To the Editor: In the paper “Rapid measurement of SARS-CoV-2 spike T cells in whole blood from vaccinated and naturally infected individuals,” Tan et al. stress the importance of measuring multiple immunological correlates in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19 vaccination (1). To avoid complex “traditional” T cell assays like interferon γ (IFN-γ) enzyme-linked immune absorbent spot (ELISpot) or activation-induced marker (AIM) flow cytometry, Tan et al. assessed the performance of a T cell test specific for SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein based on stimulation of whole blood with overlapping peptides and subsequent detection of cytokines in plasma. In vaccinated and convalescent individuals, they found that the sensitivity of the whole-blood assay is comparable to that of traditional T cell assays, concluding that this is an attractive measure of SARS-CoV-2–specific T cell immunity. Tan et al. performed their study in healthy individuals, but sensitivity and specificity of an alternative method to detect SARS-CoV-2–specific T cells could be different in a population with low responder rates. In a recent prospective multicenter study, we performed a comprehensive comparison of the immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccination in kidney disease patients and found that kidney transplant (KTx) recipients had significantly lower SARS-CoV-2–specific T cell responses compared with controls (2). T cell responses were assessed by both commercial IFN-γ release assay (IGRA, QuantiFERON, Qiagen) and in-house-validated […]
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Tan et al. performed their study in healthy individuals, but sensitivity and specificity of an alternative method to detect SARS-CoV-2–specific T cells could be different in a population with low responder rates. In a recent prospective multicenter study, we performed a comprehensive comparison of the immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccination in kidney disease patients and found that kidney transplant (KTx) recipients had significantly lower SARS-CoV-2–specific T cell responses compared with controls (2). T cell responses were assessed by both commercial IFN-γ release assay (IGRA, QuantiFERON, Qiagen) and in-house-validated IFN-γ ELISpot using overlapping peptides from the S protein. In a subset of participants, we found moderate correlations between the two assays in both controls and KTx recipients. The IFN-γ ELISpot identified a T cell response in 18 out of 23 controls (78%) and 12 out of 31 KTx recipients (39%). Strikingly, 16 out of 18 (89%) responders were confirmed by IGRA in controls, whereas only 5 out of 12 (42%) responders were confirmed in KTx recipients (Figure 1).

Although the IGRA is an accurate measure of specific T cell responses in healthy individuals in both our study and the study by Tan et al., our data indicate that T cell responses measured in whole blood of KTX recipients should be interpreted with caution. Similar results are to be anticipated in other populations with other underlying conditions or on immunosuppressive medication that lead to low T cell responses. Immunity assessed in whole blood by IGRA can be influenced by low lymphocyte counts (volume-based assay versus standardized cell input in ELISpot) or the presence of immunosuppressive medication in whole blood (3, 4). Accurate “traditional” methods to detect SARS-CoV-2–specific T cell responses therefore remain crucial in assessing the cellular immune response, but the IGRA is an attractive alternative for a quick screen of induction of specific T cell responses in large trials.
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Figure 1. Correlation between IFN-γ ELISpot and IGRA. Moderate correlation coefficients (R) were observed between assays in both groups. The x axis shows IGRA results (IFN-γ) in international units (IU)/mL in serum; the y axis shows ELISpot results in spot-forming colonies (SFC)/10⁶ PBMCs. Dotted lines indicate positive cutoffs.
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