An alarming increase in children presenting with fever, hyperinflammation, and multiorgan dysfunction frequently requiring intensive care has been observed after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. The illness resembles Kawasaki disease (KD), with coronary dilatation and aneurysm occurring in some. However, the cardiovascular manifestations were typically on the severe end of the KD spectrum, with cardiogenic shock a common presentation together with other features. This led to defining a unique syndrome named multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C). In this issue of the JCI, Lee and Day-Lewis et al. and Diorio et al. explored the clinical profiles associated with coronavirus disease 2019 in children. We posit that while splitting MIS-C into a separate disease may aid clinical management decisions, lumping it into the KD pot may better serve to understand pathobiology.

A severe febrile inflammatory illness in children

Early in the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, reports from Asia described the mild coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) disease course in children (1). However, in late April and early May 2020, investigators from the United Kingdom, Italy, and other countries began reporting clusters of children presenting with a severe febrile inflammatory illness following infection with SARS-CoV-2 (2, 3). The children presented with multisystem inflammation, which, like Kawasaki disease (KD), had a predilection for the cardiovascular system but was occurring more often than the expected KD incidence. Myocarditis, myocardial dysfunction, and overt shock requiring ionotropic support were prominent clinical features, and some children developed coronary aneurysms as well as macrophage activation syndrome (MAS). This inflammatory syndrome followed SARS-CoV-2 exposure or disease by several weeks. Soon after the initial reports, similar cases were reported from North America (4–6). Although the emerging disease resembled KD, the severity of cardiovascular involvement and other nonoverlapping features suggested that it may be a novel disease and it was initially coined pediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2 (PMIS-TS) by the Royal College of Paediatric and Child Health in the United Kingdom (7), following the initial medical alert issued by the UK National Health Service. Names and case definitions have been issued by the World Health Organization (WHO), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the USA, and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (8). Ultimately, the WHO and CDC agreed on the name multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C). Common elements to all definitions are presence of prolonged fever, multiorgan dysfunction, and laboratory evidence of hyperinflammation. The CDC case definition includes age less than 21 years, fever, laboratory evidence of inflammation, severe illness requiring hospitalization, and multiorgan dysfunction (cardiac, renal, respiratory, hematologic, gastrointestinal, dermatologic, or neurological) without another cause, together with evidence of current or recent SARS-CoV-2 infection (8).

Identifying features of MIS-C

In this volume of the JCI, investigators from two large pediatric centers report the clinical and immunologic features of their patients with MIS-C that help us gain insight into the pathogenesis of this inflammatory phenotype. Lee and Day-Lewis et al. (9) performed a retrospective study of 28 patients with MIS-C and compared them with historic cohorts of KD and MAS. Diorio et al. (10) prospectively studied patients with MIS-C (n = 6), severe COVID-19 (n = 9), and milder (hospitalized) COVID-19 (n = 5). Both performed studies to try to identify features of MIS-C that would aid in its diagnosis (9, 10). As in previous reports, involvement of the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and mucocutaneous systems were common in MIS-C, with less-prominent respiratory symptoms than those found in COVID-19. Also, the cardiovascular features were found to be most dramatic in MIS-C and can lead to rapid decompensation. Myocardial dysfunction and/or shock was present in 53% and 83% of patients, respectively; ionotropes were needed in 25% and 83% of patients, respectively; and more than half the patients required intensive care. In both cohorts, cardiovascular features overlapped with those of KD with 25% (7 of 28) in Boston and 66% (4 of 6) in Philadelphia, fulfilling criteria for complete or incomplete KD. And while
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The clinical similarities and response for MIS-C have been derived from most children received IVIG and/or corticosteroids, and some received anakinra, an IL-1 cytokine-blocking agent (9, 10). The clinical similarities and response to similar therapy opens the question of whether this syndrome is a unique disease or part of the KD spectrum.

**Environment-triggered hyperinflammation**

KD is thought to be an environment-triggered inflammatory response leading to systemic vasculitis. KD is truly a syndrome, with a wide range of clinical phenotypes. The common clinical findings in affected children include the diagnostic criteria of prolonged fever (> 5 days) plus at least four of the five principle disease features, which include nonexudative conjunctival injection, mucosal erythema, redness/edema of the hands and feet, cervical adenopathy, and rash (11). Cases of incomplete KD occur, in which prolonged fever occurs with fewer than four clinical criteria plus presence of supportive laboratory findings. KD predominantly affects the medium-sized arteries, with a predilection toward the coronary arteries. Cardiac manifestations in KD include coronary aneurysm/dilatation, aortic root dilatation, myocarditis, and cardiogenic shock (9). KD predominantly affects young children and has a monophasic course for most. The disease manifestation are the results of an overly robust immune response, and while most agree on an environmental trigger, the exact agent(s) remain debated. One working hypothesis is that KD is initiated by an infectious trigger. This hypothesis is supported by both a seasonality of disease that varies by country and areas within countries and the occurrence of epidemics of KD (12, 13). Viruses, including coronavirus infections, have been implicated in KD pathogenesis (14, 15). In accord with this data, SARS-CoV-2 can be added to the long list of infectious agents associated with KD. Genetics have also been implicated as there are reports of affected sibling pairs, affected parent-child duos, and racial differences, with the highest prevalence in Asian children. Several susceptibility genes have been implicated, including immune-related genes involved in T cell activation, B cell signaling, apoptosis, and transforming growth factor beta signaling (13). Other environmental factors have also been implicated, as there is an association with wind currents but not with particulate air pollution (16, 17).

