Since its identification nearly 30 years ago, Lyme disease has continued to spread, and there have been increasing numbers of cases in the northeastern and north central US. The Lyme disease agent, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, causes infection by migration through tissues, adhesion to host cells, and evasion of immune clearance. Both innate and adaptive immune responses, especially macrophage- and antibody-mediated killing, are required for optimal control of the infection and spirochetal eradication. Ecological conditions favorable to the disease, and the challenge of prevention, predict that Lyme disease will be a continuing public health concern.
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In the late 20th century, Lyme disease, or Lyme borreliosis, was recognized as an important emerging infection (1). It is now the most commonly reported arthropod-borne illness in the US and Europe and is also found in Asia (2). Since surveillance for Lyme disease was begun in the US by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the number of reported cases has increased steadily, and in the year 2000, more than 18,000 cases were reported (3).

Lyme disease was recognized as a separate entity in 1976 because of geographic clustering of children in the Lyme, Connecticut, area who were thought to have juvenile rheumatoid arthritis (4, S1). It then became apparent that Lyme arthritis was a late manifestation of an apparently tick-transmitted, multisystem disease, of which some manifestations had been recognized previously in Europe and America (S2–S6). In 1981, Burgdorfer and colleagues discovered a previously unidentified spirochetal bacterium, called *Borrelia burgdorferi*, in a nymphal *Ixodes scapularis* (also called *Ixodes dammini*) tick (S7). This spirochete was then cultured from patients with early Lyme disease, and patients’ immune responses were linked conclusively with that organism, proving the spirochetal etiology of the infection (S8, S9).

Based on genotyping of isolates from ticks, animals, and humans, the formerly designated *B. burgdorferi* has now been subdivided into multiple *Borrelia* species, including three that cause human infection. In the US, the sole cause is *B. burgdorferi* (S10). Although all three species are found in Europe, most of the disease there is due to *Borrelia afzelii* or *Borrelia garinii*, and only these two species seem to be responsible for the illness in Asia (S11, S12). During the 20th century, conditions evolved in the northeastern US that were especially favorable for enzootic *B. burgdorferi* infection (5). In this setting, Lyme disease continues to flourish and spread.

**Biology of *B. burgdorferi***

The agents of Lyme borreliosis belong to the eubacterial phylum of spirochetes, which are vigorously motile, corkscrew-shaped bacteria (Figure 1). The spirochetal cell wall consists of a cytoplasmic membrane surrounded by peptidoglycan and flagella and then by a loosely associated outer membrane. The *B. burgdorferi* (strain B31) genome has been completely sequenced. It has a small linear chromosome that is just under one megabase (6), and nine circular and 12 linear plasmids that constitute 40% of its DNA (7). Some of these plasmids are indispensable and could be thought of as minichromosomes. Although it has been difficult to manipulate the *B. burgdorferi* genome, progress has recently been made using modified selectable markers and shuttle vectors (8, S13–S18).

The most remarkable aspect of the *B. burgdorferi* genome is the large number of sequences encoding predicted or known lipoproteins, including outer-surface proteins (Osp’s) A through F (6). Lipoproteins are found in the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane, and in both the inner and the outer leaflets of the outer membrane. Some of these proteins are differentially expressed, and one surface-exposed lipoprotein, called VlsE, undergoes extensive antigenic variation (9).

In contrast, the genome encodes very few proteins with recognizable biosynthetic activity, and therefore, the organism depends on the host for most of its nutritional requirements. A very unusual feature of *B. burgdorferi* is that it does not require iron, at least for growth in vitro (10). This may allow the spirochete to circumvent the usual host defense of limiting the availability of iron. Finally, the *B. burgdorferi* genome encodes no recognizable toxins. Instead, this extracellular pathogen causes infection by migration through tissues, adhesion to host cells, and evasion of immune clearance.

