Introduction
Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common pruritic inflammatory skin disease that often begins in infancy and frequently affects individuals with personal or family history of atopic disease. The majority of infants with AD develop asthma and/or allergic rhinitis later in life (1). The histology of AD skin lesion reveals a marked inflammatory cell infiltrate that consists of eosinophils, lymphocytes, monocytes/macrophages, and Langerhans cells. In chronic lesions there are also increased numbers of mast cells (1). Lymphocytes infiltrating the skin lesions of AD are predominantly CD3+, CD4+, and CD45RO+ memory T cells (2). The Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) are expressed in acute skin lesions of AD, whereas the Th1 cytokine IFN-γ is found in later stages of the disease (3, 4).

IL-5 stimulates the maturation of eosinophils from CD34+ precursor cells in the bone marrow and their release into the circulation (5). IL-5 also primes eosinophils for responsiveness to chemotactic factors (6). Increased numbers of circulating eosinophils have been observed in patients with AD (7). Furthermore, programmed cell death of peripheral blood eosinophils is delayed in AD (8). Although intact eosinophils are sparse in AD lesional skin, eosinophil granule proteins, i.e., eosinophil-derived major basic protein (MBP) and eosinophil cationic protein, are increased in the peripheral blood of patients with AD and correlate with disease activity (9). Moreover, these proteins are also deposited in lesional skin of AD (10, 11). These data indicate that eosinophil activation and degranulation occurs in AD.

Chemokines play an important role in the infiltration of inflammatory cells into tissues. Chemokines are classified into four subclasses (CC, CXC, C, and CX3C), based on the location of the first two cysteines in their sequence. The biological effects of chemokines are mediated by their interaction with specific receptors that belong to the seven-transmembrane G-protein–coupled receptors (12). The principal receptor involved in eosinophil attraction is CCR3 (13), which is also expressed by Th2 cells and mast cells (14, 15).

The CC chemokine receptor 3 (CCR3) is expressed by eosinophils, mast cells, and Th2 cells. We used CCR3−/− mice to assess the role of CCR3 in a murine model of allergic skin inflammation induced by repeated epicutaneous sensitization with ovalbumin (OVA), and characterized by eosinophil skin infiltration, local expression of Th2 cytokines, and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) to inhaled antigen. Eosinophils and the eosinophil product major basic protein were absent from the skin of sham and OVA-sensitized CCR3−/− mice. Mast cell numbers and expression of IL-4 mRNA were normal in skin of CCR3−/− mice, suggesting that CCR3 is not important for infiltration of the skin by mast cells and Th2 cells. CCR3−/− mice produced normal levels of OVA-specific IgE, and their splenocytes secreted normal amounts of IL-4 and IL-5 following in vitro stimulation with OVA, indicating effective generation of systemic Th2 helper responses. Recruitment of eosinophils to lung parenchyma and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid was severely impaired in CCR3−/− mice, which failed to develop AHR to methacholine following antigen inhalation. These results suggest that CCR3 plays an essential role in eosinophil recruitment to the skin and the lung and in the development of AHR.
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bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (16). In an ovalbumin-induced (OVA-induced) mouse asthma model, administration of neutralizing Ab’s to eotaxin, or MCP-3, or of the receptor antagonist met-RANTES partially reduced T cell and eosinophil infiltration and AHR (18, 19). Furthermore, eotaxin-deficient mice have 70% less eosinophils in BAL fluid 18 hours after airway antigen challenge (20). Taken together, these studies suggest an important role for CCR3 and its ligands in recruiting eosinophils to the lung and in the subsequent development of AHR.

