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Peripheral neurotoxicity is a debilitating condition that afflicts up to 90% of patients with colorectal cancer receiving oxaliplatin-containing therapy. Although emerging evidence has highlighted the importance of various solute carriers to the toxicity of anticancer drugs, the contribution of these proteins to oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neurotoxicity remains controversial. Among candidate transporters investigated in genetically engineered mouse models, we provide evidence for a critical role of the organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2) in satellite glial cells in oxaliplatin-induced neurotoxicity, and demonstrate that targeting OCT2 using genetic and pharmacological approaches ameliorates acute and chronic forms of neurotoxicity. The relevance of this transport system was verified in transporter-deficient rats as a secondary model organism, and translational significance of preventive strategies was demonstrated in preclinical models of colorectal cancer. These studies suggest that pharmacological targeting of OCT2 could be exploited to afford neuroprotection in cancer patients requiring treatment with oxaliplatin.

Introduction

Clinical use of the chemotherapeutic agent oxaliplatin is associated with a characteristic pattern of peripheral neurotoxicity that affects more than 90% of patients (1). The onset of neurotoxicity may occur immediately after infusion and is characterized by cold-exacerbated paresthesias, muscle spasms, and fasciculations. Although these acute symptoms resolve over time, at higher cumulative doses, oxaliplatin induces dose-limiting sensory neurotoxicity that leads to functional impairment, which can last for years following the discontinuation of treatment and, in more severe cases, result in permanent impairment (2).

The mechanisms underlying oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neurotoxicity (OIPN) remain incompletely understood. Within the nervous system, oxaliplatin preferentially accumulates in peripheral sensory neurons present in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) (3), and oxaliplatin levels in DRGs remain high for prolonged time periods, even after discontinuation of treatment. Because the severity of OIPN correlates with drug levels in peripheral nerves (4), these studies suggest that oxaliplatin accumulation in DRGs is a key trigger for the development of OIPN, and that pharmacological targeting of the transport mechanism regulating the initial accumulation of oxaliplatin could be a promising, previously unexplored, neuroprotective strategy. Studies have demonstrated that the cellular uptake of platinum-based chemotherapeutics such as cisplatin occurs via a facilitated transport mechanism (5). Consequently, distribution patterns and pathological changes following oxaliplatin administration are restricted to cells in organs capable of transporting oxaliplatin from the blood into cells (6, 7). Previous reports have demonstrated that the organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2, encoded by SLC22A2) mediates the intracellular accumulation of both cisplatin and oxaliplatin in mice, rats, and humans (7–10), and several other cationic-type transporters (zwitterion transporters [OCTNs] and multidrug and toxin extrusion proteins [MATEs]) and organic anion–transporting polypeptides (OATPs), may contribute to this process (6, 11–13).

The aim of the present study was to unambiguously identify the DRG transporter mediating oxaliplatin accumulation with the use of engineered knockout mice and rats, and establish the feasibility of a strategic intervention concept with translational potential using transport inhibitors. This approach is derived from the supposition that targeting of an initial uptake mechanism offers conceptual advances over interventions involving intracellular neuronal signaling cascades that may also be relevant to oxaliplatin-mediated antitumor efficacy.
on observed expression signatures and putative relevance, OCT1 and OCT2 (hereafter OCT1/2), OCT3, OCTN1, OATP1B2, OATP2B1, and MATE1 were selected for further consideration as in vivo transporters of oxaliplatin. A comparative von Frey hair test was used in WT and transporter-deficient mice to assess neuropathy 24 hours following a single dose of oxaliplatin. OCT1/2 deficiency conferred significant protection against OIPN in both acute and chronic models (Figure 1, B and C). The observed phenotypic changes occurred without concurrent alteration of oxaliplatin pharmacokinetics caused by OCT1/2 deficiency, as described previously (9). In addition, OCT1/2 deficiency was not associated with compensatory expression changes in phylogenetically linked transporters (Figure 2A), with the exception of OCT3. Although OCT3 is expressed more abundantly than OCT2 in DRGs (Figure 1A), OCT3 deficiency failed to offer sustained protection against OIPN 24 hours after treatment (Supplemental Figure 1D), suggesting that OCT3 did not independently contribute to the observed phenotypes. Candidate transporters were next evaluated for their ability to transport oxaliplatin in HEK293 cells overexpressing mouse and human homologous proteins. These studies indicate that OCT2 was the most efficient oxaliplatin transporter (Figure 1, D and E, and Supplemental Table 1), and lack of transport by OCT1 suggests that phenotypic alterations observed in OCT1/2−/− mice are exclusively due to the deficiency of OCT2.

