Mutations in either ATP-binding cassette (ABC) G5 or ABCG8 cause sitosterolemia, an autosomal recessive disorder of sterol trafficking. To determine the site of action of ABCG5 and ABCG8, we expressed recombinant, epitope-tagged mouse ABCG5 and ABCG8 in cultured cells. Both ABCG5 and ABCG8 underwent N-linked glycosylation. When either protein was expressed individually in cells, the N-linked sugars remained sensitive to Endoglycosidase H (Endo H). When ABCG5 and ABCG8 were coexpressed, the attached sugars were Endo H–resistant and neuraminidase-sensitive, indicating that the proteins were transported to the trans-Golgi complex. The mature, glycosylated forms of ABCG5 and ABCG8 communoprecipitated, consistent with heterodimerization of these two proteins. The Endo H–sensitive forms of ABCG5 and ABCG8 were confined to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), whereas the mature forms were present in non-ER fractions in cultured hepatocytes. Immunoelectron microscopy revealed ABCG5 and ABCG8 on the plasma membrane of these cells. In polarized WIF-B cells, recombinant ABCG5 localized to the apical (canalicular) membrane when coexpressed with ABCG8, but not when expressed alone. To our knowledge this is the first direct demonstration that trafficking of an ABC half-transporter to the cell surface requires the presence of its dimerization partner.

half-transporters in the D subfamily are associated with the peroxisomal membrane (17). The Drosophila ABCG transporters white, brown and scarlet are located within membranes of intracellular pigment granules (18). The only mammalian ABC half-transporter that has been localized to the plasma membrane is the multidrug resistance protein ABCG2 (BCRP), which is associated with the canicular membrane in human liver (19). ABCG5 and ABCG8 are most highly expressed in the enterocytes of the intestine and hepatocytes of the liver (20), but the subcellular location of the two proteins is not known.

In this paper, we have examined the cellular itinerary and fate of recombinant, epitope-tagged mouse ABCG5 and ABCG8 in three cell types: CHO-K1 cells, cultured rat hepatocytes (CRL-1601 cells), and polarized WIF-B cells (21, 22). We provide evidence that ABCG5 and ABCG8 are physically associated in cells and that they chaperone each other out of the ER to the plasma membrane. In polarized WIF-B cells, the transporters colocalized with a resident apical membrane protein, aminopeptidase N (APN), on the canicular membrane. Our data are consistent with ABCG5 and ABCG8 forming a heterodimer in the ER prior to being transported to apical membranes.

Methods

Development of epitope-tagged recombinant ABCG5 and ABCG8. A 105-bp fragment encoding three copies of a myc epitope (EQKLISEEDLN) (23) and a 93-bp fragment containing three copies of a hemagglutinin (HA) epitope (YPYDVPDYAG) (24) were added to the 3′ ends of the murine ABCG5 and ABCG8 cDNAs by overlap PCR. The ABCG5-myc, ABCG5-HA, ABCG8-myc, and ABCG8-HA cDNAs were individually cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, California, USA). The fidelity of each construct was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The initial ABCG8-myc construct used in these studies had a proline at amino acid residue 220. Subsequently, Yu et al. (25) reported a sequence containing arginine at this position. Therefore an expression construct that encoded an arginine at residue 220 was also made.

Cell culture. CHO-K1 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, USA) were cultured in Ham’s F12/DMEM 50% (vol/vol) medium containing 5% (vol/vol) FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (LifeTechnologies Inc., Grand Island, New York, USA) in a humidified incubator (8.8% CO2). CRL-1601 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in DMEM (glucose, 1 g/l) containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies Inc., Grand Island, New York, USA) in a humidified incubator (8.8% CO2). CHO-K1 cells were transfected with ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA expression plasmids either alone or together into CHO-K1 cells using FuGene (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described (26) with the following modification: Incubations of primary antibodies with cell lysates were limited to 6 hours. Mouse anti-HA antibody (12CA5) and anti-myc antibody (9E10; Roche Molecular Biochemicals) were used to immunoprecipitate ABCG8 and ABCG5, respectively.

SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of ABCG5 and ABCG8. The protein concentrations of cell lysates and fractions were determined by Bio-Rad Dc assay according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, California, USA). Proteins were size-fractionated on either 8% or 4–20% gradient (wt/vol) SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were immunoblotted as described (27) using polyclonal antibodies (pAb’s) directed against the myc (A14) and HA (Y11) epitopes (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, California, USA).

Pulse-chase analysis of ABCG5 and ABCG8 synthesis in cultured cells. CHO-K1 cells were transfected with ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA expression plasmids either alone or together. After 48 hours, the cells were washed twice with PBS (37°C) and incubated in methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM for 2 hours. Newly translated proteins were metabolically labeled for 15 minutes with methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM containing 100 µCi/ml [35S]-Met/Cys (Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, USA). Cells were washed twice with PBS (37°C) and incubated with DMEM for up to 48 hours. Cell lysates were prepared and immunoprecipitations were conducted as described above; primary antibodies were incubated with lysates for 18 hours at 22°C. The immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and the gels fixed for 30 minutes (40% methanol, 10% acetic acid). Signals from the labeled proteins were amplified by fluorography (30 minutes, 1 M salicylate), and the gels were dried and exposed to autoradiography film (–80°C, 8–48 hours). The relative signal intensities were determined by densitometry (Eagle Eye II; Stratagene, La Jolla, California, USA). The values obtained for each time point were corrected for background signal, log-transformed, and used to calculate the t1/2 of each protein by linear regression using the least-squares method.

Analysis of glycosylation of ABCG5 and ABCG8. The glycosylation state of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA was analyzed in transiently transfected CHO-K1 cells. Cells were cultured in 10-cm dishes and transfected, and the proteins were immunoprecipitated as described above. Immunoprecipitated proteins were recovered from protein A-agarose beads by heating in 0.1% SDS, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (95°C, 5 minutes). Protein A-agarose was removed, and the supernatants were transferred to new tubes. The immunoprecipitated proteins were incubated in the presence or absence of 10 U of peptide N-glycosidase F (PNGase F); Calbiochem-Novabiochem.
Corporation, San Diego, California, USA), 5 U of Endoglycosidase H (Endo H; Roche Molecular Biochemicals), 50 mU neuraminidase (Roche Molecular Biochemicals), or 2.5 mU O-glycosidase (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) for 2 hours at 37°C following digestion with neuraminidase according to the manufacturers’ protocols. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis.

Generation of cell lines stably expressing ABCG5-myc and ABCG5-myc plus ABCG8-HA. CRL-1601 cells were transfected at 60% confluence as described for CHO-K1 cells. Cells were collected in medium containing 700 µg/ml Zeocin (Invitrogen Corp.). Cells were grown under continuous selection, and single colonies were selected, subcultured, and screened for expression of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA by immunoblot analysis as described above.

Cell fractionation. Cell lysates were fractionated by density gradient centrifugation in Nycodenz (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) to separate the ER from other organelles (28). Fractions (n = 36) were collected after tube puncture. Protein sample buffer was added to a 74 x 190 (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 100-mm dishes to 95% confluence and fixed with 3% formaldehyde, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 0.01% Tween-20 for 20 minutes and then fixed with 1 x 10⁶ particles of virus expressing β-galactosidase (β-gal) ABCG5-myc, ABCG8, or both ABCG-myc and ABCG8 cDNAs.

Results

Coexpression of ABCG5 and ABCG8 induces posttranslational modification of the proteins. To examine the size, posttranslational processing, and half-lives of ABCG5 and ABCG8, the cDNAs encoding the two proteins were modified to contain epitope tags at the C-termini and then expressed independently in CHO-K1 cells (Figure 1, left). Transfected cells were labeled with [35S]-Met/Cys for 15 minutes and then chased with excess Met/Cys for the indicated time periods. ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were immunoprecipitated from cell lysates using mAb’s to the epitope tags. At the 0 time point, three proteins of 65 kDa, 70 kDa, and 73 kDa were observed for ABCG5, and two proteins of 66 and 68 kDa for ABCG8-HA. The t½ of both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA was approximately 3 hours. When ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were coexpressed (Figure 1, right), a series of higher–molecular weight proteins appeared at 2 hours and remained detectable at 24 hours, when the lower–molecular weight proteins were barely visible. No higher–molecular weight proteins were detected with longer exposures in cells expressing either ABCG5 or ABCG8 alone (data not shown). These results suggest that both ABCG5 and ABCG8 undergo an initial processing event that is not dependent on the presence of the other protein. After 1 to 2 hours, the proteins undergo further modifications, which are contingent on the expression of both proteins. The sequential appearance of higher–molecular weight proteins is consistent with a precursor-product relationship.

