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Recent occurrences of filoviruses and the arenavirus Lassa virus (LASV) in overlapping endemic areas of Africa highlight the need for a prophylactic vaccine that would confer protection against all of these viruses that cause lethal hemorrhagic fever (HF). We developed a quadrivalent formulation of VesiculoVax that contains recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (rVSV) vectors expressing filovirus glycoproteins and that also contains a rVSV vector expressing the glycoprotein of a lineage IV strain of LASV. Cynomolgus macaques were vaccinated twice with the quadrivalent formulation, followed by challenge 28 days after the boost vaccination with each of the 3 corresponding filoviruses (Ebola, Sudan, Marburg) or a heterologous contemporary lineage II strain of LASV. Serum IgG and neutralizing antibody responses specific for all 4 glycoproteins were detected in all vaccinated animals. A modest and balanced cell-mediated immune response specific for the glycoproteins was also detected in most of the vaccinated macaques. Regardless of the level of total glycoprotein-specific immune response detected after vaccination, all immunized animals were protected from disease and death following lethal challenges. These findings indicate that vaccination with attenuated rVSV vectors each expressing a single HF virus glycoprotein may provide protection against those filoviruses and LASV most commonly responsible for outbreaks of severe HF in Africa.

Introduction

Viral hemorrhagic fevers are a group of infectious diseases with symptoms that are similar among humans (1). The causative viruses affect the vascular system and can cause a hemorrhagic fever (HF) characterized by edema, hypotension, shock, and multiorgan failure. HF viruses include members of the Arenaviridae, Nairoviridae, Habuviidae, Phenuiviridae, Filoviridae, and Flaviviridae families. Among these HF viruses, members of 2 genera of filoviruses, Ebola virus and Marburg virus, and the arenavirus Lassa virus (LASV), are among the deadliest emerging viruses affecting human health in Africa (2).

The 2013–2016 West African epidemic caused by Zaire ebolavirus (EBOV) was responsible for more than 28,000 cases and 11,000 deaths, primarily in Guinea, Sierra Leone, and Liberia (3). The current EBOV outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo, which began in August 2018, has caused 2108 cases and 1411 deaths to date and has spilled over into Uganda (4). LASV is constantly emerging in these and surrounding West African countries, with an estimate of more than 500,000 cases of Lassa fever and approximately 5000 deaths annually (5). A current LASV outbreak in Nigeria, which began in 2018, has caused 5821 suspected cases and 295 deaths in the 1187 confirmed cases (6).

In addition to causing morbidity and mortality as naturally acquired infections, filoviruses and LASV are also categorized as Category A priority pathogens by several US government agencies because of the concern for deliberate misuse and lack of effective medical countermeasures. Filoviruses and LASV were also recently listed on the World Health Organization’s (WHO) 2018 Blueprint list of priority pathogens (2). While there are no licensed filovirus or LASV vaccines for human use, several filovirus vaccines all directed against one specific filovirus, EBOV, were used in phase II/III clinical trials in Africa during the 2013–2016 EBOV epidemic (7, 8), and are being employed in the current EBOV outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo (9). However, there have been no phase II/III clinical trials of any vaccine for any other filovirus or LASV in endemic areas.

A number of vaccines expressing filovirus glycoproteins (GPs) have demonstrated protective efficacy in nonhuman primate (NHP) models, including recombinant human (rAd5,
Here, we describe the development of a new quadrivalent VesiculoVax vaccine consisting exclusively of highly attenuated rVSV vectors that express the glycoproteins of EBOV, SUDV, MARV, and LASV, respectively, and report the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of this vaccine formulation in NHPs challenged with all 4 viral pathogens.

Results

Quadrivalent vaccine design and study strategy. Our quadrivalent VesiculoVax vaccine is made of 3 live, attenuated, replication-competent rVSV vectors that express the glycoproteins of EBOV, SUDV, MARV, and LASV, respectively, and report the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of this vaccine formulation in NHPs challenged with all 4 viral pathogens.
formation for this construct. This approach was chosen because stable expression of both VSV G CT1 and LASV GPC during virus amplification could not be achieved (data not shown). Compared with their native nucleotide sequence the genes expressing the filovirus glycoproteins were minimally altered to introduce silent mutations for cloning purposes and to avoid sequence similarities with motifs important for VSV transcription. The LASV GPC nucleotide sequence was mammalian codon-optimized to ensure adequate GPC expression for viral growth and immunogenicity within the context of the rVSV N4AG backbone. All glycoprotein genes were inserted in position 1 of the viral genome, immediately adjacent to the 3′ transcription promoter, in order to maximize glycoprotein expression. Expression of LASV glycoprotein was demonstrated by Western blot analysis (Figure 1C). Filovirus glycoprotein expression was demonstrated in a previous report (18). rVSVN4CT1 expressing Δ context of the rVSV N4

Figure 2. Filovirus and LASV GP–specific IgG ELISA titers in macaques immunized with the quadrivalent vaccine. At study days 0 and 56, macaques were immunized i.m. with 4 × 10⁷ PFU of the quadrivalent vaccine (black circles) or rVSV N4CT1-HIVgag as control (gray squares). A total of 20 vaccinated animals and 12 control animals are represented, except as noted. At study days 0, 10, 28 (only from SUDV and MARV challenge monkeys), 56, and 66, sera were collected and tested for (A) LASV GP–, (B) EBOV GP–, (C) SUDV GP–, and (D) MARV GP–specific IgG responses by ELISA. Data represent the geometric mean of log-transformed LASV and filovirus GPC–specific IgG endpoint titers, with the 95% confidence interval. An unpaired 2-tailed t test was used to determine statistically significant differences (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.005, *** P < 0.0005) between quadrivalent and control groups as indicated. Mean values and standard deviations are depicted in red.

