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BACKGROUND. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency decreases the ability of red blood cells (RBCs) to withstand oxidative stress. Refrigerated storage of RBCs induces oxidative stress. We hypothesized that G6PD-deficient donor RBCs would have inferior storage quality for transfusion as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs.

METHODS. Male volunteers were screened for G6PD deficiency; 27 control and 10 G6PD-deficient volunteers each donated 1 RBC unit. After 42 days of refrigerated storage, autologous 51-chromium 24-hour posttransfusion RBC recovery (PTR) studies were performed. Metabolomics analyses of these RBC units were also performed.

RESULTS. The mean 24-hour PTR for G6PD-deficient subjects was 78.5% ± 8.4% (mean ± SD), which was significantly lower than that for G6PD-normal RBCs (85.3% ± 3.2%; P = 0.0009). None of the G6PD-normal volunteers (0/27) and 3 G6PD-deficient volunteers (3/10) had PTR results below 75%, a key FDA acceptability criterion for stored donor RBCs. As expected, fresh G6PD-deficient RBCs demonstrated defects in the oxidative phase of the pentose phosphate pathway. During refrigerated storage, G6PD-deficient RBCs demonstrated increased glycolysis, impaired glutathione homeostasis, and increased purine oxidation, as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs. In addition, there were significant correlations between PTR and specific metabolites in these pathways.

CONCLUSION. Based on current FDA criteria, RBCs from G6PD-deficient donors would not meet the requirements for storage quality. Metabolomics assessment identified markers of PTR and G6PD deficiency (e.g., pyruvate/lactate ratios), along with potential compensatory pathways that could be leveraged to ameliorate the metabolic needs of G6PD-deficient RBCs.
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Introduction

Red blood cell (RBC) transfusion is one of the most common therapeutic interventions for hospitalized patients (1). In particular, chronic transfusions are essential for patients with sickle cell disease and thalassemia, due to intrinsic RBC defects and/or ineffective erythropoiesis in these patients. Accelerated clearance of transfused RBCs contributes to some adverse effects associated with chronic RBC transfusion therapy, such as iron overload, alloimmunization, and, possibly, increased susceptibility to infection (2–6). As such, multiple efforts are directed toward providing optimal RBC products. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) sets acceptability criteria for RBC units at the end of their maximum allowable storage period (e.g., 42 days), which are based primarily on a 24-hour posttransfusion recovery (PTR) of at least 75% on average (i.e., 75% of the transfused RBCs should still be circulating 24 hours later) and an in vitro hemolysis rate of less than 1%. Furthermore, the one-sided, lower limit of the 95% confidence interval for the proportion of successful PTRs (defined as PTR ≥75%)
must be at least 70% (i.e., no more than 2 failed PTRs of <75% among a cohort of 20 healthy volunteer blood donors). Interestingly, PTRs vary substantially among blood donors (7) and these differences are specific and repeatable for each donor, suggesting that some donors are good storers and some are poor storers (8). In vitro analyses of stored RBCs identified interdonor metabolic heterogeneity, which can affect the metabolic age of a stored RBC unit at least as much as the chronological age of the unit (5, 9). In addition, because RBC storage quality is inheritable (10–12), genetic factors may underlie at least some of this variation.

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency, an X-linked disorder, is the most common human enzymopathy, affecting approximately 400 million people worldwide (13). In addition, G6PD is the rate-limiting enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), which generates reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), a cofactor that drives multiple antioxidant pathways in RBCs (14). Indeed, NADPH is critical for recycling oxidized glutathione (i.e., GSSG) to its reduced form (i.e., GSH) by glutathione reductase. In addition, it fuels multiple NADPH-dependent antioxidant enzymes (e.g., glutathione peroxidase, catalase, peroxiredoxins, glutaredoxins, the thioredoxin reductase system, biliverdin reductase B, and the ascorbate-tocopherol axis) (15). Because G6PD-deficient RBCs have a diminished capacity for producing NADPH (16), they are susceptible to damage and destruction by increased oxidative stress, such as that caused by medications, infection, or diet (17).

Oxidative stress biomarkers increase during refrigerated storage (18, 19), suggesting that storage itself may cause oxidative stress. In addition, when RBCs are stored under hypoxic conditions (20) or in the presence of the antioxidant ascorbic acid (21), which decrease oxidative stress, PTR markedly improves in humans and mice, respectively. Clearly, RBCs did not evolve to cope with the oxidant stress arising during refrigerated storage. However, RBCs have developed mechanisms to cope with oxidant stress during aging in vivo, and some of these are activated during routine blood bank storage. For example, studies with stable isotope-labeled tracers suggest that storage-induced oxidation of Cys152 of the glycolytic enzyme glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) causes a shift in glucose metabolism toward the oxidative phase of the PPP; this phenomenon is mitigated or aggravated by hypoxic or hyperoxic storage, respectively (22). Because G6PD is the key enzyme in the oxidative phase of the PPP, G6PD-deficiency limits NADPH production in RBCs, thereby decreasing their ability to regenerate GSH and prevent the accumulation of peroxidation/inflammatory products (23). Indeed, glutathione homeostasis and antioxidant defenses are altered in units obtained from G6PD-deficient donors (23, 24). However, these studies were only performed with donors carrying the Class II Mediterranean G6PD variant (<10% residual activity) and not with donors affected by the milder, but more common, Class III A-variant (10%–60% residual activity); the latter affects approximately 10% of the African-American donor population (25). Moreover, no study to date has evaluated the correlation of metabolomics changes in stored human G6PD-deficient RBCs to the gold-standard PTR outcome.

