While the initiation of the adaptive and innate immune response is well understood, less is known about cellular mechanisms propagating inflammation. The receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), a transmembrane receptor of the immunoglobulin superfamily, leads to perpetuated cell activation. Using novel animal models with defective or tissue-specific RAGE expression, we show that in these animal models RAGE does not play a role in the adaptive immune response. However, deletion of RAGE provides protection from the lethal effects of septic shock caused by cecal ligation and puncture. Such protection is reversed by reconstitution of RAGE in endothelial and hematopoietic cells. These results indicate that the innate immune response is controlled by pattern-recognition receptors not only at the initiating steps but also at the phase of perpetuation.
While the initiation of the adaptive and innate immune response is well understood, less is known about cellular mechanisms propagating inflammation. The receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), a transmembrane receptor of the immunoglobulin superfamily, leads to perpetuated cell activation. Using novel animal models with defective or tissue-specific RAGE expression, we show that in these animal models RAGE does not play a role in the adaptive immune response. However, deletion of RAGE provides protection from the lethal effects of septic shock caused by cecal ligation and puncture. Such protection is reversed by reconstitution of RAGE in endothelial and hematopoietic cells. These results indicate that the innate immune response is controlled by pattern-recognition receptors not only at the initiating steps but also at the phase of perpetuation.

Introduction
The adaptive and the innate immune systems are both capable of initiating inflammation. The two are interconnected at several levels, including the synthesis and action of cytokines, molecules regulating cell-cell interactions, and the activation of transcription factors (1–9). While the molecular events leading to activation of the immune response are well understood, less is known about factors that perpetuate inflammation. One mechanism considered central in the cross-talk between the innate and adaptive immune systems is the redox-dependent activation of the transcription factor NF-κB (1, 4, 9–13). Members of the NF-κB family control the initiation of inflammation by regulating expression of leukocyte adhesion molecules, cytokines, and other factors (10–13), but are also central in terminating inflammation (14–18). This leaves unanswered the question of the perpetuation of inflammation of the adaptive and innate immune responses.

Recently, studies of the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) (19–23), a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily (23) whose gene is located in the vicinity of the MHC complex in humans and mice (23, 24), have served to partially answer this question. Previous studies suggested that binding of ligands to RAGE results in rapid and sustained cellular activation and gene transcription (25). Its involvement in inflammation has been suggested by many findings. First, RAGE is upregulated in all inflammatory lesions studied, including rheumatoid arthritis (26–28), inflammatory kidney disease (29–32), arteriosclerosis (33–36), inflammatory bowel disease (37), and others (38–42). Second, soluble RAGE (sRAGE), which is a truncated form of the receptor spanning the extracellular ligand-binding domain (and which therefore competes with cellular RAGE for ligand binding), reduced inflammatory responses in all models tested so far (35–40, 42, 43), such as delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH), colitis, and periodontitis. Studies using F(ab)2 fragments to block ligand binding to RAGE confirmed these results, especially at the highest dose tested.

As reported for pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) of the innate immune system, RAGE is a receptor that recognizes a three-dimensional structure rather than a specific amino acid sequence (5, 6, 8, 44). RAGE binds several peptides, including advanced glycated end products, amyloid β-peptide, S100 proteins, and amphoterin, also known as high-mobility group protein B1 (HMGBl), all of which are characterized by the presence of β-sheet structures and fibrils (20, 28, 45). sRAGE functions as a “decoy” by binding RAGE ligands and preventing their interaction with cell surface RAGE. However, sRAGE also has the capacity to prevent ligands from interacting with receptors other than RAGE. In order to be certain that a particular response was RAGE dependent, it was necessary to generate and study homozygous RAGE-null (RAGE−/−) mice. We have generated such mice devoid of RAGE, as well as mice...
with tissue-specific expression, in order to study the role of this PRR in adaptive and innate immune responses.

The data presented here show that \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mice reacted normally in different models of the adaptive immune response tested but were protected in a model of lethal septic shock, the latter dependent on the innate immune response. These data indicate that the innate immune response is controlled by PRRs not only at the point of initiation but also during the propagation phase.

Results

Generation of mice carrying a \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mutation or overexpressing \( \text{RAGE} \) in specific tissues. For the generation of a \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mouse line, essential elements encoding the extracellular domain of RAGE (exons 2–7) were flanked by two \( \text{loxP} \) sites in the same orientation so that after exposure to Cre recombinase (Cre), the intervening genomic sequences were deleted. Mice heterozygous for Cre recombination were bred to homozygosity to generate the \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mouse line. The abrogation of RAGE expression at the mRNA level was analyzed by RT-PCR of lung tissue, in which RAGE is constitutively expressed at high levels. No RAGE mRNA could be detected in \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mice with primers for the extracellular RAGE domain (Figure 1A). To confirm the abrogation of RAGE expression, we used different primers covering the entire RAGE molecule and detected no transcripts (data not shown). In addition, in tissues with low RAGE expression in wild-type (WT) mice (heart, liver, kidney, and brain), no RAGE message could be detected in \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mice (data not shown). The absence of RAGE protein was demonstrated by Western blot and immunohistochemistry of lung tissue (Figure 1, B and C).

