 1                 | 5, 0, 3                         | 5                             | 0                 | 0.5, 4               | 0.0, 9             | Birth weight, g | 3475 ± 104       | 50.9 ± 0.5           | 0, 9, 1               |
| REC ($n = 8$)    | 4.5 ± 0.2                   | 38.8 ± 0.3                      | 0            | 3, 4, 1                | 5, 0, 3                         | 3                             | 0                 | 1, 4, 3              | 1, 0, 7            | Birth length, cm | 50.9 ± 1.3        | 51.2 ± 1.3           | 0, 6, 2               |
| EXS ($n = 36$)   | 10.3 ± 0.6                  | 39.6 ± 0.2                      | 2            | 9, 18, 9              | 20, 0, 7, 9                     | 16                            | 0                 | 1, 16, 17           | 0, 2, 32           | Infant size, $n$ (SGA, AGA, LGA) | 50.8 ± 0.4 | 1, 2, 7            | 0, 1, 2, 3           |
| **P**            | <0.001                      | 0.14                            | 0.68         | 0.58                  | 0.87                            | 1.00                          | 0.40              | 0.17                 | 0.87               |}

According to the 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (INA, $<170$ g/week), recommended (REC, $\geq170$ and $<270$ g/week), and excessive (EXS, $\geq270$ g/week). Education is categorized into high school (1); 1–3 years of college (2); college degree (3); postgraduate work (4). Labor types are no labor (0); spontaneous/augmented (1); induced (2). Delivery types are spontaneous vaginal (1); operative vaginal (2); caesarean section with labor (3); caesarean section without labor (4). LGA, large for gestational age, SGA, small for gestational age. $P \leq 0.05$ is considered statistically significant. $P$ values in bold are significant. $A^*B^*$Shared letters indicate no significant differences between groups in post hoc comparison.
Using an observed gestational weight gain (GWG) as an independent variable, the change in energy intake (Change EI) can be estimated as: Change EI [kcal/d] = 1.178 ± 0.108*GWG [g/week] –225 ± 46; R² = 0.70.

The Change EI is relative to energy intake and energy expenditure in early pregnancy and GWG is rate of gestational weight gain during second and third trimesters (Figure 4A). To achieve the recommended rate of weight gain suggested by the Institute of Medicine for women with obesity (170–270 g/week), the change in energy intake during the second and third trimesters would need to be between –25 ± 46 kcal/d to +93 ± 46 kcal/d. In Figure 4B, we estimated changes in energy intake for published weight gain data in lifestyle intervention studies. Our model of estimated energy intake showed that patients in the intervention groups consumed 196 ± 20 kcal/d more than early pregnancy energy requirements (range +78 to +310 kcal/d). Control groups in these respective studies consumed higher energy intakes (334 ± 32 kcal/d) during the same time period (data not shown).

**Table 2. Energy intake, energy expenditure and energy deposition in pregnant women with obesity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INA (n = 10)</th>
<th>REC (n = 8)</th>
<th>EXS (n = 36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake, kcal/d</td>
<td>2581 ± 132A</td>
<td>2698 ± 99B</td>
<td>2908 ± 64A</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEE, early pregnancy, kcal/d</td>
<td>2719 ± 142</td>
<td>2664 ± 119</td>
<td>2563 ± 53</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEE, late pregnancy, kcal/d</td>
<td>2966 ± 156</td>
<td>2984 ± 121</td>
<td>2882 ± 54</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pregnancy TDEE, kcal/d</td>
<td>2842 ± 137</td>
<td>2824 ± 105</td>
<td>2722 ± 48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy deposition, kcal/d</td>
<td>–262 ± 32A</td>
<td>–125 ± 52A</td>
<td>186 ± 29B</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. According to 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines, weight gain was classified as inadequate (INA, <170 g/week), recommended (REC, ≥170 g/week and <270 g/week), and excessive (EXS, ≥270 g/week). Energy intake is calculated as mean pregnancy TDEE + energy deposition, in which energy expenditure is calculated as mean TDEE in early (14.9 ± 0.1 weeks) and late pregnancy (35.9 ± 0.1 weeks) and are presented for each individual and as difference between measured energy expenditure and fat-free mass, fetal size, and fat mass, as observed in kilograms. Differences among weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate.

**Discussion**

We believe that this is the first study to provide evidence-based recommendations for energy intake in pregnant women with obesity that are in contrast to current recommendations by the Institute of Medicine. To deliver such recommendations, we describe phenotypic, behavioral, and metabolic characteristics and determinants of gestational weight gain exclusively in women with obesity using a comprehensive battery of objective measures per-
First, the recommended rate of weight gain in obese pregnancies was accomplished when the daily energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters did not exceed energy expenditure. Our data suggest that pregnant women with obesity should not consume additional energy when pregnant. The energy requirement for fetal development was compensated by mobilization of maternal fat mass with no adverse effects in maternal or fetal outcomes observed. This observation challenges current advice by the Institute of Medicine and American College of Obstetrician and Gynecologists for women with obesity, which is to consume an additional 200–300 kcal/d during the second and third trimesters for optimal weight gain (1, 12). Consuming surplus energy throughout the second and third trimesters, even in these small amounts (~200 kcal/d) produced excess gestational weight gain. Dietary needs should be estimated on a per patient basis using an energy requirement model specific for women with obesity early in pregnancy, or clinicians should simply advise patients to not increase dietary energy intake (20).

