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Commentary

Cancer immunotherapy and its budding effectiveness at improving patient outcomes has revitalized our hope to fight cancer in a logical and safe manner. Immunotherapeutic approaches to reengage the immune system have largely focused on reversing immune checkpoint inhibitor pathways, which suppress the antitumor response. Although these approaches have generated much excitement, they still lack absolute success. Interestingly, newly described host-tumor sugar chains (glycosylations) and glycosylation-binding proteins (lectins) play key roles in evading the immune system to determine cancer progression. In this issue of the *JCI*, Nambiar et al. used patient head and neck tumors and a mouse model system to investigate the role of galactose-binding lectin 1 (Gal1) in immunotherapy resistance. The authors demonstrated that Gal1 can affect immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy by increasing immune checkpoint molecules and immunosuppressive signaling in the tumor. Notably, these results suggest that targeting a tumor’s glycobiological state will improve treatment efficacy.
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Tumor microenvironment
With the approval of ipilimumab for melanoma treatment in 2011, immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapies have proved beneficial for inducing immune activity against various tumors. ICIs, consisting mostly of neutralizing antibodies against programmed cell death 1 (PD1), programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), and CTLA-4, are either administered as mono-therapies or in combination with other synergistic therapies (1). However, the complex microenvironment of solid tumors with the abundance of immunosuppressive molecules such as IL-10, IL-6, IL-1α, and IL-1β, coupled with a dearth of neoantigens, has limited the success rate of immunotherapies (2). Interestingly, even with the lack of immunoreactive neoantigens, solid tumors actually do express highly aberrant cell-surface glycosylations, a feature now considered a hallmark of neoplastic transformation (3). These unique malignancy-specific glycan alterations skew the binding of tumor- and/or host-derived glycan-binding proteins, termed lectins.

Aberrant lectin binding is capable of altering critical cellular functions. For example, expression of sialyl Lewis X and A structures enables circulating cancer cells to bind C-type lectins such as endothelial E-selectin, attach to endothelial cells, infiltrate distant sites, and establish metastasis (4, 5). Additionally, increased α2-6 sialylation in O- and N-glycans on cancer cells prevents endogenous immunoregulating lectins, called galectins, from binding (4, 6). Galectins, which bind β-galactoside-bearing glycans, are divided into three subtypes primarily on the basis of their structure (7). There are 15 known galectins reported in mammals and 12 in humans (8). Galectins play an important role in cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix (cell-ECM) interactions, before mRNA splicing, receptor clustering, and immune modulation. Importantly, galectin-1 (Gal1) is crucial for eliminating self-reactive T cells in the thymus, encouraging immunoregulatory T cell formation, and producing related immunosuppressive factors (7, 9). In cancer, galectins are secreted by various solid tumors, including lymphomas, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, melanomas, and head and neck cancers (10). Given their elevated expression in cancer, galectins and their glycan ligands are attractive targets for biomarker development as well as for a therapeutic complement to current chem-, radio-, and immunotherapies (11).

Mechanistic roles of galectin-glycan interaction in cancer progression
Glycosylation of cell-surface receptors is crucial for proper receptor localization and function. Aberrant cell-surface glycosylation has been linked to various aspects of cancer progression as well as therapy resistance (12–15). The galectin-glycan interaction on the cell surface is capable of modulating receptor retention and clustering, both critical for the activation and downstream signaling of various important cell-surface glycosylated receptors such as EGFR, VEGFR1, IGFR1, integrins, CD45, and CD3, to name a few (12, 16, 17). The consequences of altered receptor activation are multifold and include immune suppression. Galectins modulate the immune microenvironment by affecting multiple immune cell types, including DCs, monocytes, and lymphocytes. Of these cell populations, T cells have been most extensively studied. In particular, Gal1 promotes T cell apoptosis by interacting with the surface receptors CD45, CD43, CD2, and CD7 (18). Gal1 can also interfere with T cell-endothelium interactions, impede CD8+ T cell expansion (17), and enhance a Th2 phenotype (18, 19) — all detrimental for efficacious immunotherapies.
The current study by Nambiar et al. demonstrated that head and neck tumor Ga1 promotes T cell anergy by upregulating PD-L1 and Gal9 expression in tumor endothelial cells (Figure 1A). This is an important finding, as previous studies have neglected endothelial cell signaling as a causative agent in hampering T cell infiltration. Notably, when Ga1 was blocked, T cells infiltrated into the tumor microenvironment (Figure 1B) (20). Further, the author’s findings are in accordance with tumor-promoting characteristics of Gal9 via Tim-3–Gal9 interactions. This result is indeed complex, as other data indicate that increased Gal9 expression is associated with increased patient survival and Gal9 loss with increased metastasis and mortality (21). The protumorigenic function of Gal9, demonstrated by Nambiar and colleagues, is, perhaps, governed by its restricted upregulation in endothelial cells. This aspect of cell-specific galectin expression in tumor progression is intriguing, since Gal9 in exosomes can also establish an immune-privileged microenvironment conducive to metastases formation (22), whereas extracellular Gal9 can induce apoptosis in multiple solid tumor models such as hepatocellular carcinoma, malignant melanoma, and colon cancer, among others (21).

