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Ischemic cardiomyopathy is associated with an increased risk of sudden death, activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR), and reductions in multiple cardiac ion channels. When activated, the protein kinase–like ER kinase (PERK) branch of the UPR reduces protein translation and abundance. We hypothesized that PERK inhibition could prevent ion channel downregulation and reduce arrhythmia risk after myocardial infarct (MI). MI induced in mice by coronary artery ligation resulted in reduced ion channel levels, ventricular tachycardia (VT), and prolonged corrected intervals between the Q and T waves on the ECGs (QTc). Protein levels of major cardiac ion channels were decreased. MI cardiomyocytes showed significantly prolonged action potential duration and decreased maximum upstroke velocity. Cardiac-specific PERK KO reduced electrical remodeling in response to MI, with shortened QTc intervals, fewer VT episodes, and higher survival rates. Pharmacological PERK inhibition had similar effects. In conclusion, we found that activated PERK during MI contributed to arrhythmia risk by the downregulation of select cardiac ion channels. PERK inhibition prevented these changes and reduced arrhythmia risk. These results suggest that ion channel downregulation during MI is a fundamental arrhythmia mechanism and that maintenance of ion channel levels is antiarrhythmic.

Introduction
Human ischemic cardiomyopathy is associated with an increased risk of sudden death and changes in multiple cardiac ion channels and transporters (1–4). The cardiac Na+ channel (Na1.5) governs action potential (AP) phase 0 depolarization. Cardiac K+ and Ca2+ channels determine the characteristic plateau phases 2 and 3 of the AP. Inward rectifying K+ channels set the resting membrane potential. Reductions in these ion channels can lead to electrical remodeling and arrhythmias.

Adult cardiomyocytes lack significant regenerative potential and require a vital balance of their contents, such as sarcomeres, membrane ion channel proteins, and mitochondria to maintain viability and function throughout the life of the individual. Therefore, protein quality control is crucial for cardiomyocyte survival and function. The unfolded protein response (UPR) is one of the important mechanisms of protein quality control in the ER to monitor and regulate misfolded and unfolded proteins (5). Stimuli such as oxidative stress, hypoxia, and ischemic insult can induce ER stress and trigger the UPR. These stresses trigger complicated signaling cascades of the 3 UPR branches: dsRNA-activated protein kinase–like ER kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring ER-to-nucleus signal kinase 1 (IRE1), and activating transcription factor 2α (ATF2) and activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) for PERK; p-IRE1 and spliced X-box binding protein 1 (sXBP1) for IRE1; and the cleaved N-terminus of ATF6α (ATF6N) for ATF6α, respectively. The PERK and IRE1 branches inhibit protein synthesis and enhance mRNA and protein degradation.

In the past decade, the UPR has been found to play important roles, mainly in pathological cardiac hypertrophy (6–8), dilated cardiomyopathy (9), ischemia/reperfusion (10–12), diabetic heart disease (13, 14), cardiac arrhythmias (15–17), and human heart failure (2, 18). Activation of all 3 UPR branches has been reported with elevated mRNA and protein levels of p-PERK/ATF4, p-IRE1/sXBP1, and ATF6 in ischemia/reperfusion (11, 12, 19–22). Our recent studies have shown that the PERK branch of the UPR contributes to the downregulation of cardiac ion channels in human heart failure and in human induced pluripotent stem cell–derived cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-CMs) under ER stress (2, 17).

