Regulation of intercellular biomolecule transfer–driven tumor angiogenesis and responses to anticancer therapies

Zhen Lu, …, Constantinos Koumenis, Serge Y. Fuchs


Find the latest version:
https://jci.me/144225/pdf
Regulation of intercellular biomolecule transfer–driven tumor angiogenesis and responses to anticancer therapies

Zhen Lu,1 Angelica Ortiz,1 Ioannis I. Verginadis,2 Amy R. Peck,3 Farima Zahedi,1 Christina Cho,1 Pengfei Yu,1 Rachel M. DeRita,1 Hongru Zhang,1 Ryan Kubanoff,3 Yunguang Sun,4 Andrew T. Yaspans,4 Elise Krespan,5 Daniel P. Beiting,5 Enrico Radaelli,5 Sandra W. Ryeom,4 J. Alan Diehl,7 Hallgeir Rui,4 Constantinos Koumenis,2 and Serge Y. Fuchs1

1Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 2Department of Radiation Oncology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 3Department of Pathology, Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 4Department of Pathology, Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 5Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 6Department of Cancer Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 7Department of Biochemistry, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA.

Interacellular biomolecule transfer (ICBT) between malignant and benign cells is a major driver of tumor growth, resistance to anticancer therapies, and therapy-triggered metastatic disease. Here we characterized cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H) as a key genetic suppressor of ICBT between malignant and endothelial cells (ECs) and of ICBT-driven angiopoietin-2–dependent activation of ECs, stimulation of intratumoral angiogenesis, and tumor growth. Human CH25H was downregulated in the ECs from patients with colorectal cancer and the low levels of stromal CH25H were associated with a poor disease outcome. Knockout of endothelial CH25H stimulated angiogenesis and tumor growth in mice. Pharmacologic inhibition of ICBT by reserpine compensated for CH25H loss, elicited angiostatic effects (alone or combined with sunitinib), augmented the therapeutic effect of radio-/chemotherapy, and prevented metastatic disease induced by these regimens. We propose inhibiting ICBT to improve the overall efficacy of anticancer therapies and limit their prometastatic side effects.

Introduction
Within a multicellular organism, the horizontal exchange of biomolecules such as nucleic acids, polypeptides, lipids, and others between cells has emerged as an important mode of communication that encourages collective cell behavior (1). In a healthy organism, biomolecule exchange between diverse types of normal cells helps to maintain homeostatic balance and organize normal biologic processes (e.g., antigen presentation [refs. 2, 3] or normal angiogenesis [ref. 4]). However, in an organism that bears a malignant tumor, this intercellular biomolecule transfer (ICBT) from cancer cells to normal cells often stimulates tumor growth, progression, and metastasis (5–8).

ICBT can occur through a multitude of mechanisms, including uptake of extracellular vesicles and apoptotic bodies, cell fusion, trogocytosis, trans-endocytosis, cell junction and tunneling nanotube formation, and others (reviewed in ref. 9). In the context of tumorigenesis, ICBT mediated by tumor-derived extracellular vesicles (TEVs) has emerged as a pivotal driver of pathogenesis and outcome of oncologic diseases (5, 7). Biomolecules delivered by TEVs reprogram normal cells to contribute to many processes that promote tumor growth and progression, including modulation of metabolic activities, formation of a metastatic niche, suppression of immune responses, stimulation of angiogenesis, etc. (5, 7, 10–12).

Among other types of normal cells, endothelial cells (ECs) can become targets for ICBT. Intratumoral ECs in proximity to malignant cells were found to harbor genetic alterations similar to those found in the malignant cells, and ICBT between these cell types during cell fusion or efferocytosis has been proposed as a putative mechanism underlying this phenomenon (13). Subsequent studies confirmed transfer of tumoral DNA from malignant cells to ECs (14), demonstrated activation of ECs by TEVs (15), and established the paradigm supporting the important role of TEV-mediated ICBT in angiogenesis within tumor microenvironments (reviewed in refs. 5, 8, 16).

Importantly, TEV-mediated ICBT often undermines the efficacy of anticancer therapies (17–19). Furthermore, radio- and chemotherapeutic agents increase production and/or release of TEVs by malignant cells; this increase is implicated in the iatrogenic metastatic disease arising from the treatment of primary tumors (20–25). Addressing these challenges requires a better understanding of genetic regulators of mechanisms underlying the protumorigenic ICBT. In addition, development of pharmacologic means to restrict ICBT should offer a novel approach to curtail tumor growth and progression and to improve the efficacy of existing anticancer therapies.

The biological barriers against ICBT are expected to preserve functional integrity of normal cells and restrict their cooperation...
with malignant cells. The type I interferon (IFN) pathway acts as one of those barriers that prevents generation of the promastatic niche and pulmonary metastases (26–28). Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H), an enzyme that is induced by IFN (29–31), acts to catalyze the formation of 25-hydroxycholesterol (25HC). This oxysterol inhibits lipid membrane fusion (32) and, accordingly, uptake of TEVs (26). Uptake of TEVs by normal cells was also shown to be inhibited by the antihypertensive agent reserpine, which in turn could restore CH25H expression otherwise downregulated by TEVs (26).

Here we characterize endothelial CH25H as a key genetic suppressor of ICBT and of ICBT-driven activation of ECs, as well as of intratumoral angiogenesis and tumor growth. Our data also demonstrate that the suppressive effect of CH25H on ICBT and ICBT-driven angiogenesis could be reenacted pharmacologically by administering reserpine, which, upon combination with different types of anticancer therapies, increases their efficacy and abolishes therapy-stimulated metastatic disease.

Results

CH25H and reserpine inhibit ICBT between malignant cells and ECs. We previously reported that uptake of DiD dye–labeled TEVs is decreased in normal, wild-type (WT) splenocytes pretreated with reserpine or in splenocytes from knockout mice expressing a stabilized mutant of IFN receptor 1 (IFNAR1) (33). Importantly, the latter phenotype was lost upon ablation of Ch2sh (26). Given that, in addition to TEVs, there are other mechanisms of biomolecule exchange such as uptake of apoptotic bodies, cell fusion, trans-endocytosis, etc. (9), we sought to determine the role of CH25H expression in benign cells in regulating ICBT within solid tumors in vivo.