Hematopoietic and endothelial cells are cell types important in inflammatory reactions during an immune response. Therefore, mice overexpressing RAGE in hematopoietic and endothelial cells were generated. Two distinct regulatory elements of the murine Tie2 gene were chosen to drive the RAGE transgene: a 2.1-kb promoter sequence and a 10.5-kb fragment including enhancer fragments (Figure 2A) (46). The presence of the RAGE transgene was analyzed by Southern blot of DNA obtained from tail tissue (Figure 2B). RAGE expression was evaluated in different vascularized tissues of heterozygous Tie2RAGE mice (mice with the Tie2 promoter and Tie2 enhancer to drive RAGE expression) by RT-PCR (Figure 2C). Strong upregulation of RAGE transcripts was detected in kidney, brain, and liver, organs with rather low RAGE expression in healthy WT mice, while no upregulation of RAGE mRNA could be detected in the lung (Figure 2C). This was most likely due to the high constitutive RAGE expression evident in lung epithelia of WT mice (47) not affected by Tie2-driven overexpression of RAGE. RT-PCR was also performed using primer pairs covering the whole
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**Figure 1**

Generation and characterization of \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) mouse line. (A) RT-PCR of lung tissue from \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) and WT mice analyzed for RAGE mRNA expression. WT indicates \( \text{RAGE}^{++} \) littermates. HPRT was used to demonstrate equal loading. (B) Western blot analysis of \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) and WT lung tissue analyzed for the presence of RAGE protein (43 kDa). Tubulin demonstrates equal loading. (C) Immunohistology for RAGE protein in WT and \( \text{RAGE}^{--} \) lung tissue. Brown staining indicates RAGE expression; magnification, ×400.

**Figure 2**

Generation and characterization of the Tie2RAGE mouse line. (A) Tie2RAGE construct. Genomic RAGE DNA was cloned between the Tie2 promoter and enhancer. Gray boxes indicate RAGE exons; Roman numerals indicate RAGE exon numbers. E, EcoRI restriction enzyme site. (B) Southern blot analysis of DNA obtained from tail biopsies from WT and Tie2RAGE mice; EcoRI digestion releases a 2.2-kb DNA fragment from the Tie2RAGE transgene. (C) RT-PCR of different tissues derived from Tie2RAGE (Tie2) and WT mice analyzed for RAGE mRNA expression. WT indicates transgene-negative littermates. HPRT was used to demonstrate equal loading. (D) Immunohistology for RAGE protein in Tie2RAGE and WT kidney tissue. Brown staining indicates RAGE expression; magnification, ×400. RAGE expression in kidneys of Tie2RAGE mice is evident in the endothelium of large and small vessels, while no RAGE protein could be detected in kidneys of healthy WT mice.
RAGE protein could not be detected in WT tissue (Figure 2D). Restricted to the endothelium of large and small vessels, whereas in distinct cell types. RAGE expression in Tie2RAGE kidneys was demonstrated at least ten times more RAGE transcripts in kidney, spleen, liver, and brain of Tie2RAGE mice than in those of WT mice (data not shown). Renal tissue was subjected to immunohistology to demonstrate control for NF-κB binding, binding activity at 6 days was competed with a 160-fold molar excess of unlabeled consensus NF-κB oligonucleotides (cons.). Arrowheads indicate NF-κB complexes consisting of NF-κB homodimers or NF-κB (p50/p65) heterodimers, respectively.

**Figure 3**
NF-κB inducibility in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of the various mouse strains. Six-month-old WT, RAGE<sup>−/−</sup>, Tie2RAGE, or Tie2RAGE × RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice received unmodified control hemoglobin (Contr.-Hg) or CML-modified hemoglobin (CML-Hg) (1,000 μg/mouse at time point 0, intraperitoneally). Six days later, mice were sacrificed and NF-κB-binding activity was determined in total blood. Recombinant NF-κB (Rec. NF-κB) produced in erythrocyte lysates served as control for NF-κB binding. To confirm NF-κB binding, binding activity at 6 days was competed with a 160-fold molar excess of unlabeled consensus NF-κB oligonucleotides (cons.). Arrowheads indicate NF-κB complexes consisting of NF-κB homodimers or NF-κB (p50/p65) heterodimers, respectively.

Receptor to ensure expression of the entire molecule, and RAGE overexpression was quantified by real-time PCR (Taq Man). The full-length RAGE molecule was expressed in Tie2RAGE mice, and quantification demonstrated at least ten times more RAGE transcripts in kidney, spleen, liver, and brain of Tie2RAGE mice than in those of WT mice (data not shown). Renal tissue was subjected to immunohistology to demonstrate RAGE transgene expression in distinct cell types. RAGE expression in Tie2RAGE kidneys was restricted to the endothelium of large and small vessels, whereas RAGE protein could not be detected in WT tissue (Figure 2D).

RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> and Tie2RAGE mice were viable and displayed normal reproductive fitness. No spontaneous disease development was observed in mice up to the age of 6 months; mice were housed under specific pathogen–free (SPF) conditions. Mice displayed normal macroscopic pathology and histopathology. Because ligation of RAGE results in sustained activation of NF-κB (25), electrophoretic mobility-shift assay (EMSA) was performed to define the extent of (carboxymethyl)lysine-mediated (CML-mediated) NF-κB inducibility in the different mouse mutants. WT, RAGE<sup>−/−</sup>, Tie2RAGE, and Tie2RAGE × RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice received a single intraperitoneal dose of 1,000 μg CML-modified hemoglobin or control hemoglobin. Total blood was collected 6 days later and was subjected to NF-κB–specific EMSA. Prominent NF-κB–binding activity induced by CML was observed in the blood of WT, Tie2RAGE, and Tie2RAGE × RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice and was absent from RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Figure 3). These data confirm the lack of abundant NF-κB activation in RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice exposed to RAGE ligands. In contrast, Tie2RAGE mice responded with increased and perpetuated NF-κB activation after a single CML challenge and thus have intracellular signaling capabilities that mediate RAGE-dependent NF-κB activation (Figure 3). Furthermore, Tie2-driven RAGE overexpression in hematopoietic and endothelial cells was sufficient to reconstitute CML-dependent NF-κB inducibility in total blood of RAGE-deficient mice (Figure 3).

**Normal adaptive immune responses in RAGE mutant mice.** No difference was observed in the development of immunocompetent cells in WT and RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice, as assessed by determination of the development of T cells in the thymus with flow cytometry as well as by comparison of the numbers of B and T cells in the spleen (data not shown). The experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) model (myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein [MOG] model) was selected as an autoimmune model to analyze the immune competence of RAGE mutant mice in vivo. This model allows study of the functionality of initiation of the adaptive immune response, inflammation, migration of immune competent cells, and tissue destruction. EAE was induced in RAGE<sup>−/−</sup>, Tie2RAGE, and WT mice. RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice developed typical EAE symptoms, and no significant difference was found by comparison of the mean clinical disease scores of WT and RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice in various independent experiments, of which two are shown in Figure 4A. These results demonstrate that the initiation of the adaptive immune response, migration of immunocompetent cells, and destruction of myelin sheets leading to paralysis of RAGE mutant mice are comparable in all three groups.

**Figure 4**
RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> and Tie2RAGE mice develop a normal immune response in EAE. Age- and sex-matched mice were sensitized against oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG35–55) and were monitored for the onset of clinical signs on a daily basis (see Methods). (A) EAE response in WT and RAGE<sup>−/−</sup> mice. WT indicates C57BL/6 × 129/Sv mice. The mean clinical score represents a summary of two independent experiments each for WT and for RAGE<sup>−/−</sup>. WT, filled squares; RAGE<sup>−/−</sup>, open circles. (B) EAE response in WT and Tie2RAGE mice. WT mice used were transgene-negative littermates. The mean clinical score represents a summary of two independent experiments each for WT and for Tie2RAGE. WT, filled squares; Tie2RAGE, open triangles. The standard error of the mean is given (± SEM), and P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
to those of WT mice. In contrast, the mean clinical EAE scores of WT and Tie2RAGE mice were statistically different ($P = 0.02$; Figure 4B), indicating that amplification of EAE is promoted in mice overexpressing RAGE. Consistent with our results, in the myelin basic protein (MBP) model of EAE (a model most closely mimicking an acute initial episode of EAE), RAGE blockade via several approaches (see Discussion) reduced the intensity of the inflammatory cell infiltrate and symptomatology, although not the generation of a humoral or cell-mediated response to MBP (48).

Likewise, in the MOG model of EAE, RAGE−/− deficient mice did not show any abnormalities in various other adaptive immune responses in vitro and in vivo, including generation of alloreactive cytotoxic T cells in vitro, skin allograft rejection, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), and antibody production against Pasteurella pneumotropica Jawetz NTC8141 (data not shown).

Reduction in DTH responses by sRAGE in RAGE−/− mice. Using a DTH model, we previously demonstrated a significant reduction of the inflammatory response in mice after application of sRAGE (37). One interpretation of these results would assign RAGE a central role as a mediator of inflammation in DTH. To test this hypothesis, we induced DTH in RAGE−/− mice. However, RAGE−/− mice developed the same inflammatory response as WT controls. The mean inflammation score, as assessed in two independent experiments, is shown in Figure 5A. Hematoxylin and eosin–stained footpad sections demonstrated inflammation and infiltration of immune–competent cells (Figure 5B). In addition, similar results were obtained with an ear-swelling DTH model (data not shown).

Analysis of footpad homogenates for cytokine expression in inflammation by ELISA did not display differences in expression patterns of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IFN-γ in WT and RAGE−/− mice (data not shown). As the RAGE gene has been deleted in RAGE−/− mice, these data suggest that at least in this model, the effect of sRAGE is not explained by blocking ligand engagement of the membrane-bound form of RAGE (i.e., full-length RAGE).