Like KD, MIS-C is a syndrome complex with a wide spectrum of clinical phenotypes. A spectrum of COVID-19–associated hyperinflammation syndromes have been proposed (6) with three clinical patterns along the hyperinflammation spectrum in MIS-C: shock, KD, and fever with inflammation. KD, like MIS-C, is an infection-triggered hyperinflammatory syndrome with varying degrees of inflammation: cardiogenic shock and MAS at the severe end of the spectrum and a self-limited febrile illness at the mild end. So, although MIS-C resembles KD, the severity of cardiovascular involvement and other nonoverlapping features have led to splitting MIS-C into a separate disease rather than lumping it into the Kawasaki syndrome disease spectrum. The severity of cardiovascular involvement with myocardial dysfunction and shock are features that may distinguish MIS-C as a unique disease process versus KD. However, KD can present with shock. In 2009, Kanegaye et al. (18) noted an increasing number of patients at their center presenting with acute KD accompanied by shock and hypertension, and defined this severe presentation as Kawasaki disease shock syndrome (KDSS). In their cohort, nearly one-third had myocardial dysfunction (ejection fraction < 54%), coronary artery abnormalities (62%), and were often resistant to IVIG (18). Subsequently, other groups reported similar presentations in their KD cohorts. A more robust immune response occurs in KDSS, which is characterized by higher blood inflammatory markers. One group reported significantly higher serum levels of IL-6, IL-10, and INF-γ in their KDSS cohort (n = 27) compared with KD controls (n = 43) (19). The incidence of shock in KD ranges from 1.4% to 7% of all KD cases (18, 20). The largest study to date reported 138 KDSS cases in 9488 children with KD (1.5%) in Taiwan (21). Our own experience in Toronto shows an incidence of 2% for KDSS. An important characteristic of KDSS is the multiorgan nature of the inflammatory disease (22). The majority of patients with KDSS present with abdominal symptoms, mirroring the predominant clinical features of MIS-C (23). Cardiovascular complications are dramatically increased in children with KDSS; 65% were found to have coronary aneurysm (24).

Life-threatening complications of KD, including KDSS and MAS, have been considered rare events in the past, but like shock, MAS is reported in 2% to 5% of children with KD (25). MAS has also been reported with MIS-C. MAS is the result of a cytokine storm that can occur in the setting of infection, rheumatologic disease, and malignancy. Hyperferritinemia, cytopenias, liver dysfunction, and coagulation abnormalities are common. Children with MAS are often treated with steroids, cytokine-blocking agents (including IL-1 and IL-6 blockers), IVIG, and in severe cases, chemotherapeutic agents such as etoposide. Interestingly, children presenting on the severe KD spectrum with MAS and/or KDSS are usually older and are boys, con-
sistent with the demographics described in MIS-C. Immunologically, many roads can lead to MAS, with the common underlying theme of hyperinflammation. In the case of MIS-C, SARS-CoV-2 infection-triggered MAS is seen in both the acute infectious phase of disease and also in the postinfectious MIS-C phenotype.

Immunologic studies were done by both the Lee and Day-Lewis et al. and Diorio et al. groups to gain insight into the pathophysiology of the disease as well as to explore immunological biomarkers that could serve to differentiate MIS-C from KD, MAS, and COVID-19 infection. Elevation of the serum IL-6 and IL-10 was found in patients with MIS-C in both cohorts as well as in severe COVID-19, whereas IL-6 was elevated across all three cohorts (mild and severe hospitalized COVID-19 and MIS-C) in the Philadelphia group, but not as a prominent cytokine in the Boston MIS-C group. Diorio et al. reported that IL-10 plus TNF levels, RT-PCR viral cycle thresholds (Cts), and peripheral smear burr cells can help distinguish MIS-C from mild or severe COVID-19, whereas Lee and Day-Lewis et al. found that soluble IL-12, ferritin, IL-18, and CXCL9 elevations were higher in MAS compared with MIS-C (9, 10). Blood vessels are thought to be an important target of SARS-CoV-2-triggered inflammation, mirroring the immunopathology identified in KD. The endothelial inflammation and injury described in COVID-19 (26) and in KD is in accord with the finding of complement activation reported by the Philadelphia group.

Lumping or splitting
Characterizing the disease phenotype, both clinically and biologically, as described in the reports by Lee and Day-Lewis et al. and Diorio and colleagues, adds to the foundational work needed to better define this new syndrome. MIS-C came to attention with children presenting with dramatic and extreme clinical features, with the case definition reflecting early observations based on children with severe disease, a circular and self-fulfilling definition. With further investigation and time, we are likely to learn about the milder end of the disease spectrum. Defining clear boundaries and differences between the newly named MIS-C hyperinflammatory spectrum of phenotypes from pre-COVID KD and/or the acute infectious phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection may be important for clinical management purposes; guiding when to target the invading virus during acute infection and/or the body’s subsequent immune response. From a pathobiology viewpoint, however, such distinction may be a disservice to fully appreciating the MIS-C disease spectrum, as some of the differences may be due to the magnitude or kinetics of the immune response (Figure 1). The same excellent arguments in support of lumping or splitting are echoed in MIS-C, as in other infection-triggered, immune-mediated diseases. The global pandemic has given us a unique opportunity to examine the immune response leading to the MIS-C/KD phenotype triggered by a single infectious agent simultaneously in multiple regions and genetic populations. Goodwill and generosity has characterized the international community’s response to COVID-19, and MIS-C is no exception. This silver lining could serve to explore immunological biomarkers that help distinguish MIS-C from mild or severe COVID-19. Furthermore, the MIS-C phenotype.
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