To this end, we grew subcutaneous tumors from B16F10-TdTomato melanoma cells in the flanks of WT or Ch2sh mice that constitutively expressed green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Figure 1A). Tumors were harvested, dissociated, and analyzed for the numbers of TdTomato+GFP+ double-positive cells among immune CD45+ immune and CD45+ nonimmune populations (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI144225DS1). We found that tumors from the Ch2sh mice contained a greater number of TdTomato+GFP+ double-positive cells among immune CD45+ immune and CD45+ nonimmune populations (Figure 1B). Subsequent analysis of double-positive cells specifically in fibroblastic (PDGFRα+, Supplemental Figure 1C) and endothelial (CD31+, Supplemental Figure 1D) compartments revealed that ECs are the main target for the CH25H-regulated exchange of biomolecules with malignant cells (Figure 1C). The extent of ICBT in the ECs from B16F10-TdTomato tumors growing in GFP+ mice was notably decreased by vivo administration of reserpine (Figure 1D).

We next examined ICBT in vitro mediated by isolated TEVs characterized in Supplemental Figure 1, E–G. To safeguard against possible peculiarities of the intratumoral ECs in the GFP-expressing mice, we isolated ECs from the lungs of naive mice (Supplemental Figure 1, H and I) and treated them with TEVs isolated from B16F10 cells stably expressing GFP. A greater amount of Gfp mRNA was transferred into CH25H-deficient ECs compared with WT ECs; however, this phenotype was partially reversed upon treatment with reserpine (Figure 1E). Similar results were obtained when ICBT was assessed by transfer of DiD dye from TEVs into ECs (Supplemental Figure 1, J and K). Collectively, these results suggest that CH25H acts as a genetic suppressor of ICBT between malignant cells and ECs and characterize reserpine as a pharmacologic agent capable of inhibiting ICBT in vitro and in vivo.

Inactivation of stromal CH25H promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis. CH25H levels have been found to be decreased in leukocytes from tumor-bearing mice and melanoma patients compared with tumor-free control groups (26); however, the importance of CH25H downregulation in normal cells for tumor growth is not completely understood. Intriguingly, during the course of experiments described in Figure 1A, we noticed that subcutaneous TdTomato-expressing B16F10 melanoma tumors grew faster in Ch2sh mice compared with WT mice (Figure 2, A and B). Similar results were obtained with tumors formed by parental B16F10 cells (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). This observation prompted us to examine the importance of CH25H expression in the tumor microenvironment for growth of other types of cancer cells.

An accelerated growth of syngeneic tumors in Ch2sh mice (compared with WT) mice was observed for transplanted MH16499c4 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (Figure 2C) and MC38 colon adenocarcinoma (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 2C). Furthermore, this phenotype was not limited to subcutaneous tumors, as Ch2sh mice (compared with WT) mice exhibited a significantly faster growth of orthotopically transplanted MC38 colon tumors (Figure 2E) and TRAMP-C2-luciferase prostate neuroendocrine tumors (Figure 2F and Supplemental Figure 2D). Collectively, these data indicate that stromal CH25H inhibits growth of different types of solid tumors.

Visual appearance of tumors growing in Ch2sh mice was suggestive of a greater extent of vascularization (as compared with WT; Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 3A). This phenotype, as well as an increased ICBT involving ECs in Ch2sh mice (Figure 1), prompted us to examine the status of CH25H and angiogenesis in the stromal compartment of human colorectal cancers (CRCs).

Analysis of tumors from an initial cohort of CRC patients (Cohort 1) revealed that levels of CH25H were significantly downregulated in the stromal compartment of malignant colorectal tumors compared with stroma of benign adjacent colon tissue (Figure 3, B and C). Importantly, survival analysis in a cohort of CRC patients with available clinical follow-up data (Cohort 2) revealed that low levels of CH25H within the tumor stroma were significantly associated with highly unfavorable prognosis (HR, 4.3; 95% CI, 1.58–11.57; P = 0.004; Figure 3D). Moreover, containing of CH25H and CD31 revealed that CH25H levels specifically in the CD31+ ECs were notably downregulated in ECs within tumor stroma compared with ECs from healthy colon stroma, as shown first in an analysis of unmatched cases (Cohort 3, Figure 3E). These findings were further validated in an independent set of CRC cases with matched cancer stroma and nearby normal colon stroma (Cohort 4, Figure 3E). These results provide strong correlative support for the notion that downregulation of CH25H occurs in human CRC stroma and, particularly, in the intratumoral ECs, and that this inactivation promotes tumor progression in human CRCs.
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sion of angiopoietin-2 (Angpt2) in tumors from Ch25h–/– mice compared with those from WT animals. Angpt2 was also increased in MC38 tumors from Ch25h–/– mice (Figure 3I), further suggesting that inactivation of stromal CH25H stimulates the expression of Angpt2 in solid tumors.

Importantly, an increase in Angpt2 induced by TEVs and reversed by reserpine was also independently detected in an RNA sequencing–based profiling of gene expression in CH25H-deficient primary mouse lung ECs (Figure 4A). In addition to Angpt2, 812 out of 2998 differentially expressed genes were induced by TEVs unless pretreated with reserpine (Figure 4B). Previously implicated in transactivation of ANGPT2, transcription factors such as SP1, EGR1, GATA2, and ELF1 also exhibited altered expression after these treatments (Figure 4, A and B).

The TEV-induced increase in ANGPT2 expression and its reversal by reserpine was further validated by in vitro studies in primary mouse lung ECs. Under these conditions, treatment of Ch25h–/– cells with TEVs increased Angpt2 mRNA and protein to a greater extent compared with WT ECs (Supplemental Figure 4A), whereas Angpt1 and Tie2 were not induced (Supplemental Figure 4B). Furthermore, TEVs induced a more robust activation of TIE2

To validate these data from human patients in mouse models we examined the role of CH25H in development of the intratumoral vasculature. A greater number of CD31+ ECs was found in the B16F10 melanoma tumors grown in Ch25h–/– compared with WT mice (Figure 3, F and G). Furthermore, ablation of CH25H in the tumor microenvironment resulted in a greater number and increased length of blood vessels within these tumors (Figure 3G). Similar results were obtained in subcutaneous tumors of equal size formed by pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells (Supplemental Figure 3B) or MC38 colon adenocarcinoma cells (Supplemental Figure 3C), as well as in MC38 tumors transplanted orthotopically (Supplemental Figure 3D). Analysis of functionality of these blood vessels by injection of FITC-lectin revealed that knockout of CH25H increased perfusion in these tumors (Figure 3H). In all, these results suggest that inactivation of CH25H in the tumor microenvironment stimulates angiogenesis and increases tumor vascularization.