The identity of a 48-kDa protein that immunoprecipitated with the anti-HA antibody and decreased in intensity over the course of the experiment is not known. A protein of this size was detected in CHO-K1 cells expressing ABCG8-HA, but not when this protein was expressed in CRL-1601 cells.

Coexpression of ABCG5 and ABCG8 is required for trafficking out of the ER. To determine whether the higher–molecular weight forms of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA observed in Figure 1 were due to glycosylation, we immunoprecipitated the proteins and then subjected them to treatment with different
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Coexpression of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA resulted in the appearance of additional higher–molecular weight forms, as described above (Figure 1). Immunoblotting revealed that in the steady state the higher–molecular weight forms of the proteins predominated, especially for ABCG8-HA. The more slowly migrating proteins collapsed into a single protein upon treatment with PNGase F but were resistant to treatment with Endo H, indicating that the more slowly migrating forms of the proteins had reached the medial Golgi complex, whereas the lower–molecular weight forms were retained in the ER. Neuraminidase, which removes sialic acid residues added in the trans-Golgi complex (34), caused a slight but consistent reduction in the apparent molecular mass of the larger forms of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA. No further changes in protein mass were apparent with O-glycosidase treatment. Taken together, these results indicated that ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were retained in the ER unless expressed together, and they suggested that ABCG5 and ABCG8 form a heterodimer in order to exit the ER.

Recombinant ABCG5 and ABCG8 coimmunoprecipitate in CHO-K1 cells. To determine whether ABCG5 and ABCG8 are physically associated in cells, we expressed myc-tagged ABCG5 and HA-tagged ABCG8 alone or together in CHO-K1 cells (Figure 3a). The anti-myc antibody precipitated a protein of the size expected for ABCG5-myc in cells expressing both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA, but not in cells expressing ABCG8-HA alone; similarly, the anti-HA antibody immunoprecipitated a protein of the size expected for ABCG8-HA in cells expressing both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA, but not in cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone. To determine whether ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA occurred before or after cell lysis, cells were first transfected independently with ABCG5-myc, ABCG8-HA, ABCG5-myc, or ABCG8-HA (Figure 3b). Lysates from the independently transfected cells were mixed (5&5, 5-myc and 5-HA; 5&8, 5-myc and 8-HA; 8&8, 8-myc and 8-HA) and then subjected to immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis. The anti-myc mAb failed to immunoprecipitate the HA-tagged transporters, and the myc-tagged transporters did not coimmunoprecipitate with the anti-HA mAb. Thus, the association of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA did not occur after cell lysis.

To test for the formation of homo-oligomeric complexes, we cotransfected cells with ABCG5-myc and ABCG5-HA (5+5), with ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA following treatment with O-glycosidase was detected (data not shown) (33). Thus, when ABCG5-myc or ABCG8-HA was expressed alone, N-linked sugars were added in the ER but the proteins did not appear to traffic to the Golgi complex.

