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Hepatocellular carcinoma is strongly associated with chronic infection by the hepatitis B virus (HBV) and has poor prognosis due to intrahepatic metastasis. HBx is often the only HBV protein detected in hepatic tumor cells; however, its contribution to tumor invasion and metastasis has not been established so far. In this work, we show that HBx enhances tumor cell invasion, both in vivo and in vitro. The increased invasive capacity induced by HBx is mediated by an upregulation of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) expression, which in turn activates matrix metalloproteinase-2. Induction of both MT1-MMP expression and cell invasion by HBx is dependent on cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) activity. In addition, HBx upregulates the expression of COX-2, which is mediated by the transcriptional activation of the COX-2 gene promoter in a nuclear factor of activated T cell–dependent (NF-AT–dependent) manner. These results demonstrate the ability of HBx to promote tumor cell invasion by a mechanism involving the upregulation of MT1-MMP and COX-2 and provide new insights into the mechanism of action of this viral protein and its involvement in tumor metastasis and recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma.
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Hepatocellular carcinoma is strongly associated with chronic infection by the hepatitis B virus (HBV) and has poor prognosis due to intrahepatic metastasis. HBx is often the only HBV protein detected in hepatic tumor cells; however, its contribution to tumor invasion and metastasis has not been established so far. In this work, we show that HBx enhances tumor cell invasion, both in vivo and in vitro. The increased invasive capacity induced by HBx is mediated by upregulation of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) expression, which, in turn, activates matrix metalloproteinase-2. Induction of both MT1-MMP expression and cell invasion by HBx is dependent on cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) activity. In addition, HBx upregulates the expression of COX-2, which is mediated by the transcriptional activation of the COX-2 gene promoter in a nuclear factor of activated T cell–dependent (NF-AT–dependent) manner. These results demonstrate the ability of HBx to promote tumor cell invasion by a mechanism involving the upregulation of MT1-MMP and COX-2 and provide new insights into the mechanism of action of this viral protein and its involvement in tumor metastasis and recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Expression of MT1-MMP and activation of MMP-2 are associated with tumor invasion and metastasis and can be induced by different stimuli (9–11). Interestingly, it has recently been shown that expression of MT1-MMP and activation of MMP-2 can be regulated by cyclooxygenase-2 activity (12).

Cyclooxygenases (COXs) mediate the synthesis of prostaglandins from arachidonate (13). COX-1 shows a constitutive expression in different cell types and is involved in the homeostatic function of prostaglandins. In contrast, COX-2 expression can be induced by a number of mitogenic, inflammatory, and pro-oncogenic stimuli and plays a key role in a variety of processes, including the onset of the inflammatory response, mitogenesis, angiogenesis, and tumor progression and metastasis (13). In this context, COX-2 is expressed in several carcinomas, including HCC, and overexpression of this enzyme in transgenic mice is sufficient to induce tumorigenesis (13–15). In addition, a strong correlation has been established between the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and a decreased incidence of different cancers (13).

In this study we describe the ability of HBx to induce tumor cell invasion, both in vivo and in vitro. This invasive behavior is dependent on MT1-MMP and COX-2 activity. Moreover, HBx upregulates the expression of COX-2, at both mRNA and protein levels. These results demonstrate the ability of this viral protein to induce tumor cell invasion and support a role for HBx in the late steps of tumor development and metastasis.

Methods

Cell lines, antibodies, and reagents. CMX and CMO cells are derivatives of Chang liver cells (CCL13; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, USA) and constitutively express low levels of HBx and the hygromycin resistance gene, respectively (16–18). The hepatic origin of Chang liver cells has been recently established by microarray assays (19). Three different CMX clones were used to rule out clone-specific results. 2.2.15 cells were derived from the human hepatoma cell line HepG2 by stably transfecting two head-to-tail copies of the HBV genome (20). HBx expression in 2.2.15 and CMX cells has been previously reported (16, 20). 4pX cells are stably transfectants, derived from the immortalized murine hepatocyte cell line AML-12, in which HBx expression can be induced by removing tetracycline for 24 hours (21). All the experiments were carried out in the absence of FCS. The blocking anti–MT1-MMP mAb's LEM 2/15 and LEM 1/58 were described previously (22). Anti–COX-2 and the COX-2 inhibitor NS398 were purchased from Alexis Corp. (San Diego, California, USA), and anti–COX-1 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, California, USA). The MMP inhibitor BB-3103 was kindly provided by British Biotech (Oxford, United Kingdom). Meloxicam was a kind gift from Innogenetics GmbH (Heiden-Westfalen, Germany).