A limited screen for genes known to control tumor angiogenesis revealed a comparable level of Vegfa, Vegfr2, Tie2, Glut1, Mmp9, and Fgf1 mRNA in B16F10 tumors grown in WT and Ch25h–/– mice (Figure 3I). Intriguingly, we detected a relatively greater expression of angiopoietin-2 (Angpt2) in tumors from Ch25h–/– mice compared with those from WT animals. Angpt2 was also increased in MC38 tumors from Ch25h–/– mice (Figure 3I), further suggesting that inactivation of stromal CH25H stimulates the expression of Angpt2 in solid tumors.

Importantly, an increase in Angpt2 induced by TEVs and reversed by reserpine was also independently detected in an RNA sequencing–based profiling of gene expression in CH25H-deficient primary mouse lung ECs (Figure 4A). In addition to Angpt2, 812 out of 2998 differentially expressed genes were induced by TEVs unless pretreated with reserpine (Figure 4B). Previously implicated in transactivation of ANGPT2, transcription factors such as SP1, EGR1, GATA2, and ELF1 also exhibited altered expression after these treatments (Figure 4, A and B).

Figure 1. CH25H and reserpine control ICBT between malignant cells and endothelial cells. (A) A schematic of experiments for assessing intratumoral ICBT in vivo. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of percentage of TdTomato−CD45− and TdTomato−CD45+ cells in the tumor microenvironment (n = 5 for each group). (C) Flow cytometric analysis of the percentage (left) and absolute number (right) of TdTomato−CD31+ cells in tumors from GFP− WT and GFP− Ch25h–/– mice (n = 4–5 for each group). (D) Flow cytometric analysis of percentage (left) and absolute number (right) of TdTomato−CD31+ cells (n = 4 for each group) in tumors from GFP− WT and GFP− Ch25h–/– mice administered i.p. vehicle or reserpine (1 mg/kg given every other day for 4 days). (E) qPCR analysis of Gfp mRNA in primary WT and Ch25h–/– ECs after in vitro treatment with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 8 hours) followed by a 12-hour exposure to TEVs (20 μg/mL) isolated from GFP+ B16F10 cells (n = 4 for each group). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (B, D, and E) or 2-tailed Student’s t test (C). NS, not significant. Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch25h–/–</th>
<th>WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J Clin Invest. 2021;131(10):e144225 https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI144225

3
and Ch25h−/− ECs treated with vehicle, tumor cell–conditioned media lacking TEVs, or with recombinant VEGF (Figure 4F and Supplemental Figure 4C). These results link ICBT in ECs with induction of ANGPT2.

The angiostatic and antitumorigenic roles of endothelial CH25H. ANGPT2 is produced by intratumoral ECs to facilitate angiogenesis (reviewed in refs. 34–36). Given that robust induction of Angpt2 in tumors from CH25H-deficient mice in vivo (Figure 3I) can be faithfully recapitulated in the cultures of primary mouse lung ECs (Figure 4, D and E), we next used this in vitro system to further interrogate the importance of CH25H in regulating angiogenic activity. Treatment with MC38 tumor cell–conditioned media elicited a greater increase in tube formation by the Ch25h−/− ECs, as compared with WT cells (Figure 4F and Supplemental Figure 4C). Importantly, we detected no difference in activities of WT and Ch25h−/− ECs treated with vehicle, tumor cell–conditioned media lacking TEVs, or with recombinant VEGF (Figure 4F and Supplemental Figure 4C). These results suggest that CH25H does not indiscriminately suppress activation of ECs but specifically limits their activation by ICBT.

Indeed, treatment with purified TEVs triggered a more robust in vitro activation of CH25H-deficient ECs compared with their WT counterparts. These phenotypes included an increased TEV-induced proliferation manifested by either cell numbers (Figure 4G) or percentage of Ki67-positive cells (Supplemental Figure 4D) and a greater migration (Supplemental Figure 4E) in Ch25h−/− ECs. Furthermore, compared with WT, Ch25h−/− ECs were more adept in forming endothelial tubes upon treatment with TEVs from MC38 (Figure 4H) or B16F10 (Supplemental Figure 4F) cancer cells. Importantly, neutralization of ANGPT2 prevented in Ch25h−/− ECs (Figure 4C). Importantly, pretreatment with reserpine reversed the TEV-induced increase in Angpt2 mRNA (Figure 4D) and protein (Figure 4E) in Ch25h−/− ECs. These results link ICBT in ECs with induction of ANGPT2.
Figure 3. The angiostatic role of CH25H in the tumor microenvironment. (A) A representative image of B16F10–TdTomato tumors and surrounding blood vessels in GFP–WT and GFP–CH25H–/– mice. (B) Representative image of blood vessels (green) and CH25H (red) in normal stroma and colorectal cancer stroma. Scale bar: 100 μm. (C) Scatterplot of quantitative stromal CH25H protein expression levels in normal adjacent stroma and tumor stroma (Cohort 1). (D) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of CRC stromal CH25H protein levels, dichotomized into high and low CH25H expression, indicating increased risk of recurrence with loss of CH25H protein levels (Cohort 2). (E) Scatterplot of quantitative CH25H protein levels within the endothelium of normal adjacent stroma and CRC stroma (Cohort 3, left panel) and the validation of endothelial CH25H expression levels between paired samples of normal adjacent stroma and CRC stroma (Cohort 4, right panel). (F) Analysis of CD31+ ECs in B16F10 tumors (s.c., 1 × 10^6 cells/mouse) of comparable volume grown for approximately 2 weeks in WT (n = 4) and CH25H–/– (n = 5) mice. Scale bar: 100 μm. (G) Quantification of data from experiment described in panel F. Data averaged from 5 random fields in sections from each of 4 or 5 animals are shown. (H) Representative image (left) of colocalization of blood vessels (red) and lectin (green) area in tumor from WT and CH25H–/– mice after injection with FITC-lectin (i.v., 100 μg/mouse). Quantification (right) of FITC-positive area (n = 5 for each group) of the images. Scale bar: 50 μm. (I) qPCR analysis of relative expression indicated genes in B16F10 (n = 5) and MC38 (n = 6) tumor tissues from WT and CH25H–/– mice. For each gene, mRNA levels in WT tumors were defined as 1.0. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by 2-tailed Student’s t test (C, E, and G–I) or log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (D). Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.
an increase in tube formation in response to tumor-conditioned media (Figure 4F) or purified TEVs (Figure 4H), indicating that ANGPT2 is required for the ICBT-induced activation of ECs. Furthermore, ICBT-driven hyperactivation of Ch25h–/– ECs could be effectively reversed by either reexpressing CH25H or by treating these cells with the end-product of the CH25H enzymatic activity — 25HC (Figure 4I). Collectively, these results suggest that CH25H acts as a biological barrier that restricts the ICBT-induced ANGPT2-dependent activation of ECs.