Eotaxin, MCP-3, and RANTES, as well as CCR3, are expressed in human AD skin lesions (21–23). However, the importance of CCR3 and its ligands in AD has not been examined. We have reported that eotaxin, MCP-3, and RANTES are also expressed in OVA-sensitized skin sites in a murine model of allergic skin inflammation induced by repeated epicutaneous sensitization with OVA (24, 25). This model has many similarities to human AD including elevated total and specific IgE, as well as dermatitis characterized by infiltration of CD4+ T cells and eosinophils, and by local expression of mRNA for the cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IFN-γ. Furthermore, mice epicutaneously sensitized to OVA and then challenged once with aerosolized OVA exhibit increased AHR to inhaled methacholine (Mch), a hallmark of asthma (26). We took advantage of the recent availability of CCR3-deficient mice to examine the role of CCR3 in our murine model of allergic skin inflammation. The results obtained suggest that CCR3 is essential for eosinophil recruitment into the skin at sites of antigen sensitization, as well as for eosinophil recruitment into the lung and the development of AHR following inhaled antigen challenge of epicutaneously sensitized mice.

**Methods**

*Mice and sensitization.* The CCR3−/− mice were generated as described (27). CCR3−/− mice are of 129/BALB/c background and have normal T and B cell phenotype and normal hematologic parameters, including leukocyte differential counts, platelets, and hematocrit. Age- and weight-matched CCR3+/− littermates are used as wild-type (WT) controls. These animals were kept in a pathogen-free environment. All procedures performed on the mice were in accordance with the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Children’s Hospital.

Epicutaneous sensitization of 4- to 6-week-old female mice was performed as described previously (24). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with Avertin (Sigma-Aldrich, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) and then shaved with an electric razor. The shaved area was tape stripped three times by transparent dressing Tegaderm (Owens & Minor Inc., Franklin, Massachusetts, USA) to remove loose hair and to introduce standardized skin injury as a surrogate for the excoriation induced by scratching in patients with AD. One hundred micrograms of OVA (Grade V; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Missouri, USA) in 100 µl of normal saline or sham (100 µl of normal saline) were placed on a patch of sterile gauze (1 × 1 cm), which was secured to the skin with Tegaderm. The patches were placed for a 1-week period and then removed. Two weeks later, an identical patch was reapplied to the same skin site. Each mouse had a total of three 1-week exposures to the patch separated from each other by 2-week intervals.

**Serum IgE.** Mice were bled and sera collected following third epicutaneous sensitization. The standard Pharmingen (San Diego, California, USA) protocol for sandwich ELISA was used to quantify OVA-specific IgE Ab’s (24). Results of OVA-specific IgE were expressed as nanograms per milliliter by comparison with a standard consisting of purified mouse OVA-specific IgE secreted by the hybridoma TOε, a kind gift of Mamoru Kiniwa (Immunology Research Laboratory, Hanno Research Center, Taiho Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Saitama, Japan) (28).

**Histological analysis.** For histological examination, specimens were obtained from patched areas on the skin following the third sensitization. Specimens were fixed in 10% buffered-formalin and embedded in paraffin. Multiple 4-µm sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Individual inflammatory cell types were counted blinded in 20 high-power fields (HPFs) ×1,000 and expressed as cells per HPF, with the mean calculated. Mast cells were identified in tissue sections after staining with toluidine blue. To identify eosinophils in the lung, lung sections were stained with Congo red, which stains eosinophils orange. Mast cells in the trachea were identified by staining trachea with a solution containing naphthol AS-D chloroacetate (29).

**Immunohistological analysis.** Skin sections were embedded in Tissue-Tek oxalcacitriol compound (Miles Inc., Elkhart, Indiana, USA) on dry ice. Sections of 4 µm were prepared and were stained by an avidin-biotin method as described previously (30). Rabbit anti-MBP Ab is a kind gift from James Lee (Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA) (31). Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with PBS/0.3%H2O2 routinely, and staining without primary Ab was used as a negative control to ensure that brown cells are anti-MBP immunoreactive cells.