In vivo and in vitro invasion assays. In vivo invasion assays using chick embryos were performed as previously described (23). Briefly, 2 million cells were seeded onto the upper part of the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) of a 9-day-old chick embryo and allowed to invade for 48 hours. Genomic DNA was extracted from the lower part of the CAM, and the presence of human Alu sequences was analyzed by quantitative PCR.

For in vitro invasion assays of CMO, CMX, HepG2, and 2.2.15 cells, 1 mg of growth factor–reduced Matrigel (Becton Dickinson Immunocytochemistry Systems, Mountain View, California, USA) was added to the upper chamber of Transwells with 12-µm pores and allowed to air-dry. After washing, 5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded onto the upper chamber. For 4pX cells, 0.4 mg of Matrigel was air-dried on 8-µm-pore Transwells, and 4 × 10⁵ cells were seeded. Six hours later, 10 ng/ml HGF was added to the lower chamber, and, where indicated, an inhibitor (5 µM BB-3103, 100 µM NS398, 60 µg/ml meloxicam, 60 µg/ml indomethacin, or 600 µg/ml ASA) or 20 µg/ml mAb was added to both chambers. Cells were allowed to invade the Matrigel for 48 hours, after which the Transwells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, the gel was removed with a cotton swab, and the filters were cut and stained with 4',6-diamidino-2'-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI). The cells in eight different fields were counted using a fluorescence microscope. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate.

Western blot. A total of 3 × 10⁴ cells were grown in the absence of FCS and, where indicated, treated with 10–100 µM NS398, 60 µg/ml meloxicam, 60 µg/ml indomethacin, or 600 µg/ml ASA. After 24 hours, cells were lysed in 60 µl of Laemmli buffer, and both lysates and supernatants were analyzed by Western blot as described (18).

RT-PCR. Cells were grown for 24 hours in 0% FCS, RNA was extracted, and the CDNA was obtained from 1 µg of total RNA by reverse transcription. Quantitative PCR of MT1-MMP and COX-2 was carried out in a LightCycler (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) using a SYBR Green kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH) and two specific primer sets (5'-AGGGGCGGTGACGGCTGCTG-3' and 5'-TCGACAGCTTGTCCAGGG-3' for MT1-MMP; 5'-TTCAATGAGATGTGAGAAATTCGT-3' and 5'-AGATCATCTGCCAGTGATCTTT-3' for COX-2).

Plasmids, transfections and luciferase assays. The reporter plasmids bearing different constructs of the human COX-2 promoter linked to the luciferase reporter gene have been previously described (24). pSV-X and the control vector pSV-hygro bear the X gene and the hygromycin phosphotransferase gene, respectively, under the control of the SV40 early enhancer/promoter (25). The pSH102CA418 expression vector (a kind gift from Gerald Crabtree, Stanford University, Stanford,
HBx induces tumor cell invasion. To determine whether HBx could induce tumor cell invasion, we used both in vivo and in vitro approaches. In vivo cell invasion was evaluated using a chick embryo invasion model in which cells are studied for their ability to degrade the embryo CAM and penetrate into the bloodstream (23). CMX cells displayed an invasive capacity fourfold higher than that of the control CMO cells (Figure 1a). In addition, 2.2.15 cells showed 7.9 times more invasive potential than their parental HepG2 cells (Figure 1b).

In vitro cell invasion was determined using a Matrigel invasion assay. CMX cells showed a 2.3-fold higher invasion efficiency than CMO cells (Figure 1c), and, similarly, 2.2.15 cells showed increased invasive capacity with respect to HepG2 cells (Figure 1d), indicating that HBx was able to induce cell invasion both in vivo and in vitro.