Although American blood donors are not routinely screened for G6PD deficiency, some blood collection agencies will exclude a prospective donor who reports a history of being G6PD-deficient. In a study from 1970, the PTR of stored G6PD-deficient RBCs was decreased in comparison with RBCs from G6PD-normal donors (26). However, given the advent of modern additive solutions, which enhance RBC storage duration, and the introduction of leukoreduction, which mitigates the RBC storage lesion (27), it is not known whether G6PD deficiency remains a source of variation in donor RBC storage quality. Because G6PD-deficient RBCs are part of the routine inventory of transfusion services (at a prevalence of ~0.3%), and because chronically transfused patients with sickle cell disease require antigen-matched RBCs that frequently come from donors of African descent who have a high frequency of G6PD deficiency, the latter are more likely to receive G6PD-deficient RBC units (i.e., up to 12.3% of units transfused) (25). Therefore, transfusions of G6PD-deficient RBCs into these patients may have reduced therapeutic efficacy (28). Indeed, several case reports document adverse clinical outcomes following transfusion of G6PD-deficient RBCs (29–31), raising the question of whether donors should be screened for G6PD deficiency (32).

The current study examined the storage quality of RBCs from G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal donors, using 24-hour PTR as the primary outcome measure. Furthermore, correlations between metabolomics findings, G6PD activity, and PTR are provided.

Results
Study participant demographics and recruitment. A total of 145 male volunteers were screened for G6PD deficiency. To enrich for subjects with a greater probability of being G6PD-deficient, subjects of African, Asian, Hispanic, Mediterranean, and Middle Eastern descent, based upon maternal origin, were specifically recruited. Of the screened volunteers, 42 (29%) were of African descent, 32 (22.1%) were of Asian descent, 30 (20.7%) were Hispanic, 26 (17.9%) were of Mediterranean descent, 7 (4.8%) were of Middle Eastern descent, and 8 (5.5%) were White. Fifteen volunteers (10%) were G6PD deficient and 130 (90%) were G6PD normal. Of the screened volunteers, 10 were of African descent, 3 were of Mediterranean descent, and 2 were Hispanic. Ten G6PD-deficient (deficient) and 30 G6PD-normal (control) volunteers agreed to participate in the study and donated one unit of leukocyte-reduced RBCs stored in additive solution 3 (AS-3) (Figure 1). Two volunteers from the control group then withdrew from the study and 1 volunteer from the control group was excluded due to positive viral serologic testing following blood donation. Therefore, 27 control and 10 G6PD-deficient subjects completed the entire study; the demographics of these subjects are shown in Table 1.

G6PD enzyme activity levels for participating subjects are provided in Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI133530DS1). G6PD activity of at least 9.9 U/g Hb was considered normal based on the referral laboratory reference range. One control subject had a G6PD activity 12% less than the reference range (8.7 U/g Hb), but G6PD exon sequencing did not identify any mutations. Although the presence of a rare splicing, promoter, or untranslated region mutation was not ruled out for this particular volunteer (33), he was considered to be in the control, G6PD-normal group due to the proximity of his G6PD enzymatic activity to the lower limit of the reference range. Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis catego-
rizing this subject as G6PD-deficient did not change the primary outcome (data not shown). Overall, 9 G6PD-deficient subjects were identified with the A- variant (Class III) and 1 with the Mediterranean variant (Class II). There were no significant differences in the mean baseline hemoglobin of control (14.6 ± 0.8 g/dL) and deficient (14.4 ± 1.1 g/dL) (P = 0.53) subjects, mean baseline hematocrit of control (43.1% ± 2.2%) and deficient (42.3% ± 2.9%) (P = 0.40) subjects, mean baseline mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) of control (29.4 ± 1.4 pg) and deficient (30.5 ± 1.6 pg) (P = 0.05) subjects, and mean baseline mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) of control (33.8 ± 1.0 g/dL) and deficient (33.9 ± 0.9 g/dL) (P = 0.79) subjects. In contrast, the mean RBC count was significantly lower in deficient (4.72 ± 0.38 × 10^12/L) than normal (4.96 ± 0.25 × 10^12/L) (P = 0.03) subjects. The mean reticulocyte count of deficient subjects was significantly higher (2.2% ± 0.8%) than control subjects (1.4% ± 0.3%) (P < 0.001). However, it was still below the upper limit of normal for our laboratory’s reference range (2.26%). In addition, the mean corpuscular volume (MCV) was significantly higher in deficient (89.8 ± 3.2 fl) than normal (86.8 ± 3.3 fl) (P = 0.02) subjects and the red blood cell distribution width (RDW) was significantly lower in deficient (12.0% ± 0.72%) compared with normal (12.8% ± 0.71%) (P = 0.009) subjects. As expected, the mean G6PD activity of deficient subjects (2.4 ± 0.9 U/g Hb) was significantly lower than for controls (11.2 ± 1.0 U/g Hb) (P < 0.001; Table 1).