Many cardiac ion channels are downregulated in cardiomyopathy. For example, the Na1.5 current (I_na) is reduced (23). Reduced I_{Na} jeopardizes impulse propagation, causing conduction velocity decreases and ventricular arrhythmias (2, 8, 24–26). Prolongation of the ventricular AP duration (APD) is observed in human ischemic cardiomyopathy, and animal models have revealed reductions in K+ currents including the transient outward current (I_{to}) conducted by the rapidly inactivating K+ channel (Kt,4,3), the inward rectifier K+ current (I_{ir}) conducted by the inward rectifier K+ channel (Kir2.1), and the slow delayed rectifier K+ current (I_{sk}) conducted by the slowly inactivating K+ channel (K_{LQT1}) (27–31). These current reductions have been linked to reduced transcrip-
Table 1. Characterization of MI mouse heart function, cellular parameters of AP and ion channel currents, and channel protein levels, 3 weeks after MI surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart function</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF% (no. of mice)</td>
<td>55.3% ± 1.6% (25)</td>
<td>24.2% ± 1.4% (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT episodes</td>
<td>0/5 mice</td>
<td>6/7 mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtc</td>
<td>43.2 ± 1.2 ms (no. of mice) (11)</td>
<td>74.9 ± 3.5 ms (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell APs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD50</td>
<td>100 ± 12 ms (23)</td>
<td>291 ± 43 ms (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dV/dtmax</td>
<td>132 ± 6 V/s (23)</td>
<td>95 ± 4 V/s (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel currents (pA/pF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{\text{Na}} )</td>
<td>−36.6 ± 2.1 (36)</td>
<td>−21.9 ± 1.9 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{\text{CaL}} )</td>
<td>−5.8 ± 0.3 (15)</td>
<td>−3.1 ± 0.5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{\text{K2}} )</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.2 (15)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{\text{I}} )</td>
<td>−11.2 ± 1.2 (20)</td>
<td>−6.2 ± 0.5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{\text{K1}} )</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.2 (19)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel protein (GAPDH as loading control, normalized to sham)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_{\text{v}}) 1.5</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.05 (7)</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.03 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(_{\text{v}}) 1.2</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.04 (7)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.06 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_{\text{v}}) 4.3</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.06 (7)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.07 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kir2.1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.07 (7)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.08 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_{\text{v}}) 1.5</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.12 (7)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.05 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel mRNA (Gapdh as reference gene)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_{\text{v}}) 1.5</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.10 (3)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.04 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(_{\text{v}}) 1.2</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.16 (3)</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.03 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_{\text{v}}) 4.3</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.03 (3)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.00 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kir2.1</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.10 (3)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_{\text{v}}) 1.5</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.20 (3)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of mice (heart function and channel protein levels) or cardiomyocytes (cell APs and channel currents) tested for each data point. Cardiomyocytes were isolated from the free wall of the left ventricle remote from the infarct using 3–5 mice from each group. Currents were measured at different resting membrane potentials (\( I_{\text{Na}} \): −20 mV; \( I_{\text{CaL}} \): +10 mV; \( I_{\text{I}} \) and \( I_{\text{K1}} \): +50 mV; \( I_{\text{K2}} \): −100 mV) and normalized to the cell capacitance. Protein levels were normalized to the sham group. *P < 0.05 versus sham-operated mice, by 2-tailed Student’s t test. Channel protein levels were combined from Figure 4 and Figure 6B.

Results

Mice with induced MI have QT prolongation, arrhythmias, and suppression of ion channels. Mice subjected to induced MI (referred to hereafter as MI mice) showed significant cardiomyopathy by week 3 after MI surgery (Table 1). The ejection fraction (EF) percentage was significantly decreased to 24.2% ± 1.4% (vs. 55.3% ± 1.6% for sham-operated mice, P < 0.0001). The corrected interval between Q and T waves (QTc) on ECG was significantly prolonged to 74.9 ± 3.5 ms (vs. 43.2 ± 1.2 ms for the sham-operated mice, P < 0.0001). Telemetry showed ventricular tachycardia (VT) episodes in 6 of 7 MI mice but in none of the 5 sham-operated mice (P < 0.05). We observed sudden death by telemetry for 4 mice. Of these, 3 mice experienced VT, and 1 died of bradycardia.