HBx-induced invasion correlates with increased expression of activated MMP-2. To study the possible role of MMPs in HBx-induced cell invasion, we first analyzed the effect of the general MMP inhibitor BB-3103 on the invasive capacity of CMX and CMO cells. Transwell invasion assays performed in the presence of BB-3103 showed a decreased number of both CMX and CMO cells in the bottom chamber of the Transwell (Figure 2a), suggesting the involvement of MMPs in the invasion of the gel by these cells.

To further support the relation between MMP expression and cell invasion, we tested the activity of different MMPs by zymography assays. As shown in Figure 2b, only a 66-kDa band, likely corresponding to MMP-2, was detected by gelatin zymography in the culture supernatants of Chang liver and HepG2-derived cells. No MMP activity was detected when casein was used as substrate (data not shown), suggesting that no other MMPs were being secreted. We then analyzed the presence of the gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 by Western blot both in the cell culture supernatants and in cell extracts. An increased amount of the activated 62-kDa...
MMP-2 isoform was found in CMX cell extracts compared with CMO cells (Figure 2c), whereas the 66-kDa proMMP-2 isoform was not detected in the cell extracts (Figure 2c) unless the blot was overexposed (data not shown). On the contrary, the 66-kDa form was the only MMP-2 isoform found in cell culture supernatants, although no significant differences in the expression of this protein were observed between CMX and CMO cells. Activated MMP-2 was also increased in 2.2.15 cell extracts compared with HepG2 extracts (Figure 2d). A variable amount of proMMP-2, which proved not to be significant, could be observed in the cell culture supernatants. MMP-9 was detected neither in the cell extracts nor in the cell culture supernatants (Figure 2c and d). It is noteworthy that when supernatants were concentrated more than fivefold, a small amount of proMMP-9 could be observed, and this amount was not different between CMX and CMO cells (our unpublished data).

Increase in activated MMP-2 in HBx-expressing cell extracts is dependent on MT1-MMP upregulation. To determine whether the activation of MMP-2 correlated with an induction of MT1-MMP expression, we performed Western blot studies. CMX cells showed increased expression of MT1-MMP when compared with CMO cells (Figure 3a), correlating with the induction of activated MMP-2. Consistently, 2.2.15 cells also showed an increment in MT1-MMP expression compared with HepG2 cells (Figure 3a). To explore the involvement of MT1-MMP in the induction of cell invasion by HBx, we tested the effect of the blocking anti–MT1-MMP mAb LEM 1/58 (22) on the invasive capacity of the different cell lines. Blockade of the MT1-MMP catalytic site resulted in a loss of invasive capacity by CMX and 2.2.15 cells (Figure 3b). In addition, inhibition of MT1-MMP activity resulted in a decrease of activated MMP-2 in CMX cells (Figure 3c), as assayed by Western blot, suggesting that MT1-MMP played an important role in the induction of tumor cell invasion and MMP-2 activation by HBx. Accordingly, an upregulation of MT1-MMP mRNA was observed by quantitative RT-PCR both in CMX cells and in Chang liver cells transiently transfected with an HBx expression vector (Figure 3d).

HBx-induced MT1-MMP expression and tumor cell invasion are sensitive to COX-2 inhibitors. Next, we explored the effect of different COX-2 inhibitors on HBx-induced cell invasion. The COX-2–specific inhibitor NS398 blocked the induction of MMP-2 activation by HBx in a dose-dependent manner, and this inhibition could be reversed by the addition of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) (Figure 4a). In addition, treatment of CMX and 2.2.15 cells with 100 µM NS398 resulted in a decrease of MT1-MMP expression, whereas it had no effect on CMO or HepG2 cells (Figure 4b). Analysis of MT1-MMP mRNA expression by quantitative RT-PCR in CMX and CMO cells treated with 100 µM NS398 showed a clear inhibition of MT1-MMP mRNA synthesis by CMX cells (Figure 4c), confirming a role for COX-2 in the induction of MT1-MMP expression by HBx. In contrast, little or no decrease in MMP-2 mRNA was observed in CMX or CMO cells (not shown). More interestingly, the invasive ability of CMX, but not CMO, cells was dramatically decreased in the presence of NS398 (Figure 4d). The addition of PGE2 restored about 75% of the CMX cells’ invasive capacity, strengthening the evidence of the involvement of COX. In addition, the invasive capacity demonstrated by 2.2.15 cells was decreased by NS398 to the levels presented by HepG2 cells (Figure 4d).