Third, no differences in physical activity, metabolic biomarkers, dietary intake, and eating behavior constructs were observed among the Institute of Medicine weight gain groups. Our study was not powered to detect differences in these factors and could therefore be prone to type 1 error. Nevertheless, this suggests that the impact of a lifestyle modification intervention in pregnancy depends on its ability to modify energy balance. By our calculations, such trials aimed to achieve a reduction in energy intake of 7%–37% in the second and third trimesters (21–25). Importantly, the achieved differences in energy intake between the intervention and control groups, as estimated by the regression equation, were only small. Estimates of energy intake based on observed weight gain from published lifestyle intervention studies showed that interventions reduced energy intake by ~140 kcal/d (~5%) in comparison with the control groups. Recommended weight gain was only achieved by 2 studies and, of note, our model estimates that women in these interventions increased energy intake during pregnancy by less than 100 kcal/d (23, 24).

Past trials prescribing energy intake targets achieved the largest effect sizes (mean difference between intervention and control group) for modifying weight gain for women with obesity (21–25). Of the studies that examined modifying energy intake, most (21–24) but not all (25) showed that dietary modification reduced one or more adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, the studies were powered to detect intervention effects on weight gain; hence, the
sample sizes were often too small to demonstrate intervention efficacy for improvements in other pregnancy outcomes. Conversely, those trials powered for pregnancy outcomes did not target energy intake or energy balance per se, and thereby achieved only modest reductions in energy intake and weight gain, and failed to modify adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes (6, 8–11). Appropriately powered studies designed to improve weight gain and pregnancy outcomes through evidence-based energy intake targets remain to be undertaken for women with obesity.

Finally, our study suggests that lifestyle interventions designed to achieve weight maintenance throughout pregnancy (<2.5 kg) require a 9% energy deficit. This finding is relevant to translate new recommendations from epidemiological studies that suggest that weight maintenance may be required to improve outcomes for pregnant women with obesity (26, 27). Advocating weight maintenance for pregnant women with obesity is however too premature until long-term effects on offspring development have been determined. This study excels for its rigorous, objective methods. However, the approaches used in the study are limited to small, extensively phenotyped cohorts. Thus, our study is unique in its ability to explain how the current recommendations for weight gain can be achieved, and to demonstrate that excess gestational weight gain in women with obesity is due to differences in energy balance, which was not associated with differences or changes in metabolic determinants. The sample size is, however, too small to exclude the possibility of false-negative findings related to pregnancy, delivery, and fetal outcomes, or effect-modification by covariates, including demographic, behavioral, or metabolic factors. The next step is to evaluate the implementation of these new energy intake targets in appropriately powered studies and to understand the effects of maternal energy restriction on outcomes for women with obesity and their babies. Strategies to induce an energy gap may include attenuating the observed declines in physical activity and diet quality (28).

Using objective measures of energy balance, this study challenges current practice and argues that women with obesity should not be advised to consume additional energy during pregnancy as currently recommended. Preserving physical activity and improving diet quality may offer additional strategies to achieve current gestational weight gain recommendations, but only if an energy deficit of ~100 kcal/d is achieved. More stringent recommendations for weight gain during obese pregnancy (<2.5 kg) would require deficits of approximately 10%.

Methods

Design and subjects
MomEE (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01954342) was a prospective, observational cohort study conducted between February 2015 and January 2017 at Pennington Biomedical Research Center in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Women were followed prospectively across pregnancy with outcome assessments measured in specialized clinic visits between 13–16 weeks and 35–37 weeks to encompass the second and third trimesters of gestation. Gestational weight gain per week was calculated-
ed, and using the 2009 Institute of Medicine criteria (1), women were classified as having recommended (REC, 170–270 g/week), excess (EXS, ≥270 g/week), or inadequate (INA, <170 g/week) weight gain. The primary outcome was daily energy intake throughout the second and third trimesters objectively assessed by the energy intake-balance method (29). Energy intake was calculated as the sum of mean energy expenditure across pregnancy (doubly labeled water) and energy deposition in fat and fat-free tissues (3-compartment model by plethysmography and isotope dilution) measured across the same observation period (29, 30). Secondary outcomes were changes in physical activity by accelerometer (31), energy expenditure during sleep by whole-body calorimetry (32), metabolic biomarkers, dietary intake by remote food photography (33, 34), and eating behavior constructs by validated questionnaires. Pregnancy, delivery, and infant outcomes were obtained from prenatal and delivery records.