G6PD deficiency decreases PTR. The 24-hour PTR for the 27 G6PD-normal subjects was 85.3% ± 3.2% (mean ± SD), as compared with 78.5% ± 8.4% for the 10 G6PD-deficient subjects (P = 0.0009; Figure 2A). Although none of the RBC units in the control group (0/27) had a 24-hour PTR less than 75%, the key FDA criterion for stored RBC quality, 3 G6PD-deficient RBC units (3/10) had PTRs below 75% (63.7%, 68.0%, and 74.2%). Thus, based on this number of failures (3/10), RBC units collected from G6PD-deficient subjects, as a group, do not meet current FDA criteria for acceptability (7). The PTRs stratified among subjects of African descent (86.6% ± 2.0% and 78.5% ± 8.8% for G6PD-normal and G6PD-deficient, respectively; P < 0.05; Figure 2B) and non-African descent (85.0% ± 3.4% and 78.4% ± 9.3% for G6PD-normal and G6PD-deficient, respectively; P < 0.05; Figure 2C) were similar. Finally, although there was an association between G6PD enzyme activity and PTR across all subjects (R² = 0.27, P = 0.001; Figure 2D), there was no significant association when adjusted for G6PD-status (i.e., variation in G6PD activity does not predict PTR among G6PD-deficient or G6PD-normal subjects when examined separately). Of note, the single subject with the Mediterranean variant and G6PD activity of 0.3 U/g Hb had a relatively normal PTR (81.4%) despite having the lowest G6PD enzyme activity in the study population.

G6PD deficiency decreases methemoglobin, but does not affect in vitro storage hemolysis. Both control and G6PD-deficient RBCs had in vitro storage hemolysis rates of less than 1% throughout storage (0.29% ± 0.18% and 0.24% ± 0.07% at 6 weeks, respectively; adjusted P = 0.79 for difference; Figure 3A), thereby meeting another important FDA criterion of RBC storage quality. However, as compared with G6PD-normal units, a trend toward decreasing methemoglobin was observed over time in G6PD-deficient stored units (P = 0.086); these differences were statistically significant after 3 and 6 weeks of storage (Figure 3B). Although there was also an increasing trend in lactate, and a decreasing trend in pH, glucose, and sodium during storage, there were no differences between G6PD-deficient and control units (Figure 3, C–F).

G6PD-deficiency increases glycolysis. At baseline (i.e., before refrigerated storage), metabolomics analyses of RBCs from G6PD-deficient volunteers show evidence of increased glycolysis as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs (Figure 4). Glycolytic intermediates (Figure 4A), including bisphosphoglycerate (BPG), 2/3-phosphoglycerate (2/3PG), phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), and lactate, were significantly increased in G6PD-deficient RBCs (all P < 0.01; Figure 4, B–D and F). Consistent with these increases in glycolytic intermediates, G6PD-deficient RBCs also had significantly increased ATP levels (P < 0.01; Figure 4G) as compared with controls. Pyruvate levels did not differ significantly between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs (Figure 4E).

G6PD-deficient individuals cannot upregulate the oxidative branch of the PPP. RBCs from G6PD-deficient individuals demon-
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of subjects completing the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>G6PD normal</th>
<th>G6PD deficient</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (range)</td>
<td>31 (20–56)</td>
<td>33.6 (23–68)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABO type, n (%)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>13 (48)</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8 (30)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hemoglobin, g/dL (SD)</td>
<td>14.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>14.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hematocrit, % (SD)</td>
<td>4.31 (2.2)</td>
<td>4.23 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MCH, pg (SD)</td>
<td>29.4 (14.4)</td>
<td>30.5 (16.3)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MCHC, g/dL (SD)</td>
<td>33.8 (10.0)</td>
<td>33.9 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RBC, ×10¹²/L (SD)</td>
<td>4.96 (0.25)</td>
<td>4.72 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MCV, fL (SD)</td>
<td>86.8 (3.3)</td>
<td>89.3 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RDW, % (SD)</td>
<td>12.8 (0.71)</td>
<td>12.0 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reticulocyte, % (SD)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean G6PD activity, U/g Hb (SD)</td>
<td>11.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reticulocyte data not available for 2 G6PD-deficient and 3 G6PD-normal subjects. MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; RBC, red blood cell; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; RDW, red blood cell distribution width. |

are characterized by increased glycolysis and decreased ability to activate the oxidative phase of the PPP, the latter of which is important for producing NADPH.

**Metabolomics during storage.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of metabolite differences in the glycolytic and PPP pathways (Figure 6A) comparing G6PD-normal and G6PD-deficient RBCs during 6 weeks of refrigerated storage revealed changes that evolve related to storage time and G6PD status (Figure 6B). There were no significant differences in glucose levels (Figure 6C) and fructose 1,6-bisphosphate levels (Figure 6F) between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs. However, the levels of glucose 6-phosphate (G6P) and 6-phosphogluconolactone (6-PGL) exhibited a significant interaction with G6PD status over time (Figure 6E, D and E), with median levels crossing each other at 2 to 4 weeks of storage. Similar to baseline, G6PD-deficient RBCs had increased glycolysis during storage, as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs, with significantly higher 2/3 PG and pyruvate levels throughout (Figure 6, D and E). The latter are products of the 2 ATP-producing reactions during glycolysis (Figure 6A), which are important for maintaining RBC ATP levels.