These results, together with the protumorigenic phenotypes observed in Ch25h–/– mice (Figure 2), prompted us to concentrate on specific roles of endothelial CH25H in vivo. To this end, we iso-
lated ECs from the lungs of naive GFP+ WT or Ch25h−/− mice and coinjected these cells with B16F10 cells into the flanks of WT mice. Under these conditions, at least some of the transferred GFP+ ECs were incorporated into intratumoral blood vessels (Figure 5A). Whereas ECs of both genotypes accelerated tumor growth, Ch25h−/− ECs were significantly more active in these settings (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure 5A), indicating that endothelial CH25H interferes with the ability of ECs to support tumor growth.

To further test this hypothesis, we generated a conditional knockout allele of Ch25h by flanking the sole exon of this gene with loxP sites (Supplemental Figure 5B). We crossed these mice with animals that express Cre recombinase under the EC-specific VE-cadherin promoter (37); the resulting animals lacked CH25H in primary lung ECs but not fibroblasts (Supplemental Figure 5C). Whereas no obvious vascular alterations or other abnormalities were detected in these naive animals, they displayed a notable phenotype when challenged with subcutaneous tumors. Under these conditions, efficient ablation of CH25H was achieved in the intratumoral ECs (Supplemental Figure 5D). Importantly, growth of B16F10 melanoma tumors was significantly accelerated in mice lacking CH25H in the ECs (Figure 5, C and D). Furthermore, ablation of CH25H in ECs notably increased intratumoral angiogenesis, as evident from an increased CD31+ area as well as a greater number of blood vessels and an increase in their length (Figure 5, E and F). Similar observations were made in experiments involving MC38 colon adenocarcinoma tumors (Supplemental Figure 5, E–H). In all, these results suggest that endothelial CH25H plays important angiostatic and antitumorigenic roles.

Reserpine inhibits tumor angiogenesis and improves the outcome of radio- and chemotherapies. We have previously reported that treatment with reserpine increases the expression of CH25H in TEV-treated cells (26). Thus, we utilized this agent as a complementary pharmacologic tool to ascertain the importance of ICBT-driven endothelial activation and tumor angiogenesis.
Used at previously described low doses (26), reserpine was well tolerated and did not cause sleepiness or decrease animal weight while inhibiting the intratumoral ICBT (Figure 1, D and E). Furthermore, in vitro pretreatment of ECs with reserpine suppressed TEV-induced expression of Angpt2 (Figure 4, D, and E) and significantly inhibited endothelial tube formation (Supplemental Figure 6A). These results prompted us to examine the effect of reserpine on tumor angiogenesis in vivo.

Administration of reserpine did not decrease expression of Angpt1 or Tie2 in the B16F10 tumors growing in WT or Ch25h−/− mice (Supplemental Figure 6B). However, this treatment abolished an increase in expression of Angpt2 (Figure 6A) and notably suppressed angiogenesis (Figure 6B) in tumors from Ch25h−/− mice. Similar results were also obtained in animals bearing MC38 colon tumors (Supplemental Figure 6C), indicating that reserpine exhibits a robust angiostatic effect in solid tumors.

Suppressive effects of reserpine on angiogenesis and growth were seen in human HCT116 colon tumors growing in the immune-deficient mice (Figure 6, C and D). Likewise in the immune-competent settings, administration of reserpine inhibited B16F10 tumor growth in both WT and Ch25h−/− mice (Figure 6, E and F). This effect was also observed in mice harboring the VE-cadherin-Cre allele in the Ch25h−/− or Ch25h+/− background (Figure 6G) as well as in Ch25h−/− mice inoculated with MC38 tumors (Supplemental Figure 6, D and E).

Expression of ANGPT2 is thought to undermine the efficacy of antiangiogenic therapies targeting VEGF (bevacizumab) or its receptor (sunitinib) (35, 38–41). Given that reserpine suppresses the ICBT-induced production of ANGPT2 (Figures 4 and 6A), we hoped to optimize the antiangiogenic therapy by combining these agents (Figure 7A). Indeed, adding reserpine to the sunitinib regimen decreased plasma levels of ANGPT2 (Supplemental Figure 7A), maximized the suppression of angiogenesis (Figure 7B), and augmented the antitumorigenic effects in mice bearing MC38 (Figure 7, C and D) or B16F10 tumors (Supplemental Figure 7B). These effects of reserpine were similar to those of reostatinib, a bona fide inhibitor of the ANGPT2 pathway (Supplemental Figure 7, C–G). Collectively, these results indicate that reserpine alone or in combination with agents targeting VEGF can be used as a pharmacologic agent to suppress the intratumoral angiogenesis and inhibit growth of primary solid tumors.

Reserpine was previously included in the screen for agents affecting TEV uptake (26) based on its activity as a vesicular reuptake inhibitor (reviewed in ref. 42). Intriguingly, in addition to inhibiting TEV uptake by splenocytes or ECs (ref. 26 and Figure 1E and Supplemental Figure 1, J and K), we noticed a significant suppressive effect of reserpine on both protein content and numbers of TEVs produced by B16F10 or MC38 cancer cells in vitro (Figure 8A). Furthermore, treatment of MC38 cells with reserpine decreased the expression of several genes including Rab11b, Rab27a, Ykt6, and Snap23 (Figure 8B), which play an important role in formation and/or uptake of extracellular vesicles (43, 44).