This result was surprising, given previous studies showing that application of sRAGE reduced DTH responses in WT mice. We therefore tested whether treatment of RAGE−/− mice with sRAGE would alter the inflammatory response in DTH. Indeed, application of sRAGE significantly blocked the inflammatory response in DTH in both WT and RAGE−/− mice (Figure 5A; *$P < 0.001$). Reduced inflammation in the presence of sRAGE was confirmed histologically (Figure 5B). The efficacy of sRAGE treatment in RAGE−/− mice further provides support of the concept that the beneficial effects of sRAGE observed in DTH were not caused by prevention of ligand engagement of cell surface RAGE.

RAGE−/− mice are protected from lethal septic shock. After testing several models for adaptive immune responses without detecting an obvious defect in RAGE−/− mice, we studied the effect of RAGE on the innate immune response. For this purpose, a model of polymicrobial septic peritonitis, previously shown to be dependent on the innate immune response (49), was applied to RAGE−/− mice. Multibacterial peritonitis was induced by cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) in WT and RAGE−/− mice, and the survival from the septic challenge was monitored for up to 7 days (Figure 6A). The efficacy of CLP was confirmed 24 hours after CLP in selected mice by monitoring of the partial pressure of arterial oxygen ($PaO_2$) and the decrease in blood pressure and antithrombin III (AT III) serum levels as systemic markers of septicemia (Table 1). Up to 80% of the RAGE−/− mice survived the septic shock, whereas WT mice were more susceptible to septic shock, as demonstrated by survival of 20% of the control group ($P = 0.001$; Figure 6A). Consistent with that finding, RAGE−/− mice demonstrated a weaker decrease in blood pressure and AT III (Table 1). These differences were not due to the mixed background of RAGE−/− and WT mice, as CLP experiments were performed with a large number of mice and with RAGE−/− mice and WT littermates after five backcrosses to C57BL/6 mice (F5) with similar results (data not shown). Thus, the absence of RAGE apparently seems to protect mice from lethal multibacterial peritonitis.
Next, C57BL/6 mice were subjected to CLP and one experimental group received repeated sRAGE treatments to evaluate the effect of sRAGE in multibacterial peritonitis and septic shock (Figure 6B). Administration of sRAGE slightly improved survival of the treated mouse group (40% survival) compared with the group receiving solvent only (17% survival) (Figure 6B); however, in contrast to the RAGE deletion, this effect was not statistically significant (P = 0.42).

When CLP experiments were performed with WT and Tie2RAGE mice to investigate the effect of high initial RAGE expression in hematopoietic cells and the endothelium on the lethality of sepsis (Figure 6C), no difference in survival was seen after CLP in both mouse groups (50% survival, WT and Tie2RAGE). Thus, initial excessive RAGE expression in hematopoietic and endothelial cells does not enhance the lethality of the CLP model.

To further define the role of RAGE in CLP-dependent lethal septic shock, we crossed RAGE+/− mice with Tie2RAGE transgenic mice. WT, RAGE+/−, and Tie2RAGE × RAGE−/− were subjected to CLP and monitored for survival (Figure 7). Interestingly, RAGE+/− × Tie2RAGE were as susceptible as WT mice to lethality after CLP (40% survival), whereas more than 80% of RAGE−/− littermates survived in the same experiment (Figure 7). These data indicate a pivotal role for RAGE expressed in hematopoietic and endothelial cells in mediating CLP-dependent death.

Notably, neither the number of inflammatory cells (Table 2) nor the cytokine levels (data not shown) differed significantly in WT and RAGE−/− mice that underwent CLP. In order to further address mechanisms by which loss of RAGE reduces lethality in septicemia, we collected peritoneum, one of the target tissues of CLP, and studied the inflammatory response therein. Histological examination showed reduced numbers of inflammatory cells adherent to the peritoneum of RAGE+/− mice after CLP compared with that of WT mice (Figure 8A; P = 0.001). While WT mice displayed an increase in cells adhering to the peritoneum after CLP (Figure 8A; WT “sham”) compared with that of RAGE−/− mice (Figure 8A; P = 0.059).

When EMSA for NF-κB-binding activity was performed with a protein extract derived from the peritoneum, only WT mice demonstrated a strong increase in NF-κB-binding activity in response to CLP (Figure 8B). In contrast, there was no significant upregulation of NF-κB-binding activity in the peritoneum of RAGE+/− mice that underwent CLP. Similar results were obtained in lungs from mice that underwent CLP (Figure 8C). NF-κB activation was induced much more strongly in lungs of WT mice than in lungs of RAGE+/− mice (Figure 8C), indicating that the lack of excessive NF-κB activation in RAGE−/− mice might contribute to their reduced mortality.