**RNA preparation and PCR amplification of reverse-transcribed cDNA.** Skin biopsies and lung samples were obtained at the end of the third sensitization and were immediately frozen in dry ice. To extract the RNA, the samples were homogenized in TRizol (Life Technologies Inc., Grand Island, New York, USA) using a Polytron RT-3000 (Kinematica AG, Littau-Luzern, Switzerland). Further RNA extraction was performed following the manufacturer’s instruction. The cDNA was synthesized from 10 µg of total RNA in a 40-µl reaction mix using Superscript II (Life Technologies Inc.) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The primers used to amplify cDNA for β2-microglobulin, IL-4, IFN-γ, and DNA amplification were as described previously (24, 32, 33). To quantify mRNA, a fixed amount of reverse-transcribed cellular mRNA was coamplified in the presence of serial dilutions of a multispecific internal plasmid.
control (pMUS3; ref. 32), which contains nucleotide sequences of multiple cytokines. The dilution of which pMUS3-derived and cDNA-derived signals were of equivalent intensity was used to establish the relative amount of cytokine. The results were expressed as a ratio of cytokine cDNA to cDNA of the constitutively expressed β2-microglobulin gene.

In vitro IL-4 and IL-5 synthesis. Single cell suspensions of spleen cells were prepared from mice in complete RPMI-1640 (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, Kansas, USA) supplemented by 10% FCS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM t-glutamine, 0.05 mM 2-ME, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Cells were cultured in the above medium at 2 × 10⁶/ml in 24-well plates in the presence of OVA (50 µg/ml). Supernatants were collected after 96 hours of culture, centrifuged, and frozen until use. IL-4 and IL-5 in supernatants were determined by ELISA following the manufacturer’s instructions (PharMingen).

Analysis of eosinophils in peripheral blood. Blood was collected following the third sensitization. The absolute eosinophil count was determined by counting heparinized blood in a hemocytometer after staining with Discombe’s fluid (34).

BAL. Immediately after sacrifice, cells in the lungs were recovered by flushing 0.8 ml of BAL fluid (1 mM EDTA, 10% FCS, PBS) into the lungs via the trachea. Total cell counts were determined and 100 µl of fluid were cytopspun onto glass slides using a Cytospin 3 centrifuge (Shandon Lipshaw, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA) (400 g for 4 minutes). Differential cell counts were performed after staining with Diff-Quik Stain Set (Baxter Healthcare Corp., Miami, Florida, USA).

AHR to Mch. Lung functions were determined 24 hours after exposure to aerosolized OVA (1% in saline for 20 minutes by ultrasonic nebulization). Enhanced pause (Penh) was measured using whole body plethysmography (BUXCO, Troy, New York, USA) as previously described (35). Baseline readings were taken and averaged for 5 minutes. Aerosolized saline or Mch in increasing concentrations (1 to 333 mg/ml) were nebulized through an inlet of the main chamber for 2 minutes. Increases in airway resistance to aerosolized Mch were determined as Penh values, during and after the exposure (10-minute total analysis time).

Statistical analysis. Except for Penh, nonparametric Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare the different mouse groups, since standard deviations varied widely between groups. Penh results were analyzed using two-way ANOVA. A P value smaller than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Eosinophils are virtually absent in OVA-sensitized skin sites of CCR3−/− mice. Dermal infiltration with eosinophils is an important feature of our model of allergic skin inflammation elicited by epicutaneous allergen application (24). Few eosinophils were present in sham-sensitized skin from WT mice. This number significantly increased following epicutaneous sensitization with OVA. In contrast, no eosinophils were detected in sham-sensitized skin from CCR3−/− mice. More importantly, eosinophils were virtually absent in the skin of CCR3−/− mice following OVA sensitization (Figure 1a).

The virtual absence of eosinophils in the skin of CCR3−/− mice may have resulted from their failure to infiltrate and/or survive in the skin. The eosinophil product MBP has been used as a marker for eosinophils in tissues and remains detectable following eosinophil apoptosis (36). Sensitized skin sites from CCR3−/− and WT mice were stained for MBP using immunoperoxidase. MBP staining was readily detectable in sham-sensitized skin sites and markedly increased following OVA sensitization in WT mice. In contrast, no MBP staining was detectable in either sham-sensitized or OVA-sensitized skin sites of CCR3−/− mice (Figure 1b).