In contrast to results at baseline, at which point RBCs have not yet been exposed to the oxidant stress of storage, there are only minor differences between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs in lactate (Figure 6K) and ATP (Figure 6M) throughout storage. Nonetheless, similar to pyruvate, the pyruvate/lactate ratio (Figure 6L) is significantly higher in G6PD-deficient RBCs throughout storage. Of note, the reaction catalyzed by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) converts pyruvate to lactate and consumes NADH in the process. However, higher oxidant stress in G6PD-deficient RBCs promotes the activation of NADH-dependent cytochrome-β reductase, which would compete with LDH for free NADH. Our results suggest that G6PD-deficient RBCs limit the LDH-catalyzed reaction during storage to favor the activity of cytochrome-β reductase, consistent with our previous observation that this enzyme is quantitatively more abundant in RBCs from G6PD-deficient subjects in comparison to controls (23). Thus, G6PD-deficient RBCs have increased glycolysis as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs during storage, but they are also characterized by significantly higher (P < 0.0001) pyruvate/lactate ratios.

**Differences in NADPH- and NADH-dependent reactions.** Consistent with the expected defect from G6PD deficiency, G6PD-deficient RBCs demonstrated a decrease in NADPH-dependent reactions and an increase in reactions that can produce NADPH (Figure 7). For example, reduction of GSSG to GSH is an NADPH-dependent reaction and, consistent with this, G6PD-deficient RBCs had significantly lower levels of GSH throughout storage (Figure 7A). Similarly, reducing biliverdin to bilirubin consumes NADPH, and G6PD-deficient RBCs had significantly increased biliverdin throughout storage (Figure 7B), suggesting decreased activity in this pathway. Converting glucose to hexose sugar alcohols (e.g., sorbitol) is also an NADPH-dependent process that may be down-regulated in G6PD-deficient RBCs, as evidenced by significantly decreased hexose sugar alcohols throughout storage (Figure 7C). Furthermore, dihydroxynonene (DHN), which is produced from 4-hydroxynonene (HNE) by an NADPH-consuming reaction, is significantly decreased in G6PD-deficient, as compared with G6PD-normal, RBCs during storage (Figure 7D).
Tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle enzymes are found in RBCs, along with their corresponding substrates and products (34, 35). As examples, converting isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate produces NADH, whereas converting malate to pyruvate via malic enzyme, which is present and active in RBCs (36), produces NADPH. Consistent with the hypothesis that G6PD-deficient RBCs conserve NADH and increase NADPH production through non-G6PD catalyzed reactions, they have significantly higher levels of α-ketoglutarate (Figure 7E) and pyruvate (Figure 6J) throughout storage, as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs. Taken together, although steady-state measurements do not allow us to conclude directionality of the aforementioned pathways (i.e., we cannot conclude whether we are observing a decrease in the activation of the NADH/NADPH-dependent reaction or an increase in the NADH/NADPH-generating reaction), these results suggest that G6PD-deficient RBCs are characterized by alterations of several NADH- and NADPH-dependent pathways.

Metabolites in the nonoxidative phase of the PPP. The nonoxidative phase of the PPP is connected to the oxidative phase, through 6-phosphogluconate (6PG) dehydrogenase, which produces ribulose 5-phosphate (37). Glycolysis also contributes to the nonoxidative phase of the PPP through reversible interactions involving glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (G3P) and fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) via the activity of transketolase and transaldolase (Figure 8A). At baseline, G6PD-deficient RBCs demonstrate increased nonoxidative PPP activity, as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs, evidenced by significantly increased concentrations of sedoheptulose phosphate (SP) ($P = 0.005$) and erythrose 4-phosphate (E4P) ($P = 0.004$) (Figure 8, C and D). Furthermore, during storage there is a trend toward higher ribose phosphate (RP) and SP in G6PD-deficient RBCs (Figure 8, B and C). Therefore, despite having a compromised oxidative phase of the PPP, G6PD-deficient RBCs maintain high levels of nonoxidative phase intermediates as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs. Data from the tracing experiments (Figure 5, E and F) suggest that glycolysis provides much of the nonoxidative phase intermediates in G6PD-deficient RBCs. These results may also be consistent with inefficient nucleotide salvage, adversely affecting ATP levels, which decline to favor ATP breakdown into AMP and its oxidation to IMP and hypoxanthine.

Pathways and metabolites correlating with RBC G6PD activity and PTR. Metabolic markers predictive of PTR, particularly in the context of G6PD deficiency, have not yet been reported in the literature. To this end, we correlated our PTR data to discovery-mode metabolomics analyses of RBCs from G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal subjects. Thus, PTR measurements were correlated to metabolomics data from the total study population, G6PD deficient subjects, or G6PD-normal subjects at baseline (Figure 9, A–C, respectively) or at the end of storage (week 6; Figure 9, D–F). Correlation analyses are reported in the form of circo plots, in which each metabolite or other variable (e.g., PTR or G6PD activity) is a node. Nodes are connected with an edge if the module of their linear correlation (Spearman) is above 0.4. Highlighted metabolites represent the most significant variables that correlate with either G6PD activity or PTR in the total population of subjects at baseline (Figure 9A) or at week 6 (Figure 9D), only in the G6PD-deficient subjects at baseline (Figure 9B) or at week 6 (Figure 9E), or in the G6PD-normal subjects at baseline (Figure 9C) or at week 6 (Figure 9F). These results highlight a significant linkage between G6PD activity and PTR only when the total subject population is analyzed in a combined fashion. Top correlates to PTR and G6PD activity are identified, including metabolic intermediates of the PPP (e.g., ribose phosphate, erythroose phosphate), oxidation reactions and nucleotide salvage (e.g., hypoxanthine, IMP), transamination (α-ketoglutarate, pyridoxal),
lipid remodeling (several acyl-carnitines and octenoyl-carnitine), tryptophan metabolites (formyl-kynurenine), and conjugated bile acids (glyco- and taurocholate). Overall, metabolites involved in lipid remodeling, energy and redox metabolism, and nucleotide salvage had the best correlation with PTR (Figure 9, G–L). For example, octenoyl-carnitine (Figure 9G), involved in lipid remodeling, ribose phosphate (Figure 9H), in the nonoxidative phase of the PPP, and epiandrosterone, a steroid hormone, at baseline had some of the best correlations with PTR. In addition, α-keto-glutarate (Figure 9J), produced by an NADH-generating reaction, hypoxanthine (Figure 9K), involved in purine salvage reactions, and octenoyl-carnitine (Figure 9L) measured after 6 weeks of storage had some of the strongest correlations with PTR.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that, after 40 to 42 days of storage, leukoreduced, G6PD-deficient RBCs have a decreased 24-hour PTR as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs. These results with G6PD-normal subjects are similar to other published 24-hour PTR studies using AS-3 preserved units after 6 weeks of storage (38). In contrast, if analyzed separately, RBC units from G6PD-deficient donors would not pass the current FDA criteria for clinical transfusion acceptability (7). Chronically transfused patients may be adversely affected by nonoptimal RBC transfusions, and patients with sickle cell disease, in particular, are exposed to a significant number of transfusions from G6PD-deficient donors (i.e., up to 12%) (25). Thus, the current results raise concerns regarding potential harm to patients transfused with G6PD-deficient RBCs.