**Enzootic cycles of *B. burgdorferi* infection***

The genus *Borrelia* currently includes three pathogenic species that cause Lyme borreliosis (S10–S12) and eight closely related species that rarely if ever cause human infection (S19–S25). These spirochetes live in nature in enzootic cycles involving ticks of the *Ixodes ricinus* complex (also called the *Ixodes persulcatus* complex) and a wide range of animal hosts (Table 1) (11). These enzootic cycles have evolved somewhat differently in different locations (S26). The important vectors of the three pathogenic species of human Lyme borreliosis are the deer tick, *I. scapularis*, in the northeastern and north central US; *Ixodes pacificus* in the western US; the sheep tick, *I. ricinus*, in Europe; and the taiga tick, *I. persulcatus*, in Asia.

In the northeastern US from Maine to Maryland and in the north central states of Wisconsin and Minnesota, a highly efficient, horizontal cycle of *B. burgdorferi* transmission occurs among larval and nymphal *I. scapularis* ticks and certain rodents, particularly white-footed mice and chipmunks (12, S27). This cycle results in high rates of infection among rodents and nymphal ticks and many new cases of human Lyme disease during the late spring and early summer months (Figure 2). White-tailed deer, which are not involved in the life cycle of the spiro-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal tick vector</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes scapularis</em></td>
<td>Northeastern and north central US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes pacificus</em></td>
<td>Western US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes ricinus</em></td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes persulcatus</em></td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes ricinus</em></td>
<td>Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ixodes persulcatus</em></td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eight minimally pathogenic or nonpathogenic species

| *Borrelia andersonii*   | *Ixodes dentatus* | Eastern US |
| *Borrelia bissettii*    | *Ixodes spinipalpis* | Western US |
| *Borrelia valaisiana*   | *Ixodes ricinus*    | Europe and Asia |
| *Borrelia lusitaniae*   | *Ixodes ricinus*    | Europe     |
| *Borrelia japonica*     | *Ixodes ovtatus*     | Japan       |
| *Borrelia tanuki*       | *Ixodes tanuki*      | Japan       |
| *Borrelia turdae*       | *Ixodes turdae*      | Japan       |
| *Borrelia sinica*       | *Ixodes persulcatus*  | China       |

Emergence of human Lyme borreliosis

The earliest known American cases of Lyme disease occurred in Cape Cod in the 1960s (S30). However, *B. burgdorferi* DNA has been identified by PCR in museum specimens of ticks and mice from Long Island dating from the late 19th and early 20th centuries (S31), and the infection has probably been present in North America for millennia (2). During the European colonization of North America, woodland in New England was cleared for farming, and deer were hunted almost to extinction (5). However, during the 20th century, conditions improved in the northeastern US for the ecology of Lyme disease. As farmland reverted to woodland, deer proliferated, white-footed mice were plentiful, and the deer tick thrived. Soil moisture and land cover, as found near rivers and along the coast, were favorable for tick survival (18). Finally, these areas became heavily populated with both humans and deer, as more rural wooded areas became wooded suburbs in which deer were without predators and hunting was prohibited.

During the past 40 years, the infection has continued to spread in the northeastern US (19); it has caused focal outbreaks in some coastal areas (S30, S32, S33), and it now affects suburban locations near Boston, New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore, the most heavily populated parts of the country (S34). In the year 2000, the overall incidence of reported cases in Connecticut, the state with the highest reported frequency of Lyme disease, was 111 per 100,000 residents (3). However, most of the cases still clustered in foci, particularly in two counties in the southeastern part of the state where the original epidemiologic investigation took place in the town of Lyme (S1). In a large, two-year vaccine trial, such high-risk areas had a yearly incidence of the disease of greater than 1 per 100 participants, and the frequency of seropositivity to *B. burgdorferi* at study entry was as high as 5 per 100 participants (20).

As in America, the European agents of Lyme borreliosis have probably been present there for many thousands of years. They are now known to be widely established in Europe’s remaining forested areas (15). The highest reported frequencies of the disease are in middle Europe, particularly in Germany, Austria, Slovenia, and Sweden (2). In 1995, the yearly incidence of the disease in Slovenia and Austria was estimated to be 120–130 cases per 100,000 residents (2), similar to the frequency in Connecticut.