Immune response elicited by each vector was assessed by measuring serum GP–specific IgG binding antibody by ELISA (Figure 2) and filovirus or LASV GP–specific neutralization activity by a 50% fluorescence cell reduction neutralization (FRNT50) test (Figure 3).

For LASV GP–specific IgG, significant levels of IgG were detected after the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine immunization. The geometric endpoint titer on study day 66 (10 days after boost) was 3.5 log (Figure 2A). For EBOV GP– and SUDV GP–specific IgG, significant levels of IgG were already detected after a single dose of quadrivalent vaccine. The geometric endpoint titer at study day 10 was about 4 logs. The level of IgG was maintained well and was boosted an additional 2 log by the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine. The geometric endpoint titer on study day 66 (10 days after boost) was 6.3 log (Figure 2B and C). For MARV GP–specific IgG, low but significant levels of IgG were elicited by a single quadrivalent vaccination. The geometric endpoint titer for MARV GP–specific IgG was 3.3 log at study day 10 and boosted for 2 log by the second dose of the vaccine (Figure 2D). No significant filovirus and LASV GPC–specific IgG were observed in the control group animals.

Sera collected on study days 0, 10, 28, 56, and 66 were also tested for their neutralizing antibodies against LASV, EBOV, SUDV, and MARV using 4 rVSVAG chimeric viruses expressing LASV or filovirus GPs, and additionally eGFP as a reporter (Figure 3). A statistically significant level of LASV-specific neutralizing antibody was detected after a single dose of the quadrivalent vaccine, with a FRNT50 of 1.8 log. This level of neutralizing antibody declined but boosted with the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine by over 1 log. The mean FRNT50 titer on study day 66 (10 days after boost) was 2.9 log (Figure 3A). Higher responses were observed with EBOV– and SUDV-specific neutralizing antibody after a single dose of vaccine and boosted over 1.5 to 2.0 log

Immunogenicity of quadrivalent vaccine in macaques. Blood samples were drawn immediately before dosing from all 32 macaques on the day of prime vaccination, at days 10 and 28 after prime vaccination, immediately before dosing on the day of boost vaccination, and at day 10 after boost vaccination to assess cellular and/or humoral immune responses elicited against each GP protein. The humoral immune response elicited by each vector was assessed by measuring serum GP–specific IgG binding antibody by ELISA (Figure 2) and filovirus or LASV GP–specific neutralization activity by a 50% fluorescence cell reduction neutralization (FRNT50) test (Figure 3).
by the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine. The mean FRNT50 titers for EBOV and SUDV on study day 66 (10 days after boost) were 4.8 and 3.8 log, respectively (Figure 3, B and C). The MARV-specific neutralizing response was similar to that of LASV, with the titer being 0.5 log lower at day 10 after prime vaccine dose (Figure 3D). Neither LASV- nor filovirus-specific neutralizing antibodies were observed in control group animals.

PBMCs collected on study days 0, 10, 56, and 66 were tested for their IFNG responses against filovirus and LASV GPs. Figure 4 provides a graphic summary of the mean LASV GP–, EBOV GP–, SUDV GP–, and MARV GP–specific IFNG responses from both the quadrivalent vaccine and control groups over all time points. On study day 0, there were no detectable IFNG ELISPOT responses to any GP peptides. At days 10 and 56 after a single dose of the quadrivalent vaccine, low but detectable IFNG ELISPOT responses were observed in less than 25% animals. All 4 virus GP–specific IFNG ELISPOT responses were markedly enhanced by quadrivalent vaccine boosting. At study day 66 (10 days after the second dose), the quadrivalent group mean responses were enhanced from 10–20 SFC/million PBMCs (at study day 10) to over 90 SFC/million by the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine. The mean FRNT50 titers for EBOV and SUDV on study day 66 (10 days after boost) were 4.8 and 3.8 log, respectively (Figure 3, B and C). The MARV-specific neutralizing response was similar to that of LASV, with the titer being 0.5 log lower at day 10 after prime vaccine dose (Figure 3D). Neither LASV- nor filovirus-specific neutralizing antibodies were observed in control group animals.