Histological examination of DRG cross sections revealed the absence of morphological damage in both WT and OCT1/2−/− mice, with minimal degeneration of caudal and sciatic nerve fibers (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Taken together with the lack of changes observed in nerve conduction studies (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B).
ure 2C), these findings suggest the development of mild ganglionopathy and support the notion that functional deficits in sensory transduction and neuronal firing of proprioceptors can continue to exacerbate behavioral outcomes despite the absence of tissue degeneration associated with OIPN (15). Because DRGs represent ganglia of sensory neuronal and glial cells, we next evaluated the contributing cell type to OIPN. Immunofluorescence staining of untreated DRG cross sections demonstrated the colocalization of OCT2 with glial fibrillar acidic protein (GFAP) (Figure 2B), a satellite glial cell (SGC) marker (16) that has been implicated in the development of chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain (17). Subsequent investigation with primary cultures of SGCs indicated that OCT2 was highly expressed (Figure 2A) compared with nonsatellite cells (e.g., sensory neurons). Additionally, primary SGCs from OCT1/2−/− mice have diminished ability to accumulate oxaliplatin (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 3A). Similar findings were obtained in SGCs pretreated with dasatinib, a known inhibitor of OCT2 (Figure 2C and ref. 18).

Previously studies have indicated that targeting of OCT2 can confer protection against OIPN in mice (10, 18). In order to demonstrate the translational relevance of this concept (Supplemental Figure 3B), we next sought to validate the importance of OCT2 in OIPN in Sprague-Dawley rats as a secondary model organism (19). The utility of rats in OIPN was confirmed by the demonstration that rat OCT2 transports oxaliplatin and is highly sensitive to inhibition by dasatinib (Figure 3A and Figure 3B). The translational potential of using an OCT2 inhibitor as an intervention strategy to prevent the onset of OIPN was next evaluated in an acute model using WT and OCT2-deficient rats (Supplemental Figure 4, A–C) pretreated with orally administered dasatinib. These findings indicate that dasatinib significantly protected rats from OIPN (Figure 3C), similarly to that observed in OCT2-deficient rats, and that OCT2 deficiency or dasatinib pretreatment did not significantly influence urinary excretion or plasma levels of oxaliplatin (Figure 3, D and E) but diminished accumulation of oxaliplatin in DRGs (Figure 3F). Similarly, dasatinib reduced the accumulation of oxaliplatin in primary WT rat SGCs (Figure 3G and Supplemental Figure 5, A–C), consistent with a previous murine report (18) and the current study.

In contrast with our current findings, it was previously suggested that the transporter OCTN1 is a possible determinant of OIPN (6, 12, 20). Because of the expression of OCTN1 on the mitochondria, the neuroprotective effects of ergothioneine, an OCTN1-specific substrate, may be in part due to the contribution of intrinsic antioxidant activity to mitochondrial dysfunction rather than inhibition of oxaliplatin transport into DRGs. Our in vitro uptake studies indicate that oxaliplatin is not a transported substrate of mouse or human OCTN1 (Figure 1, D and E) and deficiency of OCTN1 or pretreatment with ergothioneine in mice did not afford neuroprotection (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 6A). A similar lack of oxaliplatin transport was reported for models overexpressing rat OCTN1 (21). It is conceivable that the neuroprotective effects associated with physiological concentrations of ergothioneine in chronic oxaliplatin treatment regimens are also partially due to effects on transporters other than OCTN1. Support for this comes from a prior study demonstrating that ergothioneine can significantly reduce the cellular uptake of oxaliplatin by more than 50% in DRG neurons from OCTN1-deficient mice (22). This earlier work also indicated that 80% of oxaliplatin uptake into DRG neurons occurs independently of OCTN1 and that oxaliplatin-induced loss of neuronal cell viability was unchanged in OCTN1-deficient mice.
plasma levels or anticancer efficacy of oxaliplatin in mice bearing HCT116 tumor cells, while simultaneously preventing OIPN (Figure 4, B–G, and Supplemental Figure 7, E and F).