Clinical manifestations and disease pathogenesis

To maintain its complex enzootic cycle, *B. burgdorferi* must adapt to markedly different environments, the tick and the mammalian or avian host. The spirochete survives in a dormant state in the nymphal tick midgut during the fall, winter, and early spring, where it expresses primarily OspA (21). When the tick feeds in the late spring or early summer, the expression of a number of spirochetal proteins is altered (S35). For example, OspA is downregulated, and OspC is upregulated (21). OspC expression is required for infection of the mammalian host, are the preferred host of adult *I. scapularis*, and they seem to be critical for the survival of the ticks (S28).

The vector ecology of *B. burgdorferi* is quite different on the West Coast in northern California, where the frequency of Lyme disease is low. There, two intersecting cycles are necessary for disease transmission, one involving the dusky-footed wood rat and *Ixodes spinipalpis* (also called *Ixodes neotoma*) ticks, which do not bite humans and which maintain the cycle in nature, and the other involving wood rats and *I. pacificus* ticks, which are less often infected but do bite humans (S29). Similarly, in Colorado, wood rats and *I. spinipalpis* ticks may be infected with *Borrelia bissettii*, one of the non-pathogenic species, in a cycle that is not known to cause human infection (13). In the southeastern US, nymphal *I. scapularis* feed primarily on lizards, which are resistant to *B. burgdorferi* infection because of complement-mediated killing of the spirochete (14). Therefore, Lyme disease is rare in that part of the country.

In Europe, there is still debate about the preferred animal hosts of *I. ricinus*. These ticks feed on more than 300 animal species, including large and small mammals, birds, and reptiles (15). In Asia, immature *I. persulcatus* commonly feed on voles, shrews, and birds, and adult ticks feed on virtually all larger animals, including hares, deer, and cattle (16). Because the *Borrelia* species differ in their resistance to complement-mediated killing, small rodents are important reservoirs for *B. afzelii*, *B. bissettii*, and *Borrelia japonica*, whereas birds are strongly associated with *B. garinii*, *Borrelia valaisiana*, and *Borrelia turdae* (17).

**Figure 1**
A scanning electron micrograph of *B. burgdorferi* spirochetes in the midgut of a nymphal *I. scapularis* tick. The picture is a kind gift of Willy Burgdorfer.
host (22, 23). In addition, the spirochete binds mammalian plasminogen and its activators, present in the blood meal, which facilitates spreading of the organism within the tick (24). Within the salivary gland, OspC expression predominates, but some organisms express only OspE and OspF; OspA and OspB are absent (25).

After transmission of the spirochete, human Lyme disease generally occurs in stages, with remissions and exacerbations and different clinical manifestations at each stage (4). Early infection consists of stage 1, localized infection of the skin, followed within days or weeks by stage 2, disseminated infection, and months to years later by stage 3, persistent infection. However, the infection is variable; some patients have only localized infection of the skin, while others have only later manifestations of the illness, such as arthritis. Moreover, there are regional variations, primarily between the illness found in America and that found in Europe and Asia (1).

Localized infection

After an incubation period of 3–32 days, a slowly expanding skin lesion, called erythema migrans (EM), forms at the site of the tick bite in 70–80% of cases (26, 27). In the US, the skin lesion is frequently accompanied by flu-like symptoms, such as malaise and fatigue, headache, arthralgias, myalgias, and fever, and by signs that suggest dissemination of the spirochete (28). In about 18% of cases (27), these symptoms are the presenting manifestation of the illness (29). In contrast, EM in Europe is more often an indolent, localized infection, and spirochetal dissemination is less common (30).

In addition to the Lyme disease agent, *I. scapularis* ticks in the US and *I. ricinus* ticks in Europe may transmit *Babesia microti* (a red-blood-cell parasite) or *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* (formerly referred to as “the agent of human granulocytic ehrlichiosis”) (31–33). In a recent prospective study in the US, 4% of patients with culture-proven EM had coinfection with one of these other two tick-borne agents (34). Although these two infections are usually asymptomatic, coinfection may lead to more severe, acute flu-like illness (35).