endoglycosidases (Figure 2). In cells expressing ABCG5-myc or ABCG8-HA alone, the higher–molecular weight forms of the proteins were sensitive to PNGase F, which removes all N-linked sugars, and to Endo H, which removes high-mannose sugars that have not yet undergone full maturation. Mouse ABCG8 has one canonical site for the addition of N-linked sugars at residue 619, and ABCG5 has three potential sites for addition of N-linked sugars (residues 440, 585, and 592), but one of these sites is predicted to be within a few residues of the first membrane-spanning domain and is not conserved in the human protein (Figure 2, bottom). The stepwise increases in molecular masses of these proteins in transfected CHO-K1 cells are consistent with the cotransfected with empty plasmids (V), ABCG5-myc, ABCG8-HA, or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA. Forty-eight hours following transfection, cells were washed with PBS, incubated in methionine- and cysteine-free medium for 2 hours, and then incubated in methionine- and cysteine-free medium supplemented with 100 μCi/ml [35S]-Met/Cys for 15 minutes. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated in serum-free medium for the indicated times. Cell lysates were prepared, and anti-myc mAb was used to immunoprecipitate ABCG5-myc in cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc in the presence of ABCG8-HA (top panels). Anti-HA mAb was used to immunoprecipitate ABCG8 in cells expressing ABCG8-HA alone or ABCG8-HA in the presence of ABCG5-myc (bottom panels). Immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE (4–20%) and visualized by fluorography (exposure: 8 hours, left; 36 hours, right). This experiment was repeated four times with similar results. *unidentified 48 kDa band observed in CHO-K1 cells transfected with ABCG8.
(5+8), or with ABCG8-myc and ABCG8-HA (8+8, Figure 3b). A small fraction of ABCG5 and a significant fraction of ABCG8 coimmunoprecipitated in cells expressing both the myc- and the HA-tagged forms of the same proteins. In both cases, only the lower–molecular weight forms of the proteins were detected, indicating that if homodimers form, they are retained in the ER. In contrast, both the lower– and the higher–molecular weight forms were observed in cells expressing both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA and coimmunoprecipitated with antibodies to either epitope. These results are consistent with the half-transporters forming heterodimers and then trafficking to the Golgi complex.

To ensure that the substitution of proline for arginine at codon 220 of ABCG8 did not affect the association or trafficking of ABCG8, the pulse-chase, endoglycosidase digestion, and coimmunoprecipitation experiments were repeated using an expression construct encoding an arginine at residue 220, and identical results were obtained (data not shown).

**ABCG8 expression affects the trafficking of ABCG5.** To trace the intracellular trafficking of ABCG5 and ABCG8 in a physiologically relevant cell type, rat hepatocyte–derived cell lines (CRL-1601) expressing either recombinant ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA together were selected and subcloned. No endogenous ABCG5 or ABCG8 mRNA was detected by Northern blotting in these cells (data not shown). Steady-state levels of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were analyzed by immunoblotting in both cell lines (Figure 4a). A single protein of 68 kDa was detected in cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone, whereas additional higher–molecular weight forms of the protein (89–100 kDa) were present in cells expressing both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA.

To determine the subcellular location of the half-transporters in the steady state, lysates from cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc plus ABCG8-HA were fractionated on Nycodenz gradients to separate the ER from other cellular compartments (Figure 4b). Immunoblot analysis of the gradient fractions indicated that the lower–molecular weight, Endo H–sensitive forms of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA comigrated with the ER resident protein calnexin. Only the higher–molecular weight forms of both ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were also present in the ER fractions. The ER fractions of the gradients did not contain proteins present in the plasma membrane (LRP, 85 kDa), endosomes (LRP, Rab11), or Golgi complex (p58K).

**ABCG5 and ABCG8 localize to the cell membrane of CRL-1601 cells.** To determine the subcellular localization of ABCG5 and ABCG8, CRL-1601 cells expressing ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA were grown on glass coverslips and processed for immunofluorescence and immunoelectron microscopy. Immunoreactive ABCG5 colocalized with ER resident proteins (GRP-78, calnexin) in cells expressing ABCG5 alone, but with the cell surface protein APN in cells expressing both ABCG5 and ABCG8 (data not shown). To determine whether ABCG5 and ABCG8 were on the cell surface or in closely apposed subplasmalemmal vesicles, we performed immunoelectron microscopy on cells expressing both half-transporters. Gold particles were associated with the plasma membrane and ER for ABCG5-myc (Figure 5b) and for ABCG8-HA (Figure 5c). No signal was detected in cells containing the expression vector alone (Figure 5a).

