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**Commentary**

The amyloid β-peptide (Aβ peptide) is assumed to play a crucial and early role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer disease. Thus, strategies for a pharmacotherapy aim at reducing Aβ peptide generation, which proteolytically derives from the amyloid precursor protein (APP). The main targets so far have been β- and γ-secretase, the two proteases that cleave APP at the N- and C-terminus of the Aβ peptide and are thus directly responsible for Aβ peptide generation. A different strategy, namely the activation of α-secretase, has barely been investigated for its therapeutic potential. α-Secretase cleaves within the Aβ peptide domain and thus precludes Aβ peptide generation. Now, new results demonstrate that activation of α-secretase indeed reduces Aβ peptide generation and toxicity in vivo.
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vivo and in vitro demonstrates that Ca$_{1.3}$ channels constitute the major component of the L-type current in pacemaker cells (8, 11, 12). Experimental results presented by Sinnegger-Brauns and coworkers clearly support this view (2). More generally, the use of engineered mice such as Ca$_{1.2}$DHP$^{-/-}$ mice will be of particular interest to further assess the contribution of the different ionic currents underlying diastolic depolarization in sinoatrial pacemaker cells. Automaticity in cardiac pacemaker cells is due to the slow diastolic depolarization phase, which drives the membrane voltage from the end of the action potential to the threshold of the following action potential. Both native and recombinant Ca$_{1.3}$ channels exhibit more negative threshold for activation and slower inactivation kinetics than Ca$_{1.2}$ channels. In other words, the low threshold of Ca$_{1.3}$ current is consistent with a major role during diastolic depolarization (12), while a sustained calcium influx is required for the contractility of heart cells.

In conclusion, the originality of this model offers stimulating prospects for dissecting the physiological roles of calcium channels in various tissues (2). This genetic “reverse” pharmacology in vivo is likely to be applied in the future to other channels and receptor families sharing a similar pharmacology.
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The amyloid β-peptide (Aβ peptide) is assumed to play a crucial and early role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer disease. Thus, strategies for a pharmacotherapy aim at reducing Aβ peptide generation, which proteolytically derives from the amyloid precursor protein (APP). The main targets so far have been β- and γ-secretase, the two proteases that cleave APP at the N- and C-terminus of the Aβ peptide and are thus directly responsible for Aβ peptide generation. A different strategy, namely the activation of α-secretase, has barely been investigated for its therapeutic potential. α-Secretase cleaves within the Aβ peptide domain and thus precludes Aβ peptide generation. Now, new results demonstrate that activation of α-secretase indeed reduces Aβ peptide generation and toxicity in vivo (see the related article beginning on page 1456).
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Numerous laboratories are currently investigating β- and γ-secretase, the two amyloidogenic proteases that cleave the Aβ-peptide out of the amyloid precursor protein (APP). The reason is obvious. If we prevent these proteases from working, we will stop the progression of Alzheimer disease (AD). However, a rather old and almost forgotten idea, namely the activation of α-secretase, which cuts the amyloid β-peptide (Aβ peptide) into two nonamyloidogenic pieces, has now been reinvestigated. Compelling evidence that this strategy may work is now presented in a study by researchers in Germany and Belgium led by Falk Fahrenholz at the University of Mainz (1).

AD is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disease, affecting about 20 million people worldwide (for an overview see ref. 2). The amyloid hypothesis of AD, which is now widely accepted, describes the pathogenesis of this disease as a cascade of several steps, from the initial generation of the Aβ peptide to cognitive impairment and neuronal loss (for overviews see refs. 3, 4). Whereas drugs are currently available that may slightly...
ameliorate late-stage symptoms such as cognitive deficits for a short time, no drugs are on the market that specifically target the cellular mechanisms of the disease, namely the proteolytic generation of the Aβ peptide from APP. APP is a type I membrane protein with unclear biological function. APP undergoes proteolytic processing in two different pathways (Figure 1). One is termed amyloidogenic because it leads to the generation of the Aβ peptide. The other one is referred to as antiamyloidogenic because it prevents Aβ peptide generation (2).

In the amyloidogenic pathway, APP is first cleaved by the β-secretase, BACE1, at the N-terminus of the Aβ domain (5). This cleavage generates the soluble sAPPβ and a C-terminal fragment, which undergoes a second cleavage by a protease called γ-secretase. γ-Secretase cleaves within the transmembrane domain of APP and is a heteromeric protein complex consisting of presenilin, nicastrin, PEN-2, and APH-1 (for a review see ref. 5). The fact that mice deficient in either protease do not generate the Aβ peptide clearly implicates BACE1 and the γ-secretase complex as the amyloidogenic proteases in vivo and makes them suitable drug targets for AD (5).