### Discussion

RAGE has been identified as a central signal transduction receptor mediating long-lasting NF-κB activation in various cell types,
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**Table 1**  
| PaO₂, median arterial blood pressure, and AT III plasma levels in WT and RAGE−/− (R) mice before and 24 hours after CLP |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | WT | R | P (WT vs. R) | P (WT vs. R) |
| | 0 h | 24 h | 0 h | 24 h | at 0 h | at 24 h |
| PaO₂ | 541.8 | 70.3 | 537.1 | 73.3 ± 28.4 | n.d. | n.d. |
| MABP | 114 ± 26.2 | 77 ± 19.5 | 111 ± 7.99 | 92 ± 20.3 | 0.815 | 0.085 |
| AT III (%) | 89.5 ± 3.5 | 64.1 ± 3.2 | 98 ± 5.7 | 76.3 ± 9.4 | 0.213 | 0.011

*AP < 0.05. 0 h, before CLP; 24 h, 24 hours after CLP; MABP, median arterial blood pressure; n.d., not determined.
including mononuclear phagocytes and vascular endothelium (25). Its physiological role in inflammation was emphasized mainly by two key findings: (a) an increased expression of RAGE in inflammatory lesions of various diseases (20–24, 28) and (b) the capacity of the soluble receptor and anti-RAGE F(ab)2 fragments to block ongoing enteroctotis as well as the onset of DTH responses (37). Here we report the novel and surprising finding that RAGE−/− mice can mount normal adaptive immune responses. After mice were infected with P. pneumotropha, antibody subtypes and titers were comparable in the blood of RAGE−/− and of WT mice (our unpublished observations). In addition, transfer of allogeneic T cells into irradiated RAGE−/− mice caused normal GvHD (our unpublished observations). Finally, induction of EAE and DTH led to comparable onset and progression of the disease. These results indicate that sRAGE has effects other than simply blocking cell surface RAGE function. This view is further strengthened by our finding that application of sRAGE reduced DTH responses to the same extent in both RAGE−/− and WT mice.

This result was surprising, as RAGE ligands such as S100 proteins are generated in DTH. Moreover, activation of NF-κB was comparable in WT and RAGE−/− mice after DTH and was reduced to the same extent in both mouse strains after treatment with sRAGE (our unpublished observations). One explanation is that indeed NF-κB activation and inflammation in DTH occur independently of transmembrane RAGE. The inflammatory mediators scavenged by sRAGE additionally interact with cellular structures other than RAGE, as sRAGE blocks inflammation in RAGE−/− mice. It is also possible that compensatory mechanisms have evolved in RAGE−/− mice, leading to enhanced expression and/or function of other putative cell surface interaction sites for RAGE ligands. RAGE−/− mice, therefore, may provide a suitable model for outlining novel pathways linked to the inflammatory response.

However, blocking F(ab)2 fragments derived from anti-RAGE IgG reduces the inflammatory response in MOG or MBP-induced EAE (48). Furthermore, expression of dominant negative RAGE (a mutant form of RAGE lacking the cytosolic domain and capacity of signal transduction) selectively in CD4+ T cells also reduces the inflammatory reaction in EAE (48). Together with the observation that the outcome of EAE is worsened in Tie2RAGE mice with overexpression of RAGE in the vasculature, these data indicate that RAGE is involved in the perpetuation but not the initiation of EAE and thus might explain the differences among the different models. Differences between previous results and those shown here may be explained further by the different experimental conditions and/or by the induction of compensatory pathways in RAGE-deficient mice. However, the reduction of DTH by sRAGE in RAGE−/− mice “argues against” this explanation, unless deletion of RAGE caused upregulation of other cellular binding sites for RAGE ligands that emerge with a more prominent role in the complete absence of RAGE. The efficacy of sRAGE in the DTH response in RAGE−/− mice indicates that sRAGE is a tool for understanding ligands capable of binding to RAGE but also potentially to other binding sites. The possible relevance of other effector molecules’ mediating sRAGE binding opens the question of whether these putative binding sites share structurally significant homologies with the ligand-binding domain of RAGE. RAGE is capable of recognizing various ligands characterized by β-sheets and fibril formation (50). Taken together with the data presented in the CLP model of septic shock, this puts RAGE in the group of PRRs important for innate immune responses (1–6, 44). Even though there is no doubt that blocking anti-RAGE F(ab)2 fragments target this receptor, it cannot presently be excluded that they also recognize a tertiary structure similar to the PRR ligand-binding domain of RAGE. Studies looking at other molecules distinct from RAGE with a similar tertiary structure of their ligand-binding domain are currently underway.