In most patients, immune cells first encounter *B. burgdorferi* at the site of the tick bite. Depending on the *Borrelia* species and the host, complement-mediated lysis of the organism may be the first line of host defense (Figure 3) (36). On histologic examination, the resulting EM skin lesions consist of mild to marked perivascular infiltrates of lymphocytes, DCs, macrophages, and small numbers of plasma cells (37). As a part of the innate immune response, macrophages engulf and kill spirochetes (38–41).
Infiammatory cells within the lesion produce primarily proinflammatroy cytokines, including TNF-α and IFN-γ (37, 42). B. burgdorferi–stimulated PBMCs from patients with EM produce Th1 proinflammatroy cytokines, especially IFN-γ (43). Within days after disease onset, most patients have an IgM antibody response to OspC or the 41-kDa flagellar protein of the spirochete (44). Thus, both innate and adaptive cellular elements are mobilized to fight the infection.

Disseminated infection
Within days to weeks after disease onset, B. burgdorferi often disseminates widely. During this period, the spirochete has been recovered from blood and cerebrospinal fluid (S7, S36, S37), and it has been seen in small numbers in specimens of myocardium, retina, muscle, bone, spleen, liver, meninges, and brain (45). Possible clinical manifestations include secondary annular skin lesions, acute lymphocytic meningitis, cranial neuropathy, radiculoneuritis, atrioventricular nodal block, migratory musculoskeletal pain in joints, bursae, tendon, muscle, or bone, and, rarely, eye manifestations (reviewed in ref. 4). Less often, spirochetal dissemination is asymptomatic.

To disseminate, B. burgdorferi binds certain host proteins and adheres to integrins, proteoglycans, or glycoproteins on host cells or tissue matrices. As in the tick, spreading of the spirochete through tissue matrices may be facilitated by the binding of plasminogen and its activators to the surface of the organism (24). A 47-kDa spirochetal protein (BBK32) binds fibronectin, an ECM protein (46). The sequences of OspC vary considerably among strains, and only a few sequences are associated with disseminated infection (47), probably because they bind as-yet unidentified host structures. A 66-kDa outer-surface protein of the spirochete binds the fibrinogen receptor (αIIbβ3) and the vitronectin receptor (αvβ3) (48), which may allow the organism to establish an initial foothold and disseminate in the vasculature. A 26-kDa Borrelia glycosaminoglycan-binding (GAG-binding) protein, Bgp, binds to the GAG side chains of heparan sulfate on endothelial cells, and to both heparan sulfate and dermatan sulfate on neuronal cells (49, 50). Finally, spirochetal decorin-binding proteins A and B (DbpA and DbpB) bind decorin, a proteoglycan that associates with collagen (51). This may explain the alignment of spirochetes with collagen fibrils in the ECM of the heart, nervous system, or joints (45).

Despite an active immune response, B. burgdorferi may survive during dissemination by changing or minimizing antigenic expression of surface proteins and by inhibiting certain critical host immune responses. Inflammation and Th1 responses lead to inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α and IFN-γ (37, 42). B. burgdorferi–stimulated PBMCs from patients with EM produce Th1 proinflammatory cytokines, especially IFN-γ (43). Within days after disease onset, most patients have an IgM antibody response to OspC or the 41-kDa flagellar protein of the spirochete (44). Thus, both innate and adaptive cellular elements are mobilized to fight the infection.