**Galectins and their glycan ligands as therapeutic targets**

Altered glycosylation can encourage cancer progression in a number of ways, from promoting chemotherapeutic resistance and enhancing metastatic pathways to the well-described dampening of tumor-immune surveillance (23). It is therefore not surprising that lectins, which bind and help convey malignancy-associated glycan activities, are integral factors in cancer progression and therapy resistance. Ga1 and Gal3 can upregulate multidrug resistance protein 1, which is a glycoprotein that pumps chemotherapeutics out of cancer cells. Gal3 also interacts with Na+/K+ ATPase and P-glycoprotein function by increasing ATPase activity, thereby reducing chemotherapeutic efficacy (24). Additionally, galectins, especially Ga1, are associated with radiation therapy resistance (25). In the current study by Nambiar et al., reducing tumor-derived Ga1 in a mouse model increased sensitivity to radiation therapy in combination with ICI therapy (20). Targeting Ga1 via genetic ablation or ligand-binding antagonism often results in enhanced immune surveillance (26), which could therefore increase ICI efficacy. Although various clinical trials are underway to test the efficacy of galectin inhibitors in combination with chemotherapy, Ga1 inhibition in concert with ICI treatment has yet to be reported (23). The additional compelling preclinical data reported by Nambiar et al. further strengthen the argument for clinical assessment of Ga1 inhibition in combination with ICI treatment (Figure 1C).

Although targeting galectins is an exciting avenue, there are potential challenges and pitfalls that might arise as a result of the pleiotropic role of galectins associated with cellular localization, tissue of origin, and local microenvironmental concentrations. In addition to the complicated role of Gal9 in tumor progression mentioned above, Gal3, implicated in several solid tumors, also has varying effects, depending on cellular localization and cancer type. Specific cancer models can dictate that extracellular Gal3 is proapoptotic, whereas intracellular Gal3 is antiapoptotic (27). Therefore, anti-galectin therapy must possess specificity for the cancer glycome. More generally, targeting the glycans that galectins would otherwise bind might provide better treatment for patients. One way to interfere with the cancer-specific ligand-galectin interaction involves targeting the enzymes (glycosyltransferases) that form glycosidic linkages between individual sugar molecules to build cancer-associated glycans.
Studies continue to identify various glycosyltransferases and preferred protein scaffolds responsible for cancer cell glycome generation. Blunting glycan-forming activities would curtail key cancer cell–specific glycans and thereby disrupt cancer-promoting glycan–galectin interactions. Notably, sugar analogs such as fluorinated N-acetylgalactosamine can antagonize the synthesis of cancer-associated glycans and, by extension, hamper lectin-binding activity (28–30). In conclusion, insights from Nambari et al. regarding ICI treatment resistance conferred by Gal1 further highlight the need to combine glycobiological pathway–based treatment with ICI therapy. Whether neutralizing the expression or function of Gal1, its glycan ligands, or its downstream immunoregulatory effectors, we can leverage the preclinical data provided by Nambari et al. to exploit glycan–lectin interactions and optimize cancer immunotherapeutic efficacy.
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