In contrast, the antiamyloidogenic pathway starts with APP cleavage by α-secretase, which cuts within the Aβ domain and thus precludes Aβ peptide generation. Following α-cleavage, the C-terminal APP fragment undergoes γ-cleavage, leading to the generation of the p3 peptide (6) (Figure 1), which seems to be benign, since it is not found in the amyloid plaques characteristic of AD. α-Secretase is a member of the ADAM family of metalloproteases. α-Cleavage of APP can be induced upon overexpression of ADAM10 or by the activation of second messenger cascades.

Since the combined action of β- and γ-secretase leads to Aβ peptide generation, the inhibition of their activity is considered to be a highly promising approach to treat AD and is being pursued by a number of pharmaceutical companies. However, the development of specific β- and γ-secretase inhibitors that are able to cross the blood-brain barrier seems to be a particular challenge. BACE1 seems to have a rather unusual large active cleft, which makes the generation of selective inhibitors difficult (11). The suitability of inhibiting γ-secretase has been called into question by findings that this protease is involved in physiologically important signaling mechanisms required for cell fate decisions (3, 12). Although these problems may be circumvented at some point, it seems to be increasingly important to search for alternative targets. One such approach may be the facilitation of α-secretase cleavage of APP, an idea based on the original findings of Nitsch and...
colleagues (13). Since α-secretase cleaves within the Aβ peptide domain, its activation may even have the double advantage of not only precluding the neurotoxic Aβ peptide formation but also generating the putatively neuroprotective sAPPα (14, 15). This approach has so far received little attention but is particularly tempting, since BACE1 and α-secretase compete for the ectodomain cleavage of APP (16). Thus, it is conceivable to shift APP cleavage from the amyloidogenic β-secretase to the anti-amyloidogenic α-secretase cleavage. Indeed, in cultured cells a number of pharmacological agents can stimulate the α-secretase cleavage of APP at the expense of β-cleavage, thereby reducing Aβ peptide generation (for a review see ref. 7). Likewise, overexpression of ADAM proteases such as ADAM10 (8) or ADAM17/TACE (17) also increases APP α-cleavage. However, evidence is still lacking that an increased expression or activity of ADAM proteases is anti-amyloidogenic in vivo. This is mainly due to the embryonic and perinatal lethality of ADAM10 (18) and ADAM17 (19) knockout mice, respectively, which prevented the functional analysis of these proteases in older mice at an age when amyloid plaques are forming. To circumvent these difficulties, Postina and colleagues (1) chose a different approach to test the potential anti-amyloidogenic role of α-secretase in vivo. As a natural extension of their original work, which identified ADAM10 as one of the α-secretases (8), they chose to overexpress bovine ADAM10 selectively in neurons. Additionally, a dominant-negative ADAM10 mutant was overexpressed, which inhibits the endogenous APP α-cleavage in cultured cells (8) and should thus reduce the anti-amyloidogenic APP processing in mice. Both transgenic lines were crossed with the AD mouse model generated in van Leuven’s laboratory in Belgium (20). In this model, human APP is overexpressed in neurons. Overexpression of human APP results in enhanced generation of the Aβ peptide, which at the end is deposited in the mouse brain in the same way as in human brains. Furthermore, enhanced Aβ peptide production also results in memory deficits (20). By crossing this AD mouse model with ADAM10 transgenic mice, Postina et al. have now elegantly shown that overexpressed ADAM10 acts as at least one of the α-secretases in vivo and thus is indeed anti-amyloidogenic (1). ADAM10 overexpression increased sAPPα secretion and reduced Aβ peptide generation. Although the reduction in Aβ peptide generation was not dramatic, it was sufficient to almost completely prevent amyloid plaque formation in the mouse brain. In contrast, the dominant-negative ADAM10 mutant had the opposite effect of wild-type ADAM10. It inhibited APP α-cleavage, slightly increased Aβ peptide generation, and increased both the number and the size of amyloid plaques in the mouse brain. The rather small effects on total Aβ peptide generation as compared to amyloid deposition suggest that relatively minor changes in Aβ peptide production may be sufficient to induce AD pathology. This provides hope, since secretase modifiers (regardless of whether they are inhibitors of β- and γ-secretase or activators of α-secretase) may only be required at rather low dose. Most importantly, the study by Postina and colleagues also provides evidence that overexpression of ADAM10 not only reduces amyloid plaque formation but also alleviates the deficits in spatial learning and synaptic plasticity observed in the control animals (1), suggesting that an activation of α-secretase cleavage may also improve cognitive status in humans. On the molecular level, however, it remains unclear whether this beneficial effect is due to the reduced amyloid burden, the increased generation of the neuroprotective sAPPα, or both. Moreover, it could even be caused by the increased secretion of other protein substrates of ADAM10. However, the mice expressing ADAM10 that were initially generated (before being crossed to the APP-expressing mice) did not show any obvious phenotypic changes compared to control animals, which is good news, given that ADAM10 is also involved in the cleavage of membrane proteins other than APP, such as Notch (18), EGF, and β-cellulin (21).