Previous studies have demonstrated significantly increased reticulocytes and MCV in G6PD-deficient individuals with the A- and Mediterranean variants (39–42). The results of studies comparing hemoglobin and hematocrit in deficient and normal subjects have varied, with studies specifically of blood donors showing no difference in hemoglobin and hematocrit between deficient and normal subjects (23, 39), and others demonstrating lower hemoglobin and/or hematocrit in deficient subjects (41, 42). In addition, decreased RBC count in G6PD-deficient subjects has been observed in studies with blood donors (39), as well as other healthy individuals (41, 42). The findings of increased reticulocyte count and decreased RBC count in G6PD-deficient subjects in the current study, in the setting of no differences in hemoglobin and hematocrit, suggest the presence of a subclinical, compensated hemolysis in deficient subjects at baseline.

The only previous study examining 24-hour PTR in G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs also noted significant differences (26). However, these nonleukoreduced RBC units were stored in either acid citrate dextrose–adenine (ACD-A) or citrate phosphate dextrose adenine 1 (CPDA-1) solutions and, under both conditions, G6PD-deficient RBCs exhibited lower 24-hour PTRs (67.2% vs 74.4% in ACD-A and 58.1% vs 72% in CPDA-1). At that time, the cri-
teria for the suitability of stored RBCs included an average 24-hour PTR greater than 70%. Similar to our results, a greater proportion of the G6PD-deficient RBC units failed this criterion. In addition, they found no correlation between G6PD enzyme activity and PTR within a given G6PD-status group (i.e., separately assessing the correlation between PTR and enzyme activity for G6PD-normal RBCs and G6PD-deficient RBCs; Figure 2D and ref. 26). We also observed significant variability in the PTR among subjects with G6PD-deficiency (SD = 8.4%), suggesting that there are other factors (e.g., genetic or environmental) that affect storage quality, independent of G6PD activity. Notably, the storage solution affected the quality of the G6PD-deficient, but not G6PD-normal, RBCs. Thus, although PTR for G6PD-deficient RBCs stored in CPDA-1 (58.1%) was lower than in ACD-A (67.2%), there was no significant difference in PTR for G6PD-normal RBCs stored in either preservative (26). Because the type of storage solution affects RBC metabolism (9), these results suggest that G6PD-deficient RBCs may vary in quality based on storage solution composition.

We found no significant differences in storage hemolysis in vitro (Figure 3A), another important FDA criterion for assessing RBC quality, between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs. In addition, prior studies comparing G6PD status in various additive solutions, for example ACD-A, CPDA-1 (26), and SAGM (23), or following irradiation (43), did not reveal differences in storage hemolysis in vitro. Although the determinants of in vitro hemolysis during refrigerated storage are not well understood, factors such as sex, age, ethnicity (44), and other unknown heritable traits (12) may be important.

G6PD-deficient RBCs maintained lower methemoglobin levels during storage (Figure 3B). Methemoglobin, which contains oxidized iron (Fe3+), releases oxygen poorly to tissues. Thus, reduction of methemoglobin is important for maintaining optimal RBC function; to this end, cytochrome-b5 reductase uses NADH for this purpose. Stored G6PD-deficient RBCs have substantially increased cytochrome-b5 reductase levels as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs (23). During glycolysis, NADH is produced by GAPDH when converting G3P to BPG. Our data suggest that G6PD-deficient RBCs also preserve NADH by limiting the conversion of pyruvate to lactate, an NADH-consuming reaction catalyzed by LDH, as evidenced by the significantly increased pyruvate/lactate ratios throughout storage (Figure 6, J–L). Thus, G6PD-deficient RBCs demonstrate alterations in glycolysis that enable them to maintain NADH levels throughout storage. Although the reduced methemoglobin levels observed in refrigerator-stored G6PD-deficient RBCs may be advantageous upon transfusion from an oxygen delivery perspective, it is likely that the increased clear-
unable to activate the oxidative branch of the PPP following methylene blue treatment, suggesting that this pathway ultimately degrades during storage, even in G6PD-normal donors, as has been previously observed (46).