Figure 3
Host mechanisms of spirochetal killing. Complement-mediated lysis of the organism may be the first line of host defense. Spirochetal lipoproteins and other spirochetal signals activate macrophages, leading to the production of strong proinflammatory cytokines, especially TNF-α and IL-1β. Macrophages engulf spirochetes and degrade them in intracellular compartments. Spirochetal lipoproteins, which are B cell mitogens, also stimulate adaptive T cell–independent B cell responses. Humoral immune responses to nonlipidated spirochetal proteins are more likely to be T cell dependent. The primary role of B. burgdorferi–specific CD4+ Th1 cells is to prime T cell–dependent B cell responses, and antigen-specific CD8+ T cells may be a significant source of IFN-γ. Antibody-mediated spirochetal killing occurs by complement fixation and opsonization.
responses. Two linear plasmids (lp’s) seem to be essential, including lp25, which encodes a nicotinamidase (52), and lp28-1, which encodes the VlsE lipoprotein (53), the protein that undergoes antigenic variation. In addition, the spirochete has a number of families of highly homologous, differentially expressed lipoproteins, including the OspE/F paralogs, which further contribute to antigenic diversity (54). *B. burgdorferi* may downregulate lipoproteins because of host immune pressure (54, 55). For example, in a mouse model, the development of antibody to OspC, a prominent early response, induces downregulation of OspC; and therefore, this antibody response does not completely clear the infection (56). Finally, *B. afzelii* and, to a lesser degree, *B. burgdorferi* have complement regulator–acquiring surface proteins that bind complement factor H and factor H–like protein 1 (57). These complement factors inactivate C3b, which protects the organism from complement-mediated killing (57, S38–S41). In contrast, *B. garinii* is efficiently killed by complement (S42).

As shown definitively in mouse models, both innate and adaptive immune responses are required for optimal control of disseminated infection (Figure 3). *B. burgdorferi* lipoproteins, which are B cell mitogens (S39), stimulate adaptive T cell–independent B cell responses (58, S43, S44). For example, antibody responses to OspC kill spirochetes (59). In addition, humoral immune responses to nonlipidated spirochetal proteins, which are more likely to be T cell–dependent, aid in spirochetal killing (60, 61). The primary role of *B. burgdorferi*–specific Th1 cells is to prime these T cell–dependent B cell responses (62). The combination of these responses leads to the production of antibodies against many components of the organism (63, 64), which promote spirochetal killing by complement fixation and opsonization (S43). Within several weeks to months, these antibody responses, in conjunction with innate immune mechanisms, control widely disseminated infection even without antibiotic treatment, and generalized symptoms resolve.

**Persistent infection**

After weeks of disseminated infection, the Lyme disease agents may still survive in localized niches for several years. By this time, systemic symptoms are minimal or absent altogether. Although each of the three pathogenic species may spread to the joints, nervous system, or other skin sites, they seem to vary in the frequency of dissemination to these sites and in their ability to persist there. *B. burgdorferi*, the sole cause of the infection in the US, seems to be the most arthritogenic. Months after the onset of illness, about 60% of untreated patients with this infection experience intermittent attacks of arthritis, primarily of the large joints, especially the knee (65).

As shown in a mouse model, neutrophil extravasation into the infected joint is a key initial step in the development of joint inflammation (66). In the human infection, CD4⁺ Th cells are of the proinflammatory Th1 subset (S46, S47), and *B. burgdorferi*–specific CD8⁺
T cells are found as well (S48). Within the joint, B. burgdorferi-specific γδ T cells may aid in the regulation of these inflammatory responses (S49, S50). Compared with other inbred strains of mice, C57BL/6 mice are protected from severe arthritis by IL-6 and IL-10, despite large numbers of spirochetes in the joint (67, 68). It is unknown, however, whether certain human patients control joint inflammation in this way. Patients with Lyme arthritis have very high antibody responses to many spirochetal proteins, suggestive of hyperimmunization due to recurrent waves of spirochetal growth (63, 64). Even without antibiotic treatment, the number of patients who continue to have attacks of arthritis decreases by about 10–20% each year, and few patients have had attacks for longer than 5 years (65). Thus, these immune mechanisms seem to succeed eventually in eradicating B. burgdorferi from the joint.

