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In this study, we demonstrate that forkhead box F1 (FOXF1), a mesenchymal transcriptional factor essential for lung development, was retained in a topographically distinct mesenchymal stromal cell population along the bronchovascular space in an adult lung and identify this distinct subset of collagen-expressing cells as key players in lung allograft remodeling and fibrosis. Using Foxf1-tdTomato BAC (Foxf1-tdTomato) and Foxf1-tdTomato Col1a1-GFP mice, we show that Lin Foxf1+ cells encompassed the stem cell antigen 1–CD34+ (Sca1–CD34+) subset of collagen 1–expressing mesenchymal cells (MCs) with a capacity to generate CFU and lung epithelial organoids. Histologically, FOXF1-expressing MCs formed a 3D network along the conducting airways; FOXF1 was noted to be conspicuously absent in MCs in the alveolar compartment. Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq confirmed distinct transcriptional signatures of Foxf1+ and Foxf1− MCs, with Foxf1-expressing cells delineated by their high expression of the transcription factor glioma-associated oncogene 1 (Gli1) and low expression of integrin α8 (Itga8), versus other collagen-expressing MCs. FOXF1+ Gli1+ MCs showed proximity to Sonic hedgehog–expressing (Shh-expressing) bronchial epithelium, and mesenchymal expression of Foxf1 and Gli1 was found to be dependent on paracrine Shh signaling in epithelial organoids. Using a murine lung transplant model, we show dysregulation of epithelial-mesenchymal SHH/GLI1/Foxf1 crosstalk and expansion of this specific peribronchial MC population in chronically rejecting fibrotic lung allografts.

Introduction

It is increasingly recognized that characterization of mesenchymal cell (MC) populations within distinct anatomic locations is key to understanding local homeostatic and regenerative processes as well as delineating unique pathogenic mechanisms of diverse fibrotic diseases. The lung is a vital organ with anatomically and functionally distinct conducting and gas-exchange units that harbor histologically identifiable, specialized cell populations. The presence of resident mesenchymal progenitors in human adult lungs was highlighted by our finding of donor-derived multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) in sex-mismatched allografts (1). Subsequent studies using transgenic mice and single-cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) have shed light on various MC subpopulations residing within the lung (2–4). However, despite the identification of a plethora of genes, the characterization of anatomically and functionally distinct collagen-expressing MC populations remains limited. Furthermore, the majority of studies have focused on interstitial pulmonary fibrosis using bleomycin-induced injury models, with a lack of investigation into other fibrotic processes such as the conducting airway fibrosis that marks chronically rejecting lung allografts.

Here, we used the expression of forkhead box F1 (FOXF1), a key transcription factor expressed in developing lung mesenchyme, to identify a unique subset of collagen 1+ (Col1+) MCs that resided within a specific peribronchial niche in an adult lung and contributed to allograft fibrogenesis. FOXF1 is a Sonic hedgehog/glioma-associated oncogene 1 (SHH/GLI1) target expressed in the lateral mesoderm during embryogenesis that is essential for branching morphogenesis and lung development (5, 6). FOXF1 is unique among embryonic transcription factors, in that its expression persists in adult lungs (5, 7). We have previously demonstrated that MSCs derived from human adult lung allografts express FOXF1 (1, 7, 8). However, whether persistent expression of FOXF1 in adulthood marks all or just a subset of collagen-expressing MCs is unknown, and the in situ niche of these cells remained to be determined. The present studies of Foxf1-tdTomato bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) and bitransgenic Foxf1-tdTomato Col1a1-GFP mice reveal that FOXF1 expression demarcated a distinct collagen-expressing MC population with a unique transcriptional profile and anatomic localization. The demonstration of an in situ peribronchial subepithelial niche of FOXF1/Gli1+ cells, their in vitro ability to support epithelial cell organoids, and their in vivo expansion in response to airway-centric injury after lung transplantation reflects their participation in homeostatic/fibrotic responses within this specific compartment.
Results and Discussion