We further confirmed our results by using an HBx-inducible hepatic cell line, 4pX cells, which express HBx when tetracycline is removed from the culture.
medium (21). HBx expression resulted in an upregulation of MT1-MMP as assayed by Western blot (Figure 4b, right panel). This induction of MT1-MMP expression was prevented by the addition of the COX-2 inhibitor NS398. Moreover, removal of tetracycline resulted in an increased invasive capacity (Figure 4d). Again, inhibition of COX-2 prevented the enhancement of 4pX cells’ invasive capacity.

We then studied the effect of different NSAIDs that preferentially inhibit COX-2, COX-1, or both (meloxicam, indomethacin, and ASA, respectively) on metalloproteinase production and tumor cell invasion induced by HBx. Treatment of CMX cells with meloxicam resulted in both a downregulation of MMP-2 activation and MT1-MMP expression (Figure 5a) and a strong inhibition (50%) of their invasive potential (Figure 5b). ASA showed a moderate inhibitory effect on CMX cells, whereas indomethacin had no effect. None of the NSAIDs showed a significant effect on CMO cell invasion and metalloproteinase production. Together, these results point to COX-2 as a key regulator of the induction of MMP expression and cell invasion by HBx.

HBx induces COX-2 expression. The blockade of cell invasion and MMP expression by COX-2-specific inhibitors prompted us to study whether HBx was inducing the expression of COX-2. Western blot analysis of COX-2 and COX-1 revealed that CMX, 2.2.15, and 4pX cells without tetracycline expressed higher COX-2 levels than their respective control cells, whereas COX-1 was not detected (Figure 6a). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of COX-2 confirmed the induction of enzyme in CMX cells and in Chang liver cells transiently transfected with the HBx expression vector pSV-X (Figure 6b). No differences were observed in the transcript levels of mPGES, cPGES, or COX-1 between CMX and CMO cells (data not shown). In addition, we found a twofold increase in the secretion of PGE2 by HBx-bearing cells that was blocked by the addition of 10 µM NS398 (not shown). These results demonstrate that HBx is able to induce COX-2 expression in independent hepatic cell lines.

HBx activates the COX-2 promoter in an NF-AT–dependent manner. To explore the transcriptional regulation of the COX-2 gene by HBx, we transfected Chang liver cells with a luciferase-derived reporter construct bearing the COX-2 promoter (positions −1796 to +104), along with
increasing amounts of the HBx-expression vector pSV-X. As shown in Figure 7a, HBx was able to activate the COX-2 promoter in a dose-dependent manner. Similarly, HBx induced the COX-2 promoter when transfected into HepG2 cells (Figure 7a). Deletion of the region spanning positions –1796 to –170 of the COX-2 promoter or point mutation of the proximal NF-κB site had no effect on the activation of the promoter by HBx. On the contrary, site-directed mutagenesis of the distal and proximal NF-AT sites resulted in a 20% and a 50% loss of the HBx-induced promoter activity, respectively (Figure 7b). Moreover, double mutation of both NF-AT sites almost completely abolished the activation of the promoter by HBx.

To further demonstrate the involvement of NF-AT in the HBx-mediated transactivation of the COX-2 promoter, we added a dominant negative NF-AT expression vector to the transfection system, which resulted in a 50% inhibition of the COX-2 promoter activation by HBx (Figure 7c).

Discussion

Intrahepatic metastasis is a main cause of HCC recurrence and poor prognosis after tumor resection (1). Although chronic infection by HBV is responsible for the development of most HCCs, the specific role of HBV proteins in HCC progression is unknown (1). In this work, we provide evidence, for the first time to our knowledge, that HBx, often the only viral protein expressed by transformed hepatocytes (2, 3), induces cell invasion, suggesting its involvement in the metastatic spreading and recurrence of hepatic tumors.

We present both in vivo and in vitro results that support the ability of HBx to induce tumor cell invasion. The chick embryo CAM invasion assay showed that HBx increases the capacity of tumor cells to degrade the ECM, cross the endothelial barrier, and reach the bloodstream. This upregulation of the metastatic abilities of tumor cells was corroborated by the Matrigel invasion assays, in which HBx-expressing cells also displayed increased invasive potential.

