Circadian rhythms, present in most phyla across life, are biological oscillations occurring on a daily cycle. Since the discovery of their molecular foundations in model organisms, many inputs that modify this tightly controlled system in humans have been identified. Polygenic variations and environmental factors influence each person's circadian rhythm, contributing to the trait known as chronotype, which manifests as the degree of morning or evening preference in an individual. Despite normal variation in chronotype, much of society operates on a “one size fits all” schedule that can be difficult to adjust to, especially for certain individuals whose endogenous circadian phase is extremely advanced or delayed. This is a public health concern, as phase misalignment in humans is associated with a number of adverse health outcomes. Additionally, modern technology (such as electric lights and computer, tablet, and phone screens that emit blue light) and lifestyles (such as shift or irregular work schedules) are disrupting circadian consistency in an increasing number of people. Though medical and lifestyle interventions can alleviate some of these issues, growing research on endogenous circadian variability and sensitivity suggests that broader social changes may be necessary to minimize the impact of circadian misalignment on health.
Introduction

Measurement of rest and activity in flies by Konopka and Benzer in 1971 (1) marked the beginning of behavioral genetics as a field, and in 2017, the Nobel Prize in Medicine was awarded to Hall, Young, and Rosbash for their “discoveries of molecular mechanisms controlling the circadian rhythm.” Work from many laboratories in model systems and in humans has advanced our understanding of the molecular basis of circadian regulation. It has long been evident that circadian (meaning “around a day”) behaviors play a role in much of life. Animals, plants, and even bacteria (2) have demonstrated behavioral patterns that follow a near-24-hour rhythm. We now understand that a molecular system genetically coded into each cell guides these patterns through a tightly controlled network of genes and proteins that oscillate in concentration on a daily cycle. Many, though not all, elements of this system are conserved across phyla; though the first biological clock genes were found in flies (3, 4), and elucidated further in other model organisms, many of the core components or their analogs are present and operate following similar principles in humans.

In mammals, the central pacemaker of the circadian system is the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) within the hypothalamus, which synchronizes many features of the sleep-wake cycle. It is here that metabolic, environmental, and genetic inputs are integrated to generate the period (also called $\tau$), or the length of the circadian cycle for the entire organism, manifested in numerous behavioral and physiological patterns. Related to the period is phase, or the timing of such expressed rhythms (5). In humans, the phase is often measured using the nadir of body temperature or the onset of evening melatonin in the evening in dim light conditions (dim-light melatonin onset [DLMO]) as reliable reference points for circadian timing (6).

These features of the internal cyclic clock are entrained, or synchronized to the environment, by external cues, known as “zeitgebers” (from German, “time givers”), without which “drift” may occur, leading to gradual desynchronization with solar rhythms. Entrainment to zeitgebers is what helps reestablish circadian time when the biological clock machinery is desynchronized from solar time, such as the jet lag that arises as a result of transmeridian air travel. By far the strongest zeitgeber in mammals is light, though social cues, food intake (7), and exercise (8) play a minor role. Light cues transmit signals to the SCN in the brain that entrain the circadian phase to match the input from the environment. The relationship between exposure to extrinsic drivers such as light and the circadian phase is called the phase angle of entrainment.

An organism’s endogenous circadian length is best measured in contexts free of strong zeitgebers such as light. In the absence of time cues, the average human circadian rhythm cycles about once every 24.2 hours (9, 10) and can slowly desynchronize from the 24-hour solar day (11). Evidence for this desynchronization comes from desynchronization experiments (12) and individuals with the rare non-24-hour sleep-wake rhythm disorder (13).

Genetic underpinnings of the circadian system

Investigation in animal models (14, 15) and humans (16) has found that circadian rhythms are influenced by genetic variation. An individual human’s circadian period length can be significantly shorter or longer than the 24.2-hour average (16–19). The extremes of this normal variation appear to be strongly driven by genetics, along with other features of circadian periodicity in humans; even sensitivity to zeitgebers has been shown to be genetically variable (20). Individual variations in phase, phase angle of entrainment, and period, along with environmental factors and age, help generate what is known as “chronotype,” the unique daily activity patterns of each person. In the general population, these strong
Extreme circadian traits can arise when one or more components of the biological clock are mutated in such a way as to drastically change phase, phase angle of entrainment, or period length. Even in the presence of zeitgebers, individuals with these traits may experience difficulties staying on a conventional schedule. Depending on the timing, light can either advance or delay the phase of an individual’s circadian period, resulting in what is known as the phase-response curve (PRC) of human responsiveness to this zeitgeber (40). Early morning light tends to advance phase, while evening light delays it (41). As light exposure modifies circadian clock phase, in our light-inundated modern world, the dearth of consistency in external light cues may exacerbate any circadian disorder phenotypes (42–44), and possibly increase their prevalence.