Whereas initiation and perpetuation of inflammation was normal in RAGE−/− mice in models addressing predominantly the adaptive immune responses, a different result was obtained in CLP-mediated sepsis, a model largely dependent on the innate immune response. In contrast to WT mice, most RAGE−/− mice survived the septic shock. The contribution of RAGE to the outcome of survival was demonstrated by crossing of transgenic Tie2RAGE mice on the RAGE−/− genotype. The resulting animals were susceptible to septic shock in the same way as WT mice. Ongoing studies indicate that hematopoietic and endothelial cells might be the most important sites of RAGE expression in CLP, as transplanted of RAGE-expressing cells into RAGE−/− mice reduced survival (our unpublished observations). In this context, however, it is noteworthy that overexpression of RAGE did not enhance lethality in CLP (or modulated adaptive immune responses), indicating...
that endogenous RAGE is sufficient to generate the full clinical course of septicemia. Likewise, treatment of Tie2RAGE mice with CML did not result in the expected "superinduction" of NF-κB but in a slightly weaker increase in NF-κB–binding activity than that in WT mice (Figure 3). These data and the observation that healthy RAGE–/– mice have a slightly proinflammatory phenotype, as indicated by increased C-reactive protein (our unpublished observations), a slight increase in basal NF-κB–binding activity (Figure 8, B and C), and elevated cytokine levels suggest that RAGE might also be involved in the regulation of anti-inflammatory processes (our unpublished observations). The ability of tie2-driven RAGE overexpression to activate NF-κB in RAGE–/– mice upon engagement of RAGE excludes the possibility that Tie2RAGE is simply serving as a "decoy receptor" or compensates for shedding of the receptor upon activation.

The data presented here implicate that RAGE-dependent activation of NF-κB plays a central role in modulating mortality after CLP. While WT, Tie2RAGE, and Tie2RAGE × RAGE–/– mice demonstrated strong NF-κB activation associated with high CLP-mediated lethality, RAGE–/– mice were characterized by a reduced activation of NF-κB in septic shock target organs (lung, peritoneum, and blood) and increased survival. Remarkably, recently described CD40–/– mice also demonstrate attenuated activation of NF-κB, delayed death, and improved survival after CLP (51), further suggesting a causal relation between altered NF-κB regulation and mortality after polymicrobial sepsis.

The RAGE ligand HMGB1 has recently been identified as a late mediator of lethality in sepsis (45). Blocking HMGB by ethyl pyruvate, known to inhibit NF-κB activation in macrophages in vitro (52), prevented lethality in mice with established lethal sepsis and systemic activation (52). Thus, the lack of HMGB1-RAGE interactions in RAGE–/– mice is likely to contribute to their improved survival after CLP. The observation that the competition for binding of ligand to RAGE by sRAGE was much less effective in reducing lethality than was RAGE deletion (Figure 6), however, indicates that additional mechanisms might be operative.

RAGE has recently been identified as counter-receptor of the β2-integrin Mac-1 able to mediate leukocyte adhesion (53). One mechanism by which excessive NF-κB activation might affect the outcome of disease is by amplifying expression of both RAGE and other adhesive receptors such as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, thus promoting leukocyte recruitment. Consistent with this finding, the peritoneum of RAGE–/– mice undergoing CLP demonstrated significantly less adhesion of inflammatory cells (Figure 8A), while the total number of inflammatory cells was the same in total blood counts of WT and RAGE–/– mice (Table 2). CLP-challenged RAGE–/– mice presented with higher AT III levels. The difference observed was highly significant 24 hours after CLP (WT vs. RAGE–/–, P = 0.011; Table 1). AT III is a marker of excessive activation of coagulation in septicemia. In addition, one might speculate that the moderate inflammation observed in RAGE–/– mice (our unpublished observations) activates cellular defense mechanisms that resist the polymicrobial challenge. Consistent with this hypothesis, CLP mice treated with anti-TNF-α have been reported to show a tendency toward a worsened outcome (54).

The studies in RAGE–/– mice presented here suggest that RAGE participates in inflammation in the CLP model of innate immunity. The situation in the adaptive immune response may be more complex, however, as the presence of potent compensatory mechanisms modulating cellular effector sites for RAGE ligands in that setting has not been ruled out. The data presented are not only useful for dissecting at which levels adaptive and innate immune responses may diverge, they also offer novel options for the development of RAGE inhibitors for treating septicemia. The central role of RAGE in CLP and the demonstrated lack of an effect in adaptive immune responses using RAGE–/– mice, at least under
these experimental conditions, present novel insights into distinct pathways involved in both types of immune responses.

**Methods**

**Generation of RAGE−/− mice and Tie2RAGE mice**

**Generation of RAGE−/− mice.** The RAGE targeting construct and the generation of RAGE−/− mice have been described in detail elsewhere (55, 56). Animals used in the described experiments were from F2 or F5 backcrosses onto the C57BL/6 genetic background.

**Generation of Tie2RAGE transgenic mice.** The 2.1-kb promoter and 10.5-kb first intron sequences from the murine Tie2 gene were cut from the vector pT2HlaczZpA11.7 with HindIII and Xnal and NorI (kindly provided by T.N. Sat0, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) and were cloned into pBSK (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany). The resulting plasmids were called pTie2-2.5 and pTie2-10.5, respectively (46). A 500-kb MboII-digested partial genomic RAGE fragment was cloned into the HindIII-digested pTie2-2.5 plasmid. The 3.5-kb AvoII-digested part of the RAGE DNA was cloned into the resultant plasmid to complete the genomic RAGE sequence. The Tie2 enhancer was released from pTie2-10.5 by Xmal/Clal and NorI digestion and was introduced into the RAGE-containing construct. Tie2RAGE mice were generated by pronuclear injection of the transgenic construct into fertilized eggs of C57BL/6 × DBA/2 F1 mice. Founder mice were tested by Southern blot analysis according to standard protocols. Heterozygous Tie2RAGE mice were used in all experiments.