At the cellular level, cardiomyocytes remote from the ischemic zone had a significantly prolonged APD (APD50: 291 ± 43 ms vs. 100 ± 12 ms for sham-treated mice, P < 0.05) and a decreased maximum velocity of the AP upstroke (dV/dtmax: 95 ± 4 V/s vs. 132 ± 6 V/s for sham-operated mice, P < 0.05) (Table 1). All cardiac ion channels were downregulated at the protein and current levels in the MI group when compared with the sham group (Table 1). The reduction in protein levels varied from a 36% ± 10% reduction of the K\(_{\text{v}}\) 4.3 channel to a 75% ± 7% reduction of the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (Ca\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.2, P < 0.05 vs. sham-operated mice for all). The \( I_{\text{Na}} \) and L-type calcium current \( (I_{\text{L}}) \) were decreased by 40% ± 6% and 47% ± 9%, respectively (P < 0.001), and the K\(^{+}\) currents \( (I_{\text{K}} \) and the ultra-rapid delayed rectifier K\(^{+}\) current \( (I_{\text{K1}} \) conducted by the voltage-gated K\(^{+}\) channel, shaker-subfamily, member 5, K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.5) were decreased by 73% ± 6%, 45% ± 7%, and 60% ± 7%, respectively (P < 0.0001). Representative traces and the current-voltage relationship curves of the cardiac ion channels are shown in Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI147836DS1). We observed no significant difference in the gating properties of ion channels, indicating that the reduced currents mainly resulted from the decrease in channel protein expression.

The UPR was activated in MI mouse hearts. As shown in Figure 1, all 3 UPR branches were activated under MI, with elevated protein levels of p-PERK, p-IRE1, and ATF6\(_{\text{N}}\). Significant elevations of p-PERK were observed starting 1 week after MI, p-IRE1 and ATF6\(_{\text{N}}\) levels took an additional week to rise. All 3 UPR branches continued to be activated at week 3. We performed similar experiments on sham-operated mice and observed no significant increase in p-PERK, p-IRE1, or ATF6\(_{\text{N}}\) levels (Supplemental Figure 2).

PERK inhibition improved adverse electrical remodeling after MI. Three weeks after surgery, the MI mice exhibited significantly reduced systolic function, and all 3 UPR effectors were activated. At this time point, we initiated treatment with the PERK inhibitor GSK2606414 (GSK). A 3-week treatment with GSK (100 mg/kg/day) led to decreased protein levels of p-PERK but had no effects on IRE1 or ATF6\(_{\text{N}}\) levels, confirming the drug’s specificity (Figure 2).

Pharmacologic inhibition of PERK by GSK oral treatment significantly improved the cellular APs, channel currents, and protein expression levels. As shown in Figure 3, A and B, GSK shortened the APD (APD at 90% of repolarization [APD\(_{\text{90}}\)]: 174 ± 17 ms vs. 291 ± 43 ms of MI, P < 0.001) and increased the dV/dtmax (108 ± 2 V/s vs. 95 ± 4 V/s of MI, P < 0.01), a parameter associated with Nav1.5 reduction of Nav1.5 and Kv4.3 in MI myocytes. I\(_{\text{Na}}\) and I\(_{\text{K1}}\) currents in the MI-GSK-treated group were increased to −33.3 ± 2.9 and 5.1 ± 1.0 pA/pF, respectively (P < 0.001 vs. MI mice; Figure 3C). Correspondingly, the protein levels of Na\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.5 and K\(_{\text{v}}\) 4.3 were increased (Figure 4). These data indicated a PERK-dependent regulation of these 2 channels in MI hearts. GSK treatment also improved protein expression of K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.5 in MI hearts (0.88 ± 0.05-fold vs. sham-operated mice, P < 0.05 vs. MI and P > 0.05 vs. sham),...
although the $I_{\text{kr}}$ was not recovered in MI cardiomyocytes treated with GSK. GSK treatment was associated with reduced VT and improved survival when compared with MI alone (Supplemental Figure 3). We found, however, that PERK inhibition did not affect all channels equally. GSK treatment did not reverse the decreased current and protein levels of Cav1.2 and Kir2.1 in MI mice, indicating that the downregulation of these channels in MI was probably PERK independent. Representative traces and the current-voltage relationship curves of the cardiac ion channels are shown in Supplemental Figure 1. GSK treatment of sham-operated mice showed unaltered APD and conduction velocity (Supplemental Figure 4).