G6PD deficiency decreases the ability of RBCs to produce NADPH (16). As a possible compensatory mechanism for maintaining NADPH, G6PD-deficient RBCs increased activity in alternative reactions and pathways that generate NADPH. Thus, because of the expected defect in NADPH production by the oxidative branch of the PPP due to G6PD deficiency (Figure 5), G6PD-deficient RBCs have storage-induced decreases in GSH, hexose sugar alcohol, and DHN, which are produced by NADPH-consuming reactions. G6PD-deficient RBCs also have significant increases in TCA cycle intermediates, such as succinate, fumarate, and malate (Figure 7). The conversion of malate to pyruvate is an NADPH-

In the current and prior studies (23, 45), G6PD-deficient RBCs, whether fresh or stored, demonstrated increased glycolysis. Since G6P is at the bifurcation point of the PPP and glycolysis, more G6P should be available for glycolysis in G6PD-deficient RBCs due to their defect in the oxidative branch of the PPP. Important products of glycolysis include ATP and NADH. Therefore, increased glycolysis is expected to allow G6PD-deficient RBCs to maintain ATP levels. Consistent with this, fresh G6PD-deficient RBCs had significantly increased ATP as compared with G6PD-normal RBCs (Figure 4G). Furthermore, G6PD-deficient RBCs maintained ATP at levels similar to G6PD-normal RBCs during storage (Figure 6M).

The PPP contains oxidative and nonoxidative branches. The oxidative branch results in oxidation, catalyzed by G6PD, of G6P to 6-PGL, followed by decarboxylation, catalyzed by 6PG dehydrogenase, to produce ribulose-5-phosphate. Tracing experiments with [1,2,3-13C3]-glucose, in the presence or absence of the PPP-stimulating agent methylene blue, demonstrate that fresh G6PD-normal, but not G6PD-deficient, RBCs activate the oxidative phase of the PPP (Figure 5). However, following 6 weeks of storage, both G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs were unable to activate the oxidative branch of the PPP following methylene blue treatment, suggesting that this pathway ultimately degrades during storage, even in G6PD-normal donors, as has been previously observed (46).

G6PD deficiency decreases the ability of RBCs to produce NADPH (16). As a possible compensatory mechanism for maintaining NADPH, G6PD-deficient RBCs increased activity in alternative reactions and pathways that generate NADPH. Thus, because of the expected defect in NADPH production by the oxidative branch of the PPP due to G6PD deficiency (Figure 5), G6PD-deficient RBCs have storage-induced decreases in GSH, hexose sugar alcohol, and DHN, which are produced by NADPH-consuming reactions (Figure 7). G6PD-deficient RBCs had significantly lower levels of GSH throughout storage, likely due to a combination of decreased GSSG reduction to GSH (a NADPH-dependent reaction), export of GSSG from RBCs (47), and increased protein glutathionylation in response to oxidative stress. In addition, biliverdin was significantly increased in G6PD-deficient RBCs during storage, consistent with decreased NADPH-driven conversion to bilirubin. G6PD-deficient RBCs also had significant increases in TCA cycle intermediates, such as succinate, fumarate, and malate (Figure 7).
producing reaction, raising the possibility that the increasing pyruvate levels during storage in G6PD-deficient RBCs (Figure 6) are not only due to conversion from PEP during glycolysis, but may also be a by-product of NADPH formation from malate. Therefore, G6PD-deficient RBCs may compensate for their decreased ability to produce NADPH through the PPP by increasing activity in alternative pathways that are independent of G6PD.

The strongest correlations to PTR were metabolites related to pathways that (a) produce NADH (e.g., α-ketoglutarate), (b) salvage nucleotides (e.g., hypoxanthine and IMP), (c) are intermediates of the PPP (e.g., erythrose phosphate and ribose phosphate), (d) are involved in lipid remodeling (e.g., octanoyl-carnitine), (e) that maintain glutathione homeostasis (e.g., glutathione disulfide and S-glutathionylcysteine), and (f) are a steroid hormone (e.g., epandrosterone; Figure 9).

Consistent with seminal studies on RBC metabolism and PTR, maintenance of energy metabolism and, in particular, ATP levels were positively correlated with PTR (46). Our results further confirm and expand on the negative correlation of ATP breakdown and oxidant stress-induced deamination (i.e., hypoxanthine and IMP) to PTR (48, 49). Consistently, the levels of reduced GSH, a critically important antioxidant, positively correlated with PTR, in keeping with classic studies (46). Decreases in S-glutathionylcysteine and GSH during storage are more marked in G6PD-deficient RBCs, consistent with likely increases in cysteine glutathionylation as a compensatory protection against oxidative stress in G6PD-deficient subjects (50). Finally, the correlation of epandrosterone, a steroid hormone shown to affect glucose metabolism and PPP activity in vitro (51), and PTR from samples at baseline is interesting given the potential link between sex hormones and RBC storage quality (44, 52, 53).

