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Electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) use and vaping have dramatically increased in recent years, particularly among teenagers and young adults, with an estimated 7.6% reporting current, everyday e-cigarette use and 27.5% reporting use in the past 30 days (1, 2). The exact numbers of nicotine vapers who also vape marijuana are unknown, but there is significant overlap, with young adults who use e-cigarettes having an adjusted odds ratio of concurrent marijuana use of 3.47 (3). In 2019, e-cigarette or vaping product use–associated lung injury (EVALI) was recognized as a unique disease entity, and rapid escalation of the numbers of those affected was such that it has been labeled a public health crisis, with over 2800 cases of hospitalizations and 68 deaths as of March 2020. Individuals affected are predominantly males (66%) who use tetrahydrocannabinol-containing (THC-containing) vapes (82%). However, many EVALI patients report using both nicotine and THC products (43%) (4). Interestingly, there is significant clinical overlap between the EVALI epidemic and the COVID-19 pandemic. Both of these diseases have substantial gastrointestinal symptoms, bilateral lung infiltrates, and significant numbers of those affected progressing to hypoxic respiratory failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). But whereas EVALI is mainly a disease of the young, with a median age of 24 years, severe COVID-19 is primarily a disease of the elderly. Although smoking has been […]
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Electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) use and vaping have dramatically increased in recent years, particularly among teenagers and young adults, with an estimated 7.6% reporting current, everyday e-cigarette use and 27.5% reporting use in the past 30 days (1, 2). The exact numbers of nicotine vapers who also vape marijuana are unknown, but there is significant overlap, with young adults who use e-cigarettes having an adjusted odds ratio of concurrent marijuana use of 3.47 (3).

In 2019, e-cigarette or vaping product use–associated lung injury (EVALI) was recognized as a unique disease entity, and rapid escalation of the numbers of those affected was such that it has been labeled a public health crisis, with over 2800 cases of hospitalizations and 68 deaths as of March 2020. Individuals affected are predominantly males (66%) who use tetrahydrocannabinol-containing (THC-containing) vapes (82%). However, many EVALI patients report using both nicotine and THC products (43%) (4). Interestingly, there is significant clinical overlap between the EVALI epidemic and the COVID-19 pandemic. Both of these diseases have substantial gastrointestinal symptoms, bilateral lung infiltrates, and significant numbers of those affected progressing to hypoxic respiratory failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). But whereas EVALI is mainly a disease of the young, with a median age of 24 years, severe COVID-19 is primarily a disease of the elderly. Although smoking has been linked to increased COVID-19 severity (5), it is as yet unknown whether vaping increases the susceptibility to or severity of this novel viral illness.

At the core of EVALI and COVID-19 is the clinical syndrome of acute lung injury (ALI), which is defined by injury to both alveolar epithelial cells and pulmonary vascular endothelial cells throughout both lungs. The damage to epithelial and endothelial cells leads to destruction of alveolar-capillary membrane integrity, with fluid filling the alveoli, migration of neutrophils into the lungs, and release of both proinflammatory and cytotoxic factors (6). The exact mechanism behind the lung injuries seen in EVALI is unknown, but we propose two possibilities (Figure 1A): (a) a chemical inhaled within the aerosol created by vaping or dabbing is directly cytotoxic to certain lung cells, leading to cellular necrosis, neutrophilic inflammation, and collateral damage, or, alternatively, (b) a two-hit phenomenon occurs, in which inhalation of the base ingredients of e-liquids (propylene glycol and glycerin) within e-device–derived aerosols leads to alterations in the homeostatic state of lung immune cells, such that when a normally well-tolerated inhalant hits the lungs, it tips the balance and triggers massive inflammation. This JCI Viewpoint article discusses these potential mechanistic pathways in the context of how other lung injuries occur and pinpoints important research needs moving forward.

**Epidemiology of vaping and the EVALI epidemic**

As the popularity of vaping has risen, there has been a profusion of novel flavor additives, particularly fruit and candy flavors. Nontobacco flavors appeal more to younger e-cigarette users (7) and are associated with a greater likelihood of initiation and continued use over time (8, 9). Many of the chemicals used to create particular flavors contain known toxins, including diacetyl and 2,3-pentanedione (10). Complex chemical reactions occur during heating of the e-liquid, generating additional bioactive compounds that may be damaging to the lungs.

In addition to flavored nicotine solutions, cannabis products are frequently used in e-devices. Up to 61% of cannabis users report vaping a THC product at some time in their life (11). This includes vaping THC liquids, vaping cannabidiol (CBD) oils added to regular e-liquids, and dabbing highly concentrated THC substances. Unfortunately, exact statistics on cannabinoid vaping and dabbing are not available, making accurate assessments of their incidence impossible.