**PERK KO in MI mice.** To study PERK regulation of MI hearts at the animal level, we generated constitutive, cardiac-specific PERK-KO mice. PERK-KO mice showed normal heart function at baseline (EF = 53.8% ± 2.4%, P = 0.67 vs. sham-operated mice). As shown in Figure 5, three weeks after MI surgery was performed on cardiac-specific PERK-KO mice (referred to hereafter as MI–PERK-KO mice), these mice had a higher survival rate (35 of 51 MI–PERK-KO mice showed significantly less arrhythmia inducibility compared with MI mice (P < 0.05). The benefit of PERK KO on the post-MI arrhythmia risk was also confirmed with optical mapping studies (Supplemental Figure 6). MI–PERK-KO mice showed significantly less arrhythmia inducibility compared with MI mice (P < 0.0001). This improvement in arrhythmia risk was accompanied by a reduction in APD heterogeneity, which was calculated as the difference between the APDs measured in the MI border and those in the remote zones of the left ventricle. MI mice showed significant APD heterogeneity, thus creating substrates for conduction blocks and arrhythmias. On the other hand, the MI–PERK-KO hearts had similar APDs in the entire left ventricle and significantly less heterogeneity (P = 0.0138 vs. MI mouse hearts), which could explain the significant decrease in arrhythmia inducibility. Analysis of premature ventricular contractions was undertaken by telemetry. We analyzed 2 hours of continuous ECG recordings (1-2 am and 1-2 pm) and noted no significant difference in ventricular premature beats (VPBs) between the MI and MI–PERK-KO mice (Supplemental Figure 6). The telemetry data showed no significant difference in the heart rate when the mice were at rest (575 ± 15 bpm for MI mice vs. 575 ± 17 bpm for MI–PERK-KO mice, respectively; data were collected from 1 to 2 pm).

As shown in Figure 6, the protein changes of the UPR effectors and ion channels in the MI–PERK-KO mouse hearts were similar to those seen with GSK inhibition. The significant elevation of p-PERK in MI mouse hearts was abolished in MI–PERK-KO hearts. Residual PERK levels seem likely to have originated from cells other than cardiomyocytes. The protein levels of p-IRE1 and ATF6N were still significantly higher in MI–PERK-KO hearts compared with levels in sham-operated mouse hearts, but no significant difference was observed when compared with levels in MI mouse hearts. For the cardiac ion channels, we obtained similar results with the PERK-KO hearts when compared with pharmacological inhibition with GSK. The marked reductions of Na$_V$1.5, K$_V$4.3, and K$_V$1.5 in MI hearts were reversed, whereas Ca$_V$1.2 and Kir2.1 were still significantly decreased in MI–PERK-KO hearts. MI was associated with a correlated reduction in ion channel mRNA, current, and protein levels (Supplemental Figure 1). PERK inhibition improved these values for Na$_V$1.5, K$_V$4.3, and K$_V$1.5 channels, suggesting that the ion channel reductions seen with MI were PERK dependent and that the primary effect of PERK inhibition was at the level of mRNA abundance. While it is possible that a changing complement of cell types in the heart could alter normalization results for protein in Western blots when using whole-heart lysates from the 3 experimental condi-
Electrical remodeling (Figure 3). Genetic inhibition of PERK at the time of MI confirmed the pharmacological results and demonstrated that PERK inhibition improves survival rates, improves the EF somewhat, shortens the QTc interval, and reduces the risk for arrhythmia after MI (Figure 5). Optical mapping experiments showed that PERK inhibition reduced arrhythmia inducibility and that the MI–PERK-KO left ventricle had significantly less heterogeneity (the difference in APD) between the MI region and the remote zone compared with MI hearts (Supplemental Figure 6). These data reinforce the basic finding that PERK inhibition reduced the post-MI arrhythmia risk and provide a mechanistic link between the electrophysiological changes and reduced arrhythmia risk. Nevertheless, it is possible that some portion of the survival benefit accrued with PERK inhibition was not related to the ion channel changes.