PBMCs collected on study days 0, 10, 56, and 66 were tested for their IFNG responses against filovirus and LASV GPs. Figure 4 provides a graphic summary of the mean LASV GP–, EBOV GP–, SUDV GP–, and MARV GP–specific IFNG responses from both the quadrivalent vaccine and control groups over all time points. On study day 0, there were no detectable IFNG ELISPOT responses to any GP peptides. At days 10 and 56 after a single dose of the quadrivalent vaccine, low but detectable IFNG ELISPOT responses were observed in less than 25% animals. All 4 virus GP–specific IFNG ELISPOT responses were markedly enhanced by quadrivalent vaccine boosting. At study day 66 (10 days after the second dose), the quadrivalent group mean responses were enhanced from 10–20 SFC/million PBMCs (at study day 10) to over 90 SFC/million by the second dose of quadrivalent vaccine. The mean FRNT50 titers for EBOV and SUDV on study day 66 (10 days after boost) were 4.8 and 3.8 log, respectively (Figure 3, B and C). The MARV-specific neutralizing response was similar to that of LASV, with the titer being 0.5 log lower at day 10 after prime vaccine dose (Figure 3D). Neither LASV- nor filovirus-specific neutralizing antibodies were observed in control group animals.
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Mild and transient changes in clinical pathology were noted in a number of animals vaccinated with the quadrivalent vaccine for all 4 challenge groups, and these mostly included changes associated with activation of the innate immune response such as leukocytosis, granulocytosis, and elevated levels of CRP (Tables 1–4). A few of the animals that received the quadrivalent vaccine and were challenged with filoviruses showed small increases in liver-associated enzymes during days 6–14 after filovirus exposure. All 20 macaques that received the quadrivalent vaccine were completely healthy at the study endpoint 28 days after challenge and any animal that had altered clinical pathology values had returned to baseline levels.

Filovirus and LASV RNA and infectious virus. The levels of post-challenge filovirus and LASV infectious virus present in plasma, and genomic RNA present in blood from macaques, were assessed by plaque assay (Figure 6, A–D) and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) (Figure 6, E–H), respectively. There was no detectable infectious virus present in plasma from 19 of the 20 macaques receiving the quadrivalent vaccine at any time after challenge, contrasting with peak levels of infectious virus (>5–8 log_{10} PFU/mL) detected in plasma from sham-vaccinated macaques between days 6–14 after filovirus exposure. All 20 macaques that received the quadrivalent vaccine were completely healthy at the study endpoint 28 days after challenge and any animal that had altered clinical pathology values had returned to baseline levels.

Protective efficacy of the quadrivalent vaccine in macaques. At day 28 after the boost vaccination (day 84 after prime vaccination), 4 groups of 8 macaques, each group containing 5 vaccinees and 3 controls, were challenged i.m. with 1000 PFU of low-passage-number LASV (strain 0043/LV/14), EBOV (strain Kikwit), SUDV (strain Gulu), or MARV (strain Angola). By days 6–9 after the challenge, control macaques in all 3 filovirus groups had succumbed with disease consistent with filovirus HF reported in previous studies, while all 3 of the LASV-infected controls succumbed between days 13–15 after virus challenge (Figure 5). All 3 LASV-infected animals also displayed clinical signs consistent with those shown in our previous work (21, 24, 25), and in contrast to filovirus-infected macaques, all of the LASV-infected animals showed neurologic disease as evidenced by intention tremors, ataxia, and/or seizures (Table 1). In contrast, all 20 macaques receiving the quadrivalent vaccine remained generally healthy and survived the lethal filovirus or LASV challenges (Figure 5 and Tables 1–4). In the animals immunized with the quadrivalent vaccine, low-grade transient fevers were noted in 1 animal challenged with EBOV at day 6, 1 animal challenged with MARV at day 6, and 1 animal challenged with SUDV at day 10. Mild and transient changes in clinical pathology were noted in a number of animals vaccinated with the quadrivalent vaccine for all 4 challenge groups, and these mostly included changes associated with activation of the innate immune response such as leukocytosis, granulocytosis, and elevated levels of CRP (Tables 1–4). A few of the animals that received the quadrivalent vaccine and were challenged with filoviruses showed small increases in liver-associated enzymes during days 6–14 after filovirus exposure. All 20 macaques that received the quadrivalent vaccine were completely healthy at the study endpoint 28 days after challenge and any animal that had altered clinical pathology values had returned to baseline levels.
Peak levels of LASV genomic RNA (~7–9 log10 genome equivalents/mL) were detected in blood at day 10 after challenge in 3 of the 5 vaccinated macaques, remaining detectable until day 21 after challenge in one of these macaques. In contrast, higher levels of LASV genomic RNA (~10–11 log10 genome equivalents/mL) were detected in the 3 sham-vaccinated controls peaking between days 10 and 15 after exposure (Figure 6E). Peak levels of EBOV genomic RNA (~6–7 log10 genome equivalents/mL) were detected in blood at day 6 after infection in 2 of the 5 vaccinated animals, remaining detectable until day 21 after challenge in 1 of these macaques, whereas much higher levels of EBOV genomic RNA (~9.5–10.5 log10 genome equivalents) were detected in the sham-vaccinated controls between days 6–8 after infection (Figure 6F). For SUDV there was no detectable genomic RNA in any of the 5 vaccinated macaques at any time point after virus infection (Figure 6F). For SUDV there was no detectable genomic RNA (~10–11 log10 genome equivalents/mL) were detected in the sham-vaccinated controls between days 6–8 after infection, and in all 3 MARV controls (~11.5–12.5 log10 genome equivalents/mL) (Figure 6, G and H).