In Europe and Asia, B. afzelii may persist in the skin for decades, resulting in acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans, a skin condition that occurs primarily on sun-exposed surfaces of distal extremities in elderly women (S51). Compared with EM lesions, infiltrates of T cells and macrophages in acrodermatitis lesions had a restricted cytokine profile, lacking IFN-γ production (37). Consistent with this finding, ultraviolet B irradiation of B. burgdorferi–infected C3H mice decreased the Th1 response (69). Thus, spirochetal persistence in acrodermatitis skin lesions may involve both spirochetal factors and an ineffective local immune response.

B. garinii, which is also found only in Europe and Asia, appears to be the most neurotropic of the three Borrelia species. It may cause an exceptionally wide range of neurologic abnormalities (70), including borrelial encephalomyelitis (S52), a multiple sclerosis–like illness. In the US, a rare, late neurologic syndrome has been described, called Lyme encephalopathy or polyneuropathy, which is manifested primarily by subtle cognitive disturbances, spinal radicular pain, or distal paresthesias (71, S53). With each of these three late neurologic complications, the possible duration of spirochetal persistence and the pathogenetic mechanisms are unknown.

Putative postinfectious syndromes

Treatment-resistant Lyme arthritis. About 10% of patients with Lyme arthritis have persistent joint inflammation for months or even several years after standard courses of antibiotic treatment (72), a complication rarely noted in Europe (S54). Although B. burgdorferi DNA can often be detected by PCR in the joint fluid of these patients prior to antibiotic treatment (73, S55), PCR results are usually negative after antibiotic treatment (73), suggesting that joint swelling may persist after complete or nearly complete eradication of the spirochete from the joint with antibiotic therapy.

To explain this course, it has been hypothesized that these patients may have persistent infection or infection-induced autoimmunity (74). In support of the persistent-infection hypothesis, ex vivo–infected synovial cells contained B. burgdorferi in the cytosol (75), a site that might be protected from antibiotics. However, spirochetes have not been seen in intracellular locations in situ in human or mouse synovia (45). Moreover, PCR results for B. burgdorferi DNA were negative in synovial tissue in all 26 patients with treatment-resistant arthritis who underwent arthroscopic synovectomy a median of 7 months after the completion of antibiotic therapy (76). This methodology may be insufficient to identify rare spirochetes and would not detect retained spirochetal antigens.

In support of the autoimmunity hypothesis, treatment-resistant Lyme arthritis is associated with HLA-DRB1*0401, 0101, and other related alleles (77), and with cellular and humoral immune responses to OspA of B. burgdorferi in the cytosol (75), a site that might be protected from antibiotics. However, spirochetes have not been seen in intracellular locations in situ in human or mouse synovia (45). Moreover, PCR results for B. burgdorferi DNA were negative in synovial tissue in all 26 patients with treatment-resistant arthritis who underwent arthroscopic synovectomy a median of 7 months after the completion of antibiotic therapy (76). This methodology may be insufficient to identify rare spirochetes and would not detect retained spirochetal antigens.

In support of the autoimmunity hypothesis, treatment-resistant Lyme arthritis is associated with HLA-DRB1*0401, 0101, and other related alleles (77), and with cellular and humoral immune responses to OspA of B. burgdorferi in the cytosol (75), a site that might be protected from antibiotics. However, spirochetes have not been seen in intracellular locations in situ in human or mouse synovia (45). Moreover, PCR results for B. burgdorferi DNA were negative in synovial tissue in all 26 patients with treatment-resistant arthritis who underwent arthroscopic synovectomy a median of 7 months after the completion of antibiotic therapy (76). This methodology may be insufficient to identify rare spirochetes and would not detect retained spirochetal antigens.