Discussion
Ischemic heart disease is responsible for three-quarters of sudden cardiac deaths. Infarction induces ER stress and activates all 3 UPR branches (10, 15, 19, 33–35). In this study, we observed UPR activation with significant elevation of p-PERK, p-IRE1, and ATF6N levels in MI hearts, which was associated with downregulation of all major cardiac ion channels. The results of our inhibition studies showed that the reductions in Na\(_{\text{v}1.5}\), K\(_{\text{v}4.3}\), and K\(_{\text{v}1.5}\) were PERK dependent. At the cellular level, pharmacologic inhibition of PERK prevented the downregulation of these channels and reduced deleterious electrical remodeling (Figure 3). Genetic inhibition of PERK at the time of MI confirmed the pharmacological results and demonstrated that PERK inhibition improves survival rates, improves the EF somewhat, shortens the QTc interval, and reduces the risk for arrhythmia after MI (Figure 5). Optical mapping experiments showed that PERK inhibition reduced arrhythmia inducibility and that the MI–PERK-KO left ventricle had significantly less heterogeneity (the difference in APD) between the MI region and the remote zone compared with MI hearts (Supplemental Figure 6). These data reinforce the basic finding that PERK inhibition reduced the post-MI arrhythmia risk and provide a mechanistic link between the electrophysiological changes and reduced arrhythmia risk. Nevertheless, it is possible that some portion of the survival benefit accrued with PERK inhibition was not related to the ion channel changes.
improved established markers of arrhythmia risk including partial reversal of ion channel electrical remodeling, shortened APDs and QTc intervals, reduced VT episodes, improved AP heterogeneity, and reduced ventricular arrhythmia inducibility. Paradoxically, VPB frequency, a predictor of human sudden death, was unchanged by PERK inhibition (Supplemental Figure 5). VPB frequency was previously found in mice to be a poor arrhythmia risk predictor (36), and VPB frequency may have different predictive implications between species. Despite any species differences in observed or to a reduction in arrhythmia risk. On the other hand, improved survival in the absence of significant changes in infarct size or EF strongly suggests that the major treatment effect was on arrhythmia risk. The scheme in Figure 7 summarizes the effects of UPR inhibition on MI-induced ion channel downregulation and increased arrhythmia risk.

In both humans and mice, sudden death can occur from brady- or tachyarrhythmias. Our telemetry results confirmed a preponderance of tachycardia prior to death. Moreover, PERK inhibition improved established markers of arrhythmia risk including partial reversal of ion channel electrical remodeling, shortened APDs and QTc intervals, reduced VT episodes, improved AP heterogeneity, and reduced ventricular arrhythmia inducibility. Paradoxically, VPB frequency, a predictor of human sudden death, was unchanged by PERK inhibition (Supplemental Figure 5). VPB frequency was previously found in mice to be a poor arrhythmia risk predictor (36), and VPB frequency may have different predictive implications between species. Despite any species differences in

Figure 4. Pharmacologic inhibition of PERK by GSK prevented protein reductions of Na, 1.5, K, 4.3, and K, 1.5, but not Ca, 1.2 or Kir2.1. (A) GSK (100 mg/kg/day for 3 weeks) prevented reductions of Na, 1.5, K, 4.3, and K, 1.5 channel proteins. (B) Representative protein bands from Western blots. Three mouse left ventricles were tested for each group. All tissue samples were taken from comparable locations of the left ventricle free wall. Left ventricles were isolated from mice in the sham and MI groups at the end of week 3 after sham or MI surgery, respectively. Left ventricles were isolated from mice in the MI-GSK group 6 weeks after the MI surgery that was followed by 3 weeks of GSK treatment starting 3 weeks after surgery. *P < 0.05 versus sham mice; #P < 0.05 versus MI mice, by 1-way ANOVA with post hoc correction.