Preincubation of DiD-labeled TEVs with reserpine did not affect transfer of DiD into ECs (Supplemental Figure 8A), suggesting that ECs themselves are targets for reserpine-elicited uptake inhibition. Importantly, given that reserpine upregulates CH25H expression (26) and following an analogy with 25HC, which alters membrane fluidity and inhibits lipid membrane fusion (32), we analyzed the effects of reserpine on membrane fluidity using 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene as a sensor of the bilayer membrane structure alterations. Polarization of this biosensor was increased by reserpine (Figure 8C), indicating that reserpine can increase the rigidity of EC membranes. This possibility was further tested by studies involving transfer of DiD dye to ECs from liposomes that lack any protein molecules on their surface; reserpine suppressed this transfer (Figure 8D and Supplemental Figure 8B). These results collectively suggest that mechanisms of ICBT inhibition by reserpine include its effect on the EC lipid membranes.

A yet greater impetus for the use of reserpine to suppress ICBT came from the observations that chemo- and radiotherapies activate the formation and release of prometastatic TEVs by primary tumors (24, 25); this activation contributes to resistance (5, 7, 19, 45) as well as to therapy-triggered stimulation of metastatic disease (22–24). We next sought to determine whether reserpine addition can improve efficiencies of chemotherapies while preventing their negative metastatic effects.

Consistent with suppression of TEV production in vitro (Figure 8A), in vivo administration of reserpine decreased the amount of the exosomal marker CD63 in the plasma from the B16F10 or MC38 tumor-bearing mice subjected or not to ionizing radiation or chemotherapy treatment (Figure 9A and Supplemental Figure 9A). Likewise, reserpine treatment abolished an increase in the numbers of TEVs found in plasma of mice subjected to chemotherapy (Supplemental Figure 9A). Given that reserpine can also inhibit TEV uptake (26), these data collectively suggest that reserpine can suppress ICBT through multiple mechanisms.

Combining reserpine treatment with radiation therapy augmented the inhibition of subcutaneous primary B16F10 tumor growth and prolonged animal survival (Figure 9, B and C). Importantly, reserpine also dramatically suppressed the appearance of distant metastatic melanoma lesions in the lungs and prevented an increase in the number of these lesions in response to irradiation of primary tumors (Figure 9D and Supplemental Figure 9B).

The effects of reserpine were next determined in the chemotherapy setting, in which orthotopically inoculated MC38 colon adenocarcinomas were treated with the FOLFOX regimen (Figure 9E). This regimen, which includes oxaliplatin, folinic acid, and 5-fluorouracil, is standard for treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancers and is often combined with antiangiogenic agents (46). Intriguingly, 5-fluorouracil was also implicated in stimulation of postliminary metastatic disease (47) and in the induction of genes associated with poor prognosis in a cohort of patients that received FOLFOX (48). In our preclinical model, the inclusion of reserpine notably decreased the intratumoral angiogenesis otherwise stimulated by the FOLFOX regimen (Supplemental Figure 9, C and D). Importantly, while being well tolerated (ref. 26 and Supplemental Figure 9E), reserpine also potentiated therapeutic effects of FOLFOX on primary tumors (Figure 9F), robustly suppressed metastases into liver (Figure 9G), and decreased the number of local lesions in the gut (Supplemental Figure 9F). Collectively, these data provide the rationale for the inclusion of reserpine into the radio-/chemotherapy regimens to increase their overall efficacy and, most importantly, to prevent the prometastatic side effects of such treatments.
between malignant cells and CH25H-deficient ECs (Figure 1) might be reflective of ECs representing the first barrier encountered by the circulating tumor-derived matter. CH25H is induced by IFN, and downregulation of its receptor often occurs in many types of cancer (28, 49–51) and contributes to angiogenic activation by VEGF (52). Importantly, the loss of CH25H per se does not

Discussion

Endothelial CH25H as a genetic suppressor of ICBT-driven intratumoral angiogenesis. Given the paramount role of ICBT in tumor growth, progression, and resistance to therapies (5–7), it is of critical importance to understand how ICBT is regulated and could be pharmacologically controlled in vivo. An increased ICBT

Figure 6. Angiostatic and antitumorigenic effects of reserpine in solid tumors. (A) qPCR analysis of relative Angpt2 mRNA levels in B16F10 tumors from WT and Ch25h−/− mice treated with vehicle or reserpine (1 mg/kg, i.p. every other day for 4 days). n = 5 for each group. (B) Representative immunofluorescence images and quantification of CD31-positive areas in B16F10 tumors from WT and Ch25h−/− mice (n = 5 for each group) treated with vehicle or reserpine as described in panel A. Scale bar: 100 μm. (C) Growth of human HCT116 tumors (inoculated s.c. at 5 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) in NSG mice treated with vehicle or reserpine (1 mg/kg) every other day. n = 5 for each group. (D) Representative immunofluorescence image (upper) of CD31 staining of HCT116 tumors from NSG mice treated with vehicle or reserpine. Quantification (bottom) of CD31-positive areas and average distance of blood vessels. Quantification averaged from 5 random fields in sections from each of 5 animals is shown (n = 5 for each group). Scale bar: 100 μm. (E) Analysis of B16F10 tumor growth (inoculated s.c. at 1 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) in WT and Ch25h−/− mice (n = 5 for each group) followed by vehicle or reserpine treatment (1 mg/kg, i.p. every other day). (F) Analysis of B16F10 tumor mass on day 15 of the experiment described in panel E. (G) Analysis of B16F10 tumor mass on day 15 after inoculation (s.c. at 1 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) into indicated mice (n = 5 for each group) followed by vehicle or reserpine treatment (1 mg/kg, i.p. every other day). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (A, B, F, and G), 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (C and E), or 2-tailed Student’s t test (D). NS, not significant. Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.
redundantly responsible for increased ANGPT2 and resulting angiogenesis in the absence of endothelial CH25H. Under these conditions, induction of ANGPT2 upon inactivation of CH25H is likely triggered by an increased extent of ICBT as well as by additional CH25H-dependent mechanisms that affect TEV-sensitive and/or reserpine-sensitive transcription factors such as SP1, EGR1, ELF1, and GATA2 and related pathways uncovered in our study (Figure 4, A and B). Intriguingly, cyclosporin A, an inhibitor of the calcineurin/NFAT/ANGPT2 signaling axis (63), also had a modest effect on ANGPT2 induction by TEVs (Figure 4D), suggesting that diverse and likely redundant pathways mediate the effects of ICBT.

