The past decade has seen a reduction in society’s perception of cannabis being of any risk or harm. However, we are in a transformational time in which cannabis’ health implications related to neurodevelopment, particularly for vulnerable populations, must challenge such perceptions of harmlessness. The combination of multiple interrelated factors — societal, political, and business — have created the “perfect cannabis storm” of unintended neurodevelopmental consequences with an unmatched exposure to cannabis compared with exposure levels never experienced before in human history. Increased exposure is driven by the drug’s increased availability across all levels of society. This is due to the legalization of cannabis in most states, coupled with the recent explosion of highly modified cannabis strains and extracted pure Δ9-tetrahydrocanabinol (THC), the prominent psychoactive cannabinoid from the plant. This explosion has led to diverse products consumed by numerous routes and with extremely high THC potencies. Thus, cannabis today is dramatically different from cannabis even ten years ago, with exponentially increased THC concentrations (1). At the same time, levels of other cannabinoids such as cannabidiol (CBD) that appear to have some protective properties are lower in the plant, leading to THC/CBD ratios of 104 in 2017 versus 23 in 2008 (1). While some turn to dispensaries for “safer” cannabinoids, these products can contain far greater THC content (~70%) than the cannabis […]
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Potent, biologically active cannabinoids such as THC readily cross the placental barrier with the potential to impact the fetus. Though not equivocal, multiple reports document that cannabis consumption throughout pregnancy increases the risk of intrauterine growth retardation, low birth weight, and prematurity, particularly with heavy/frequent cannabis use. These reported consequences are not surprising, since the endogenous cannabinoid (also known as endocannabinoid, eCB) system — consisting of cannabinoid receptors to which THC binds as well as eCB ligands and the enzymes that regulate their synthesis and degradation — is involved in a broad spectrum of developmental processes at every stage of pregnancy, from implantation to parturition (4). eCB signaling tightly regulates stem cell proliferation, neuron and glial migration, cell differentiation, axon-dendrite polarization, and synaptogenesis, which together orchestrate the hardwiring of the brain (5). As such, eCB perturbation with cannabis exposure could adversely affect neurodevelopment. THC is, however, not normally considered teratogenic, since cannabis exposure during pregnancy does not cause marked congenital defects, e.g., mental retardation and developmental disability, as with fetal alcohol syndrome. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that in utero cannabis exposure during the periconceptional period, approximately the first four weeks of gestation, increases the risk of anencephaly (6). These findings are consistent with preclinical evidence that THC modulates the Sonic hedgehog pathway, which plays a critical role in fetal development, leading to brain dysmorphology (7). Importantly, many women do not know they are pregnant during the periconceptional period, and eCB signaling perturbations have been associated with early pregnancy loss (4).

The risks of in utero cannabis exposure must also be considered in relation to potential long-term and enduring consequences. Pioneering longitudinal studies (e.g., Maternal Health Practices and Child Development Project, Ottawa Prenatal Prospective Study, and Generation R) report neurobehavioral problems related to prenatal cannabis exposure (8, 9). Although some noted differences exist between the studies (due to a variety of factors, e.g., cannabis strains, THC concentrations, frequency and duration of use, genetics, and other environmental conditions), all highlight negative long-term outcomes. Important-
ly, these investigations are complemented by animal studies that causally demonstrate protracted effects of prenatal THC on behaviors during juvenile and adult periods (5, 10). Animal models also replicate gene expression alterations detected in the brains of human cannabis-exposed fetuses (11) and provide evidence of cortical rewiring as well as molecular disturbances well into adulthood (5, 10).

Infancy and childhood, a growing developmental window of cannabis exposure
Reduced risk perception has also coincided with increased cannabis use in adults with children at home, and secondhand inhalation is a significant source of cannabis exposure in infancy and childhood (12). Even women who abstain from use while pregnant often restart after pregnancy, and THC and other cannabinoids are transferred to breastmilk during lactation, with potential to impact neurodevelopmental processes. Infancy is characterized by rapid and dynamic brain growth (achieving ~80% of the adult mass) as the size of existing cells increases and trillions of neural connections (i.e., synapses) are formed. This is followed subsequently after age two by a period of pruning and remodeling to improve the efficiency of brain circuits. A fundamental property of eCB function is at the synapse, where its key role in synaptogenesis during fetal development subsequently switches to tightly modulating synaptic function (5). The health impact of cannabis exposure during early life and childhood remains insufficiently examined in clinical studies. However, animal models demonstrate that THC exposure during lactation leads to sociobehavioral disturbances in adolescence and adulthood and perturbs synaptic plasticity by altering the trajectory of neuronal development within the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (5, 10), a brain region highly implicated in several psychiatric illnesses.

Teenagers and emerging adults, a different cannabis era
The normal nature of adolescence is one of experimentation and risk-taking. However, the current perfect cannabis storm puts adolescents in far greater danger than previous generations, especially given concentrated and toxic administration routes embraced today such as vaping (with cheap black-market devices) and dabbing (providing up to 90% THC). Although the majority of brain development occurs before age five, the equally critical remaining 10% of brain development constituting the final organizational phase of maturation extends well into the mid-twenties. This period involves fine-tuning of neural pathways through pruning (elimination of synapses) and stabilization of remaining synapses. The PFC, associated with higher cognitive function and emotional regulation, is the final region to gain full maturity, and the eCB system is an important mediator of proper PFC maturation (13). It is well documented that cannabis use during adolescence, particularly early and frequent use, is strongly associated with negative long-term outcomes in psychiatric vulnerability and addiction risk (14, 15), behavioral outcomes recapitulated in animal models (10). It is also now clear that high-potency cannabis strongly predicts the odds of psychotic disorder (15), substantially altering the life courses of individuals as they head into adulthood (Figure 1).

Conclusions: moving the dial
The reduced risk perception of cannabis has profoundly affected vulnerable groups. Irrespective of beliefs that adult use is acceptable, as with other recreational drugs such as tobacco and alcohol, and should not be criminalized, the suggestion that cannabis is harmless is invalid, and we must address this misinformation head on. Cannabis today is a highly potent drug, and dose matters. Solutions to the current problems of prenatal, childhood, and early adult cannabis exposure must integrate science in education and government policies to modify perceptions.

**Education.** Information regarding the integral nature of the eCB system in modulating all stages of neurodevelopment, as well as the risk to that system posed by cannabis exposure, must be strongly incorporated into messages to pregnant women, parents with children at home, and teens and young adults. While potential benefits of medical cannabis have contributed to the reduction in risk perception, confusion exists in the public and for clini-
nicians regarding terminology. The terms medical marijuana, medical cannabis, and cannabidiol are often used interchangeably although they do not refer to the same thing. Consistent terminologies and definitions must be adopted across all areas (commercial, government, and medical).

Policy. Though influencing the course of cannabis policies will be challenging, it is not too late. Current laws have not deeply considered the health impact for vulnerable groups, only setting a generic ban on purchasing products for those under 21 years old. This ban does little to protect vulnerable groups, only setting a general direction for the future policy. Federal and state regulations reduce exposure, especially to high-potency cannabis use among adolescents under 21 years old. This ban does little to reduce exposure, especially to high-potency cannabis. Federal and state regulations reduce exposure, especially to high-potency cannabis use among adolescents under 21 years old. This ban does little to protect vulnerable groups, only setting a general direction for the future policy.

Overall, an integrated science-based strategy can provide a strong foundation for protecting vulnerable groups while affording the advancement of true medical cannabinoid strategies that together improve human health.
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