In support of the autoimmunity hypothesis, treatment-resistant Lyme arthritis is associated with HLA-DRB1*0401, 0101, and other related alleles (77), and with cellular and humoral immune responses to OspA of B. burgdorferi in the cytosol (75), a site that might be protected from antibiotics. However, spirochetes have not been seen in intracellular locations in situ in human or mouse synovia (45). Moreover, PCR results for B. burgdorferi DNA were negative in synovial tissue in all 26 patients with treatment-resistant arthritis who underwent arthroscopic synovectomy a median of 7 months after the completion of antibiotic therapy (76). This methodology may be insufficient to identify rare spirochetes and would not detect retained spirochetal antigens.
(LFA-1α[332–340], acted as a weak, partial agonist for OspA[165–173]-reactive T cells in DRB1*0401-positive patients (79, 80), but the LFA-1 peptide did not bind the 0101 molecule (77), which suggests that the LFA-1 peptide is unlikely to be a relevant autoantigen. Although the pathogenesis of this syndrome is incompletely delineated, future technologies may allow the identification of spirochetal components or a relevant autoantigen, or both, in the synovia of these patients.

**Post–Lyme disease syndrome.** A small percentage of patients with well-documented Lyme disease may develop disabling musculoskeletal pain, neurocognitive symptoms, or fatigue along with or soon after symptoms of the infection (S59–S62). This post–Lyme disease syndrome, or chronic Lyme disease (the terms are used interchangeably), which is similar to chronic fatigue syndrome or fibromyalgia, persists for months or years after standard antibiotic treatment of the infection. In a study of such patients who then received intravenous ceftriaxone for 30 days followed by oral doxycycline for 60 days, or intravenous or oral placebo preparations for the same duration, no significant differences were found between the groups in the percentage of patients who said that their symptoms had improved, gotten worse, or stayed the same (81). Therefore, it is hypothesized that *B. burgdorferi* may trigger immunologic or neurohormonal processes in the brain that cause persistent pain, neurocognitive, or fatigue symptoms, despite spirochetal killing with antibiotic therapy (82). Among *B. burgdorferi*–infected patients, a prior history of depression or anxiety seems to be a risk factor for the development of chronic Lyme disease (83).

A counterculture has emerged regarding chronic Lyme disease (84). In contrast with the findings of evidence-based medicine, some people believe that the tests for Lyme disease are often inaccurately negative, and that antibiotic therapy is necessary for months or years to suppress the symptoms of this often incurable illness. A number of investigators at academic medical centers have reported series of patients referred for chronic Lyme disease in which the majority of patients had pain or fatigue syndromes with little or no evidence of past or present *B. burgdorferi* infection (85–87). Prolonged antibiotic therapy may be harmful. In studies of patients with unsubstantiated Lyme disease, minor side effects were common (86), prolonged ceftriaxone therapy sometimes resulted in biliary complications (88), and in one reported case, the prolonged administration of cefotaxime resulted in death (89). Furthermore, prolonged use of antibiotics was recently associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (90). Although antibiotic use may not be causally related to cancer, this observation reinforces the advisability of prudent use of antibiotics.

**Diagnosis and treatment.** Algorithms for the diagnosis and treatment of early or late Lyme disease are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Except in those with active EM, the diagnosis is usually based on the recognition of a characteristic clinical picture (S63) and a positive antibody response to *B. burgdorferi* by whole-cell sonicate ELISA and Western blot, interpreted according to the criteria of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (S64). Evidence-based treatment recommendations are incorporated from those presented by the Infectious Disease Society of America (91). Every summer, the lay public and physicians in endemic areas deal with the early events surrounding Lyme disease, including tick bites, early infection, and coinfection (Figure 4). Since 24–72 hours of tick attachment is necessary before transmission of the spirochete occurs, removal of the tick within 24 hours of attachment is usually sufficient to prevent Lyme disease (S4, S65, S66). If an engorged nymphal *I. scapularis* tick is found, a single, 200-μg dose of doxycycline usually prevents the infection (92). Serologic tests are insensitive during the first 1 or 2 weeks of infection and depend largely on detection of a positive IgM response, which may still represent a false-positive response (63, S67). Because of these limitations, treatment is recommended for 10 to 20 days, without serologic testing, for presumed EM, most commonly with doxycycline in adults or amoxicillin in children (93, S68). If serologic testing is done, both acute and convalescent samples should be obtained, since most patients have a positive IgM or IgG response by convalescence at the conclusion of antibiotic treatment, and the demonstration of seroconversion provides better serologic support for the diagnosis. Reinfection may occur in patients who are treated with antibiotics early in the illness (94).