One possible explanation for the absent eosinophils in the skin of CCR3−/− mice is lack of eosinophil mobilization from the bone marrow into the blood. There was no statistically significant difference in the number of circulating eosinophils between CCR3−/− mice and WT con-

Figure 1

Eosinophils are virtually absent in OVA-sensitized skin sites of CCR3−/− mice. (a) Eosinophils/HPF in skin sites of CCR3−/− mice and WT controls sensitized with either OVA or saline. The bars represent the mean (n = 6–7 animals per group). *P < 0.01. (b) Immunoperoxidase MBP staining of sensitized skin sites from CCR3−/− mice and WT controls. MBP stains brown.
trols sensitized with either saline (WT: $0.74 \pm 0.21 \times 10^5$ eosinophils/ml; CCR3$^{-/-}$: $1.38 \pm 0.46 \times 10^5$ eosinophils/ml) or OVA (WT: $1.75 \pm 0.32 \times 10^5$ eosinophils/ml; CCR3$^{-/-}$: $1.83 \pm 0.58 \times 10^5$ eosinophils/ml).

OVA-sensitized skin of CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice has normal numbers of mononuclear cells, expression of mRNA for Th2 (IL-4), and Th1 (IFN-$\gamma$) cytokines, and normal numbers of mast cells. OVA-sensitized skin sites in our model exhibit increased numbers of mononuclear cells, which consist of predominately CD4$^+$ T cells and macrophages. Furthermore, mRNA expression of the Th2 cytokine IL-4 is markedly increased in these sites, suggesting the presence of Th2 cells (24). Expression of mRNA for the Th1 cytokine IFN-$\gamma$ is modestly increased in some, but not all mouse strains tested (24, 25, 37). Th2, but not Th1 cells, express CCR3 (15) and migrate in response to an eotaxin gradient in vitro (38). It was therefore important to examine whether cellular infiltration and Th cytokine expression were affected by the absence of CCR3.

OVA sensitization resulted in a comparable increase in the number of mononuclear cells (Figure 2a) and of CD3$^+$ T cells (data not shown) in the dermis of CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice and WT controls. More importantly, OVA sensitization resulted in a marked and comparable increase in IL-4 mRNA expression in CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice and WT controls (Figure 2b). There was no significant increase in the expression of IFN-$\gamma$mRNA following OVA sensitization in either CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice or WT controls (Figure 2c).

Mast cells are derived from bone marrow progenitors, which migrate to the peripheral tissues as immature cells and undergo differentiation in situ (39). Since mast cells express CCR3 (40, 41), we examined mast cells by toluidine blue staining. The number of total and degranulated mast cells were slightly elevated in OVA-sensitized skin compared with sham-sensitized skin in both WT mice and CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice, but the differences were not statistically significant (data not shown). There were no differences in the numbers of total and degranulated mast cells between WT and CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice (data not shown). These results suggest that CCR3 is not important for the homing and maturation of mast cell precursors into the skin.

Sensitized CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice mount a normal Th2 response. Our murine model of allergic skin inflammation is characterized by a Th2-dominated systemic response characterized by elevated antigen-specific IgE (24) and by production of Th2 cytokines by antigen-stimulated splenocytes (see Figure 3, a and b). Splenocytes from OVA-sensitized, but not from sham-sensitized, CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice secreted IL-4 and IL-5 in amounts comparable to those secreted by WT controls (Figure 3, a and b). The level of IFN-$\gamma$ production from splenocytes was not changed by sensitization and was com-

Figure 2
Total mononuclear cell counts in CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice are normal (a). CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice have normal expression of IL-4 (b) and IFN-$\gamma$ (c) mRNA in OVA-sensitized skin. Cytokine mRNA levels were normalized to $\beta$2-microglobulin. The bars represent the mean ($n = 6$ animals per group). *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$.