The embryonic transcription factor Foxf1 is retained in the Sca1+CD34+ mesenchymal stromal cell subset of Col1-expressing cells in the adult lung. To characterize the Foxf1-expressing, lung-resident MC population, we generated C57BL/6J Tg(Foxf1-tdTomato) BAC mice. Real-time PCR analysis of Foxf1-tdTomato+ (TD+) or Foxf1-tdTomato− (TD−) sorted cells. (B) TD− populations from A were analyzed for the indicated markers. (C and D) Lin− (CD45−CD31−EpCAM−) Foxf1-tdTomato+ or Foxf1-tdTomato− cells from Tg(Foxf1-tdTomato) BAC mice were analyzed for the indicated cell-surface markers. (E-H) Flow cytometric analysis of adult lungs from Tg(Foxf1-tdTomato) Col1GFP mice. Foxf1-tdTomato Col1+ MCs were sorted and analyzed for FOXF1 expression by Western blotting (F) and CFU assay (G). n = 7. (H) SCA1 and CD34 expression in Lin−Col1GFP/PDGFRα− Foxf1-tdTomato cells. (I) Characterization of the Lin−SCA1+ cell population in adult Foxf1-tdTomato BAC mice by flow cytometry (n = 7). (J) SCA1 cell-surface expression in Foxf1-overexpressing (OE) murine lung fibroblasts. Data indicate the mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001, by 2-tailed, unpaired t test (A and G). SSC-A, side scatter area.
expressed the mesenchymal surface marker PDGFRα, and the progenitor cell markers stem cell antigen 1 (SCA1) and CD34 (Figure 1, C and D). A majority of Lin Foxf1-tdTomato- cells also stained positive for CD44, whereas CD73 staining was limited to approximately one-third of the cells (Figure 1D). We further confirmed the mesenchymal phenotype of Lin Foxf1-tdTomato- cells by staining for vimentin and prolly-4-hydroxylase (Supplemental Figure 1C).

To determine whether FOXF1 is expressed in all lung-resident Col1+ MCs or only in a MC subpopulation, Foxf1-tdTomato mice were crossed with Coll1a1 promoter–driven GFP (referred to herein as Coll1GFP) mice. FACS revealed 2 distinct Coll1GFP populations demarcated by the presence or absence of Foxf1-tdTomato in Foxf1-tdTomato Coll1a1-GFP-bitransgenic adult mouse lungs (Figure 1E). FOXF1 protein expression was restricted to the Foxf1-tdTomato subset of Coll1-expressing MCs (Figure 1F). The Foxf1 Col1+ MCs formed significantly more CFU (Figure 1G) and had higher SCA1 and CD34 expression than that detected in the Foxf1 Col1+ subpopulation (Figure 1H). The Lin SCA1+ cell population has been shown to mark multipotent mesenchymal progenitors in an adult mouse lung (10). Flow cytometric analysis of cells from adult Foxf1-tdTomato BAC mice showed that the Lin SCA1+ cells uniformly expressed FOXF1 (Figure 1I). Transient overexpression of Foxf1 in murine lung fibroblasts induced SCA1 expression, further suggesting a positive correlation between these markers in MCs (Figure 1J). Together, these data indicate that expression of the embryonic lung mesenchyme–associated transcription factor FOXF1 was restricted to a subset of collagen-expressing MCs in an adult mouse lung and overlapped with the progenitor cell marker SCA1.

Topographic confinement of FOXF1 to a 3D MC network along the conducting airways. Next, to investigate the localization of the FOXF1-expressing MC population in an adult lung, we performed FOXF1 staining of lung tissue from Coll1GFP-transgenic mice. As can be appreciated by zooming in on the high-resolution wide-field image (Nikon Elements), colocalization of FOXF1 staining (red) with intrinsic collagen 1α1-GFP (green) was noted to be confined to spindle cells in the bronchovascular areas, in association with bronchi and vessels, and interspersed in the adjoining loose interstitium (Figure 2A). FOXF1 Coll1GFP- MCs lay in close apposition to club cell secretory protein–expressing (CCSP-expressing) bronchial epithelial cells (white), suggesting that these MCs are important components of the airway progenitor cell niche (Figure 2B and Supplemental Figure 2A). This network of cells extended in a 3D manner from the proximal to the distal airways (Figure 2B, insets 1-3). Subepithelial MCs in the lung airways have been identified histologically as distinct intermeshed, spindle-shaped cells; the unique appearance of this layer of thin MCs, with its large surface area and close proximity to the epithelial/environmental interface, led it to be termed the attenuated fibroblast sheath, with similar cells in the gut identified as the pericryptal fibroblast sheath (11). Interestingly, along with the finding that FOXF1 marked the MCs in this anatomically distinct location in the lung, our Foxf1-tdTomato BAC mice had expression of FOXF1 in the pericryptal MCs in the gut (data not shown).