**Mechanisms of direct lung injury by inhalants**

To support our direct toxicity hypothesis (Figure 1), there are multiple chemicals known to cause inflammation when inhaled. Diacetyl, a chemical added to e-liquids to give a buttery flavor, is known to cause bronchiolitis obliterans (popcorn lung), an obstructive disease of the small airways (12). The mechanism of this disease is not fully understood but is believed to be due to direct damage to the respiratory epithelium, with disorganized fibrotic repair (13). Nicotine itself is believed to be the causal agent in acute eosinophilic pneumonia, seen in both cigarette smokers and e-cigarette vapers, but the mechanism remains unknown (14, 15). In EVALI, the neutrophil, not the eosinophil, is the predominant inflammatory cell recruited to the lungs, which makes the disease more pathologically similar to ALI (16). EVALI is also similar to acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP), in that neutrophils are the main cells driving inflammation and AIP rapidly responds to steroid treatment.

Chlorine is another well-known chemical cause of ALI and ARDS (17), and its pattern of lung damage may best parallel that seen in EVALI. In chlorine pulmonary...
Currently, the prime suspect molecule in EVALI is vitamin E, which has been identified in many e-liquids and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples from affected individuals (19). Little is known about the nondermatologic and nongastrointestinal ingestion effects of vitamin E. It is possible that the inhalation of this large molecule leads to direct cytotoxicity of particular lung cell types, versus an attempted clearance of this molecule by alveolar macrophages that leads to accumulation within the vacuoles due to an inability of these cells to break it down, followed by macrophage cell death or promotion of a proinflammatory macrophage phenotype that drives ALI (Figure 1B).

Proposed interventions and public policy

In order to prevent the considerable harms linked to EVALI and ongoing e-cigarette use, it is important to consider public health policies to both prevent the use of e-cigarettes by young people and identify evidence-based interventions to help e-cigarette users quit. Given the heterogeneous potential mechanisms for EVALI, any intervention to decrease vaping-associated lung injury will need to be sufficiently flexible to cover the spectrum of possible causative agents. Although legislation to ban flavor additives in prefilled cartridges except for tobacco and menthol has been passed, this does not address either non–flavor-related lung toxicity or the flavored e-liquids used in refillable or disposable vaping devices. Given that EVALI has been observed in individuals who do not use flavors other than tobacco or menthol, it is likely that this intervention will not eliminate EVALI. Additionally, the current pod-based partial flavor ban policy is unsatisfactory, since it leaves menthol products and other flavorings available for other vaping products that have been shown to appeal to youth.

If a flavor ban is not the solution to EVALI, what is the alternative? We propose that increased labeling of e-liquid contents based on mass spectrographic analysis is an important first step. Improved
characterization of chemical components in e-liquid will allow researchers to better identify possible pulmonary toxins in future cases of EVALI. Furthermore, it will empower consumers of e-liquids to limit their exposure to potentially harmful additives by increasing awareness of what they are inhaling. A drawback to this approach is that it is time consuming and costly, meaning that legislation would likely be necessary to motivate manufacturers of e-liquid to increase labeling.

Tobacco control policies, such as raising the minimum age to purchase tobacco products to 21, which was recently passed in the US, are critical to reduce youth access. Another target for public policy intervention would be to limit the nicotine content of e-liquid, with the aim of reducing the addictiveness of vaping. It has been hypothesized that the limit of nicotine to less than 20 mg/mL in the United Kingdom is the main reason that vaping is not as prominent in their youth. Additionally, legislation prohibiting e-cigarette marketing to adolescents and young adults should be strengthened to prevent future generations of youth from acquiring the habit. If vaping and e-cigarette use can be reduced, not only will we reduce the incidence of EVALI, but we will hopefully prevent the (as-yet unknown) long-term health consequences of vaping.

There are currently no evidence-based interventions to help individuals stop using e-cigarettes. Interventions that are currently being promoted or recommended have been modified from existing counseling interventions for helping individuals quit combustible tobacco use and have not been directly evaluated in e-cigarette users or validated in children or teenagers, a population that is now in need of treatment for nicotine addiction. There is a critical need for increased funding for research to identify evidence-based interventions that are tailored for e-cigarette cessation across age groups.

**Where do we go from here?**

The potential mechanisms for EVALI are diverse (Figure 1). Investigators have identified vitamin E acetate as a possible causative agent of EVALI, however, it is likely that additional damaging compounds will be identified as the research continues. Exploration of other toxic inhalation syndromes as well as utilization of animal models to assess the cytotoxic and inflammatory effects of various chemicals in THC vaping and dabbing devices may serve to better elucidate the mechanisms of lung injury in vaping-associated lung disease.

There is a critical need for a broad research agenda to address the public health epidemic of EVALI (20). The CDC and FDA have been working with researchers to track cases and identify possible mechanisms or exposures linked to the development of this disorder. Further research is needed to more directly clarify the precise mechanism of action to both treat and prevent EVALI as well as vaping-induced lung diseases in general. In particular, it is critical that biomedical researchers be allowed to study all THC and nicotine products being used by the general public. Finally, there is a need for broad tobacco control policies to prevent access to these products and identify evidence-based interventions to support cessation.
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