**RAGE−/− mice** were mated to Tie2RAGE mice to reconstitute RAGE expression in hematopoietic and endothelial cells. The resulting Tie2RAGE × RAGE−/− mice were genotyped by Southern blot analysis. Mice were maintained in SPF housing conditions. Procedures in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe, Germany.

**Analysis of RAGE expression in mutant mice**

RT-PCR was used to evaluate RAGE expression at the mRNA level in RAGE−/− and Tie2RAGE mice. RNA from diverse tissues was prepared using an RNA isolation kit (RNAeasy, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and was transcribed into cDNA. Primers used were as follows: extracellular RAGE domain forward primer, 5′-AACACAGGAAGACTGAAGCTTGG-3′, and reverse primer, 5′-CTTGGCCATCGGAAATGAGT-3′; and hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT) forward primer, 5′-GTGGATCAAAGCCAGACTTTGTT-3′, and reverse primer, 5′-GAGGGTAGGCTGGCTATAGGCT-3′. The amplification cycles were as follows: for RAGE, one cycle of 95°C for 30 seconds, then 28 cycles of 95°C for 60 seconds, 62°C 30 seconds, and 72°C 60 seconds, followed by one cycle of 72°C for 600 seconds; for HPRT, one cycle of 94°C for 300 seconds, and 37 cycles of 94°C 30 seconds, 60°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 40 seconds. For evaluation of RAGE expression at the protein level, Western blot analysis and immunohistology was used. For Western blot analysis, proteins from “snap-frozen” mouse lungs were homogenized in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1.5% NP-40, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM PMSF, and 0.5 mM DTT, and were extracted. SDS gels electrophoresis, blotting, and signal detection was performed according to standard protocols (57) using the SuperSignal substrate (Pierce, Rockford, Illinois, USA). Polyclonal anti–human RAGE extracellular domain (1:3,000 dilution) was used as the primary antibody. This antibody was obtained by immunization of a rabbit with peptide derived from the extracellular RAGE domain (peptide sequence, CKGAPKKPQRLLEWKL). Rabbit serum was purified using protein G and was tested for specificity in Western blots in comparison with other antibodies against human RAGE. Similar signals were detected for different antibodies against RAGE. For signal detection, horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti-rabbit (Amersham Bioscience, Freiburg, Germany) was utilized.

**For immunohistology, mouse organs** were fixed in 4% neutral buffered formaldehyde and paraffin-embedded, and 5-μm sections were cut. RAGE proteins were detected using goat anti–human RAGE at a dilution of 1:3,000 (kindly provided by Merck, Sharpe & Dohme, Essex, United Kingdom), and were visualized with a commercial ABC-peroxidase technique (Vectorstain Elite Kit PK-6105; ALEXIS Deutschland GmbH, Grünberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Model of EAE**

Two- to four-month-old mice were sensitized by subcutaneous injection of 200 μg MOG peptides 35–55; German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany [ref. 58]) in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich, Deisenhofen, Germany) containing 2 mg/ml Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Difco BD Bioscience, Heidelberg, Germany) and subsequent intraperitoneal injection of 200 ng pertussis toxin (Merck Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) at the day of sensitization and 48 hours later. Mice were monitored by experimenters “blinded” to the identity of different mouse groups for clinical signs 5–7 days per week for up to 60 days. The clinical grading system used was as follows: grade 0, normal mouse, no overt signs of disease; grade 1, limp tail or hind limb weakness but not both; grade 2, limp tail and hind limb weakness; grade 3, partial hind limb paralysis; grade 4, complete hind limb paralysis; and grade 5, death by EAE; sacrifice according to the animal protection law.

**Model of DTH reaction**

Two- to four-month-old mice were sensitized by subcutaneous injection over the left inguinal lymph node of 100 μl of an emulsion containing 25 mg/ml methylated BSA (mBSA; Sigma-Aldrich), 0.9% NaCl, 50 mg/ml dextran (5 × 106 to 40 × 106 dalton molecular weight; Sigma-Aldrich), and 50% incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich). After 3 weeks, the left plantar hind paw was injected subcutaneously with 50 μl of 0.4 mg/ml mBSA in 0.9% NaCl. The control group received an injection with 50 μl of 0.4 mg/ml ovalbumin (control peptide). Where indicated, mice were pretreated by intraperitoneal injection of 100 μg sRAGE dissolved in LPS-free 0.9% NaCl at 24 and 12 hours prior to and 6 and 12 hours after local challenge with mBSA (37). Control groups received solvent at the same time points. Twenty hours after food pad injection with mBSA, mice were assigned clinical scores by two investigators “blinded” to sample identity. The grading system for clinical assessment of DTH was as follows: 1, absence of inflammation; 2, slight rubor and edema; 3, moderate rubor and edema with skin wrinkles; 4, severe rubor and edema without skin wrinkles; and 5, severe rubor and edema with toe spreading. Afterward, mice were sacrificed and their feet were fixed in 4% formalin and decalcified, and sections 5 μm in thickness were cut and were stained with hematoxylin and eosin according to standard protocols.