Coexpression of FOXF1 was notably absent in the Coll1GFP- cells in the alveoli, where FOXF1 positivity was restricted to endothelial cells (Figure 2B, inset 4, and Supplemental Figure 2B). We performed confocal imaging and costaining of type 1 and type 2 pneumocytes with aquaporin 5 and thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1) to confirm the absence of FOXF1 expression in MCs of the alveolar niche (Supplemental Figure 2C). On quantitation, FOXF1 nuclear staining was evident in 76.4% of Coll1GFP- cells within the bronchovascular unit, whereas 93.9% of MCs in the alveolar space were demonstrably negative for FOXF1 nuclear expression (Figure 2C).

We used the transgenic PDGFRα-GFP mouse, in which the Pdgfra promoter drives expression of the H2B-eGFP fusion gene, to corroborate these findings. In the PDGFRα adult mouse lung, FOXF1 staining colocalized with endogenous PDGFRα-GFP nuclear expression in MCs near the airways but was absent in PDGFRα alveolar MCs (Figure 2D). Immunofluorescence staining of adult human lung tissue sections confirmed the peribronchial niche of the FOXF1-expressing MCs, where FOXF1 expression was noted in MCs interspersed between the smooth muscle and bronchial epithelium (Figure 2E).

Bulk sequencing and scRNA-Seq confirm distinct transcriptional signatures of Foxf1- and Foxf1-resident Coll1a1- MC populations. The transcriptomic profiles of freshly sorted Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato or Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato- MCs were compared by Affymetrix assay. Coll1 Foxf1- endothelial cells were included as controls (Supplemental Figure 3A). Principal component analysis and unsupervised clustering indicated that the 2 MC populations have unique gene signatures (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure 3B). Consistent with their unique anatomic niches, a comparison of biological processes gene ontology (GO) terms related to epithelium revealed statistically significant differences between the 2 populations (Supplemental Table 1). A previous study classified 2 distinct matrix fibroblast populations based on Coll13a1 and Coll14a1 expression (3). We found that Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato- cells expressed a Coll14a1 matrix fibroblast signature (Coll14a1, Pit16, Cygb, Rtp4, Rhp4, Meg3), whereas Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato- cells had a Coll13a1 matrix fibroblast signature (Coll13a1, integrin α 8 [Itga8], Nppt, Cxcl14, Cdhl1, Tcf21) (Figure 3B). In addition to Foxf1, the SHH signaling gene Gli1, a transcriptional promoter of Foxf1 expression (12), was upregulated in Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato compared with Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato- MCs (Figure 3B). This was further investigated by using tamoxifen-treated Gli1CreERT2/WT Rosa26RøRYFP/WT adult mice. Flow cytometric analysis revealed that, similar to Foxf1-tdTomato- MCs, greater than 80% of Gli1CreERT2/WT Rosa26RøRYFP/WT-labeled cells were SCA1+ (Supplemental Figure 3C). Immunofluorescence staining showed nuclear FOXF1 expression in Gli1-GFP–expressing subepithelial peribronchial MCs; no significant Gli1 expression was noted in the alveoli (Figure 3C).

Affymetrix analysis identified the cell-surface molecule Itga8 as being highly upregulated in Coll1GFP Foxf1-tdTomato- cells (Figure 3B). Immunofluorescence staining of Coll1GFP- adult mouse lung tissue sections confirmed ITGA8 containing in Coll1GFP Foxf1- cells in the alveolar space and its absence in Coll1GFP Foxf1- cells of the peribronchial space (Figure 3D). FACS sorting of lung MCs based on ITGA8 expression confirmed lower mRNA expression of Foxf1 and Gli1 in ITGA8- MCs (Supplemental Figure 3, D and E). Freshly sorted Lin PDGFRα ITGA8- and Lin PDGFRα ITGA8+ cells were studied by time-lapse microscopy and high-content analyses of live cell migration, prolifer
Most cells belonged to 2 large clusters characterized by high expression of \( \text{Col1a1} \), with high expression of \( \text{Foxf1} \) or \( \text{Itga8} \), and we refer to them herein as \( \text{Foxf1}_{-}\text{MCs} \) and \( \text{Itga8}_{-}\text{MCs} \), respectively (Figure 3, E and F). Consistent with our results above, the scRNA-Seq data indicated a significant correlation (Pearson’s \( P = 0.64 \), \( P < 2\times10^{-16} \)) between the expression of \( \text{Foxf1} \) and \( \text{Gli1} \) within the same cell (Figure 3G).