Figure 5. Genetic inhibition of PERK improved survival, EF percentage, QTc intervals, and VT after MI. (A) PERK KO in MI improved MI survival rates. (B) PERK KO in MI slightly improved the EF percentage. (C) PERK KO in MI reduced QTc interval prolongation. (D) PERK KO in MI reduced VT episodes. For the survival data, we monitored the mice for 4 weeks after MI surgery. The numbers of mice tested are shown in parentheses or in the bars in A–D. All data except for the survival rates were collected at the end of week 3 after sham or MI surgery. *P < 0.05 versus sham; †P < 0.05 versus MI, by Fisher’s exact test (A and D) and 1-way ANOVA with post hoc correction (B and C).
the mechanisms or predictors of arrhythmia death, the applicability of UPR inhibition to humans is supported by our previous findings in human heart tissue and hiPSC-CMs (2, 17).

Although the UPR is thought to be a general mechanism of protein control, we observed that PERK had selective effects. For example, Ca1.2 and Kir2.1 were downregulated in MI mouse hearts with decreased protein expression and channel currents. Nevertheless, these 2 channels did not appear to be regulated by PERK, since GSK treatment or PERK KO did not reverse the protein or current changes. Another branch of the UPR, IRE1, can also inhibit protein synthesis, and the PERK-independent changes in Ca1.2 and Kir2.1 could be induced by the activated IRE1 in MI mouse hearts. An IRE1-dependent regulation of Ca1.2 has been observed in hiPSC-CMs (17).

In addition to improving electrical remodeling and arrhythmia risk when inhibited after MI, genetic PERK inhibition, but not GSK, partially protected the EF after MI. We speculate that this is because of a greater reduction in the apoptotic marker protein C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP, a PERK branch downstream (37–39) in PERK KO with an expected, associated reduction in apoptosis (Supplemental Figure 7). These results are consistent with other studies showing that CHOP contributes to the development of heart failure and that CHOP downregulation plays protective roles against cell apoptosis (40, 41). Nevertheless, we observed no improvement in the EF in MI-GSK mice (Supplemental Figure 8), and no change in infarct size was noted histologically between the MI and MI-PERK-KO groups, suggesting that the main effect of PERK inhibition was not on structural remodeling. It is possible that genetic PERK inhibition may have other unidentified salutary effects not seen with GSK.

Our study showed that most ion channels were downregulated in MI and that preventing the reduction in ion channels was antiarrhythmic. These findings have several implications. First, the reduction in ion channels is arrhythmogenic in nature. This is consistent with genetic syndromes in which ion channels are reduced. Second, it seems reasonable to assume that some of the proarrhythmia risk of current ion channel–blocking antiarrhythmic drugs is the result of the combination of inherent ion channel reductions in response to injury and subsequent further pharmacological blockade. If this is true, preventing the injury-induced ion channel downregulation would have the additional advantage of reducing antiarrhythmic drug proarrhythmia.

In our study, PERK inhibition was antiarrhythmic and improved the survival rate but not the infarct size. PERK activation under mild ER stress can be adaptive, whereas prolonged UPR activation inhibits protein synthesis and promotes apoptosis (15, 16, 33–35). Organ-specific and short-term inhibition of PERK has had beneficial effects in other studies (34, 38, 40–42), whereas long-term PERK inhibition, especially global inhibition, may have undesirable side effects such as hyperglycemia and its downstream consequences (43–46). Until these effects are further evaluated, the experiments should be taken as proof of principle that interrupting signaling cascades that result in electrical remodeling may be beneficial. Moreover, it is possible that the increase in survival seen in this study may result in part from other effects of UPR inhibition other than just inhibition of electrical remodeling. Nevertheless, it stands to reason that reducing the QT interval would be antiarrhythmic, and preventing downregulation of cardiac Na1.5 channels by other means during cardiomyopathy has been shown to be antiarrhythmic (8, 17, 47, 48). Therefore, it seems likely that at least some of the PERK-inhibitory effect is through electrical remodeling. PERK inhibition would be expected to have less effect with time after MI as the UPR becomes less active, and this would suggest that transient therapy may suffice to affect arrhythmias and survival after MI.