**ABCG5 and ABCG8 traffic to the apical surface in polarized WIF-B cells.** Since CRL-1601 cells are not polarized,
these cells cannot be used to determine whether ABCG5 and ABCG8 are specifically targeted to the bile canalicular (apical) surface. Therefore, we obtained a polarized cell line (WIF-B) derived from a fusion between a rat hepatoma and human fibroblast cells (22). These cells form canaliculi and sort proteins in a manner similar to that observed in vivo (35) and have been used to define the subcellular localization of biliary ABC transporters (30). Northern blot analysis using probes specific for rat ABCG5 and ABCG8 indicated that both endogenous genes were expressed in these cells (data not shown). Human chromosome 2 was not retained in this cell line (21), so these cells cannot synthesize human ABCG5 or ABCG8. To determine whether ABCG5-myc traffics beyond the ER when expressed in WIF-B cells, a pulse-chase experiment was performed in cells infected with adenoviruses encoding ABCG5-myc alone, or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8. Mature, fully glycosylated forms of ABCG5-myc were only observed when ABCG8 was coexpressed (data not shown), indicating that if heterodimers between rat and mouse ABCG5 and ABCG8 form, they are incapable of escaping the ER.

To determine the subcellular localization of ABCG5-myc in the absence or presence of ABCG8, cells were infected with adenoviruses encoding cDNAs for β-gal, ABCG5-myc, or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8 and processed for immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 6). The anti-myc antibody detected ABCG5-myc in cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc plus ABCG8, but not in cells expressing β-gal (Figure 6, a–c). In the absence of ABCG8, ABCG5-myc colocalized with BiP, but not with basolateral (HA321) (36) or apical (APN) plasma membrane markers (Figure 6, d–l), which indicated that in WIF-B cells, as in CRL-1601 cells, ABCG5 was confined to the ER when expressed alone. In the presence of ABCG8, ABCG5-myc colocalized with the apically sorted protein APN (Figure 6, s–u). However, no colocalization was seen with HA321 or mannosidase II. A faint signal was seen on the basolateral surface of some cells (Figure 6p). Whether this represents proteins that first go to the basolateral membranes before trafficking to the apical surface or is...
Discussion

In this study we have examined the cellular itinerary and fate of recombinant, epitope-tagged ABCG5 and ABCG8 in three cell types: CHO-K1 cells, nonpolarized cultured rat hepatocytes (CRL-1601 cells), and polarized hepatocytes (WIF-B cells). We provide evidence that ABCG5 and ABCG8 are physically associated in these cells and that they chaperone each other out of the ER en route to the plasma membrane. The transporters colocalized with each other in the ER and plasma membranes of stably expressing cultured hepatocytes and were present on the apical (canalicular) membranes of WIF-B cells. Collectively, these data indicate that an ABCG5/ABCG8 complex is assembled in the ER, moves through the Golgi complex, and is targeted to the apical surface of cultured cells, suggesting that ABCG5 and ABCG8 may function as a heterodimer on the apical surfaces of cells to export neutral sterols. To our knowledge this is the first direct demonstration that trafficking of an ABC half-transporter to the cell surface requires the presence of its dimerization partner.

All experiments in this study were performed using epitope-tagged versions of ABCG5 and ABCG8, since antibodies that recognize the native proteins are not available. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that epitope tagging of the proteins alters their behavior in cells, experiments using tagged and untagged versions of ABCG8 indicate that this possibility is unlikely. The exit of ABCG5 from the ER requires coexpression of ABCG8. Both tagged and nontagged forms of ABCG8 allow transport of ABCG5 to the plasma membrane. These results indicate that the formation of ABCG5/ABCG8 complex and its trafficking to the cell surface are not influenced by the presence of the epitope tag. Similarly, the substitution of a proline residue for arginine at residue 220 of ABCG8 had no effect on the half-life, processing, or formation of heterodimers in CHO-K1 cells.

Both ABCG5 and ABCG8 are N-glycosylated. When each transporter is expressed individually in cells, the added sugars do not undergo maturation and the proteins are more rapidly degraded. When the two proteins are coexpressed, higher–molecular weight, Endo H–resistant, neuraminidase-sensitive forms of both proteins appear. The higher–molecular weight forms of the proteins have significantly longer half-lives, suggesting that formation of the complex between the two half-transporters in the ER promotes their transit from the ER to the trans–Golgi complex and thus diverts them from a degradative pathway. Cell fractionation and immunolocalization studies in cultured hepatocytes confirm that ABCG5 is retained in the ER unless ABCG8 is expressed in the same cells. These data indicate that the cellular localizations of the proteins are contingent on their oligomeric structure.