Discriminatory \( \text{Col13a1} \) and \( \text{Col14a1} \) genes previously identified by Xie et al. (ref. 3 and Figure 3F). The shapes and areas of the whole cells and nuclei between the 2 cell populations were significantly different, as revealed by single-cell linear discriminant analysis (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; \( P < 0.0001 \)).

We next performed scRNA-Seq on CD45–CD31– cell populations sorted from adult mouse lungs. Using non-negative matrix factorization and Louvain community detection, we identified 14 transcriptionally distinct cell populations (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 5). Most cells belonged to 2 large clusters characterized by high expression of \( \text{Col1a1} \), with high expression of \( \text{Foxf1} \) or \( \text{Itga8} \), and we refer to them herein as \( \text{Foxf1}_{-}\text{MCs} \) and \( \text{Itga8}_{-}\text{MCs} \), respectively (Figure 3E and F). Consistent with our results above, the scRNA-Seq data indicated a significant correlation (Pearson’s \( P = 0.64 \), \( P < 2\times10^{-16} \)) between the expression of \( \text{Foxf1} \) and \( \text{Gli1} \) within the same cell (Figure 3G). \( \text{Foxf1}_{-}\text{MCs} \) and \( \text{Itga8}_{-}\text{MCs} \) clusters also distinguished themselves by the expression of discriminatory \( \text{Col13a1} \) and \( \text{Col14a1} \) genes previously identified on scRNA-Seq analysis by Xie et al. (ref. 3 and Figure 3F).
The continuous nature of the Foxf1 and Itga8 MC populations, combined with the velocity analysis, further raises the intriguing possibility that there may be a spatial gradient of transcriptional variation among these cells.

Foxf1 MC cluster encompasses subbronchial and both subpopulations of cuff Col1+ MCs described by Tsukui et al. (2). The RNA velocity vectors for the MC clusters revealed an interesting trend: the arrows point from Foxf1 MC → Itga8 MC, suggesting that transcriptional changes proceed primarily in this direction, rather than from Itga8 MC → Foxf1 MC or in random directions (Supplemental Figure 6). The continuous nature of the Foxf1 and Itga8 MC populations, combined with the velocity analysis, further raises the intriguing possibility that there may be a spatial gradient of transcriptional variation among these cells.
Foxf1+Gli1+ MCs are significant contributors to airway fibrosis in an orthotopic mouse lung transplant model. Together, this work identified a Foxf1+Gli1+Itga8 Col1 MC population residing in a unique niche associated with BVBs (BVB MCs). BVBs were also the primary site of the allogeneic insult in a transplanted lung, with acute rejection marked by lymphocytic infiltration of blood vessels and airways and chronic rejection presenting as peribronchiolar and perivascular fibrosis. Our human studies revealed the mobilization of Foxf1-expressing lung MSCs during the post-transplant injury period, with an increase in their numbers early after transplantation and in chronically rejecting grafts (8). We have also recently demonstrated that Foxf1 acts as a suppressor gene in human lung MSCs, with its downregulation promoting cellular proliferation and migration (13). As FOXF1 is a SHH/GLI1 target (6, 12), we hypothesized that Shh expression in bronchial epithelial cells is key to maintaining Gli and Foxf1 expression in BVB MCs and that its dysregulation marks airway fibrosis.
We first studied the proposed Shh/GliFoxf1 paracrine signaling between epithelial and mesenchymal components in vitro using epithelial organoid formation. Col1GFPFoxftdTomato MCs promoted the formation of organoids when cocultured with freshly isolated Rosa26tdTomato mouse bronchioalveolar stem cells (BASCs) in Matrigel (4.75 ± 3.29/well, n = 6; Figure 4A). Gene expression analysis confirmed the exclusive expression of Shh and Foxf1 in the sorted epithelial and mesenchymal component of the organoids, respectively (Figure 4B). The organoid-forming capacity of Col1GFP FoxftdTomato MCs was significantly higher than that of Col1GFP FoxftdTomato MCs (Supplemental Figure 7, A and B). Foxf1 MCs in organoid cocultures had higher Foxf1 and Gli1 mRNA expression than those grown in Matrigel alone (Figure 4C). The presence of the Shh signaling and the Smoothened antagonist LDE225 inhibited Foxf1 and Gli1 mRNA expression in Foxf1 MCs grown in cocultures compared with those grown in Matrigel alone, demonstrating a contribution of epithelium-derived SHH in maintaining the expression of Foxf1 and Gli1 in Foxf1 MCs (Figure 4C).