In summary, we inhibited the PERK branch of the UPR and observed reduced arrhythmia markers and risk after MI. PERK inhibition prevented selected sodium and potassium channel changes and APD prolongation. Furthermore, PERK inhibition during MI reduced the death rate and arrhythmia risk. Therefore, targeting the PERK branch of the UPR could be a novel therapeutic approach to treat ischemic heart disease.

Methods

Generation of ischemic cardiomyopathy. We used an established mouse ischemic cardiomyopathy model as described before (8, 49). Briefly, C57BL/6j mice (male and female mice were 11 weeks old and weighed 22–30 g; The Jackson Laboratory) were anesthetized with 1%–3% isoflurane in an induction chamber. Buprenorphine (0.05–0.1 mg/kg) was injected subcutaneously at the time of surgery. MI was induced
PERK inhibition. Pharmacologic PERK inhibition was achieved with GSK (MedKoo Biosciences). GSK was dissolved in 0.5% hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose and 0.1% Tween-80 and was gavage fed to mice at 100 mg/kg/day for 3 weeks. GSK alone was non-toxic to the control animals as shown in Supplemental Figure 4. Genetic inhibition was achieved by a cardiac-specific PERK KO. The C57BL/6J strain Eif2ak3tm1.2Drc was floxed mutant mice possessing loxP sites flanking exons 7–9 of the Eif2ak3 gene (43). These mice were bred with B6N.FVB(B6)-Tg(Myh6-Cre)2182Mds/J mice that expressed Cre recombinase under the control of the αMHC promoter (The Jackson Laboratory, stock no. 023066) are floxed mutant mice possessing loxP sites flanking exons 7–9 of the Eif2ak3 gene (43). These mice were bred with B6N.FVB(B6)-Tg(Myh6-Cre)2182Mds/J mice that expressed Cre recombinase under the control of the αMHC promoter (The Jackson Laboratory, stock no. 018972). Genotyping for Eif2ak3 and Cre was performed to confirm the cardiac-specific KO of PERK by following The Jackson Laboratory protocols 23633 and 26338, indicated on their website and shown in Supplemental Figure 9.

Animal groups. Four groups of C57BL/6J mice were studied: (a) sham-operated mice; (b) MI mice; (c) MI-GSK mice; and (d) MI-PERK-KO mice. We tested the timeline of the UPR effectors in sham and MI mice before the operation (as week 0) and at the end of weeks 1, 2, 3, and 4 after the operation in Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure 2. For all other experiments, sham, MI, and MI-PERK-KO mice were studied 3 weeks after the operation. MI-GSK mice were started on GSK treatment 3 weeks after the MI and studied after another 3 weeks of the therapy. All mice were age matched, and mice of both sexes were used.

Echocardiography. Echocardiography was performed as in previous studies (50–52). Mice were anesthetized with 1% isoflurane via nose cone at 0.5 L/min. The ECG, respiration rate, and rectal temperature were continuously monitored with an integrated physiology platform at 37°C. The Vevo 2100 (VisualSonics) ultrasound unit was used to record M-mode images in the parasternal long axis and the left ventricle short-axis views at the mid-papillary level for the measurement of LV end-systolic dimensions (LVEDd), LV end-diastolic dimensions (LVEDd), and LV posterior wall thickness. Measurements were averaged from 3 consecutive beats during expiration. The LV ejection fraction percentage (EF%) was calculated as:

\[
EF% = 100 \times \left( \frac{7}{2.4 + LVEDd} \times LVEDd^3 \right) - \left( \frac{7}{2.4 + LVEDd} \times LVESd^3 \right) / \left( \frac{7}{2.4 + LVEDd} \times LVEDd^3 \right)
\]

Telemetry. As we have done before (49, 53), mice were implanted with ETA-F10 telemetry monitors (Data Sciences International) under the anesthesia of isoflurane. The 2 leads were placed in the area of the right upper chest and the left lower chest. Continuous ECG signals between 1 and 2 am and between 1 and 2 pm were recorded and evaluated using Dataquest ART (Data Sciences International). Any run of 4 or more consecutive premature ventricular contractions was defined as VT.