Figure 3
IL-4 (a) and IL-5 (b) in supernatants of splenocytes from CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice and WT controls sensitized with either OVA or saline following in vitro stimulation with OVA. The bars represent the mean ($n = 4$–6 animals per group). CCR3$^{-/-}$ mice mount normal serum OVA-specific IgE (c) Ab responses. The bars represent the mean ($n = 6$–7 animals per group). *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$.  
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parable in CCR3−/− mice and WT controls (data not shown). Furthermore, CCR3−/− mice had levels of serum OVA-specific IgE comparable to WT controls (Figure 3c). The level of serum OVA-specific IgG2a was very low, but comparable in CCR3−/− mice and WT controls (data not shown). These results suggest that CCR3 is not important for the Th2 response to epicutaneously applied antigen.

CCR3−/− mice epicutaneously sensitized with OVA do not develop BAL or lung tissue eosinophilia following inhalation challenge with allergen. To assess the role of CCR3 in the recruitment of cells to the lung and into the airways, we examined BAL fluid and lung sections from CCR3−/− mice and WT controls following inhalation challenge with OVA. As we previously showed (24), few eosinophils were present in BAL fluid from sham-sensitized WT mice following OVA challenge, whereas eosinophil numbers were markedly increased in BAL fluid from OVA-sensitized WT mice (Figure 4a). In contrast, no eosinophils were detected in BAL fluid from sham-sensitized CCR3−/− mice, and eosinophil numbers were severely reduced (~89%) in BAL fluid from OVA-sensitized CCR3−/− mice (Figure 4a). Small numbers of neutrophils were present in BAL fluid from sham-sensitized WT mice and CCR3−/− mice. Neutrophil numbers were increased in BAL fluid from both OVA-sensitized WT mice and CCR3−/− mice. Neutrophil numbers were increased in BAL fluid from both OVA-sensitized WT mice and CCR3−/− mice (Figure 4a). Increase in CCR3−/− mice was less than that observed in OVA-sensitized WT controls, but the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 4a). The number of T lymphocytes in the BAL fluid of WT and CCR3−/− mice was equivalent and did not differ between mice sensitized with saline and those sensitized with OVA (Figure 4a).

The failure of eosinophil recruitment into the airway in CCR3−/− mice may have resulted from failure to mobilize eosinophils from the blood into lung tissue and/or from failure to mobilize eosinophils from the lung tissue into the airway. Following OVA challenge, few eosinophils were present in the lung parenchyma of sham-sensitized WT mice. The numbers of infiltrating eosinophils were markedly increased in OVA-sensitized WT mice (Figure 4b). Eosinophils were rarely detected in lungs from sham-sensitized CCR3−/− mice. The number of infiltrating eosinophils increased in OVA-sensitized CCR3−/− mice, but significantly less than that in OVA-sensitized WT control (Figure 4b). Taken together, these data suggest that CCR3 is essential for eosinophil recruitment to the lung following antigen sensitization and challenge.

Mast cells are considered important effector cells in the allergic airway response (42). We examined mast cells in the trachea following inhalation challenge with OVA in WT mice and CCR3−/− mice. Mast cell numbers were similar in sham-sensitized CCR3−/− mice (6 ± 0.6 mast cells/trachea ring) and WT controls (8.3 ± 2.2 mast cells/trachea ring). Mast cell numbers did not increase in OVA-sensitized CCR3−/− mice (7.5 ± 0.9 mast cells/trachea ring) or WT controls (10.8 ± 3.3 mast cells/trachea ring). There was no evidence of degranulation either in WT or in CCR3−/− mice (Figure 4c). Mast cells were localized in the submucosa, but not intraepithelially, in both CCR3−/− mice and WT controls (Figure 4c).