**CLP**

CLP was performed as described previously (49, 59, 60). In brief, mice 2–6 months old were anesthetized by intraperitoneal admin-
istration of 75 mg/kg Ketanest (Parke-Davis, Berlin, Germany) and 16 mg/kg Rompun (Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) in 0.2 ml sterile pyrogen-free saline (Braun AG, Melsung, Germany). The cecum was exposed through a 1.0- to 1.5-cm abdominal midline incision and was subjected to a 50–80% ligation of the distal half followed by a single puncture with a 23-gauge needle. A small amount of stool was expelled from the puncture to ensure patency. The cecum was replaced into the peritoneal cavity and the abdominal incision was closed by layers with 5/0 Prolene thread (Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany). No antibiotics were administered in this model. For sham-operated mice, which served as control in each experiment, the cecum was mobilized but no ligation and puncture was performed. For experiments investigating the therapeutic effect of sRAGE after CLP, the C57BL/6 mice used were purchased from Wiga (Hannover, Germany). Where indicated, sRAGE was administered by intraperitoneal injection immediately after CLP (150 μg/mouse) and 6, 12, 24, and 36 hours thereafter (70 μg/mouse). Control groups received the same volume (50–180 μl) of LPS-free 0.9% NaCl. CLP was performed by researchers “blinded” to the identity of the treatment group. Survival after CLP was assessed four to six times a day for at least 7 days. Blood pressure was measured with femoral manometric catheters in anesthetized mice 24 hours after CLP.

For harvesting of tissues and organs for histopathology and NF-kB determination, mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 30 μl avertin per gram body weight (stock: 1 g tribromethanol and 620 μl 2-methyl-1-butanol; 180 μl of stock per 10 ml 0.9% NaCl) 24 hours after CLP. Tissues and organs were fixed in 4% formalin and sections 5 μm thick were cut and were stained with hematoxylin and eosin according to standard protocols. Kidney, lung, liver, heart, spleen, and peritoneum were subjected to histopathology. Infiltrated inflammatory cells in the peritoneum were counted using a microscope. Peritoneum, lungs, and total blood to be used for EMSA were harvested 24 hours after CLP and were immediately “snap-frozen” in liquid nitrogen.

EMSA
Nuclear proteins from “snap-frozen” mouse tissues were isolated as described in detail elsewhere (25). When NF-kB–binding activity was studied in total blood, blood collected from the retropubal region was dropped directly into the same volume of cold buffer A (10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, at 4°C, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, and 0.6% NP-40) and was mixed thoroughly. Blood was homogenized by being passed five times through a 20-gauge needle fitted to a syringe and by being centrifuged for 30 seconds at 700 g at 4°C to remove tissue debris. The supernatant was incubated on ice for 10 minutes and was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 11,000 g at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the nuclear pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of buffer B (25% glycerol, 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, at 4°C, 420 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, 2 mM benzamidine, and 5 mg/ml leupeptin) and was incubated on ice for 20 minutes. Cellular debris were removed by 2 minutes of centrifugation at 4°C and the supernatant was “quick-frozen” at −80°C. Nuclear extracts were assayed for transcription factor–binding activity using the NF-kB consensus sequence 5′-AGTTGAGGGACTTTCCAGGC-3′. Specificity of binding was ascertained by competition with a 160-fold molar excess of unlabeled consensus oligonucleotides and, where indicated, by comparison with binding of recombinant NF-kB pre-pared in erythrocyte lysate as described elsewhere (61). Protein-DNA complexes were separated from unbound DNA probe by electrophoresis through 5% native polyacrylamide gels containing 2.5% glycerol and 0.5x TBE. Gels were dried and exposed to x-ray film (Amersham Pharmacia, Freiburg, Germany) for 48–60 hours at −80°C with intensifying screens.

ELISA
Tissue homogenates, plasma, and peritoneal lavage fluid were subjected to ELISA for determination of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α content. ELISA kits were purchased from R&D System GmbH (Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany).

Statistical analysis
Where indicated, values of experimental groups are given as mean, with bars showing the standard error of the mean (SEM). The means of groups were compared by analysis of variance using Student’s t test. A probability P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Statistical analysis of time-dependent scores was performed by using generalized estimating equations. Covariates tested were group and experiment. The time dependency of repeated scores of individuals was modeled using a first-order autoregressive time series model. A local regression model was used to estimate the time-dependent mean score value. In addition, 95% confidence limits of the mean score were computed.

Figures 5, A–C, and 6 show the Kaplan-Meier estimates of group-wise survival distributions. The log-rank test was used to compare two or more survival distributions. A result was denoted as statistically significant if the P value of its corresponding test statistic was 5% or less. All statistical computations were performed using the statistical software package R, version 1.8 (62).
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