**Gross pathology and histopathology.** All 3 control cynomologus macaques challenged with LASV had lesions that were consistent with LASV infection at necropsy (24, 25). Significant lesions present in all LASV-infected controls included necrotizing hepatitis, splenomegaly, and lymphadenomegaly. Some of the animals also had petechial rashes, pleural effusion, ascites, interstitial pneumonia, and adenomegaly. Significant lesions present in the 9 filovirus-infected controls had lesions consistent with filovirus infection at necropsy (26–28). Lesions in these filovirus-infected control macaques included necrotizing hepatitis, splenomegaly, and petechial rash. Some of the animals also had lymphadenomegaly, adenomegaly, adrenal hemorrhage, interstitial pneumonia, and mucosal reddening of the proximal duodenum. In contrast to control macaques, all 20 specifically vaccinated cynomologus macaques that survived to the study endpoint at day 28 after challenge failed to display lesions that were consistent with the respective challenge virus.

All control macaques infected with LASV or filoviruses displayed histopathologic lesions that were consistent with the respective viruses (24–28). These lesions included lymphoid medullary histiocytosis, multifocal to diffuse necrotizing hepatitis and sinusoidal leukocytosis, splenitis with lymphocytosis and fibrin deposition, nephritis, adenitis, and interstitial pneumonia with pulmonary edema. Immunohistochemistry for the detection of specific anti-filovirus or anti-LASV antigen identified positive immunolabeling within the following cells: mononuclear cells
within the lymph nodes (axillary and inguinal); mononuclear cells, sinusoidal lining cells, endothelium, and rarely hepatocytes in the liver (Figure 7); mononuclear cells and rarely endothelium within the spleen; mononuclear cells, endothelium, rarely tubular epithelium within the kidney; mononuclear cells, cortical cells, medullary cells, and endothelium in the adrenal gland; and mononuclear cells, endothelium, and rarely airway epithelium in the lung. All 20 specifically vaccinated macaques that survived to the study end at day 28 failed to display histologic lesions that were consistent with filovirus or LASV infection (Figure 7).

Discussion

There are presently no approved active or passive interventions for exposure to filoviruses or LASV resulting from natural outbreaks of these viruses and their potential use as biologic weapons. Effective prophylactic filovirus and LASV vaccines would find application in endemic areas, with medical personnel and close contacts during natural or deliberate outbreaks, and with laboratory workers engaged in research on these viruses. Due to the overlap in the endemic ranges of these viruses, the ideal prophylactic vaccine for use in Africa would confer protection against EBOV, SUDV, MARV, and LASV.

We previously demonstrated the protective efficacy of a highly attenuated rVSV N4CT1 trivalent VesiculoVax vaccine in cynomolgus monkeys against the filoviruses EBOV, SUDV, and MARV (18). However, additional protection from LASV disease by one vaccine presents an enormous challenge for several reasons. Not only is LASV genetically distinct from filoviruses because it is in the family Arenaviridae, but also, more importantly, there are 4 recognized lineages of LASV (29) and 2 newly proposed lineages (30, 31). These 4 to 6 LASV lineages are genetically distinct and there is significant concern that a vaccine that protects against one lineage may not necessarily protect against a different lineage. This further complicates the development of a multivalent vaccine that can confer protection against the major filoviruses and LASV. While we have shown that a monovalent rSVAg-based vaccine expressing the lineage IV Josiah strain LASV GPC can protect macaques against homologous challenge with isolates from the same lineage (21, 22), it is unknown if any LASV vaccine could protect NHPs against lethal challenge with an isolate from a heterologous lineage. A major advance shown in the current study is the finding that the new quadrivalent VesiculoVax vaccine based on a lineage IV LASV strain completely protected macaques against disease and death following high-dose challenge with a contemporary heterologous lineage II strain of LASV. These data strongly suggest that a single vaccine component may be able to provide protection against all major lineages of LASV. Future studies need to be conducted to test this vaccine against other lineages of LASV.