CCR3−/− mice epicutaneously sensitized with OVA do not develop AHR to Mch following inhalation challenge with allergen. AHR to inhaled antigen develops in epicutaneously sensitized mice (24). Inhalation of a single dose of OVA by WT mice epicutaneously sensitized with OVA resulted 24 hours later in a significant increase in AHR to Mch compared with sham-sensi-
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**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates that eosinophils are absent from the skin of CCR3−/− mice and fail to infiltrate their skin following repeated epicutaneous sensitization with OVA. Recruitment of eosinophils to lung parenchyma and into airways following OVA antigen inhalation challenge is also severely impaired in epicutaneously sensitized CCR3−/− mice. Furthermore, epicutaneously sensitized mice fail to develop AHR.

Eosinophils and their product MBP were virtually absent from both sham and OVA-sensitized skin of CCR3−/− mice (Figure 1, a and b). Eosinophils were also severely decreased in the lung and BAL fluid of epicutaneously sensitized CCR3−/− mice challenged with inhalation of OVA (Figure 4, a and b). However, lack of CCR3 does not affect eosinophil migration in general. Baseline eosinophil numbers in thymus and lung were normal in CCR3−/− mice (27). Furthermore, eosinophils from CCR3−/− mice instilled into the trachea of WT mice migrate normally to draining lymph nodes (43). These results suggest that CCR3 plays an essential role in eosinophil recruitment to the skin and the lung.

Eotaxin is an important ligand for CCR3. There is conflicting data regarding the role of eotaxin in eosinophil recruitment to the lung following antigen challenge. In one study, eosinophil numbers in BAL fluid of eotaxin-null mice were reduced by 70% 18 hours, but not 48 hours, after challenge (20). In another study, eosinophil numbers in BAL fluid of eotaxin-null mice were normal 18 hours after allergen challenge (44). These data suggest that eotaxin could be redundant in eosinophil recruitment to the lung following antigen challenge. Other CCR3 ligands, such as MCP-3 or RANTES, may also be important for eosinophil recruitment to the lung.

In addition to CCR3, eosinophils may also express CCR1 (45), IL-8 receptor (46), and possibly other unidentified chemokine receptors (47). CCR1 is a receptor for RANTES and MIP-1α. Tissue expression of both of these chemokines is increased in AD and asthma (25, 48–52). Nevertheless, our data suggest that CCR1 and IL-8 receptor play a minor role, if any, in eosinophil recruitment into inflamed skin and lung.

A recent study has shown that infusion of eotaxin results in rapid blood eosinophilia and synergizes with IL-5 in eosinophil mobilization (53), suggesting a role for eotaxin in mobilization of eosinophils from bone marrow. Examination of the physiologic role of eotaxin in eosinophil mobilization using eotaxin-deficient mice has yielded conflicting results. One line of eotaxin-deficient mice showed decreased blood eosinophil counts (20), and the other line showed normal blood eosinophil counts (44). Blood eosinophil counts were comparable in CCR3−/− and WT controls. Given the fact that CCR3 is the only known receptor for eotaxin, these results suggest that eotaxin may not play an important role in the mobilization of eosinophils from the bone marrow in our model. Furthermore, CCR3 deficiency did not interfere with the production of the IL-5 (Fig-
Mast cells have been reported to express CCR3 as well as other chemokine receptors, which include CCR1, CCR2, CCR5, and CXCRR4 (54, 55). Sham-sensitized skin of CCR3/– mice had comparable numbers of mast cells as skin from WT controls (data not shown). This suggests that CCR3 is either redundant or not important for mast cell trafficking to skin. There was no significant increase in mast cell numbers in OVA-sensitized skin of intraperitoneally sensitized mice, but not in epicutaneously sensitized mice, suggests that the immune response may differ between the two models. As a matter of fact, the epicutaneous model is more of a predominant Th2 response (24, 62), while the intraperitoneal model has a stronger Th1 component (63). Th1 cells in the latter may induce the production of chemokines other than CCR3 ligands (e.g., SDF), which modulate eosinophil and mast cell trafficking. Further work is needed to test this hypothesis.

Taken together, our data suggest CCR3 is essential for eosinophil recruitment to the skin and the lung and for AHR in response to antigen inhalation in epicutaneously sensitized mice. Targeting CCR3 may offer a possible therapy for AD and allergic asthma.
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