Next, we used a recently described F1→parent orthotopic murine lung transplant model of chronic lung allograft dysfunction to investigate whether disruption of Shh/Gli1/Foxf1 crosstalk accompanies airway remodeling. This B6D2F1/J→C57BL6/J model of lung transplantation demonstrates an evolution from marked lymphocytic infiltration with bronchial epithelium and endothelial injury to robust peribronchial and perivascular fibrosis (14). Affymetrix analysis of the whole-lung lysate at various time points after transplantation revealed a persistent decrease in Shh and Foxf1 expression in the allografts compared with expression in the isografts (Supplemental Figure 7C). To confirm their loss in specific cellular compartments, epithelial cells (CD45–CD31–) and MCs (Lin PDGFRα+) were sorted from graft single-cell suspensions on post-transplantation day 28. We observed a loss of Gli1 and Foxf1 mRNA expression in MCs and a concomitant decrease in Shh expression in epithelial cells in the allografts (Figure 4D). To track BVB MCs undergoing a loss of Foxf1 and Gli1 expression after lung transplantation, we elected to stably label these cells using the Gli1CreERT2/WT Rosa26tdTomato/WT transgenic mouse (Figure 4E). By day 14, Gli1CreERT2/WT Rosa26tdTomato/WT donor lung allografts showed a robust peribronchial and perivascular expansion of Gli1-labeled cells, with loss of CCSP-expressing epithelial cells and subbronchial fibrosis (Figure 4F). The day-28 post-lung transplantation allografts had a similar extensive expansion of Gli1+ MCs (Supplemental Figure 7, D and E). ITGA8 staining of these allografts demonstrated a continued lack of ITGA8 in Gli1+ airway MCs as well as no significant expansion or myofibroblast differentiation in ITGA8+ alveolar MCs, suggesting that fibrotic activation was limited to BVB MCs in the significant rejection allografts (Supplemental Figure 7F). Comparison of MC subsets (Lin PDGFRαITGA8 BVB MCs and Lin PDGFRαITGA8+ alveolar MCs) sorted from isografts and allografts confirmed the upregulation of Colla1 mRNA in BVB MCs in allografts, with no change noted in alveolar MCs (Supplemental Figure 7G). Similarly, only BVB MCs showed upregulation of autotaxin (Atx), a lysophospholipase D enzyme that produces profibrotic mediator lysophosphatidic acid and has been shown to be key in autocrine fibrotic activation of lung MCs and allograft fibrogenesis (15). FOXF1 was identified in our recent study as a transcriptional repressor of ATX in human lung MSCs (13), suggesting a unique fibrotic differentiation program in rejecting allografts, with loss of FOXF1 in BVB MCs leading to ATX upregulation and fibrotic expansion.

Taken together, our results suggest that anatomically localized Foxf1+Gli1+Itga8 BVB MCs are the key contributors to fibrogenesis in a rejecting allograft. Our data on the downregulation of Shh/Gli1/Foxf1 signaling during allograft fibrogenesis are consistent with prior studies, in which Shh was shown to regulate airway quiescence, with its inhibition leading to peribronchial fibrosis (16). The present study, combined with our recent demonstration of repressive functions of FOXF1 and its role as a brake on MC activation (13), suggests that FOXF1 is a likely mediator of SHH-induced quiescence of the airway compartment.

The identification in this study of simple cell-surface markers that isolate phenotypically, transcriptionally, anatomically, and functionally distinct BVB and alveolar MCs lays the foundation for future work investigating specific mechanisms by which these cells support their respective epithelial niches and compartmentalized fibrotic injury. Our data will also reinvigorate the interest in mesenchymal progenitors, as they identify the niche along the conducting airways of a FOXF1+SCA1+ MC population with CFU potential and an ability to sustain epithelial organoids. Our RNA velocity analysis of scRNA-Seq data identified the transcriptional changes proceeding in the direction from bronchovascular compartment–localizing Foxf1 MCs to alveolar compartment–residing Itga8 MCs. Further studies are needed to ascertain the role of these cells as potential progenitors for other MC populations and to characterize further specific subpopulations within this population.

Methods

Further information can be found in the Supplemental Methods.

Study approval. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the IACUC of the University of Michigan.

Accession number. RNA-Seq and scRNA-Seq data were deposited in the NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GEO GSE176476, for the RNA-Seq data presented in Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 3, A and B, and GSE179034, for the scRNA-Seq data presented in Figure 3, E-H, and Supplemental Figure 5).
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