In this study, we demonstrated complete protection from lethal disease via 2 doses of the quadrivalent VesiculoVax vaccine. However, we have observed that antigen-specific immune responses, specifically antibody responses, were elicited with single dose vaccination, although at relatively low levels for LASV and MARV GPs. In previous studies, we showed that similar levels of immune responses after single dose trivalent filovirus vaccination protects macaques against lethal high-dose challenges of all 3 filoviruses (18), and a single dose of monovalent rSVAg-based LASV GPC vaccine can

---

Table 2. Clinical description and outcome of EBOV-challenged NHPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Clinical illness</th>
<th>Clinical pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>134074</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d6); granulocytosis (d6); CRP &gt; 8-fold ↑; CRP &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141345</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141962</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6)</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d6); granulocytosis (d3, d6); lymphopenia (d6); hypoalbuminemia (d10); AST &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d10); ALP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10); ALP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d14); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d10); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144089</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144095</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d6); lymphopenia (d6, d10); hypoalbuminemia (d10); AST &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140131</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Depression (d6–8); loss of appetite (d5–8); lethargy (d6–8); moderate rash (d5–8); animal euthanized in a.m. of d8</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d3, d6, d8); thrombocytopenia (d6, d8); lymphopenia (d6, d8); hypoalbuminemia (d8); AST &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); AST &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d8); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d8); BUN &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d8); CRE &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d8); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d3); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141338</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Depression (d8); loss of appetite (d5–8); lethargy (d8); mild rash (d5–8); animal euthanized in p.m. of d8</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia (d6, d8); hypoalbuminemia (d8); hyperamylasemia (d8); ALT &gt; 6-fold ↑ (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d8); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d8); BUN &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d6); BUN &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d8); CRE &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); CRE &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d8); GGT &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d8); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d3); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141346</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Depression (d7, d8); less of appetite (d6–8); lethargy (d7, d8); moderate rash (d6–8); animal euthanized in a.m. of d8</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d6, d8); thrombocytopenia (d6, d8); hyperamylasemia (d8); ALT &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); ALT &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d8); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8); BUN &gt; 6-fold ↑ (d8); BUN &gt; 9-fold ↑ (d8); CRE &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); CRE &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d8); GGT &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); GGT &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d8); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d3); CRP &gt; 9-fold ↑ (d6, d8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Days after EBOV challenge are in parentheses. See Table 1 notes.
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Table 3. Clinical description and outcome of SUDV-challenged NHPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Clinical illness</th>
<th>Clinical pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>151208</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>CRP &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1404934</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d10, d14, d21); thrombocytopenia (d10); lymphopenia (d10); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1406397</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408579</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408757</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>Fever (d10)</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d6); granulocytosis (d6, d10); ALT &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10); AST &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d10); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154106</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1- HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); depression (d7); loss of appetite (d5–9); lethargy (d8, d9); mild rash (d6, d7); animal euthanized in p.m. of d7</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d6, d7); granulocytosis (d3, d6, d7); thrombocytopenia (d6, d7); lymphopenia (d6, d7); hypoalbuminemia (d7); hypoamylasemia (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d7); AST &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d7); ALP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 7-fold ↑ (d7); BUN &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d7); CRE &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d7); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1312766</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1- HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); depression (d8, d9); loss of appetite (d5–8); lethargy (d8); mild rash (d9); animal euthanized in a.m. of d9</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d3, d5, d9); granulocytosis (d3, d6, d9); thrombocytopenia (d6, d9); lymphopenia (d6, d9); hypoalbuminemia (d9); hyperamylasemia (d9); AST &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d9); ALP &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d9); GGT &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d9); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d3); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1409713</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1- HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); Depression (d6, d7); loss of appetite (d5–7); lethargy (d6, d7); mild rash (d6); moderate rash (d7); animal euthanized in a.m. of d7</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d3); thrombocytopenia (d6, d7); hypoalbuminemia (d6, d7); hyperamylasemia (d7); ALT &gt; 7-fold ↑ (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d7); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d5, d7); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); BUN &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); BUN &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d7); CRE &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); CRE &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d7); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Days after SUDV challenge are in parentheses. See Table 1 notes.

protect macaques against homologous lineage challenge (21, 22). These data suggest that we may be able to reach similar protection with a single dose of the quadrivalent VisceralVox vaccine. While a single-dose vaccination strategy is clearly needed for rapid response to outbreaks in terms of a ring vaccination approach, the prime and boost regimen may be needed for long-term immunity. Further studies will be required to address these questions.

Our results show that a quadrivalent rVSV N4CT1-EBOV/SUDV/MARV/rVSV N4AG-LASV vaccine formulation protects NHPs from overt disease and death following high-dose challenge of any of the 3 filoviruses and LASV that are endemic in large overlapping regions of Africa. In addition to these preclinical results in the gold standard NHP models of viral HF, very promising preliminary safety data have been obtained from an ongoing phase I clinical study with an analogous monovalent rVSV N4CT1-EBOV vaccine (Profectus BioSciences; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02718469). These results support the testing of a quadravalent filovirus and LASV vaccine formulation in phase I clinical trials as the next step to identify and advance a vaccine that is safe and has broad-spectrum protective efficacy against this important group of viral HFs. Importantly, new filovirus vaccine targets, such as the Bundibugyo ebolavirus GP, could be incorporated into the attenuated rVSV backbone to combat new emerging filoviruses, if needed.