It is likely that the importance of endothelial CH25H for suppressing tumor angiogenesis and growth gleaned from experiments using knockout models is seriously underestimated because of notable decreases in CH25H levels in the intratumoral ECs, as detected in human CRC patients (Figure 3, B–D). This downregulation of CH25H in the tumor microenvironment was significantly associated with poor prognosis (Figure 3D) and could be mediated by downregulation of CH25H expression in response to TEVs (26) as well as by additional, yet to be delineated mechanisms.

Reserpine as a pharmacologic inhibitor of ICBT and, potentially, a component of anticancer therapies. Importantly, the ICBT-driven ANGPT2 expression, EC activation, and intratumoral angiogene-
Ch25h–/– Analysis of plasma membrane polarization in WT and μCancer cells treated with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 12 hours). (relative levels, Snap23, Ykt6, Pdcd6ip, Arf6, and 4 for each group) in Hgs, Rab11b, Rab27a, M for 48 hours) in vitro. (ns, (10 Sdcbp, Rab7, μB) qPCR analysis of, TEVs released by the indicated cells following treatment with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM) for 8 hours (∗∗∗∗ for each μ). Flow cytometric analysis of percentage of μDiD+CD31+ cells upon incubation of indicated ECs with DiD-labeled liposomes (1 μM reserpine (10 μM) for 12 hours. (A) Quantiﬁcation of numbers (upper panel) and total protein content (bottom panel) of Figure 8. Mechanism of reserpine-mediated TEV uptake inhibition. (A) Quantification of numbers (upper panel) and total protein content (bottom panel) of TEVs released by the indicated cells following treatment with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 48 hours) in vitro. (B) qPCR analysis of Rab7, Rab11b, Rab27a, Sdc6bp, Arf6, Ykt6, Snap23, Hgs, and Pdcd6ip relative levels (n = 4 for each group) in MC38 cancer cells treated with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 12 hours). (C) Analysis of plasma membrane polarization in WT and Ch25h–/– ECs treated with reserpine (10 μM) for 12 hours. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of percentage of DiD/CD31+ cells upon incubation of indicated ECs with DiD-labeled liposomes (1 μg/mL) in the presence or absence of reserpine (10 μM) for 8 hours (n = 5 for each group). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (A, C, and D) or 2-tailed Student’s t test (B). NS, not signiﬁcant. Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.

Figure 8. Mechanism of reserpine-mediated TEV uptake inhibition. (A) Quantification of numbers (upper panel) and total protein content (bottom panel) of TEVs released by the indicated cells following treatment with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 48 hours) in vitro. (B) qPCR analysis of Rab7, Rab11b, Rab27a, Sdc6bp, Arf6, Ykt6, Snap23, Hgs, and Pdcd6ip relative levels (n = 4 for each group) in MC38 cancer cells treated with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 12 hours). (C) Analysis of plasma membrane polarization in WT and Ch25h–/– ECs treated with reserpine (10 μM) for 12 hours. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of percentage of DiD/CD31+ cells upon incubation of indicated ECs with DiD-labeled liposomes (1 μg/mL) in the presence or absence of reserpine (10 μM) for 8 hours (n = 5 for each group). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (A, C, and D) or 2-tailed Student’s t test (B). NS, not significant. Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.

sis can be virtually nullified by treatment with reserpine (Figures 4 and 6). Given that production of ANGPT2 is implicated in clinical refractoriness to the antiangiogenic therapies involving bevacizumab (38, 39), reserpine can be employed to specifically suppress the ICBT-stimulated ANGPT2-dependent intratumoral angiogenesis and complement agents targeting the VEGF pathway, similarly to TIE2 pathway inhibitors such as rebastinib (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure 6).

Perhaps even more exciting is the potential for clinical use of reserpine to improve the efficacy of other types of anticancer treatment, including chemo- and radiotherapies supported by the preclinical data presented here (Figure 9). Reserpine has been widely used as a drug for treatment of hypertension and has also been chosen for its ability to inhibit vesicular monoamine transporter-2 and vesicular reuptake (42). We have previously reported that treatment with reserpine increases the expression of CH25H in TEV-treated cells (26). Here we show that reserpine limits the ICBT between malignant and benign cells in vivo (Figure 1) by likely more than one mechanism, including suppression of TEV uptake (26) and a decrease in circulating TEVs (Figure 9A and Supplemental Figure 9A), which can be plausibly attributed to altered TEV production/loading in vitro (Figure 8A). Molecular mechanisms underlying the inhibition of ICBT by reserpine are likely to involve effects on expression of genes involved in production of extracellular vesicles and on plasma membrane fluidity and its ability to fuse with lipid membrane vesicles (Figure 8, B–D). Potential effects of reserpine on additional modes of ICBT (e.g., cell fusion or formation of tunneling nanotubes) require further studies.

Although reserpine elicited only a modest effect on proliferation of malignant cells in vitro (26), additional ICBT-independent mechanisms by which this agent may help to suppress angiogenesis and growth of solid tumors cannot be excluded. Regardless of these specific mechanisms, it is important to note that reserpine robustly suppressed the increase in metastatic disease triggered by therapeutic regimens mostly designed to efficiently eradicate primary tumors (Figure 9).

The relatively low cost of reserpine therapy adds to its benefits, which could be especially important to battle metastatic disease in economically disadvantaged patients worldwide. Given that reserpine is well tolerated and has already been approved for use in human patients, this drug can be immediately tested in clinical trials for inclusion in standard regimens used in the treatment of solid tumors. Furthermore, future development of novel means to control ICBT and improve the outcome of anticancer therapies hold additional promise.

Methods
Detailed methods can be found in the supplemental material.

Human CRC specimens and their analyses. Human CRC tissue microarrays, consisting of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue cores, were stained for CH25H. The detailed description of Cohorts 1–4 is provided in the supplemental material. CH25H, CD31, and cytokeratin detection was performed using immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry, as previously described (64). Quantitative biomarker analysis was performed using Tissue Studio image analysis software (Definiens) to identify epithelial or stromal regions, facilitated by DAPI-stained cell nuclei and cytokeratin detection was performed using immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry, as previously described (64).
Cell culture. Human 293T and HCT116 cells and mouse B16F10 cells were purchased from ATCC. Mouse MC38 colon adenocarcinoma (from S. Ostrand-Rosenberg, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland, USA), TRAMP-C2-luc prostate neuroendocrine tumor cells (from L. Languino, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA), and MH6499c4 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (from B. Stanger, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA) were gifted. All cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2 in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin, and L-glutamine.