Figure 4

Relative levels and distribution of expression of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA in CRL-1601 stably transfected with empty plasmids (V), ABCG5-myc (5), or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA (5+8). (A) Steady-state levels of immunoreactive ABCG5 and ABCG8 in CRL-1601 cells. Cell lysates (5 µg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis for expression of ABCG5 (top) or ABCG8 (middle). Immunoreactive ABCG5 and ABCG8 were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence. (B) Fractionation of CRL-1601 cells that stably express ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA. Cells were grown to 90% confluence in 10-cm dishes. Postnuclear supernatants were prepared and fractionated as described in Methods. Fractions (20%) were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis for ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA. Separation of ER membranes from other organelles was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of marker proteins: calnexin (ER), LRP (plasma membrane and endosomes), p58K (Golgi complex), Rab11 (endosomes).
The observation that mutations in either ABCG5 or ABCG8 cause an identical phenotype (1, 15) is also consistent with ABCG5 and ABCG8 forming a complex. Since ABC half-transporters contain only one single nucleotide-binding fold and six putative transmembrane segments, they must form homodimers or heterodimers to be active (14). Some half-transporters, such as TAP1 and TAP2, function as heterodimers; mutations in either gene result in the loss of peptide transport activity (16, 37). The four peroxisomal half-transporters (ABCD1–ABCD4) form homodimers and heterodimers in vitro (17), but the composition of the functional complexes of these proteins is not known. The ABCG subfamily members scarlet, brown, and white also function as heterodimers (38), whereas ABCG2 transports substrates when expressed alone in insect cells, suggesting that it functions as a homodimer (39). The finding that ABCG5 and ABCG8 coimmunoprecipitate indicates that the two proteins are associated in cells and suggests that they heterodimerize. The interaction between these two proteins is specific and is not a post–cell lysis artifact, since the half-transporters do not coimmunoprecipitate if lysates from cells expressing each half-transporter alone are mixed. Coimmunoprecipitation of the high–molecular weight, Endo H–resistant forms of ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA is almost quantitative, further indicating that ABCG5/ABCG8 complex formation is required for ER exit.

A small fraction of expressed ABCG5 and ABCG8 also immunoprecipitates as homo-oligomeric complexes. For both proteins, only the lower–molecular weight forms are present in these complexes, indicating that if ABCG5 or ABCG8 form homodimers or other homo-oligomers, these complexes fail to exit the ER. Consistent with this interpretation, ABCG5-myc is not transported to the apical membrane in WIF-B cells if expressed alone. In contrast, ABCG2 appears to function as a homodimer and has been observed on the bile canalicular membrane (19). Further studies will be required to determine whether the ER-associated forms of ABCG5 and ABCG8 function as homodimers, represent nonspecific protein aggregates, or are mis-paired half-transporters that fail to achieve the appropriate conformation to escape the ER.

The observation that ABCG5-myc and ABCG8-HA reach the trans–Golgi complex only when the two proteins are coexpressed indicates that monomeric and multimeric homodimers of these proteins are specifically retained in the ER. The mechanism for ER retention of ABCG5 and ABCG8 is not known. Other oligomeric membrane proteins contain ER retention motifs that are masked when the proteins complex. For example, heterodimerization is required for the T cell antigen receptor (TCR), the H2a subunit of the asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR), and the GABAβ receptor to exit the ER (40–42). Each subunit of these proteins contains a different ER retention motif (GLRILLLKV, EGHRG, and RXR for TCR, ASGPR, and GABAβ, respectively) that is masked by heterodimerization, allowing the protein complex to exit the ER. Only the RXR motif is present in mouse ABCG5 and ABCG8. One copy of this motif is conserved between the mouse and human proteins (residues 242–244 in ABCG5 and residues 196–198 in ABCG8), but the role of these residues in ER retention remains to be examined. Other mechanisms for the retention of improperly complexed oligomers include binding of proteins to ER chaperones that contain KDEL motifs, and thiol retention whereby the masking of cysteine residues by disulfide bonding allows proteins to exit the ER chaperones that contain KDEL motifs, and thiol retention whereby the masking of cysteine residues by disulfide bonding allows proteins to exit the ER (43, 44).