Because dasatinib is known to inhibit transporters of importance to the distribution of oxaliplatin into hepatocytes and renal tubular cells (24, 25), including OATP1B2 and MATE1, we confirmed that deficiency of OATP1B2 did not affect the clearance of oxaliplatin (Supplemental Figure 8, A–D). Consistent with a role of MATE1 as a major elimination mechanism of cationic compounds, it is conceivable that the inhibition of MATE1 efflux may lead to increased renal tubular accumulation of oxaliplatin and increased nephrotoxicity. Although MATE1 deficiency in mice was associated with diminished urinary excretion of oxaliplatin, pretreatment with dasatinib did not influence markers of renal dysfunction such as serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen (Supplemental Figure 9, A–C). Furthermore, even high doses of oxaliplatin did not cause renal injury in MATE1-deficient mice, as evaluated by histological and biomarker examination (Supplemental Figure 9, D–F).

The combination of SRC kinase inhibitors such as dasatinib with oxaliplatin-containing regimens has been previously evaluated in patients with colorectal cancer (26). Although OIPN was still observed in patients receiving this combination, it is important to point out that the applied dasatinib dosing schedule was not opti-
mized in prior studies to exploit its OCT2-inhibitory properties. Our currently ongoing preclinical and clinical studies are designed to document local (DRG) and systemic (blood) changes in endogenous substrates of OCT2 that could be used as pharmacodynamic biomarkers of OCT2 function with inhibitors such as dasatinib.

In the context of our proposed intervention strategy, we acknowledge that several pharmacological approaches have been evaluated previously to prevent OIPN (27). Although duloxetine appears to be a viable lead from this collective work, the utility of this agent in preventing OIPN remains unclear (28). In a previously reported small-molecule library screen involving more than 8000 compounds (18), we found that more than half of the 433 compounds with potent OCT2 inhibitory properties were neurological compounds (Supplemental Figure 10, A–C). This is not surprising, considering the structural similarities of these compounds with known endogenous substrates of OCT2 such as catecholamines and neurotransmitters. Coincidentally, many of the OCT2 inhibitors identified from this screen, including duloxetine (Supplemental Figure 10D), have previously been evaluated in the management of neuropathic pain (27), based on their potential to inhibit intracellular signaling cascades that promote neuronal degeneration.

Collectively, we identified a previously unrecognized, SGC-specific pathway of oxaliplatin-induced neurological injury that is mediated by the transporter OCT2, which can be inhibited by dasatinib without compromising the anticancer properties of oxaliplatin. These findings not only shed light on the etiology of OIPN, but provide a rationale for future development of new targeted interventions using transport inhibitors to mitigate this debilitating side effect.

Methods
See Supplemental Methods for detailed methods.

Animal studies. All animals were housed in a temperature-controlled environment with a 12-hour light cycle, given standard chow diet and water ad libitum, and handled according to the Animal Care and Use Committee of The Ohio State University, under an approved protocol (2015A00000101-R1). For all experiments, age- and gender-matched WT or knockout mice (8–12 weeks) or rats (6–8 weeks) were used. Detailed information regarding sources and origins of the rodent models is provided in the Supplemental Methods.

Statistics. Data presented represent the mean ± SEM before or after normalization to baseline values. All experiments were performed with replicates, unless stated otherwise, and repeated on at least 2 occasions. An unpaired, 2-sided Student’s t test with Welch’s correction (2 groups) or a 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc test (>2 groups) was used to evaluate statistical significance using P less than 0.05 as the cutoff.
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