To fully evaluate the therapeutic potential of activation of α-secretase, additional studies are needed. In contrast to the transgenic mice overexpressing ADAM10 from shortly after birth, humans would not be treated until later in life. Thus, it will be important to use mice with an inducible ADAM10 expression to address the question whether ADAM10 still lowers Aβ peptide generation and rescues memory deficits when overexpressed later in life, when the first plaques already have formed. A direct transfer of the murine results into patients would require a gene therapy approach to overexpress ADAM10 in neurons. Since this may not be easily feasible in humans, it is of utmost importance to explore other ways to increase the expression and activity of ADAM proteases in vivo. From tissue culture studies it is well known that the ADAM cleavage of APP can be stimulated through an activation of second messenger cascades (for an overview see ref. 7). However, at present little is known about the underlying cellular pathways and mechanisms. Therefore, the identification of regulatory genes and chemical compounds that selectively affect ADAM-protease activity may lead to new drug targets and new possibilities for pharmacological intervention in AD. However, one has to keep in mind that activation of the second messenger cascades is a rather nonselective approach which again may result in unwanted side effects. The work by Fahrenholz and colleagues is a major step forward in exploring the therapeutic potential of α-secretase targeting.
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Sepsis: avoiding its deadly toll
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Systemic bacterial infection may culminate in a frequently fatal septic shock syndrome. The underlying pathology is the result of an uncontrolled inflammatory response, stimulated by the pathogen and its products. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are critically involved in sensing bacteria and, in the case of sepsis, stimulate a pathogenic response by the innate immune system. A new study reports a successful attempt to inhibit systemic inflammation in mice by disrupting the formation of complexes between Gram-positive bacteria and their cognate receptor, TLR2 (see the related article beginning on page 1473).

Sepsis results from the inability of the immune system to limit bacterial spread during an ongoing infection. Massive bacterial load overcomes the inhibitory mechanisms controlling inflammation. While normally helping to eradicate pathogens from a local infection of peripheral tissues, inflammation during sepsis develops into a systemic syndrome with multiple manifestations such as tissue injury, increased vascular permeability, and, ultimately, multi-organ failure and shock (1). Mortality rates of septic patients are high (up to 70%), and the costs of treatment have been calculated to amount to more than $15 billion per year in the US alone (2). Since antibiotics carry the risk of promoting the release of bacterial products and thus to exacerbate the shock syndrome during sepsis, the development of alternative treatments and the improvement of current regimens for treating septic patients are of high priority.

The septic shock syndrome and its mediators

The list of suitable targets for interruption of the inflammatory cascade begins with the receptors involved in the binding and uptake of bacteria and their products by cells of the innate immune system (phagocytes, dendritic cells, vascular endothelial cells). It continues with the many proinflammatory molecules produced by the innate immune system during infection, cytokines and/or chemokines like TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-8, lipid mediators, oxygen radicals, and tissue-damaging enzymes. Components of the activated complement and coagulation systems also promote inflammation and are further candidate targets for inhibitory drugs (Figure 1). Several novel therapeutic approaches have been tested in animal models of septic shock and also in clinical trials (3). Activated protein C, an inhibitor of clotting factors, reduces monocyte synthesis of proinflammatory cytokines and the interaction of phagocytes with the vascular endothelium and has been approved for clinical use (reviewed in ref. 3). The therapeutic approach reported in this issue of the JCI by Meng and colleagues explores the possibility of interrupting an initial step of septic inflammation, the interaction of Gram-positive bacteria or their cell wall components with toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) (4).

TLRs in innate immune responses to pathogens

TLRs belong to the group of germ-line-encoded, nonclonal receptors, which is functionally defined by the ability to discriminate self from pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (5). Ten members of the TLR family in humans and 11 in rodents allow cells of the innate immune system to respond with appropriate intracellular signals to the presence of all groups of microorganisms (6, 7). TLR signaling stimulates cell-autonomous antimicrobial defense systems as well as the secretion of immunoregulatory substances, including many of the proinflammatory molecules listed above. Associations between the susceptibility to pathogens and defective or absent TLRs have been established by genetic linkage with inactivating mutations in humans (8), and by targeted disruption of tlr loci in mice (6). Further examples of immunological benefits resulting from TLR stimulation have been provided by the antiviral imidazooquinolines and guanosine analogues that exert their effects via TLRs...