A potentially interesting observation is the finding of higher–molecular weight forms of ABCG5 and ABCG8 in the ER, as well as in the non-ER, fractions of ABCG5 and ABCG8. These higher–molecular weight forms of ABCG5 and ABCG8 function as homodimers, represent nonspecific protein aggregates, or are mis-paired half-transporters that fail to achieve the appropriate conformation to escape the ER. Further studies will be required to determine the mechanisms by which ABCG5 and ABCG8 monomers, and perhaps oligomers, are recognized by the ER quality control system.

Figure 5
Immunolocalization of ABCG5 and ABCG8 in CRL-1601 cells by electron microscopy. Cells were cultured in 100-mm dishes and fixed and processed for immunoelectron microscopy as described in Methods. Localization of ABCG5 (a and b) and ABCG8 (c) in CRL-1601 cells containing empty plasmids (a) or ABCG5 and ABCG8 (b and c). Scale bar = 2 µm.
of cultured hepatocytes expressing both half-transporters. The presence of the mature forms in the ER fractions could represent contamination of these fractions with non-ER components of the cell, although none of the non-ER marker proteins are present in the ER fractions (Figure 4b). The fully mature, glycosylated forms of the proteins may have recycled from the Golgi complex compartment back to the ER, as has been described for SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP) (45). More experiments will be required to determine the source of the mature forms of the half-transporters in the ER.

ABCG5 and ABCG8 colocalize at the cell surface in cultured hepatocytes, and immunoelectron microscopy confirms that the proteins are associated with the plasma membrane in these cells. The proteins are located predominantly on the apical plasma membrane, suggesting that ABCG5 and ABCG8 may participate directly in the transport of neutral sterols across the apical membrane into bile. It is not known whether neutral sterols are the primary transport substrate of ABCG5 and ABCG8 or whether another molecule is actively transported and sterol efflux is secondary. Phospholipids are the primary transport substrate for a number of other ABC lipid transporters, and phospholipid transport and cholesterol transport into bile are tightly interrelated. For example, biliary cholesterol excretion is markedly reduced in mice lacking the phosphatidylcholine transporter ABCB4 (MDR2) (46). Sitosterolemics have a marked reduction in fractional excretion of biliary sterols but have normal biliary excretion of phospholipids (7). Conversely, overexpression of ABCG5 and ABCG8 in mice causes a selective increase in cholesterol excretion.

Figure 6
Immunolocalization of ABCG5-myc in WIF-B cells. Cells were grown on glass coverslips, infected with virus expressing ABCG5-myc alone or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8, and processed for immunofluorescence microscopy. (a–c) Immunoreactive ABCG5-myc in cells infected with virus expressing β-gal (a), ABCG5-myc alone (b), or ABCG5-myc and ABCG8 (c). Coverslips containing cells expressing ABCG5-myc alone (d–l) were incubated with anti-myc pAb and anti-BiP mAb (d–f), anti-myc mAb and anti-HA321 pAb (g–i), or anti-myc mAb and anti-APN pAb (j–l). Colocalization is shown as a merged image (f, i, and l). Immunoreactive ABCG5-myc (m, p, q, and v), BiP (n), HA321 (q), APN (t), mannosidase II (MII; w), and their merges (o, r, u, and x) are shown in cells expressing ABCG5-myc and ABCG8.
into the bile (47). These findings suggest that neutral sterols or a neutral sterol carrier protein, rather than phospholipids, are the primary transport substrate of ABCG5 and ABCG8.

The observation that ABCG5 and ABCG8 form a heterodimer does not exclude the possibility that either protein may form functional complexes with other ABC half-transporters. In Drosophila, white forms functional complexes with both scarlet and brown to transport different substrates (38). The mammalian ABCG transporter subfamily includes six known members. Although the tissue expression patterns of ABCG5 and ABCG8 differ from those of the other ABCG subfamily members, both ABCG1 and ABCG2 are expressed in the liver and intestine (19, 48, 49). ABCG3 and ABCG4 are expressed at only low levels in the liver and intestine of mice (data not shown); the tissue distribution of expression of these proteins in humans is not known. Thus, it remains possible that ABCG5 and ABCG8 are not monogamous and that the half-transporters associate with other subfamily members to transport different substrates. The formation of dimer pairs between various members of this subfamily of proteins may permit transport of a more diverse array of substrates, or provide tissue- and/or substrate-specific control of solute transport.
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