Chronotype across the population

Chronotype varies across the population in a near-Gaussian distribution (45). Sleep timing is known to shift later during puberty, with the most delayed timing around age 20, then slowly shifts earlier over subsequent decades (46). It is hypothesized that throughout life people typically maintain their relative chronotype when compared with others of the same age and in the same location (46). The effect of age on chronotype also appears to affect women less than men, leading to increased prevalence of earlier chronotypes in women at younger ages, but the reverse over the age of 40 (21). Additionally, the amplitude of the circadian rhythm as measured by temperature and transcriptional oscillations is reduced with age in mammals (47–49). The biological mechanism for these phenomena is not understood. Amplitude dampening is correlated with the development of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease (50) and Parkinson’s disease (51), and is exacerbated by these disorders, indicating that the dampening may be at least partially driven by a decoupling of the SCN’s connectivity with other parts of the brain or body (52–54).

Extremes of chronotype and genetics

At one extreme, those with a preference to go to sleep much earlier and awaken much earlier than others relative to local solar time, called advanced sleep phase (ASP), are known as extreme morning larks or early birds (Figure 1). People with ASP often report being lifelong morning larks. When most extreme, this trait is commonly familial and is termed FASP (55). For example, individuals with FASP can consistently fall asleep by 8:30 pm and awaken spontaneously before 5:30 am, though norms vary by age, longevity, and location. The prevalence of FASP and of ASP in a sleep clinic population is estimated to be at least 0.21% and 0.53%, respectively (55). Several genes have been identified, conferring this trait in an autosomal dominant manner, including PER2, CRY2, CSNKID (encoding CK1δ), PER3, and TIMELESS (25, 27, 56–58), and carriers of these variants report an extreme early-bird preference. Those at the far late extreme of chronotype distribution, who prefer a much later bedtime and later wake time, are said to have delayed sleep phase (DSP) or to be extreme night owls. For example, sleep onset may be at 3 am and awakening at 11 am. As with FASP, those with a strong DSP phenotype and family history have familial DSP (FDSP). Only one gene has been identified, CRY1, that confers an FDSP phenotype in an autosomal dominant
variants have been linked to depression, among others (56, 68). CLOCK and the PER proteins have been implicated in risk for circadian regulation — affect other important biological processes. Genetic evidence that clock-related genes — and, concomitantly, uncovered the tip of the iceberg (16, 61). Likewise, there is growing implication in circadian behaviors, indicating that research has only discovered the tip of the iceberg. For most individuals, the genetic contribution to chronotype is the cumulative effect of many genes that each make a small contribution (62).

Other inputs to the molecular clock may also affect chronotype or mask it, depending on environmental or biological context, further driving complexity (63). Indeed, genes associated with metabolic regulation and the immune system have also been implicated in circadian behaviors, indicating that research has only uncovered the tip of the iceberg (16, 61). Likewise, there is growing genetic evidence that clock-related genes — and, concomitantly, circadian regulation — affect other important biological processes in humans, including immune function and metabolism (16, 64, 65). CLOCK and the PER proteins have been implicated in risk for addiction (66), BMAL1 is associated with diabetes (67), and PER3 variants have been linked to depression, among others (56, 68, 69). The expanded availability of population-level sequencing data should continue to help researchers explore the diversity of human chronotypes and their relationship to overall health.

It is important to note that genetics also influences sleep duration. Variation in this behavior can complicate identification of endogenous chronotype. Though not a circadian phenotype, sleep-related genes inherently affect an individual’s sleep-wake timing, and therefore share some characteristics with circadian phenotypes. For example, a DEC2 mutation was the first to be identified in the familial natural short sleep (FNSS) phenotype (70), which manifests as an extremely early wake time in carriers, a behavior that is also apparent in extreme morning larks. However, these individuals stay up later than those with FASP and do not desire more sleep. Numerous other mutations have subsequently been described that lead to FNSS (71–73). The factors contributing to short duration of ad libitum sleep without detrimental effects, as is seen in FNSS, can be termed “behavioral drive.” This drive, together with circadian clock genes, sleep homeostasis genes, and environmental factors, determines the timing and duration of sleep (Figure 2) — quantitative traits that are determined in part by the cumulative effects of numerous genetic variants. The degree to which each variant contributes likely varies considerably.

Circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorders
Circadian disorders arise when sleep-wake patterns, influenced by the circadian clock, are not in sync with desired sleep time, leading to a sleep or wake complaint. They include intrinsic circadian disorders, such as advanced sleep-wake phase disorder, delayed sleep-wake phase disorder, non-24 sleep-wake rhythm disorder, and irregular sleep-wake rhythm disorder; and extrinsic circadian disorders, such as shift work and jet lag disorder. Diagnosis is based on clinical history as well as sleep diary, actigraphy (a movement sensor that provides an objective estimate of sleep timing based on rest-activity rhythms), and dim-light melatonin onset (DLMO). Principles of treatment focus on resetting the circadian clock with zeitgebers, primarily light and melatonin, with timing based on the respective PRC. Under controlled laboratory conditions, 1 hour of bright light of approximately 8000 lux causes phase delay when delivered between about 3 hours before DLMO and about 9 hours after DLMO, and causes phase advance for the remaining portion of the curve (41, 74). This delay is maximal 1 to 3 hours after DLMO, leading to the recommendation of light at this time when attempting to delay. Advance is maximal approximately 10 hours after DLMO to 6 hours before, leading to the recommendation of morning light to achieve phase advance. Melatonin can also produce a phase response with a PRC about 12 hours out of sync with light and less potent than the PRC for light. This produces maximal delay around 2 hours before DLMO for melatonin doses of 0.5 mg (75). Higher doses requiring a longer time for metabolism are thus given earlier. A 3 mg dose has maximal phase-shifting impact approximately 5 hours before DLMO, and 10 mg has lower phase-shifting ability, likely due to morning “spillover” causing competing impact on the PRC (76).

Advanced sleep-wake phase disorder
Those with ASP who have a sleep or wake complaint associated with the sleep pattern, such as early morning awakening, evening
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**Figure 2. Contributors to timing and duration of sleep.** Shown is a conceptual framework to demonstrate the multiple factors impacting the timing and duration of sleep. Genetic factors interact with environment, including light, social schedules, work and school obligations, and substances (alcohol, caffeine, medications). The result may be sleep duration and timing that differ from those suggested by genetics.
Delayed sleep-wake phase disorder

People with DSP and complaint regarding consequences of the trait, such as trouble falling asleep at the desired time and/or difficulty awakening for morning school or work obligations, have delayed sleep-wake phase disorder (DSWPD). DSWPD can be mistaken for sleep initiation insomnia, and differentiating these is essential to initiate optimal treatment. Thus, the clinical history should include sleep timing when an individual is allowed to sleep ad libitum. DSWPD is more common than ASWPD (78), most notably during adolescence, when sleep timing shifts later. Prevalence estimates for DSWPD range by definition and age but are 7%–16% in adolescents and young adults (79). Artificial light in the evening, lack of natural light, an intrinsic period longer than 24 hours, altered phase angle of entrainment, and possibly differential phototransduction to the SCN contribute to this higher prevalence of delayed sleep phase (80). Additional factors include school and work schedules that make sleeping later challenging.

Treatment for DSWPD is directed at modifying zeitgebers. Morning light (81–83) is routinely recommended, though optimal timing, dose, and duration are not yet understood. Afternoon or evening melatonin can also cause phase advance and is commonly combined with morning light in clinical practice despite limited data (77). Avoidance of evening light in the final 3 hours before sleep, when it is expected to lead to phase delay, may also be useful. Meals contribute to entrainment of peripheral oscillators and should be regulated as well (84, 85), as misalignment between the SCN and peripheral clocks in the gut may contribute to insulin resistance (86), though there are not yet sufficient data surrounding the impact of meal timing for standardized recommendations in treatment of DSWPD. As with ASWPD, and depending on the individual, these tools may not be adequate to fully shift to conventional sleep timing.

Irregular sleep-wake rhythm disorder

Irregular sleep-wake rhythm disorder (ISWRD) is characterized by sleep spread out over the 24-hour day, during both day and night. This fractured sleep pattern causes insomnia at night and excessive sleepiness in the daytime. ISWRD typically occurs in individuals with developmental disorders, traumatic brain injury, or neurodegenerative disease. Reduced exposure to zeitgebers, reduced responsibility to circadian cues, and impaired SCN function are all thought to play a role in ISWRD pathophysiology (94). The diagnosis is confirmed with sleep diary and actigraphy, that does not show a dominant sleep period (95). Treatment focuses on avoiding overnight light and improving exposure to bright light in the daytime to consolidate sleep overnight. Light therapy via a light box for at least 2 hours in the daytime has been shown to be beneficial (96). Melatonin administration likely benefits children with ISWRD, but its benefit in older adults with dementia is not clear (94, 97).