Histological evaluation of the infarct size in MI mouse hearts. Hearts were excised under 2% isoflurane anesthesia. KCl (10%) was injected into the LV chamber before the hearts were harvested to arrest them in diastole (54). The left and right atria and large vessels were resected, and the heart was washed with ice-cold PBS and fixed in 10% formalin overnight. Then, heart tissues were embedded in paraffin using a Tissue-Tek VIP processor (Sakura Finetek USA). Tissue blocks were cut at 5 μm thickness with 100 μm between each section through the block. Sections were stained with routine Masson’s trichrome (Rowley Biochemical) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The images were taken with a NIKON Eclips E800M microscope (Nikon Instruments), and NIS-Elements D 5.02.00 64 bit imaging software (Nikon Instruments) was used. The infarct scar size was analyzed with ImageJ Fiji software (NIH). The scar size was evaluated by the endocardial and epicardial infarct ratio technique (54). The endocardial infarct ratio was obtained by dividing the sum of endocardial infarct lengths from all sections by the sum of endocardial circumferences from all sections. The epicardial infarct ratio was obtained by dividing the sum of epicardial infarct lengths from all sections by the sum of epicardial circumferences from all sections.

Cardiomyocyte isolation. Mouse cardiomyocytes were isolated from the remote zone of the left ventricle scar using a modified enzymatic digestion protocol, as we have done before (8, 55, 56). Isolated cardiomyocytes were used for measurements of channel currents and APs.

Electrophysiology. Channel currents were measured using the whole-cell patch-clamp technique in voltage-clamp mode at room temperature, as we have done previously (8, 57, 58). When measur-
ing K+ currents, nifedipine (10 μM) was applied to block the L-type Ca2+ channel (Ca1.2) current (I_{Ca,L}) (59). Standard pulse protocols were used to determine the current-voltage, steady-state availability and other gating behaviors (8, 59–61). APs were recorded under current-clamp mode with pulses of 3 ms in duration, 1.2-fold above the threshold intensity at a stimulation rate of 0.5–1 Hz. The APD_{90} was used for comparison. All electrophysiological measurements were carried out with an Axopatch 200B amplifier and an Axon Digitata 1320A A/D converter using software pCLAMP 9.2 software (all from Molecular Devices). Analog and P/4 methods were used for leak and capacity transient cancellation. All records were filtered with cutoff frequencies designed to avoid aliasing and were digitized at speeds at least 5 times the filter cutoff frequency, generally 2 kHz and 10 kHz, respectively. Efforts were made to use electrodes with low series resistances and to choose cells that minimize space clamp issues. Series resistance was partially compensated by feedback circuitry. Data were analyzed with Clampfit (Molecular Devices). Peak currents (pA/pf) were obtained with peak current density (pA) normalized to cell capacitance (pf).

**Western blot analysis of proteins.** Protein levels of ion channels and the UPR effectors were measured from the whole left ventricle by standard Western blotting. The antibodies used include p-ERK (Ser713) antibody (BioLegend, catalog 6494), p-IRE1 (Ser724) antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog PA1-16927), ATF6 antibody (Proteintech, catalog 24169-1-AP), CHOP antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog 2895), and GAPDH antibody (Abcam, catalog ab9484). The antibodies for ion channels were purchased from Alomone Labs, including anti-Nav1.5 (ASC-005), anti-Kv4.3 (APC-017), anti-Cav1.2 (ACC-003), and anti-Kv1.5 (APC-003), anti-Kir2.1 (APC-026). HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Bio-Rad, catalog 1706515) or anti-mouse (Bio-Rad, catalog 1706516) secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:5000. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

**Loading controls were not altered by the MI surgery as confirmed by**

**Study approval.** Animal care and interventions were undertaken in accordance with the NIH guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals, and the animal use protocol (IACUC-2002-37885A) was approved by the IACUC of the University of Minnesota.
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