Cancer cell invasion requires degradation of the basement membrane, composed mainly of collagen IV,
which is mediated by MMP-2 and MMP-9 (9). Most of the MMP-2 found in the hepatic tumor is produced by the hepatic stellate cells in the surrounding stroma and is secreted as an inactive precursor (11). Although some activated MMP-2 can be found in the fibrotic liver, the highest MMP-2 activation efficiency is found in tumor tissues (11) and correlates with MT1-MMP expression and tumor spreading (9, 10). In this regard, MT1-MMP is overexpressed in highly invasive HCCs (10), and activation of MMP-2 is associated with tumor progression and recurrence in HCC patients (11). Moreover, MT1-MMP is also capable of activating other MMPs and directly degrading ECM components and membrane receptors (9, 26). This capacity has a pronounced effect on cellular invasiveness independently of MMP-2 processing (26, 27). Thus, the induction of MT1-MMP expression by HBx provides the cell with a powerful invasive tool that contributes to tumor spreading and may play a key role in HCC recurrence.

NSAIDs show antineoplastic activity in a number of malignancies, due to their ability to inhibit the synthesis of prostaglandins by COX-2 (13). Expression of this enzyme is sufficient to induce tumor growth and invasion by a variety of mechanisms (13), some of which are shared by HBx (2). In this regard, COX-2 enhances cell migration, expression of MT1-MMP, and MMP-2 activation (12). HBx is also capable of inducing cell motility (17), and we show herein that it upregulates MMP-2 activation and MT1-MMP expression. Both COX-2–dependent invasion and HBx-induced migration are mediated by CD44 (17, 28), which is cleaved by MT1-MMP (26). Thus, by regulating COX-2, MT1-MMP, and CD44, HBx may be activating a complex mechanism that will eventually lead to cell invasion.

COX-2–expressing cells induce neovascularization by upregulating different proangiogenic enzymes and growth factors, such as inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), TGF-β, and VEGF (29). HBx itself induces the expression of iNOS, TGF-β, and VEGF, and an angiogenic effect of this viral protein has been proposed (30, 31). Moreover, COX-2 expression results in increased apoptosis resistance (13), another property shared by HBx in certain systems (8). Thus, the induction of COX-2 expression by HBx may help to explain the variety of pro-oncogenic effects of this viral protein and may unveil a new target for the treatment of HBV-derived HCC.

Interestingly, NSAID and NS398 treatment of Chang liver and HepG2 cells results in increased response to IFN-α, suggesting that COX-2 expression may result in IFN therapy resistance (32). In this context, the induction of COX-2 by HBx would help the virus to evade the
immune system, favoring chronic HBV infection and the progression to cirrhosis and HCC. COX-2 expression can be induced by a number of mitogenic, inflammatory, and pro-oncogenic stimuli (13). It has been recently reported that the calcium-regulated transcription factor NF-AT is essential for the activation of COX-2 transcription by VEGF or PMA plus calcium ionophore (24), whereas activation by LPS or TNF-α is mediated by NF-κB (13). Our results demonstrate that NF-AT, but not NF-κB, is necessary for the induction of COX-2 by HBx. We have previously shown that HBx activates NF-AT in a calcineurin-dependent manner (25), which is likely due to the increase in intracellular calcium and oxidative stress generated after the interaction of HBx with the mitochondrion (33, 34). These results suggest a role for NF-AT, oxidative stress, and calcium signaling in the induction of inflammatory mediators and tumor development.

In conclusion, our results suggest that the expression of HBx provides tumor cells with metastatic potential. Since HBx is still expressed after HCC development, we propose that this viral protein may not only have a role in carcinogenesis, as is currently believed, but may also be responsible for tumor recurrence that results from intrahepatic metastasis. The mechanisms described herein by which HBx induces cell invasion, namely induction of COX-2 and MT1-MMP expression and activity, are in agreement with our previous findings reporting that HBx induces cell migration, disruption of intercellular adhesion, and cell-matrix interactions and cytoskeleton rearrangements (16–18). The involvement of HBx in tumor spreading represents a new finding in the contribution of the hepatitis B virus to HCC and provides new clues for understanding the role of HBx after tumor establishment, unveiling potential new targets in the therapy against this aggressive malignancy.
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