Figure 9. Administration of reserpine improves the outcomes of radio-/chemotherapies. (A) ELISA analysis of CD63 levels in the plasma from mice bearing B16F10 tumors of similar volume exposed or not to ionizing radiation (IR, 12 Gy), reserpine (1 mg/kg), or both. n = 4 for all groups. (B) Analysis of tumor volume in B16F10 tumors (inoculated s.c. at 1 × 10^5 cells/mouse) in WT mice treated with vehicle or reserpine (1 mg/kg) upon reaching 30 to 50 mm^3. Three days later, vehicle- and reserpine-treated mice with similar tumor volumes underwent 12-Gy irradiation and continued their assigned vehicle or reserpine treatments 3 times per week (n = 4). (C) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of B16F10 tumor-bearing mice treated as described in panel B until tumors reached 2000 mm^3 (n = 4). (D) Quantification of total area of B16F10 metastatic load in lungs from mice described in panel B (n = 4). (E) Schematic of the experiments combining reserpine with FOLFOX treatment of orthotopically inoculated MC38 colon tumors. (F) Representative images and the mass of MC38 tumors from animals treated as in panel E. n = 5 for each group. (G) Representative images of livers from MC38 tumor-bearing mice described in panel E. Arrowheads show macroscopic metastatic lesions found in 8% of vehicle-treated animals, 57% of FOLFOX only–treated animals, and none of the animals that received reserpine (with or without FOLFOX). Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was carried out using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (A, D, and F), 2-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple-comparison test (B), or log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (C). NS, not significant. Experiments were performed independently at least 3 times.
and characterized for density by measuring the weight of each fraction (g/mL, indicated in Supplemental Table 1). Fractions 1 and 2 (1.11–1.12 g/mL) were the only ones containing TEVs.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA from ECs or tumors was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, 15596018) and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR using SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, 4367659). Primers of indicated genes are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

TEV and liposome uptake in vitro was examined in the WT and Ch25h–/– ECs cultured with TEV-free media and pretreated with vehicle or reserpine (diluted as previously described in ref. 26), followed by either DiD-labeled liposomes (FormuMax, F60103F-DD, 1 μg/mL) or TEVs that were either labeled with DiD as described previously (26) or derived from B16F10 cells stably expressing GFP. Uptake of DiD was monitored by flow cytometry as described previously (26). Uptake of Gfp mRNA was analyzed after total RNA was isolated from ECs using TRIzol reagent and chloroform. RNA concentration and purity were determined by using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). An Applied Biosystems High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit manufactured to the manufacturers’ instructions.

For assessment of TEV production by tumor cells in vitro, 6 × 10⁶ cells were plated in 15-cm dishes. Upon attachment of all cells, the media were removed and replaced with fresh media that contained 10% extracellular vesicle–free FBS. The cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or reserpine (10 μM). After 2 days, the conditioned media were collected for extracellular vesicle isolation and the total number of cells were counted for each condition. Ten microliters of isolated extracellular vesicles was submitted for nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA), and 10 μL was used for protein concentration. The number of vesicles per microliter and the amount of protein per vesicle were calculated correspondingly.

For assessment of TEV absolute number in plasma from tumor-bearing mice undergoing chemotherapy, 100 μL of plasma was harvested and TEVs were isolated with an exosome isolation kit (Invitrogen, 4485229). Pellets were resuspended in 50–80 μL PBS and samples were assessed by NTA.

Flow cytometric analysis of ICBT and other immunological techniques. Volume of tumors measured by caliper was calculated as width × width × length × 0.5. Tumor tissues were dissected and digested with 1 mg/mL Collagenase D (Roche, 11088882001) with 100 μg/mL DNase I (Roche, 10104159001) in RPMI medium with 2% FBS for 1 hour with continuous agitation at 37°C. The digestion mixture was passed through a 70-μm cell strainer to prepare a single-cell suspension and washed with PBS supplemented with 2 mM EDTA and 1% FBS. Single cells were stained with cell-surface antibodies: anti-CD45–APC-Cy7 (BioLegend, catalog 103115), anti-CD31–PE-Cy7 (BioLegend, catalog 102417), and anti-PDGF-Rα–APC (BioLegend, catalog 139907). Data were acquired using an LSRFortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay–based kits from Boster Bio, LLC (for ANGPT1 and ANGPT2) or Cusabio Technology, LLC (for CD63) were used to analyze levels of respective proteins in serum, tumor homogenates, or supernatants of EC cultures according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

For the immunofluorescence analyses, tumor tissues were harvested, embedded in frozen OCT, and cryosectioned into 7-μm sections using a Leica CM3050 S cryostat. Tumor sections were fixed in acetone, washed with PBS, and then blocked with PBS containing 5% goat serum and 1% BSA. Then, the sections were incubated for 12 hours at 4°C with anti-CD31 primary antibody (BD Biosciences, catalog 553370) diluted 1:200 in PBS, and followed by washing 3 times with PBS. Next, samples were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Invitrogen, catalog A-11006 or A-11007) diluted 1:500 in PBS. ProLong Gold Antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen, P36935) was added after washing. Immunofluorescence images were captured with an Olympus BX51 microscope. CD31 staining area, distance, and number of blood vessels were analyzed with Metaphor software (Molecular Devices).

Isolation, culture, and proliferation/migration analyses of primary lung ECs was carried out as previously described (63). For Western blotting analysis of TIE2 phosphorylation, WT and Ch25h–/– ECs were isolated from respective mice and treated with PBS or MC38-derived vesicles (20 μg/mL) for 12 hours. Western blotting was carried out using a rabbit anti–mouse TIE2 polyclonal antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog PA5-80103) and p-TIE2 was detected with rabbit anti–p-TIE2 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog 42218S), as described previously (66).