Seventy-two pregnant women with obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² at ≤15 weeks of gestation) were enrolled. Participants were required to be 18–40 years old and pregnant with a singleton gestation confirmed by ultrasound (≥6 weeks gestation). To study women with obesity who were otherwise healthy, participants were excluded for smoking, alcohol intake, drug use (prescription or recreational), hypertension (>160/110 mmHg), diabetes (HbA1c ≥6.5%), severe anemia (Hb <8 g/dL and/or Hct <24%), and for factors with the possibility of abnormally affecting gestational weight gain or adherence to the study protocol (e.g., preeclampsia, bed rest prescription) (20). The primary care obstetric provider and medical investigator provided medical clearance for participation. Women did not receive specific advice on diet or activity but were informed about the Institute of Medicine guidelines on healthy gestational weight gain.

Primary outcomes

Full descriptions of the study procedures have been previously described (20, 30, 34–36). In brief, at each assessment, participants presented to the research center for 2 outpatient visits and an overnight stay in a metabolic chamber (32). Body weight was measured fasted in a gown (with gown weight subtracted), and rate of gestational weight gain was calculated as change in measured body weight from early to late pregnancy, expressed in grams per week. Body fat mass was calculated using body weight, body volume by plethysmography (BODPOD, COSMED) and body water (mean estimate of using zero-intercepts of 18O and 0.10 g of 99.9% enriched 2H2O per kg body mass is equivalent to 771 kcal (29, 38). Free-living energy expenditure (TDEE) was measured over 7 days by doubly labeled water (1.25 g of 10% enriched H218O and 0.10 g of 99.9% enriched H216O per kg body weight) (20). TDEE across the observation period was calculated as the mean of the TDEE measured in early and late pregnancy. The primary outcome energy intake was calculated as sum of energy deposition and the mean energy expenditure across pregnancy.

Secondary outcomes

Energy metabolism was measured in the metabolic chamber. After participants ate a standard dinner at 1900 hours providing 30% of the estimated daily energy requirements (29) as 30% fat, 55% carbohydrate, and 15% protein, questionnaires were completed. Lights (including electronic screens) were off between 2230 hours and 0600 hours. Sleeping energy expenditure was the mean expenditure between 0200 hours and 0500 hours when activity (measured by infrared sensors) was <1% per minute, extrapolated to 24 hours (20). Adaptive thermogenesis is the difference between measured sleeping energy expenditure and the adjusted value. Upon waking, participants emptied their bladders and laid awake and supine on the bed for a 30-minute measurement of resting metabolic rate. Physical activity was assessed as physical activity level calculated as TDEE divided by resting metabolic rate as well as mean amplitude deviation using a validated SmartIntake smartphone application (33, 34). Eating behavior constructs were assessed using validated questionnaires as described (39). Fetal weight was estimated by 3D ultrasound with measurements of head circumference, biparietal diameter, abdominal circumference, and femoral length and volume (40), obtained by the same sonographer.

Estimate of change in energy intake for gestational weight gain

To estimate the change in energy intake needed for a certain gestational weight gain, we used data from the cohort to generate the following linear regression equation to estimate the energy intake from energy deposition (i.e., gestational weight gain): Change EI[kcal/d] = 1.178 ± 0.108*GWG [g/week] –225 ± 46; R² = 0.70. In our cohort, we estimated change in energy intake as energy intake during pregnancy minus early pregnancy energy expenditure, assuming energy balance in early pregnancy, and gestational weight gain per week, as previously described in “Design and subjects.” Using the regression equation, gestational weight gain reported in previously published lifestyle intervention trials was used to compute the change in energy intake during pregnancy (6, 8–11, 21–25, 41–44).

Statistics

Power calculation. Using our previous assessment of energy intake in a predominantly nonobese cohort (38), we conducted an a priori sample size analysis which assumed the following: β ≥ 0.80, α = 0.05, a 700 kcal/d SD for energy intake, and that the proportion of women with excess gestational weight gain would be 66% (1). Accordingly, a minimum of 51 subjects was required to detect a difference in energy intake of ±520 kcal/d. To achieve sufficient sample size considering miscarriages (n = 3), preterm deliveries (<37 weeks, n = 3), and attrition (n = 5), 72 women were enrolled.

Differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group used as a covariate. With change from early pregnancy values as the outcome, differences between weight gain groups were tested using linear mixed effect models with group and the initial observation (i.e., between 13–16 weeks gestation) used as a covariate. Additional covariates tested included maternal age, race, parity, and fetal sex, but inclusion of these did not change the outcomes of the analysis. Final models are therefore presented without these additional covariates. Only if a main effect of the weight gain groups was significant, post hoc comparisons were assessed. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Reported P values in the manuscript refer to the pairwise post hoc comparison with the group with recommended weight gain (REC), unless indicated otherwise. Analyses were carried out using SAS, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute). Finally, a
sensitivity analysis was performed with the 2 women with the highest BMI (46.0 and 57.1 kg/m²) removed. While the difference in weight and BMI noted for the INA group in early pregnancy was no longer significant, the results of the primary outcomes remained unchanged.
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