The current study has some limitations. For example, the primary outcome is the 24-hour PTR, as opposed to other markers of RBC destruction, such as indirect bilirubin or iron parameters, which may correlate better with hemolysis in vivo (54). This primary outcome was chosen a priori because of the precautionary principle; that is, the entire unit was not transfused in this study because we wished to prevent G6PD-deficient donors from experiencing severe hemolysis in the event that storage of their RBCs led to severe damage. In addition, although PTR was quantified in this study, RBC life span was not evaluated beyond the 24-hour time point. Nonetheless, prior studies showed no differences in the posttransfusion life span of G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal RBCs (26). Also, only the extreme of storage was examined (i.e., 6 weeks); thus, future studies could examine effects at shorter storage intervals. Furthermore, in a study using autologous recipients, one could not determine whether protective compensatory mechanisms in the plasma of G6PD-deficient subjects could compensate for their poor redox status, as previously reported (55), or whether the difference in PTR is due to an intrinsic defect of G6PD-deficient RBCs as opposed to extrinsic effects of G6PD-deficiency on RBC clearance. It is also possible that plasma factors in G6PD-normal recipients could improve the survival of G6PD-deficient RBCs (55). However, this effect would need to be studied in the context of allogeneic transfusions, which were not the focus of this autologous PTR study in which the primary outcome was the FDA gold-standard test. Also, the chromium labeling efficiency and elution rate may be different in G6PD-deficient, as compared with G6PD-normal, RBCs. However, the chromium labeling efficiency in damaged RBCs is minimally affected (56), and the variability seen in chromium elution rates among patients with hematologic diseases are not sufficient to explain the overall differences in PTR observed in G6PD-deficient donors (57). In addition, although there was a statistically significant decrease in the PTR for G6PD-deficient RBCs, this study was performed in healthy volunteers. Thus, it remains unknown if this PTR difference would be greater in transfused patients, such as those with sickle cell disease, who experience increased oxidative stress at baseline (58). For example, chronically transfused pediatric patients with sickle cell disease have poorer suppression of reticulocytosis and higher hemoglobin S levels between transfusion episodes when receiving G6PD-deficient donor RBCs (28). It is also possible that results would vary if donor RBCs were stored in different additive solutions. Thus, all RBC units in this study were stored in AS-3. However, prior studies found a substantial difference in PTR when G6PD-deficient RBCs were stored in ACD-A (67.2%) or CPDA (58.1%) (26). Finally, some of our correlative results are limited by an appreciation that the lowest PTRs were observed with G6PD-deficient RBCs. As such, metabolites correlating with G6PD activity per se (or not) also significantly correlated with PTR. Although further studies in larger cohorts are necessary to validate the present findings, there is growing interest in the potential effects of RBC donor genetics on transfusion outcomes (59).

Experts in the field of transfusion medicine are focusing significant effort on determining the genetic factors underlying the observed donor variability in RBC storage (60–62). The current study provides unequivocal evidence that one such genetic factor, G6PD deficiency, significantly (P = 0.0009) decreases 24-hour PTR after 6 weeks of storage. In addition, RBC units from donors with G6PD deficiency, if studied as a group, would not meet the current FDA criterion for transfusion quality. The clinical impact of this finding for all transfused patients, and for chronically transfused patients with sickle cell disease, in particular (25), should be further investigated. In addition, understanding the
Methods

Participant recruitment. Male volunteers were recruited using flyers, person-to-person communication, and email, between November 2012 and August 2017. Screening was limited to males because G6PD defi-
Each of which was processed into packed RBCs, leukoreduced, and stored in AS-3. RBC units were refrigerator stored for up to 42 days under standard blood banking conditions. Using sterile docking procedures, 25-mL aliquots were removed during each week of storage (i.e., weeks 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) for in vitro analyses. Each 25-mL aliquot was divided as follows: 3 mL for blood gas analysis; 7 mL distributed into 250-μL aliquots and frozen in liquid nitrogen; and 15 mL centrifuged at 3900 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant of the latter was transferred to 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes and the remaining RBCs were centrifuged again at 3900 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was again transferred to 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes and all supernatants were centrifuged at 12,600 g for 6 minutes. All separated RBCs and supernatants were separately distributed into 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes in 250-μL aliquots and frozen in liquid nitrogen. All frozen samples were stored at –80°C until metabolomics analysis. At week 5, an additional sample was submitted for aerobic and anaerobic blood culture to confirm the absence of bacterial contamination during storage.