Analysis of plasma membrane fluidity was carried out as previously described (67). ECs treated as indicated were suspended in PBS at 4 × 10⁷/mL and incubated with the fluorescent probe 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (Sigma-Aldrich, D208000; 3 μM) at 37°C for 20 minutes and held at 25.0 ± 0.5°C for intensity polarization measurements using a Tecan Infinite F200 Fluorescence Microplate Reader System (excitation = 313 nm; emission = 460 nm). The degree of cell membrane polarization was calculated using $P = (F_1 - F_2)/(F_1 + F_2)$, in which $F_1$ and $F_2$ refer to the fluorescence intensity of vertically and horizontally polarized components, respectively, with excitation vertically polarized.

Tumorigenesis studies. For the syngeneic subcutaneous tumor model, B16F10, MC38, or MH60999c4 tumor cells were inoculated into the right flank of indicated C57BL/6J mice. For xenograft studies, mice were anesthetized, injected with FITC-conjugated lectin perfusion.

For the syngeneic subcutaneous tumor model, B16F10, MC38, or MH60999c4 tumor cells were inoculated into the right flank of indicated C57BL/6J mice. For xenograft studies, mice were anesthetized, injected with FITC-conjugated lectin perfusion. ECs treated as indicated were suspended in PBS at 4 × 10⁷/mL and incubated with the fluorescent probe 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (Sigma-Aldrich, D208000; 3 μM) at 37°C for 20 minutes and held at 25.0 ± 0.5°C for intensity polarization measurements using a Tecan Infinite F200 Fluorescence Microplate Reader System (excitation = 313 nm; emission = 460 nm). The degree of cell membrane polarization was calculated using $P = (F_1 - F_2)/(F_1 + F_2)$, in which $F_1$ and $F_2$ refer to the fluorescence intensity of vertically and horizontally polarized components, respectively, with excitation vertically polarized.

FITTIC-lectin perfusion. MC38 cells (1 × 10⁶) were injected into the right flank of WT and Ch25h–/– mice. Fourteen days after injection, mice were anesthetized, injected with FITC-conjugated Lycopersicon esculentum (tomato) lectin (FITC-lectin) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, L32478; 100 μg/mouse, i.v.) and allowed to circulate for 10 minutes. After that, the chest was opened rapidly and the vasculature was perfused with 30 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 5 minutes. Tumor tissues were harvested and stored in PFA overnight before being frozen in OCT. Cryosections of tumors were stained with anti-CD31 for the whole blood vessels and FITC-positive areas were calculated with Metaphor software.
Combination therapies. Reserpine (Sigma-Aldrich, 83580) was administered as previously described (26). Briefly, reserpine (dissolved in 0.1% ascorbic acid and diluted in ddH₂O) or vehicle (0.1% ascorbic acid diluted in ddH₂O) was administered to B16F10 tumor-bearing mice when the tumors reached 75 mm³ at the dose of 1 mg/kg (i.p.; 3 times per week). Matched vehicle and reserpine mice with similar (100-130 mm³) tumor volumes were chosen to undergo irradiation using the Small Animal Radiation Research Platform (SARRP, Xstrahl Medical & Life Sciences) 2 days later. Mice were anesthetized using inhaled 2.5% isoflurane and placed on the stage of the SARRP. Once the tumor isocenter was determined, delivery of the single 12-Gy dose was made using a 1 × 1 cm collimated beam operating at 175 kV, 15 mA, with copper filtration and the dose rate at 1.65 Gy/min. The beam was delivered at such an angle as to avoid the spine. Dosimetry was performed using EBT2 gafchromic films.

The ingredients for the FOLFOX regimen (oxaliplatin, PHR1528; 5-fluorouracil, F6627; folinic acid calcium salt hydrate, F7878—all Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in PBS and administered (150 mg/kg folinic acid, 5 mg/kg 5-fluorouracil, 1.4 mg/kg oxaliplatin; all i.p. every other day, with or without 1 mg/kg reserpine i.p.) into mice 10 days after the animals were inoculated with 5 × 10⁵ MC38 cells injected into the cecum. All mice were sacrificed 45 days after tumor inoculation and tumor, liver, and intestine were harvested for the histopathologic analysis. Sunitinib (BioVision, 1611) was dissolved in a vehicle (composed of carboxymethylcellulose sodium [0.5% w/v], NaCl [1.8% w/v], Tween 80 [0.4% w/v], and benzyl alcohol [0.9% w/v] in water; the whole formulation was adjusted to pH 6.0). Rebastinib was dissolved in 0.4% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose. MC38 or B16F10 cells (5 × 10⁴) were inoculated into the right flank of WT mice. Nine days after tumor inoculation, mice were treated with sunitinib (40 mg/kg, gavage) 3 times per week.

RNA sequencing. Primary lung ECs from Ch25h⁻/⁻ mice were pretreated with vehicle or reserpine (10 μM for 8 hours) followed by treatment with MC38 TEVs (20 μg/ml) or PBS for 12 hours in vitro and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN) and analyzed for Angpt2 mRNA levels by qPCR. These samples were then used for RNA sequencing (carried out as previously described, ref. 69). Raw reads were mapped to the mouse reference transcriptome (Ensembl) using Kallisto version 0.46.0. Raw data are available in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO GSE163941). All subsequent analyses were carried out using the statistical computing environment R version 4.0.0 in RStudio and Bioconductor version 3.11.1 as described in the supplemental material.

Statistics. All experiments described here are representative of at least 3 independent experiments (n > 5 mice for each group unless otherwise specified). For in vitro experiments, cells or tissues from each animal were incorporated in triplicate. All data are shown as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 7 software. Comparisons between 2 groups were conducted with a 2-tailed Student’s t test and multiple comparisons were performed using 1-way ANOVA or 1-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Tumor growth curve analysis was conducted with repeated-measures 2-way ANOVA (mixed model) followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to analyze the survival data, and Cox regression was used to compute hazard ratios. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Study approval. Use of preexisting human archival deidentified and deidentified CRC tissue arrays, previously collected under informed consent, and samples that could not be directly or indirectly linked to individual human subjects was exempt from institutional review or approved by the IRB of the Medical College of Wisconsin.

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania and were carried out in accordance with the IACUC guidelines. All mice had water ad libitum and were fed regular chow. Mice were maintained in a specific pathogen-free facility in accordance with American Association for Laboratory Animal Science guidelines. Littermates from different cages were randomly assigned to the experimental groups. These randomized experimental cohorts were either cohoused or systematically exposed to the bedding of other groups to ensure equal exposure to the microbiota of all groups.
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