Methods

Study design. The objectives of this work were to develop a new quadrivalent VisceralVox formulation to protect against multiple species of filoviruses and heterologous lineages of LASV, and to assess the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of this new quadrivalent vaccine in cynomolgus monkeys. Studies were powered (n = 5 per vaccine group and n = 3 per control group) to detect large differences in protective efficacy. Animals were randomized into vaccine or control groups by Excel. The animal study was blinded to the research staff. All animal studies were approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and adhere to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Challenge viruses. Zaire ebolavirus (EBOV) isolate 199510621 (strain Kikwit) originated from a 65-year-old female patient who died on May 5, 1995. The study challenge material was from the second Vero E6 passage of EBOV isolate 199510621. Briefly, the first passage at UTMB consisted of inoculating CDC 807223 (passage 1 of EBOV isolate 199510621) at an MOI of 0.001 onto Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586). The cell culture fluids were subsequently harvested at day 10 after infection and stored at −80°C as approximately 1-mL aliquots. Deep sequencing indicated the EBOV was greater than 98% 7U (consecutive stretch of 7 uridines). No detectable mycoplasma or endotoxin levels were measured (<0.5 endotoxin units (EU)/mL).

Zaire ebolavirus (EBOV) isolate 199510621 (strain Kikwit) originated from a 65-year-old female patient who died on May 5, 1995. The study challenge material was from the second Vero E6 passage of EBOV isolate 199510621. Briefly, the first passage at UTMB consisted of inoculating CDC 807223 (passage 1 of EBOV isolate 199510621) at an MOI of 0.001 onto Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586). The cell culture fluids were subsequently harvested at day 10 after infection and stored at −80°C as approximately 1-mL aliquots. Deep sequencing indicated the EBOV was greater than 98% 7U (consecutive stretch of 7 uridines). No detectable mycoplasma or endotoxin levels were measured (<0.5 endotoxin units (EU)/mL). Sudan ebolavirus (SUDV) isolate 200011676 (strain Gulu) originated from a 35-year-old male patient who died on October 16, 2000. The study challenge material was from the second Vero E6 cell passage of SUDV isolate 200011676. Briefly, the first passage at UTMB consisted of inoculating CDC 808892 (CDC passage 1 of SUDV isolate 200011676) at an MOI of 0.001 onto Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586). The cell supernatants were subsequently harvested at day 7 after infection and stored at −80°C as approximately 1-mL aliquots. No detectable mycoplasma or endotoxin levels were measured (<0.5 endotoxin units (EU)/mL). Marburg marburgvirus (MARV) Angola isolate 200501379 originated from human serum from an 8-month-old female patient in Uige, Angola. The serum was collected day 17 after onset, 1 day before death. The study challenge material was from the second Vero E6 cell passage of MARV Angola...
isolate 200501379. Briefly, the first passage at UTMB consisted of inoculating CDC 810820 at an MOI of 0.001 onto Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586). The cell supernatants were subsequently harvested at day 6 after infection and stored at −80°C as approximately 1-mL aliquots. No detectable mycoplasma or endotoxin levels were measured (<0.5 EU/mL). A lineage II LASV isolate number 0043/LV/14 originated from human serum collected from a 30-year-old male patient in Edo State, Nigeria who died in January of 2014. The study challenge material was from the first Vero 76 cell passage of this serum. Briefly, the serum was inoculated at 1:100 dilution on Vero 76 cells (ATCC CRL-1587) at UTMB. The cell supernatants were harvested at day 7 after infection and stored at −80°C as approximately 1-mL aliquots. No detectable mycoplasma or endotoxin levels were measured (<0.5 EU/mL).

Nonhuman primate vaccination and challenge. Thirty-two healthy, filovirus- and LASV-naive, adult (~3 to 9.5 kg) cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis; PreLabs) were randomized into 4 groups of 5 experimental animals and 3 control animals each. The experimental animals were vaccinated by intramuscular (i.m.) injection of 4 × 10⁷ PFU of the quadrivalent VesiculoVax vaccine, and the control animals were vaccinated by i.m. injection of 4 × 10⁷ PFU of an irrelevant rVSV N4CT1-HIVgag1 strain. A boost vaccine was given 56 days after the prime vaccine. All of the macaques were challenged 4 weeks after the boost vaccination by i.m. injection with a target dose of 1000 PFU of EBOV strain Kikwit for group 1, 1000 PFU of SUDV strain Gulu for group 2, 1000 PFU of MARV strain Angola for group 3, and 1000 PFU of LASV lineage II strain Nigeria for group 4. All animals were given physical examinations, and blood was collected before prime vaccination, at day 10 after prime vaccination, at the time of boost vaccination, at day 10 after boost vaccination, at the time of virus challenge, and on days 3, 6, 10, 14, 21, and 28 after virus challenge. The macaques were monitored daily and scored for disease progression with an internal filovirus or LASV humane endpoint scoring sheet approved by the UTMB IACUC. UTMB facilities used in this work are accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International and adhere to principles specified in the eighth edition of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, National Research Council. The scoring changes measured from baseline included posture and activity level, attitude and behavior, food intake, respiration, and disease manifestations, such as visible rash, hemorrhage, ecchymosis, or flushed skin. In addition, central nervous system abnormalities were scored in NHPs challenged with LASV. A score of 9 or more for filoviruses and 10 or higher for LASV indicated that an animal met the criteria for euthanasia.