Conventional measurements in vitro. At each week of storage, pH, methemoglobin, sodium, glucose, and lactate were measured using a CLIA-certified reference laboratory (Associated Regional and University Pathologists Laboratories). All 13 exons of the G6PD gene of G6PD-deficient volunteers were analyzed by next-generation sequencing in the CLIA-certified Personalized Genomics Laboratory at Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, to identify the specific G6PD variant present (64).
Figure 9. Metabolic alterations at baseline and end of storage in G6PD-deficient RBCs correlate with G6PD activity and PTR. Circos plots of metabolic correlates to PTR and G6PD activity in the total population of subjects at baseline (A) or after 6 weeks of storage (D), in only G6PD-deficient subjects at baseline (B) or week 6 (E), in only G6PD-normal subjects at baseline (C) or week 6 (F). Metabolites are represented as nodes and connected by an edge if their linear correlation (Spearman) is higher than 0.4. Highlighted nodes represent the most significant correlates (Spearman, FDR adjusted P values < 0.05) with PTR (black spheres) or G6PD activity (blue spheres). The correlation of baseline levels of octenoyl-carnitine (G), ribose phosphate (H), and epiaandrosterone (I); and week 6 levels of α-ketoglutarate (J), hypoxanthine (K), and octenoyl-carnitine (L) in the total subject population, or limited to only G6PD-deficient or G6PD-normal subjects, respectively. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. G6PD-normal (blue; n = 27) and G6PD-deficient (red; n = 10) subjects are represented. The unfilled red circle represents the single subject with the Mediterranean variant.
Metabolomics. At study completion, samples were shipped on dry ice to the University of Colorado Denver-Anschutz Medical Campus, where they were either untreated or incubated with 100 μM methylene blue for 1 hour at 37°C in the presence of 5 mM [1,2,3-13C3]-glucose. Samples were then extracted with a mixture of ice-cold methanol, acetonitrile, and water (5:3:2 vol/vol/vol, respectively) at a 1:10 ratio for RBCs (15 μL sample with 135 μL extraction solvent) or a 1:25 ratio for RBC supernatant. Extractions were performed by vigorous vortexing for 30 minutes at 4°C; insoluble materials were then pelleted by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4°C; centrifugation speeds were 18,000g for RBC extractions and 12,000g for RBC supernatant extractions. Clarified extraction supernatants were analyzed by ultra-high-pressure liquid chromatography coupled online to high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS) using a Thermo Vanquish UHPLC coupled to a Thermo Q Exactive MS. Samples were randomized and run in positive and negative ion modes (separate runs). Injection volumes were 10 μL for RBC samples and 20 μL for RBC supernatant samples. UHPLC phases were water (A) and acetonitrile (B) supplemented with 0.1% formic acid for positive mode runs and 1 mM ammonium acetate for negative mode runs. Metabolites were separated on a Kinetex C18 column (2.1 × 150 mm, 1.7 μm; Phenomenex), equipped with a guard column, using a 5-minute gradient under the following conditions: flow rate 0.45 mL/min; column temperature 45°C; sample compartment temperature 7°C; solvent gradient: 0–0.5 minutes 5% B, 0.5–1.1 minutes 5%–95% B, 1.1–2.75 minutes hold at 95% B, 2.75–3 minutes 95%–5% B, 3–5 minutes hold at 5% B (66). The mass spectrometer was operated in full MS mode at a resolution of 70,000, scan range 65–900 m/z, maximum injection time 200 ms, microscans 2, automatic gain control (AGC) 3 × 106 ions, electrospray source voltage 4.0 kV, capillary temperature 320°C, and sheath gas 45, auxiliary gas 15, and sweep gas 0 (all nitrogen). Raw data files were converted to mzXML format using MassMatrix (Case Western Reserve University) and analyzed using Maven (Princeton University). Hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) and partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) were performed with the MetaboAnalyst 4.0 and GENE E (Princeton) freeware. Instrument stability and quality control were assessed using replicate injections of a technical mixture every 15 runs, as previously described (67,68).

Tracing experiments with [1,2,3-13C3]-glucose. Tracing experiments with [1,2,3-13C3]-glucose were performed as previously described (22), with fresh RBCs collected directly from donors (fresh) or RBCs stored 24-hour PTR studies. After 40 to 42 days of storage (week 6), 24-hour PTR studies were performed as previously described (69). Briefly, 25 mL RBCs were labeled with 20 μCi sodium chromate (Mallickkodt Pharmaceuticals), followed by infusion of the labeled RBCs over 1 minute. Blood samples were collected before infusion and at 5,7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 30, 60, and 120 minutes after infusion; a final sample was obtained at 24 hours after infusion. Radioactivity and hemoglobin were measured in each sample on a Gamma Counter (Packard Cobra II Auto-Gamma, Perkin Elmer) and a Symex XN9000 analyzer, respectively. The 24-hour PTR was then calculated using all timed blood draws for estimating the 51-chromium per gram hemoglobin circulating at time zero (70).

Statistics. The primary outcome difference in 24-hour PTR between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal subjects was calculated using an independent 2-sided Student’s t test. Historical studies suggested that the difference in RBC recovery would be relatively large (72.2% ± 9.18% and 58.1% ± 9.81% in G6PD-normal and G6PD-deficient subjects, respectively) (26). However, we expected a smaller difference and assumed a 6% SD for our primary outcome measure based on studies using modern storage solutions (7). Thus, to provide sufficient power to explore whether specific in vitro storage metabolomics parameters could predict PTR in vivo, we recruited 10 G6PD-deficient subjects and 30 G6PD-normal controls. Using an independent Student’s t test, this provided greater than 78% power to detect a 6% absolute difference in PTR. Secondary analyses of differences in metabolite levels over time were performed using 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (or mixed-effects model if missing values) using Prism version 8 (GraphPad Software, Inc.), or MetaboAnalyst version 4.0. Significance of main effects was determined using 2-factor time-series analysis in MetaboAnalyst with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.10. Subsequently, Prism was used to graph the significant findings and final P values determined using 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA. In addition, metabolic correlations (Spearman) were calculated and plotted as circos plots through MetaboScape version 4.0 (Bruker) for the network building software Cytoscape version 3.7 (National Resource for Network Biology). In this analysis, metabolites are plotted as nodes and connected with an edge if the module of their correlation (Spearman) is higher than 0.4. Highlighted nodes represent top-ranking metabolites by the module of their linear Spearman’s correlations (filtered by FDR < 0.10) for each analysis (total sample, G6PD-deficient or G6PD-normal groups, either at baseline or after 6 weeks of storage).

Study approval. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with good clinical practice guidelines. The Columbia University (protocol AAAJ6862) and New York Blood Center (protocol 401165) IRBs approved this study. All research participants were provided with written, informed consent before study participation.
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