Jet lag

Jet lag is a temporary mismatch between local time and the internal clock resulting in sleep symptoms as well as malaise and gastrointestinal disturbance. When travel across at least two time zones results in insomnia, excessive daytime sleepiness, reduced total sleep time, and impaired daytime function or somatic symptoms 1 to 2 weeks after travel, this is characterized as jet lag disorder (98). Most individuals find westward travel easier than eastward travel (99). However, those with ASP typically find westward travel more difficult. Treatment focuses on timing of light exposure and melatonin administration.
Shift work disorder
Many workers have a schedule that overlaps with their typical sleep time. If this results in insomnia, sleepiness, and reduced sleep duration, it is characterized as shift work disorder (SWD). People with SWD typically have sleep reduced by 1 to 4 hours owing to shift work and experience more health complaints than shift workers without SWD. There is great variation in ability to adapt to shift work, and there are not adequate data to predict who will experience SWD. Ten to thirty-eight percent of shift workers experience SWD (79). Symptoms typically resolve with resumption of a more traditional schedule, though chronic sleep trouble has been described.

Burden of circadian misalignment
The disorders described above lead to circadian misalignment, as does social jet lag, whereby an individual’s social habits and circadian rhythms are misaligned, most often because of large differences in sleep timing on weekends and workdays (100). There is concern that all circadian disorders can lead to negative health outcomes, though this has been most clearly demonstrated with chronic shift work. Such disruptions can have far-reaching ramifications for nearly every tissue type of the body (101, 102). Both late chronotypes and shift workers have reported higher rates of psychiatric disorders such as depression (103, 104). Long-term, persistent shift work increases risk for dementia (105), type 2 diabetes (36, 106), cardiovascular disease (106), and cancer (107). Insulin sensitivity (106) and metabolic profiles (101, 108-110) also appear to suffer. The development of these diseases can also exacerbate circadian disruption by compromising sleep and healthy routines, as is observed during neurodegenerative decline (52). These negative health outcomes have been found quite consistently in longitudinal studies, and the severity appears to correlate with the duration of shift work (111, 112).

Circadian disruption is a public health issue because, whether due to an intrinsic circadian disorder, shift work, jet lag, or other misalignment, it contributes to the development of adverse effects on health, including (but not limited to) heart disease (106, 113), neurodegeneration (114), cancer (107), and mental health (52, 101, 105, 107, 115-117). In addition to these effects on the individuals themselves, circadian rhythm disruption can lead to adverse outcomes for society: nurses who regularly work long or erratic shifts display poorer performance (112), and car accidents increase in likelihood corresponding with duration of chronic sleep restriction (118, 119). A recent long-term longitudinal study found that people with evening chronotypes who operated on a morning work schedule were more likely to require disability assistance (120). It is still unknown to what degree the health consequences described above are attributable to the disrupted sleep concomitant with shift work rather than circadian desynchronization itself. But evening chronotype appears to be correlated with multiple negative health outcomes, possibly owing to a social/biological clock misalignment or substantial social jet lag (109, 121).

Next steps
Measures aimed at improving social flexibility for a diversity of chronotypes or wiser shift scheduling may work to improve circadian misalignment (122-124). To start, the evidence suggests that we should make efforts to reduce social stigmatization of late chronotypes, as traditionally those who habitually sleep late into the day may be viewed as merely lazy. An important example population to which this suggestion could immediately be applied is adolescents. Adolescents typically have a later chronotype than adults (49, 125), and studies have indicated that cognitive performance — and, correspondingly, overall education — improves in adolescents allowed a later school start time (126).

Conclusion
Genetics drive human circadian phase and period length and guides the rhythmicity of numerous circadian physiological processes. Genetic variations, along with environmental influences, result in varying chronotypes and phenotypic extremes. Some affected people adapt to and function well with extreme chronotypes and lead healthy lives, while others may find the same chronotype leads to troublesome circadian disorders, desynchronization, social jet lag, and sleep deficits. Any quantitative definition of the timing of a circadian “disorder” (e.g., biological wake time of 0400 hours) is therefore arbitrary and dependent on the individual’s context. These extremes of chronotype need not be viewed inherently as disorders, but can be seen as a natural behavioral trait to be managed on a case-by-case basis through interventions such as timed exposure to sunlight in order to shift phase. What is clear, however, is that desynchrony due to differences between endogenous clock timing and lifestyle and societal factors — as can exist in people with extreme chronotypes, shift workers, and adolescents — leads to sleep deprivation and associated adverse health outcomes. Framing some degree of circadian variation as natural and not merely due to preference should allow and encourage social interventions that improve the health of all individuals, regardless of morningness or evenness.
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