NHP filovirus and LASV anti-GP IgG ELISA. Immulon 2HB flat-bottom ELISA plates (Thermo Labsystem) were coated for 18 hours at 4°C with 30 ng/well recombinant filovirus glycoprotein (minus the transmembrane region: EBOV rGPΔTM, catalog 0501-015; SUDV rGPΔTM, catalog 0502-015; and MARV rGpTM, catalog 0506-015; Integrated Biotherapeutics) or 100 ng/well of gamma-irradiated Lassa virus (Josiah Strain NR-31822, BEI Resources) diluted in 0.1 mL DPBS. The plates were washed 3 times with 300 μL of 1× PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. Monkey serum samples, diluted with 1× PBS containing 5% nonfat dry milk and 0.1% Tween-20, were added to the ELISA plates at a starting dilution of 1:100, and further diluted 3-fold across the plates. Plates were kept at 4°C overnight and washed afterwards 3 times with 300 μL of 1× PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20, followed by 1 hour at 37°C with 100 μL/well of streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-NHP IgG antibody (Fitzgerald, catalog 43R-IG020HRP) diluted 1:10,000 with 1× PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% nonfat dry milk. The plates were then washed 5 times with 300 μL of 1× PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 and developed by the addition of

Table 4. Clinical description and outcome of MARV-challenged NHPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Clinical illness</th>
<th>Clinical pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>141696</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>Loss of appetite (d8)</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d3); granulocytosis (d3, d6, d10, d14, d21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151211</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); loss of appetite (d8–10)</td>
<td>AST &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10); ALP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d14); CRP &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d10); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1407107</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Lymphopenia (d6); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1401860</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Granulocytosis (d6); ALT &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d14, d28); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1407735</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Quadrivalent</td>
<td>Survivor</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>CRP &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1404442</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); depression (d8); loss of appetite (d8); lethargy (d8); mild rash (d8); animal euthanized in a.m. of d8</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d8); thrombocytopenia (d5); lymphopenia (d6); hypoglycemia (d8); hypoamylasemia (d6, d8); ALT &gt; 7-fold ↑ (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d8); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8); ALP &gt; 6-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d8); BUN &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); CRE &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d8); GGT &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d6); GGT &gt; 8-fold ↑ (d8); CRP &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1407435</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Fever (d6); depression (d8); loss of appetite (d6–8); lethargy (d8); mild rash (d6–8); animal euthanized in p.m. of d8</td>
<td>Leukocytosis (d8); granulocytosis (d6); thrombocytopenia (d6); hypoglycemia (d8); hypoalbuminemia (d8); hypoamylasemia (d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6, d8); ALT &gt; 4-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d8); GGT &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 9-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1409747</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rVSV N4CT1-HIVgag1 (control)</td>
<td>Nonsurvivor</td>
<td>Depression (d8); loss of appetite (d8); lethargy (d8); mild rash (d6–8); animal expired in a.m. of d8</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia (d6); lymphopenia (d3, d6); ALT &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6); AST &gt; 10-fold ↑ (d6); ALP &gt; 2-fold ↑ (d6); GGT &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d6); CRP &gt; 3-fold ↑ (d3); CRP &gt; 5-fold ↑ (d6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Days after MARV challenge are in parentheses. See Table 1 notes.
ELISA endpoint titer, defined as the reciprocal of the highest serum sample dilution resulting in a background absorbance subtracted OD greater than 0.1 using a 4-parameter logistic equation. The background OD was calculated using the average OD values of blank wells.

Serum antibody neutralization assay. Duplicate 3-fold serial dilutions of heat-inactivated NHP serum samples (days 0, 10, 28, 56, and 66) were 100 μL/well of TMB (3,3′, 5,5′-tetramethyl benzidine, MilliporeSigma). The reaction was stopped after 10 minutes by adding 100 μL/well of 1N sulfuric acid (VWR International LLC). The OD values for each plate were captured using SoftMax Pro version 5.4 (Molecular Devices), the software that accompanies the VERSAmax plate reader. The SoftMax Pro software calculated the test sample LOD.