Flu-like illness during summer is a more difficult issue, since most cases are not caused by *B. burgdorferi* infection. However, if a patient from a highly endemic area has a febrile illness with headache and joint or muscle pain, without respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms, in the weeks surrounding the summer solstice, antibiotic treatment may be indicated (Figure 4). For such patients, a second-generation serologic test, an IgG ELISA that uses a peptide in the sixth invariant region of the VlsE lipoprotein of *B. burgdorferi*, may be valuable, since this test typically becomes positive before five IgG bands are present on Western blot (29, 95). Although both babesiosis and anaplasmosis are usually asymptomatic, coinfection should be considered in a patient with more severe flu-like symptoms, including high fever, particularly if the patient is very young or old or asplenic (Figure 4). Fortunately, Lyme disease and anaplasmosis can both be treated with doxycycline. For severe cases of babesiosis, intravenous clindamycin and oral quinine, or oral atovaquone and azithromycin, may be effective (S69).

By the time that organ-system involvement is present in Lyme disease, which is at least several weeks after the onset of infection, almost all patients have a positive IgG response to *B. burgdorferi* (63) (Figure 5). Objective neurologic abnormalities require treatment with intravenous antibiotic therapy, usually intravenous ceftriaxone (S70), with the possible exception of facial palsy alone, without other neurologic manifestations. Lyme arthritis may be treated with either oral or intravenous therapy (72, S70), but oral therapy is easier to administer, is associated with fewer side effects, and is considerably less expensive (S71). Reinfection has not been reported in patients with the expanded immune response associated with Lyme arthritis.

After antibiotic treatment, antibody titers fall slowly, but IgG and even IgM responses may persist for years (96), as may the IgG response to the VlsE peptide (97). Moreover, asymptomatic IgG seroconversion to *B. burgdorferi* occurs in about 7% of patients in the US (98). If patients with asymptomatic seroconversion or past infection have symptoms caused by another illness, the danger is to attribute them incorrectly to Lyme disease, and therefore, the clinical picture must always be considered with the serologic result.

If patients with Lyme arthritis have persistent joint inflammation after 2 months of oral antibiotics or 1 month of intravenous antibiotics and the results of PCR testing are negative, we treat them with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, or arthrosopic synovectomy. In those with post–Lyme disease syndrome, we follow the guidelines for treating chronic fatigue syndrome or fibromyalgia (82).

**Prevention.** Ecological conditions favorable to Lyme disease, the steady increase in the number of cases, and the challenge of prevention predict that the infection will be a continuing public health concern. Personal protection measures, including protective clothing, repell-
lents or acaricides, tick checks, and landscape modifications in or near residential areas, may be helpful (99). However, these measures are difficult to perform regularly throughout the summer. Attempts to control the infection on a larger scale by the eradication of deer or widespread use of acaricides, which may be effective, have had limited public acceptance (99). New methods of tick control, including host-targeted acaricides against rodents and deer, are being developed and may provide help in the future.

In the 1990s, recombinant OspA vaccines were developed and shown to be safe and effective for the prevention of Lyme disease in the US (20, 572, 573). Although one of the vaccines was licensed commercially, its acceptance by the public and by physicians was also limited, and it was withdrawn by the manufacturer in 2002 (100). Some of the reasons why its acceptance was limited included the low risk of Lyme disease in most parts of the country, the need for booster injections every year or every other year, and the relatively high cost of this preventive approach compared with antibiotic treatment of early infection (747, 745). In addition, there was a theoretical, though never proven, concern that in rare cases, vaccination might trigger autoimmune arthritis.

For now, control of Lyme disease depends primarily on public and physician education about personal protection measures, signs and symptoms of the disease, and appropriate antibiotic therapy (99). However, if the risk of the infection continues to increase or if public perceptions change, vaccine development may again become a priority. Experience gained in the last ten years has proven the feasibility of vaccination for the prevention of this complex, tick-transmitted infection.
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