Figure 6. Filovirus and LASV viremia in cynomolgus macaques challenged i.m. with LASV, EBOV, SUDV, or MARV. Quadrivalent (Q-V) or control (HIVgag) rVSV vaccinated macaques were challenged i.m. with 1000 PFU (A and E) LASV (strain 0043/LV/14), (B and F) EBOV (strain Kikwit), (C and G) SUDV (strain Gulu), or (D and H) MARV (strain Angola). Post-challenge viremia was monitored by infectious plaque assay (A-H) or RT-qPCR (E and F). Limit of detection (LOD) for LASV, EBOV, SUDV, and MARV genome equivalent qRT-PCR is log_{10} 4, log_{10} 3, log_{10} 3, and log_{10} 4, respectively.
incubated in 96-well tissue-culture plates with a fixed quantity of the rVSV N4ΔG-(filovirus or LASV GP)5-(eGFP)1 chimeric virus (500 fluorescent PFU per well) in DMEM 10 medium for 16–24 hours at 4°C with 5% human complement (lot no. PBS-154-1, Prefectus). Following the incubation, the serum/virus mixture was transferred onto a monolayer of 2 × 10⁴ Vero E6 cells (ATCC, CRL-1586) per well in a 96-well tissue culture plate and incubated at 32°C under 5% CO₂ for 3–4 days (for EBOV, SUDV, MARV, or LASV in plasma after challenge were performed by conventional plaque assay on Vero E6 cell monolayers as previously described (18, 25). Briefly, serial 10-fold dilutions of the samples were adsorbed to Vero E6 monolayers (ATCC CRL-1586) in duplicate wells of 6-well plates (200 μL/well). Following adsorption, the inoculum was removed by aspiration, and the monolayers were overlaid with 3 mL of 0.8% SeaPlaque agarose in DMEM. The agarose was permitted to set at room temperature for 15 minutes, and the plates were then incubated at 32°C under 5% CO₂ for 3–4 days (for rVSV N4ΔCT1 vectors), 7–10 days for filoviruses, or 5 days for LASV to allow plaque development. The limit of detection was 25 PFU/mL.

Detection of viremia by RT-qPCR. RNA was isolated from whole blood utilizing the Viral RNA mini-kit (Qiagen) using 100 μL of blood added to 600 μL of the viral lysis buffer. Primers and probe targeting the NP gene of LASV, the VP30 gene of EBOV, the L gene of SUDV, and the NP gene of MARV were used for RT-qPCR with the following probes: LASV, 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-5′TCGCCTTTGACCCAGCGTCC 3′-6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (Tamra); EBOV, FAM-5′CCGTCAAT-CAACGACGGCCTC 3′-Tamra; SUDV, FAM-5′CATCCAATCAAAG-ACATTCGGA 3′-Tamra; and MARV, FAM-5′CCCATAAGGTCACC- CCTCTTC 3′-Tamra (Life Technologies). Viral RNA was detected using the CFX96 detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) in 1-step probe RT-qPCR kits (Qiagen) with the following cycle conditions: LASV, 50°C for 10 minutes, 95°C for 10 seconds, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds and 53°C for 30 seconds; EBOV, 50°C for 10 minutes, 95°C for 10 seconds, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds and 57°C for 30 seconds; SUDV and MARV, 50°C for 10 minutes, 95°C for 10 seconds, and 40
cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds and 59°C for 30 seconds. Threshold cycle (CT) values representing viral genomes were analyzed with CFX Manager software, and the data are shown as genome equivalents (GEq) per milliliter. To create the GEq standard, RNA from viral stocks was extracted, and the number of strain-specific genomes was calculated using Avogadro’s number and the molecular weight of each viral genome.

Hematology and serum biochemistry. Total white blood cell counts, white blood cell differentials, red blood cell counts, platelet counts, hematocrit values, total hemoglobin concentrations, mean cell volumes, mean corpuscular volumes, and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentrations were analyzed from blood collected in tubes containing EDTA using a laser-based hematologic analyzer (Beckman Coulter). Serum samples were tested for concentrations of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), albumin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), amylase, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), C-reactive protein (CRP), calcium, creatinine, gammaglutamyltransferase (GGT), glucose, total protein, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and uric acid, and by using a Piccolo point-of-care analyzer and Biochemistry Panel Plus analyzer discs (Abaxis).

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry. A partial necropsy was performed on all subjects. Tissue samples of major organs were collected for histopathologic and immunohistochemical examination, immersion-fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, and processed for histopathology as previously described (24–27). For immunohistochemistry, specific anti-LASV immunoreactivity was detected using anti-LASV NP protein rabbit primary antibody (Zalgen Labs, catalog CLD4) at a 1:1000 dilution. Anti-EBOV immunoreactivity was detected using an anti-EBOV VP40 protein rabbit primary antibody (Integrated Biotherapeutics, catalog O301-010) at a 1:4000 dilution; anti-SUDV immunoreactivity was detected using an anti-SUDV VP40 protein rabbit primary antibody (Integrated Biotherapeutics, catalog O302-001) at a 1:4000 dilution; and anti-MARV immunoreactivity was detected using an anti-MARV NP protein mouse primary antibody (provided by USAMRIID, catalog BB06-BB01 [ref. 32]) at a 1:4000 dilution. Tissue sections were processed for immunohistochemistry using the Dako Autostainer. The secondary antibody used was biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories) or biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (Vector Laboratories) at 1:200 followed by Dako LSAB2 streptavidin-HRP (Dako). Slides were developed with Dako DAB chromagen (Dako) and counterstained with hematoxylin. Nonimmune rabbit IgG was used as a negative control.

Statistical analysis. Comparisons of log-transformed serum IgG and neutralizing antibody titers in the different vaccine groups at each time point were performed with an unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test using GraphPad Prism version 5.02. P values less than 0.05 indicated that the tests were statistically significant.

Study approval. The animal studies were performed at the Galveston National Laboratory, University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston and were approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch Animal Care and Use Committee.
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